

- Word Count: 10761

Plagiarism Percentage

25%

sources:

- 1 4% match (Internet from 31-Jul-2017)
http://repository.petra.ac.id/17566/1/Publikasi1_00034_3291.pdf
- 2 4% match (Internet from 18-Nov-2015)
<http://ced.petra.ac.id/index.php/civ/article/download/18107/17999>
- 3 2% match (Internet from 02-Nov-2017)
http://repository.petra.ac.id/15908/1/Publikasi1_00034_473.pdf
- 4 2% match (Internet from 09-Sep-2017)
<https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/81273-ID-modifikasi-teknik-discrete-shear-gap-pad.pdf>
- 5 1% match (publications)
[E. T. WONG. "ON THE CONVERGENCE OF THE KRIGING-BASED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD". International Journal of Computational Methods, 2009](#)
- 6 1% match (publications)
[Wong, F. T. and Syamsoeyadi, H.. "Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Element for Static and Free Vibration Analyses", Civil Engineering Dimension, 2011.](#)
- 7 1% match (publications)
[Friedman, Z.. "An improved two-node timoshenko beam finite element". Computers and Structures, 19930503](#)
- 8 1% match (Internet from 22-Feb-2009)
<http://www.petra.ac.id/kts06/papers/08-KTS-SE-06.pdf>
- 9 < 1% match (publications)
[Wong, Foek Tjong, Yosua Christabel, Pamuda Pudjisuryadi, and Worsak Kanok-Nukulchai. "Testing of the Kriging-based Finite Element to Shell Structures with Varying Thickness", Procedia Engineering, 2015.](#)
- 10 < 1% match (publications)
[Lecture Notes on Numerical Methods in Engineering and Sciences, 2013.](#)

-
- 11** < 1% match (Internet from 07-Sep-2017)
<http://upcommons.upc.edu/bitstream/handle/2117/80877/IJHR-D-13-00060.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1>
-
- 12** < 1% match (publications)
[Gang Yang, Dean Hu, Xu Han, Guowei Ma. "An extended edge-based smoothed discrete shear gap method for free vibration analysis of cracked Reissner–Mindlin plate". Applied Mathematical Modelling. 2017](#)
-
- 13** < 1% match (publications)
[Communications in Computer and Information Science. 2015.](#)
-
- 14** < 1% match (Internet from 13-Oct-2015)
<http://ced.petra.ac.id/index.php/civ/article/view/18107/0>
-
- 15** < 1% match (publications)
[Hozhabrossadati, Seyed Mojtaba, and Ahmad Aftabi Sani. "Application of Green's Functions for Constructing Influence Lines", Journal of Engineering Mechanics. 2015.](#)
-
- 16** < 1% match (Internet from 18-Apr-2015)
<http://www.cimne.com/iacm/News/Expressions23.pdf>
-
- 17** < 1% match (Internet from 06-Feb-2017)
<http://s1.downloadmienphi.net/file/downloadfile6/192/1385281.pdf>
-
- 18** < 1% match (Internet from 26-Oct-2017)
<http://www.techscience.com/doi/10.3970/cmcs.2014.100.477.pdf>
-
- 19** < 1% match (Internet from 28-Oct-2017)
<https://fenix.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/downloadFile/395142152545/dissertacao.pdf>
-
- 20** < 1% match (publications)
[Nguyen-Thoi, T., P. Phung-Van, C. Thai-Hoang, and H. Nguyen-Xuan. "A cell-based smoothed discrete shear gap method \(CS-DSG3\) using triangular elements for static and free vibration analyses of shell structures". International Journal of Mechanical Sciences. 2013.](#)
-
- 21** < 1% match (Internet from 20-Jul-2010)
<http://williamhoover.info/Scans2000s/2008-4.pdf>
-
- 22** < 1% match (Internet from 06-Sep-2014)
<http://140.118.105.83/Through%20flange%20ASCE.pdf>
-

23

< 1% match (publications)

[Wieckowski, Z.. "The material point method in large strain engineering problems", Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 20041001](#)

24

< 1% match (publications)

[Bletzinger, K.-U.. "A unified approach for shear-locking-free triangular and rectangular shell finite elements", Computers and Structures, 200004](#)

25

< 1% match (Internet from 05-May-2016)

http://abcm.org.br/upload/files/PI_II_06.pdf

26

< 1% match (Internet from 17-Nov-2014)

http://www.sci-en-tech.com/apcom2013/APCOM2013-Proceedings/PDF_FullPaper/1851_TTrung_MS_21.pdf

27

< 1% match (Internet from 06-Jun-2017)

http://ourspace.uregina.ca/bitstream/handle/10294/3748/Zhang_Jingyu_200254004_MASC_EVSE_Fall_2012.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=3

28

< 1% match (publications)

[T. Nguyen-Thoi. "A cell-based smoothed three-node Mindlin plate element \(CS-MIN3\) for static and free vibration analyses of plates", Computational Mechanics, 04/17/2012](#)

29

< 1% match (publications)

[R. Emre Erkmén. "Elimination of slip-locking in composite beam-column analysis by using the element-free Galerkin method", Computational Mechanics, 08/12/2010](#)

30

< 1% match (Internet from 15-Nov-2006)

<http://www.math.tu-berlin.de/~stykel/Publications/mathmod06.pdf>

31

< 1% match (publications)

[Nguyen-Thoi, T., T. Bui-Xuan, P. Phung-Van, H. Nguyen-Xuan, and P. Ngo-Thanh. "Static, free vibration and buckling analyses of stiffened plates by CS-FEM-DSG3 using triangular elements", Computers & Structures, 2013.](#)

32

< 1% match (publications)

[Igor Kaljević. "An improved element free Galerkin formulation", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 08/30/1997](#)

33

< 1% match (publications)

[Engineering Computations, Volume 28, Issue 8 \(2011-11-26\)](#)

< 1% match (publications)

34

[Kim, J.H.. "The interaction integral for fracture of orthotropic functionally graded materials: evaluation of stress intensity factors", International Journal of Solids and Structures, 200307](#)

35

< 1% match (Internet from 27-Jun-2017)

<http://www.lambda.maxwell.ele.puc-rio.br/29870/29870.PDF>

36

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Aug-2016)

<http://documents.mx/engineering/vibration-problems-in-engineering-by-timoshenko.html>

37

< 1% match (Internet from 15-Apr-2015)

<http://www.packaging.buffalo.edu/publication/Nie2005.pdf>

38

< 1% match (Internet from 22-Feb-2015)

http://www.cvisolutions.com/cvi-pdfs/Leser_US-Order-Price-Information_2012.pdf

39

< 1% match (Internet from 31-Mar-2010)

http://www.dynalook.com/european-conf-2003/4th-european-ls-dyna-conference/atct_topic_view?b_start:int=60&-C=

40

< 1% match (Internet from 13-Mar-2014)

<http://walltrust.com/ALL/IJHR.pdf>

41

< 1% match (Internet from 07-May-2016)

<http://nsp.naturalspublishing.com/files/published/u4898u3b56vycc.pdf>

42

< 1% match (publications)

[Li, Wei, Xiangyu You, Yingbin Chai, and Tianyun Li. "Edge-Based Smoothed Three-Node Mindlin Plate Element", Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 2016.](#)

43

< 1% match (publications)

[NGUYEN-THOI, T., P. PHUNG-VAN, T. RABCZUK, H. NGUYEN-XUAN, and C. LE-VAN. "FREE AND FORCED VIBRATION ANALYSIS USING THE n-SIDED POLYGONAL CELL-BASED SMOOTHED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD \(nCS-FEM\)", International Journal of Computational Methods, 2013.](#)

44

< 1% match (publications)

[Bernard B. T. Kee. "A regularized least-squares radial point collocation method \(RLS-RPCM\) for adaptive analysis", Computational Mechanics, 08/21/2007](#)

45

< 1% match (publications)

[W. Pan. "Dynamic Simulation of General Flexible Multibody Systems", Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, 1999](#)

46

< 1% match (Internet from 28-May-2016)

http://orbilu.uni.lu/bitstream/10993/12057/1/jack_hale_phd_final_08082013.pdf

47

< 1% match (Internet from 19-Jul-2016)

<https://es.scribd.com/doc/81486697/Vehicle-Bridge-Interaction-Dynamics>

48

< 1% match (Internet from 06-May-2015)

<http://www.docstoc.com/docs/124323927/A-First-Course-in-the-Finite-Element-Method>

49

< 1% match (Internet from 02-Nov-2017)

<http://mediatum.ub.tum.de/doc/601055/document.pdf>

50

< 1% match (Internet from 27-Feb-2009)

<http://www.seas.ucla.edu/~jschen/2006%20Extended%20Meshfree%20for%20Laminated%20Anisotropic%20Plates>

51

< 1% match (Internet from 31-Aug-2017)

<http://upcommons.upc.edu/bitstream/handle/2117/78628/2013-IJCM-CDV-blanc.pdf?sequence=1>

52

< 1% match (publications)

[K.Y. Dai, G.R. Liu, K.M. Lim, X.L. Chen. "A mesh-free method for static and free vibration analysis of shear deformable laminated composite plates". Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2004](#)

53

< 1% match (publications)

[Thai, Chien H., Loc V. Tran, Dung T. Tran, T. Nguyen-Thoi, and H. Nguyen-Xuan. "Analysis of laminated composite plates using higher-order shear deformation plate theory and node-based smoothed discrete shear gap method". Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2012.](#)

54

< 1% match (publications)

[Nguyen-Xuan, H.. "An edge-based smoothed finite element method \(ES-FEM\) with stabilized discrete shear gap technique for analysis of ReissnerMindlin plates". Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 20100115](#)

55

< 1% match (publications)

[Nguyen, Hoang X., Tuan N. Nguyen, M. Abdel-Wahab, S.P.A. Bordas, H. Nguyen-Xuan, and Thuc P. Vo. "A refined quasi-3D isogeometric analysis for functionally graded microplates based on the modified couple stress theory". Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 2016.](#)

56

< 1% match (publications)

[Kocaturk, T.. "Dynamic analysis of eccentrically prestressed viscoelastic Timoshenko beams under a moving harmonic load". Computers and Structures, 200612](#)

< 1% match (publications)

57

[Wu, Liping Mohareb, Magdi. "Finite element formulation for shear deformable thin-walled beams.\(Report\)", Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, April 2011 Issue](#)

58

< 1% match (publications)

[LeÔntiev, Anatoli, JosÉ Herskovits, and Claudia Eboli. "Optimization theory application to slitted plate bending problems", International Journal of Solids and Structures, 1998.](#)

59

< 1% match (publications)

[G.-W. Jang. "Mixed state-vector finite element analysis for a higher-order box beam theory". Computational Mechanics, 08/2005](#)

60

< 1% match (Internet from 06-Jan-2015)

<http://shellbuckling.com/papers/shellbucklingrefs.docx>

61

< 1% match (Internet from 08-Jul-2017)

<http://sci-en-tech.com/Books/index.php/eBooks/catalog/download/29/41/103-1?inline=1>

62

< 1% match (Internet from 30-Oct-2016)

<https://es.scribd.com/doc/213037663/Guide-to-Stability-Design-Criteria-for-Metal-Structures-6ed-Zeiman-2010-1117p>

63

< 1% match (Internet from 03-Oct-2009)

http://iris6.cmmacs.ernet.in/cmmacs/Publications/resch_rep/rrcm106.pdf

64

< 1% match (Internet from 29-Mar-2012)

<http://www.goldenbase.vn/en/component/simpliedownload/?task=download&fileid=bnR0LzA5LnBkZg%3D%3D>

65

< 1% match (Internet from 27-Nov-2014)

http://ymk.k-space.org/papers/NRSC2012_K3.pdf

66

< 1% match (Internet from 01-Nov-2016)

<http://apjcen.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/2196-1166-1-6>

67

< 1% match (Internet from 02-Nov-2017)

https://elib.uni-stuttgart.de/bitstream/11682/527/1/Dissertation_RalphEchter_A5_final.pdf

68

< 1% match (Internet from 31-Aug-2014)

http://www.doria.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/98746/MSc_Thesis_Charles_Nutakor.pdf?sequence=2

69

< 1% match (Internet from 28-May-2016)

70

< 1% match (Internet from 09-Sep-2017)

<https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/13631/NSEL.Report.017.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=2>

71

< 1% match (publications)

[Liu, . "Meshfree Methods for Plates", Meshfree Methods Moving Beyond the Finite Element Method Second Edition, 2009.](#)

72

< 1% match (publications)

[Direct Methods for Limit States in Structures and Materials, 2014.](#)

73

< 1% match (publications)

[Wang, G., X.Y. Cui, and G.Y. Li. "A rotation free shell formulation using nodal integration for static and dynamic analyses of structures : A nodal integration thin shell formulation for analyses of structures", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2015.](#)

74

< 1% match (publications)

[Lei Gu. "Moving kriging interpolation and element-free Galerkin method", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 01/07/2003](#)

75

< 1% match (publications)

[Auricchio, F., "A shear deformable plate element with an exact thin limit", Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 199410](#)

76

< 1% match (publications)

[Thai, Chien H., H. Nguyen-Xuan, S. P. A. Bordas, N. Nguyen-Thanh, and T. Rabczuk. "Isogeometric Analysis of Laminated Composite Plates Using the Higher-Order Shear Deformation Theory", Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, 2015.](#)

77

< 1% match (publications)

[Leonetti, L., and Canh V. Le. "Plastic collapse analysis of Mindlin-Reissner plates using a composite mixed finite element : COMPOSITE MIXED FORMULATION OF LIMIT ANALYSIS OF MINDLIN PLATES", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2015.](#)

78

< 1% match (publications)

[Engineering Computations, Volume 33, Issue 5 \(2016\)](#)

79

< 1% match (publications)

[Engineering Computations, Volume 31, Issue 1 \(2014-03-28\)](#)

80

< 1% match (publications)

[M. M. Alipour. "A semi-analytical solution for free vibration of variable thickness two-](#)

81

< 1% match (publications)

[Engineering Computations, Volume 18, Issue 3 \(2006-09-19\)](#)

82

< 1% match (publications)

[Rodrigo Rossi. "On the Analysis of an EFG Method Under Large Deformations and Volumetric Locking", Computational Mechanics, 01/09/2007](#)

83

< 1% match (publications)

[Liu, . "Point Interpolation Methods", Meshfree Methods Moving Beyond the Finite Element Method Second Edition, 2009.](#)

84

< 1% match (publications)

[Chassaing, Jean-Camille, Xesús Nogueira, and Sofiane Khelladi. "Moving Kriging reconstruction for high-order finite volume computation of compressible flows", Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 2013.](#)

85

< 1% match (publications)

[M. K. Kadalbajoo. "A nonasymptotic method for general linear singular perturbation problems", Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 11/1987](#)

paper text:

International Journal of Computational Methods

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the Discrete Shear Gap Technique

4

--Manuscript Draft-- Manuscript Number: IJCM-D-16-00084R2 Full Title:

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the Discrete Shear Gap Technique

4

Article Type: Research Paper Keywords: Timoshenko beam; Kriging-based finite element; shear locking; discrete shear gap. Corresponding Author: Foek Tjong Wong, Ph.D. Universitas Kristen Petra Surabaya, East Java INDONESIA

Corresponding Author Secondary Information: Corresponding

Author's Institution: Universitas Kristen Petra **Corresponding Author's**

Secondary Institution: First Author: First Author Secondary Information:

11

Order of Authors:

Foek Tjong Wong, Ph.D. Foek Tjong Wong, Ph.D. Adam Sulistio, M.Sc. Hidayat Syamsoeyadi, B.Eng.
Order of Authors Secondary Information: Abstract: Kriging-based

finite element method (K -FEM) is an enhancement **of the FEM**

20

through the use of Kriging interpolation in place of the conventional polynomial interpolation. In this paper,

the K-FEM is developed **for static, free vibration**, and buckling **analyses of Timoshenko beams. The**

14

discrete shear gap technique **is employed to eliminate shear locking.**
The

12

numerical tests show that a Kriging-based beam element with cubic basis and three element-layer domain of influencing nodes is free from shear locking. Exceptionally accurate displacements, bending moments, natural frequencies, and buckling loads and reasonably accurate shear force can be achieved using a relatively course mesh. Response to Reviewers:

See separate file Powered by Editorial Manager® and ProduXion Manager® from Aries Systems Corporation Reply to Referee

11

Revision Notes 2 Here are the list of revision or rebuttal based upon the reviewers' comments: No. Reviewers' Comments Action Response 1 Thank you for revising the manuscript. I am still not in line with your opinion on the patch test. It has originally been introduced as a numerical consistency tests and correct modeling of pure bending in beam elements is not necessary for consistency. However, there must be space for different opinions in scientific exchange of ideas. Thank you for your insightful comments. Since for one-dimensional models (including the Timoshenko beam model) the finite element patch test is uncommonly used and it may seem excessive, I agree to remove the statements relating to 'patch test'. Thus, I have now revised the 1st paragraph on p. 13 right after subtitle 5.1.1, and the paragraph right before subtitle 5.1.2. In addition to that revision, I edited the figures to make them clearer for reading. Manuscript Click here to download Manuscript K-beam Elements with the DSG Technique (Revised2).docx

International Journal of Computational Methods ?World Scientific Publishing Company

51

KRIGING-BASED TIMOSHENKO BEAM ELEMENTS WITH THE

4

DISCRETE SHEAR GAP TECHNIQUE

F. T. WONG Department of Civil

Engineering, Petra Christian University, Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131,
Surabaya, 60236, Indonesia wftjong @petra.ac.id http://fportfolio
.petra.ac.id/

13

main.php?nip=00-034 ADAM SULISTIO

Department of Civil and Construction Engineering, National Taiwan
University of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan

22

adamsulistio@gmail.com HIDAYAT SYAMSOEYADI Nebethes Design, Bandung, Indonesia hdyt87

@yahoo.com Received (Day Month Year) Revised (Day Month Year)

40

Kriging-based

finite element method (K -FEM) is an enhancement of the FEM

20

through the use of Kriging interpolation in place of the conventional polynomial interpolation. In this paper,

the K-FEM is developed for static, free vibration, and buckling analyses of
Timoshenko beams. The

14

discrete shear gap technique is employed to eliminate shear locking.
The

12

numerical tests show that a Kriging-based beam element with cubic basis and three element-layer domain of influencing nodes is free from shear locking. Exceptionally accurate displacements, bending moments, natural frequencies, and buckling loads and reasonably accurate shear force can be achieved using a relatively course mesh. Keywords: Timoshenko beam; Kriging-based finite element; shear locking; discrete shear gap. 1. Introduction

An enhancement of the finite element method,

6

referred to as the Kriging-based finite element method (K-FEM), was proposed by Plengkhom and Kanok-Nukulchai [2005]. In the

9

K-FEM Kriging interpolation (KI) is employed as the trial and test functions in place of a conventional polynomial function. This KI is constructed for each element

from a set of nodal values within a domain of influencing nodes (DOI) comprising the element itself and several layers of the surrounding elements.

2

The DOI is thus a polygon

in the 2D domain and a polyhedron in the 3D domain. The

2

advantages of this novel proposed method are as follows: (1)

a high degree of polynomial function can be easily included in the

9

trial function without adding any side or internal nodes to the element; (2) higher accuracy and better 1 2 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi smoothness results for the field variables and their derivatives, in comparison to the conventional FEM,

can be obtained using even the simplest form of elements; (3) furthermore, the

2

computer implementation of the proposed method is very similar to that of conventional FEM, so an existing general FEM code can be extended to include the method without major changes. The

K-FEM [Plengkhom and Kanok-Nukulchai (2005)]

5

was subsequently

improved through the use of adaptive correlation parameters and

2

developed for analysis of

Reissner- Mindlin plates [Wong and Kanok-Nukulchai (2006a)].

5

A shortcoming of the K-FEM is that the resulting approximate function is discontinuous across the

9

element boundaries, or in other words, nonconforming between interconnected elements. The issue of the nonconformity was studied in [Wong and Kanok-Nukulchai (2009b)] and it is concluded that the K-FEM with appropriate Kriging parameters always yield converging results. In

the development of the K-FEM for

6

analyses of shear deformable beams,

plates, and shells, as in the FEM, the difficulty of shear locking also

42

occurs. In attempts to overcome this difficulty, the field-matching technique of

Kanok-Nukulchai, et al. [2001], which works well in the element-free Galerkin method

33

[Belytschko et al. (1994)], has been employed [Wong (2009)]. Using this technique, the

shape functions for the rotational fields are taken as the derivatives of the shape functions for the

29

deflection. It is found that the K-FEM with the field- matching strategy yields erroneous results. Accordingly, the field-matching technique is not applicable in the framework of the K-FEM. Another attempt is the introduction of

assumed natural transverse shear strains in the K-FEM

8

[Wong and Kanok-Nukulchai (2006b)]. This study discovers that the assumed shear strain method

can relieve the locking but cannot eliminate it completely because the

8

locations of shear-strain sampling points in the K-FEM cannot be determined exactly. Henceforth, a basis

function of sufficiently high degree (cubic or higher) in the K-FEM for analyses of plates and shell structures is employed as a provisional solution to relieve the shear locking [Wong and Kanok-Nukulchai, 2006a; 2008; 2009; Wong, 2009; 2013; Wong et al. (2015)]. The use of a high-degree polynomial basis, however, cannot completely eliminate the locking and makes the computational cost high. Thus, it is essential to have an effective

method to eliminate shear locking in the K-FEM. To

8

develop a locking-free formulation for the

K-FEM for analyses of plates and

6

shells,

it is instructive to study the K-FEM in the simpler problem of the Timoshenko beam

2

model in order to gain understanding and insight about a locking-free device. In this spirit, Wong and Syamsoeyadi [2011] developed the

K-FEM for static and free vibration analyses of Timoshenko beams. In this work, **the**

2

shear locking is eliminated using the well-known selective-reduced integration (SRI) technique. While the SRI technique can effectively eliminate shear locking, it deteriorates the accuracy in the case of thick beams and also worsens the shear force results [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)]. Moreover, it has been proved

that the SRI technique is not applicable to a Kriging-based triangular plate

2

bending element [Wong (2009)]. Therefore, a new shear-locking-elimination technique that is extendable to a Kriging- based Reissner-Mindlin plate element needs to be explored.

Bletzinger et al. [2000] presented a unified approach to eliminate shear locking

1

in

□

shear deformable plates and shells, called the discrete shear gap (DSG) technique. In this approach, the shear

1

gap

is defined as the difference between the total deflection and the

37

bending

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

3 deflection, or in other words, it is the deflection corresponding to the shear strain. The shear gap is calculated

at the element nodes (called the discrete shear gaps)

24

and interpolated across the element domain. The

substitute shear strain is then obtained from the derivatives of the interpolated discrete shear

1

gaps. With this technique, a locking-free formulation of plate

elements, either triangular or rectangular of any polynomial

24

degree, can be carried out in a simple way. The technique was subsequently generalized

to a more general concept applicable to other locking problems

39

such as membrane locking

[Bischoff et al. (2003); Koschnick et al. (2005)].

67

The DSG technique has been applied not only in the conventional FEM but also in recent alternative computational methods such as the

edge-based smoothed FEM [Nguyen-Xuan et al. (2010a)] **and** node -based **smoothed FEM** [Nguyen- Xuan et al.

26

(2010b)]. The most recent application of the DSG approach is to combine the DSG three-node triangular element with the cell-based smoothed FEM to produce

a three-node triangular **plate element, which**

28

is claimed to have some superior properties compared

to many existing plate elements of the

28

same class [Nguyen-Thoi et al., 2015; 2012]. These recent and successful applications of the DSG technique suggest that it may also be effective at eliminating

shear locking in Kriging- based **shear deformable** beams, plates, **and**

6

shells. The

aim of this paper is to present the development and testing of the K-FEM

2

with the DSG technique

for static, free vibration, and buckling analyses of Timoshenko beams. The

2

discretized equations are formulated using the standard displacement-based finite element procedure on the variational form. The same Kriging

shape functions are used for the deflection and

71

rotation variables. To apply the DSG technique, the discrete shear gaps at all nodes in a DOI under consideration are evaluated from the integration of the kinematic shear strain. The DSG shear strain is then calculated

from the derivative of the Kriging-based **interpolated** discrete **shear** gaps.

1

The

original

displacement-based transverse **shear strain** is **replaced with** the DSG
shear strain

1

to eliminate the shear locking. A series of numerical tests in

static, free vibration, and buckling problems **are** carried out **to** evaluate **the**
accuracy and convergence **of the**

31

Kriging-based Timoshenko beam element. Special attention **is**

6

given to numerical investigation of the shear locking. It is worth mentioning here that although some researchers have employed the DSG technique with a stabilization parameter α to improve the accuracy and stability against mesh distortion [Bischoff

et al. (2003); **Nguyen-Thoi et al.** (2012); **Nguyen-Xuan et al.,**

43

2010a; 2010b], we do not resort to this approach because the parameter α is problem dependent and makes the role of the shear correction factor obscure.

2. Kriging Interpolation in the K-FEM Named after Danie G. Krige, a
South African mining engineer, Kriging is a well-known geostatistical
technique for spatial data interpolation in geology and mining (see, **e.g.,**

3

geostatistics texts [Olea (1999); Wackernagel (2003)]. Reviews of KI in the framework of the

K-FEM have been published **in several** previous **papers**

6

[Wong and Kanok-Nukulchai, 2009a; 2009b; Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)]. In this paper only the key points of KI that are necessary for the subsequent development are addressed. 4 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi 2.1. Kriging shape function We consider a one-dimensional problem domain Ω where a continuous field variable (a scalar function) $u(x)$ is defined. The

domain is represented by a set of properly scattered nodes x_l , $l=1, 2, \dots$,

2

N, where **N** is the total number of nodes in the whole domain. Given **N** field values $u(x_1), \dots, u(x_N)$, the problem of interest is to obtain an estimated value of u_h at a point x ? ?. In the Kriging method, the unknown value $u_h(x)$ is estimated from a linear combination of

the field

values at the neighboring nodes, that is, $u_h(x)$

6

$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u(x_i)$

(1) where the $\lambda_i(x)$'s are the unknown Kriging weights and n is the number of nodes surrounding point x inside and on the boundary of a

2

subdomain Ω_x of size h_x . Here, small letters i and n are used instead of I and N to signify that the numbering is referred to the local (subdomain) numbering system.

In the context of the K-FEM [Wong and

5

Kanok-Nukulchai, 2009a; 2009b; Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)], the nodes are identical to finite element nodes and the weights are identical to shape functions. Furthermore, the subdomain Ω_x in the K-FEM is composed of the element of interest and several layers of surrounding elements and referred to as the DOI. The number of element layers of the DOI can be one, two, or more. In the case of one layer, the DOI is the finite element itself and the K-FEM becomes identical to the conventional FEM. Figure 1 illustrates a two-layer DOI encompassing local nodes 1, 2, 3, and 4. The Kriging weights λ_i

(x) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are obtained by solving the

82

Kriging equation system: $R \lambda$

$(x) = P^{-1} \mu(x) + r(x) P^{-1} \lambda(x) + p(x)$

85

(2a) (2b)

in which $C(h_{11}) \dots C(h_{1n})$ R ? ? ? ? ? ; P ? ? $p_1(x_1) \dots p_m(x_1)$?
... .. $C(h_{n1}) \dots C(h_{nn})$

3

$h_{nn} p_1(x_n) \dots p_m(x_n) \lambda$

$(x) \dots 1(x) \dots n(x)^T; \mu(x) \dots 1(x) \dots m(x)$

46

T (2c) (2d) Fig. 1. One-dimensional domain and a two element-layer subdomain.

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

$5 r(x) = C(h_1 x) C(h_2 x) \dots C(h_n x)^T; p(x) = p_1(x) \dots p_m(x)^T$ (2e) Each entry in matrix R, $C(h_{ij})$,

is the covariance between $U(x_i)$ and $U(x_j)$,

2

which is a function of $h_{ij} = x_j - x_i; i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus,

R is the $n \times n$ matrix of the covariance of $U(x)$ at nodes in the DOI, $x_1, \dots, x_n$. The capital ' $U(x)$ '

8

here signifies the corresponding random process of the deterministic function $u(x)$. Matrix

P is the $n \times m$ matrix of monomial values at the nodes, where m is the number of

3

monomial terms. Vector $\lambda(x)$ is the unknown

$n \times 1$ vector of Kriging weights and vector $\mu(x)$ is the unknown **$m \times 1$ vector of Lagrange multipliers.**

3

On the right hand side of Eqs. (2a) and (2b), vector

$r(x)$ is the $n \times 1$ vector of covariance between the nodes and the point of interest, x, and $p(x)$ is the $m \times 1$ vector of monomial values at x. Each entry in

3

$r(x), C(h_{ix}),$

is the covariance between $U(x_i)$ and $U(x)$,

6

which is a function of $h(x, x_i)$. A necessary condition to make the Kriging equation system solvable (non-singular) is

that the number of nodes in the DOI, n , should be

equal to or greater than the number of

monomial terms, m , that is, $n \geq m$. Solving the Kriging equation system, the vector of Kriging weights is given as λ^T (

x) $p_T(x)A \neq r_T(x)B$? (3a) where

A ? (PTR?1P)?1PTR

1. $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$ (3b, c) Here A is an $m \times n$ matrix, B is an $n \times n$ matrix, and I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The expression for the estimated value

uh,

Eq. (1), can be restated in matrix form as

$$u^h(x) \lambda^T(x) dN(x) d?? \quad (4)$$

where $d = [u(x_1) \dots u(x_n)]^T$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of nodal values

and $N(x) = \lambda T(x)$ is the matrix of Kriging shape functions.

It is obvious that the Kriging weights are nothing but the shape functions.

2.2. Polynomial basis and correlation function

In order to construct Kriging shape functions, a polynomial basis function and a covariance function should be chosen.

In the present research, as in the previous research on the K- FEM for analysis of Timoshenko beams [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)], polynomial bases of degree one to three are employed. The higher the polynomial degree, the more element layers are needed in the DOI because of the requirement that $n \geq m$. The

minimum number of DOI layers for different polynomial bases is listed in Table 1. Table 1. Minimum number of layers

3

for different polynomial bases. Polynomial basis Monomial terms m Minimum number of layers Linear Quadratic Cubic

1 x 2 1 1 x x² 3 2 1 x

38

x² x³ 4 3 6 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi The covariance C(h)

is more conveniently expressed in terms of a correlation function,

8

which is given as ?

$\gamma(h) = C(h) / \sigma^2$ (5) where h is the

62

distance between

points x and x + h, and σ^2 is the variance of the random function U(x). The

2

variance σ^2 has no effect on the resulting Kriging shape functions and is taken to be equal to 1 in this study. There are many possibilities for the correlation function model in the area of geostatistics [Olea (1999); Wackernagel (2003)], such as the Nugget-effect model, exponential model, and spherical model. In the present study, as in the previous research [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)], the

Gaussian correlation function, that is, $\gamma(h) = \exp(-(h/r)^2)$ h d

8

and the quartic spline (QS), that is,

$\gamma(r) = 6(r/h)^2 - 8(r/h)^3 + 3(r/h)^4$ for $0 \leq r \leq h$

21

θ_r is chosen for $\theta_r \in [0, \pi]$ and d is chosen for $d \in [0, \infty)$.

In these equations, $\theta_r > 0$ is the correlation parameter

2

and

d is a scale factor to normalize the distance h . Factor d is taken to be the largest distance between any pair of nodes in the DOI. The

3

parameter θ_r is an important parameter affecting the validity of Kriging shape functions. Too small a value of θ_r deteriorates the partition of unity property of the shape functions [Plengkhom and Kanok-Nukulchai (2005)], that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n N_i \neq 1$ (8). Conversely, too large a value of θ_r may make the Kriging equation system singular. Based on these facts,

Plengkhom and Kanok-Nukulchai [2005] proposed a rule of thumb for

5

the lower and upper bounds of θ_r . Following this rule, the value of θ_r

should be selected so that it satisfies the lower bound criterion $\theta_r \geq \theta_{r, \min}$

2

$\theta_{r, \min} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10^a}}$ (9) where a is the degree of the basis function, and the upper bound criterion $\theta_r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{10^b}}$ (10) where b is the dimension of the problem. For the 1D problem and quadratic basis function, for example, a

5

$a = 2$ and $b = 1$.

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

7 The range of appropriate values of θ_r varies with the

number of monomial terms m , the number of the nodes in the

44

DOI, n , and the correlation model used [Plengkhom and Kanok-Nukulchai (2005);

other words, it depends on the polynomial basis, the number of element layers, and the type of correlation function employed in the analysis. The range of θ_r for 1D problems satisfying the lower and upper bound criteria, Eqs. (9) and (10), has been numerically examined [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)] and the results are presented in Table 2. It is recommended that the mid-value between the upper and lower bounds be taken to ensure good quality of the KI. Table 2. The lower and upper bounds of θ_r for 1D problems. Polynomial Number Gaussian $p(h)$ Quartic spline $p(h)$ basis of layers

Lower bound Upper bound Lower bound Upper bound 1 0 0. 2295 0 0.

27

098 Linear 2 10⁻⁴ 1.0 10⁻⁵ 0.44 3 10⁻⁴ 1.9 10⁻⁵ 0.86 Quadratic Cubic 2 3 3 10⁻⁴ 1.0 10⁻⁵ 0.44 10⁻⁴ 1.9 10⁻⁶ 0.86 10⁻⁴ 1.9 10⁻⁸ 0.86 3. Formulation of Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Element We consider

a beam of length L with cross-sectional area and moment of inertia A and I , respectively. The beam is

1

made from a homogeneous and isotropic material with modulus of elasticity E , shear modulus G , and

32

mass density ρ (per unit volume).

A Cartesian coordinate system (x,y,z) is established, where the x -axis coincides with the neutral axis and the y - and z - axes coincide with the principal axes of the cross- section

7

(Fig. 2). The beam is subjected to a dynamic distributed transversal load q

57

$= q$

(x, t) and a distributed moment $m = m(x, t)$,

35

$0 \leq x \leq L, t \geq 0$.

In the Timoshenko beam theory, the motion of the beam

79

due to external loads is described using

two independent field variables, namely **the** transverse **displacement** (deflection) **of the neutral axis** $w = w(x, t)$ **and the** rotation of the **cross-section** $\theta = \theta(x, t)$. **The**

1

sign convention for these variables **is shown in Fig. 2.**

50

z, w, θ, x Fig. 2.

Coordinate system and positive directions for the deflection and rotation.

1

8 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsioeyadi To account for the

effect of axial **force on the deflection**, let **the beam is** also subjected to

36

an axial force $P = P(t)$ at the ends of the beam. The sign of P is positive when it is a tensile force. This axial force is imposed at the outset, for example by a pre-stressed cable system. The deflection is assumed to be small so that the axial force P remains essentially constant. In addition, the beam is assumed to be initially, perfectly straight so that the coupling between bending and membrane deformations can be neglected. Thus, the present Timoshenko beam model is a linear-elastic model enhanced with a nonlinear Green strain term for the axial strain [Cook et al. (2002), Ch. 18]. This model can be reduced to the bifurcation buckling model by removing the transversal load q and external moment m and assuming that the axial force P is an unknown. The governing equation for the motion of the beam at time t , including the axial load effect,

can be expressed in a variational **form as** $\int_0^L \delta w \left(\frac{1}{2} A w' \right) dx$

17

$\int_0^L \delta w \left(\frac{1}{2} A w' \right) dx + \int_0^L \delta \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} EI \theta' \right) dx + \int_0^L \delta w \left(\frac{1}{2} GA_s \theta' \right) dx + \int_0^L \delta \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} P w' \right) dx + \int_0^L \delta w \left(\frac{1}{2} q \right) dx + \int_0^L \delta \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} m \right) dx = 0$ In this equation, w, θ (12)

is the transverse **shear strain and** $AS = kA$ **is the** effective **shear**

68

area, where

k is a shear correction factor that is dependent upon the cross-section

2

geometry.

The double dots signify the second partial

derivative of the corresponding variable with respect to the time variable t ,
while the

47

comma signifies the first partial derivative of it with respect to the
variable next to it (i.e., x). The

1

operator δ signifies the variational operation on the corresponding variable. A detailed derivation of the variational equation, Eq. (11), using Hamilton's principle is given in references [Friedman and Kosmatka (1993); Kosmatka (1995)]. The

bending moment and shear force along the beam can be calculated
from the deflection w and rotation θ as follows: $M = EI \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$ (13) $Q = GA_s$
 $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$

2

$Q = P \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}$ (14)

To obtain an approximate solution using the concept of KI with a
layered-element DOI,

5

the beam is subdivided into N_{el} elements and N nodes. We then consider

an element with a DOI that contains n nodes,

6

as illustrated in Fig. 3. The field variables w and θ

over the element are approximated using KI as follows: $w = N_w(x) d$

2

t (15a)

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

9 Fig. 3. A typical beam element and its domain of influencing nodes (DOI). $N_w(x) d(t)$ (15b) where

$N_w(x)$?

$[N_1(x) \ 0 \ N_2(x) \ 0 \ \dots \ N_n(x) \ 0] \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ N_1(x) \\ 0 \\ N_2(x) \\ \dots \\ N_n(x) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (15c)$

23

(15d)

are the matrices of Kriging shape functions for the deflection and

66

rotation, respectively, and d

$\{d\} = [w_1(t) \ \theta_1(t) \ w_2(t) \ \theta_2(t) \ \dots \ w_n(t) \ \theta_n(t)]^T$

30

(15e) is the vector of nodal displacement. The variable x here refers to the local (element) coordinate system. The

number of nodes, n , depends on the number of elements used in the

10

DOI and differs for the interior and exterior elements. For example, for an element with a two-layer DOI,

$n = 3$ for the exterior element and $n = 4$ for the interior element.

1

Substituting Eqs. (15a) and (15b) into Eq. (11) and

carrying out the standard finite element formulation yield the discretized system of equations $m \ddot{d}(t) + (k + P) d(t) = f(t)$ In this equation,

1

$m = \int_0^L \rho A dx$ is the element mass, $k = \int_0^L EI dx$ is the element stiffness, and $P = \int_0^L p dx$ is the element load.

is the element consistent mass matrix,

6

$k = \int_0^L EI dx$ is the element stiffness matrix, and $P = \int_0^L p dx$ is the element load.

k is the element stiffness matrix,

6

$k_g = \int_0^L p dx$ is the element load.

1

dx is the element geometrical stiffness matrix, and f

2

(t) ? ?0Le NTw q dx ? ?0Le N?T m dx (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) 10 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi

is the element equivalent nodal force vector. The order of all square matrices and

2

vectors is $2n$. In Eqs. (18) and (19), matrices B_θ , B_w , and B_y are defined as follows: $B_\theta = \frac{1}{dx} N_\theta$, $B_w = \frac{1}{d} N_w$ (21a) $dx B_\theta = B_w = N_\theta$ (21b) The unknowns of Eq. (16) are the

element nodal acceleration vector () and the nodal displacement vector

9

d(t). The discretized

equations for static, free vibration, and buckling problems can be

55

obtained from Eq. (16) by simply reducing it, respectively, to $k d = f$ (22) $m d(t) = k d(t) = 0$ (23) $(k - P) d = 0$ (24) The corresponding global discretized equations of these equations can be obtained using the finite element assembly procedure.

It should be mentioned here that the assembly process involves all nodes in the DOI, not just the element nodes as in the

3

conventional FEM. 4. Application of the Discrete Shear Gap Concept

It is well known that the pure displacement-based

64

formulation of a Timoshenko beam (with exact integration of all integrals) leads to the shear locking phenomenon in the FEM [Bathe (1996); Cook et al. (2002); Hughes (1987); Liu and Quek (2003); Prathap 1993; 2001; Reddy (2006)]. The same is true for a Kriging-based Timoshenko beam element [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)], even for an element with a cubic polynomial basis function. The primary cause of this locking is the inability of the approximate shear strain to vanish as the beam becomes extremely thin. The basic idea of the

discrete shear gap (DSG) concept [Bischoff et al. (2003); Bletzinger et al. (2000)]

4

is to replace the troublesome kinematic shear strain with a substitute shear strain field determined from the derivative of interpolated discrete shear

1

gaps. This section presents a review of the DSG concept and its application to Timoshenko beam elements with KI. To apply the DSG concept, we begin with the definition of shear gap, that is, $\gamma_w(x) = \frac{dw}{dx} - \theta$ (25) where $\Delta w(x)$ is the

shear gap at point x , and x_0 is the position of

1

a chosen reference point. Inserting Eq. (12) into this equation results in $\gamma_w(x) = \frac{dw}{dx} - \theta = \frac{dw}{dx} - \frac{dw}{dx}(x_0)$

$\gamma_w(x) = (w(x) - w(x_0))' - \theta = \frac{dw}{dx}(x) - \frac{dw}{dx}(x_0)$

58

(26a) (26b)

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

11 In these equations, Δw is the increase of the actual deflection between the positions x and x_0 , and Δw_b

is the increase of the deflection due to bending action. The shear

49

gap Δw_y thus represents the increase of the deflection due to shearing action. The discrete shear gap at a finite element node with position x_i , Δw_{yi} , is defined as $\gamma_w(x_i) = \frac{dw}{dx}(x_i) - \theta$ (27) A modified

shear gap field is defined as the interpolation of the nodal shear gaps, that is, $\gamma_w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(x) \gamma_{wi}$ (28) In the

1

framework of the standard FEM,

n is the number of number of nodes in the element and $N_i(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are the shape functions. In the

25

present research, however,

n is the number of nodes in the DOI and $N_i(x)$ are Kriging shape

34

functions.

Differentiating Eq. (28) gives the substitute shear strain, that is, $\gamma = \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n N_i(x) w_i \right)$ (29a) where $B = \frac{1}{h} [N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n]$ (29b)

$w = \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n N_i(x) w_i \right)$ (29c) To avoid the shear locking problem, the

41

kinematic shear strain γ , Eq. (12), is replaced with $\bar{\gamma}$, Eq. (29a). In order to implement this technique for the Kriging-based beam elements, we first need to express the nodal shear gaps in the DOI, w_i ,

in terms of the degrees of freedom of the

48

Timoshenko beam. Choosing node 1 as the reference point (see Fig. 3) and inserting Eq. (15b) into Eq. (27), the discrete shear gaps are given as $w_i = w_1 + \int_0^x N_i(x) dx$ (30) Evaluating the discrete shear gaps for nodes 1 to n and writing them in matrix form, the discrete shear gaps for all nodes in the DOI can be expressed as $w = B^T d$ (31a) where $B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \int_0^x N_2 dx & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \int_0^x N_3 dx & \int_0^x N_2 dx & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_0^x N_n dx & \int_0^x N_{n-1} dx & \dots & \int_0^x N_2 dx & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Substituting Eq. (31a) into Eq. (29a) yields $\gamma = B^T d$ (31b) (32) The implementation of the DSG concept is accomplished by replacing matrix B by

in the expression for the element stiffness matrix, Eq. (18), with

81

matrix $\bar{B}$ as defined in Eq. (32). The

Kriging-based Timoshenko beam elements with the DSG shear

4

strains are hereafter referred to as the K-beam-DSG elements. 5. Numerical Results

A series of numerical tests were carried out to evaluate: (1) the effectiveness of the DSG technique in eliminating the

1

shear locking and (2) the

accuracy and convergence characteristics of the K-beam-DSG elements

1

with different K-FEM options in static, free vibration, and buckling analyses. The K-FEM options used comprised linear to cubic basis functions with one to three element-layer DOIs, and the Gaussian or QS correlation functions with the mid-value correlation parameters between the lower and upper bound values (Table 2). Abbreviations of the form P*-*-G or P*-*-QS were used to denote different K-FEM options. The first asterisk represents the polynomial basis, while the second represents the number of DOI element layers. The last letter or letters represent the Gaussian

(G) or quartic spline (QS) correlation function.

84

For example, the abbreviation P2-3-QS means the K-FEM options of a

quadratic basis function, three element layers, and a quartic spline correlation function

16

(with a mid-value correlation parameter). In all tests, three Gaussian sampling points were employed to evaluate the integrations in the element stiffness matrix equations, Eq. (18), while two sampling points were used to evaluate the integration in the element consistent nodal load vector, Eq. (20), and $\bar{2}$, Eq. (31b). The abovementioned numbers of sampling points were chosen because we found by trial and error that they could provide accurate results with the minimum computational cost. In addition, in all tests the shear correction factor employed was given as [Cowper (1966) as cited in Friedman and Kosmatka (1993); Kosmatka (1995)]:

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

13 M

L/4 L/4 L/4 L/4 (a)

1

Regular node distribution M 0.1L 0.1L 0.6L 0.2L (b) Irregular node distribution Fig. 4. Cantilever beam modeled with (a) regular and (b) irregular node distributions.

5.1. Static analysis 5.1.1. Pure bending test

54

$k \approx 10(1 \text{ ? ? }) 12 \text{ ? } 11? (33)$

A cantilever beam of rectangular cross-section b ??h

1

modeled with meshes of regular and irregular node distributions is shown in Fig. 4. The beam is in

pure bending state with constant bending moment, M , and zero shear force along the beam. This problem

1

is a simple test involving linear rotation and quadratic displacement fields. The beam was analyzed using the K-beam-DSG with different polynomial bases, numbers of layers, and correlation functions. Numerical values used in the analyses were

$L = 10$ m, $b = 2$ m, $E = 2000$ kN/m², $\nu = 0.3$, and $M = 1$ kN-m. Two different length-to-thickness ratios were considered.

1

One was moderately thick, that is, $L/h = 5$, and the other was extremely thin, that is, $L/h = 10000$. The resulting deflections and rotations at the free end, w_L and θ_L ,

were observed and then normalized to the corresponding exact solutions,

1

that is, $w_L^{\text{exact}} = M^2 E I^2 / M L$, $\theta_L^{\text{exact}} = E I$ (34a, b) The resulting

bending moments and shear forces at the clamped end,

2

calculated using Eqs. (13) and (14), were observed as well. The bending moments were then normalized to the exact bending moment, M . The shear forces, however, were not normalized because of the zero exact shear force. 14 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi The results showed that the K-beam-DSG produced values of the deflection, rotation, and bending moment with at least seven-digit accuracy (nearly exact values)

for both thick and thin beams with regular node distribution. The

1

maximum error for the shear force, however, was of the order of 10^{-5} (for the case of the thin beam analyzed using the P1-3-G option). Very accurate

results for the deflection, rotation, and bending moment of the thick and thin

10

beams were also

obtained for the case of irregular node distribution,

83

with an accuracy of at least five digits (for the thin beam analyzed using the P1-3-G option). Table 3

tabularizes the results for the thin beam modeled with an irregular node distribution (the most critical case). It was seen that in this case the accuracy of the shear force (the maximum error is of the order of 10^{-2}) was lower than that of the thin beam modeled with regular node distribution. It is worth mentioning here that the standard

finite element and Kriging- based Timoshenko beam elements with selective reduced integration

2

are unable to produce correct values for the shear force computed using Eq. (14) [Prathap (1993); Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)]. Table 3. Analysis results for the extremely thin cantilever beam modeled with an irregular node distribution using various K-beam-DSG options. K-beam-DSG options wL / wL exact P1-1-QS 1.0000000 P1-2-QS 0.9999997 P1-3-QS 1.0000000 $\theta L / \theta L$ exact 1.0000000 0.9999997 1.0000000 $M0 / M0$ exact 0.9999999 0.9999997 1.0000000 $V 0 -5.36E-09$ $4.93E-05 -2.02E-05$ P2-2-QS 1.0000000 1.0000001 1.0000000 $-9.21E-07$ P2-3-QS 0.9999999 0.9999999 0.9999998 $3.41E-06$ P3-3-QS 1.0000001 1.0000002 $-1.12E-07$ P1-1-G 1.0000000 1.0000000 0.9999999 $-8.61E-09$ P1-2-G 1.0000000 0.9999998 1.0000004 $2.64E-03$ P1-3-G 1.0000056 1.0000006 1.0000367 $-6.28E-02$ P2-2-G 0.9999999 0.9999999 0.9999999 $2.71E-03$ P2-3-G 0.9999991 0.9999994 0.9999990 $-9.55E-03$ P3-3-G 1.0000002 1.0000001 1.0000005 $9.21E-03$ Overall, the results indicate that the K-beam-DSG with different analysis options virtually reproduce the exact solutions. The K-beam-DSG elements with the Gaussian correlation function generally produce less accurate results than those with the QS. In this simple problem, there is no indication of shear locking.

To investigate the effectiveness of the DSG technique in eliminating shear locking,

1

we considered a clamped-clamped beam with the finite element model as shown in Fig. 5. The

height of the beam was varied from moderately thick, $L/h = 5$, to extremely thin, L/h

2

$= 104$. The geometrical and

material properties of the beam were the same as in the previous test (Section

45

5.1.1) with a

distributed load of $q = 1 \text{ kN/m}$. The beam was analyzed

2

15 Fig. 5. Clamped-clamped beam modeled with mesh of eight elements. using K-beam-DSG elements with different K-FEM options. The

resulting deflections of the beam mid-span were observed and normalized to the exact

1

solution, that is, $w_{exact} = \frac{38q_0LE^4I}{qL^2 8GA_s}$ (35) The results are presented in Table 4. Only the results obtained from the use of K-beam- DSG with the QS correlation function are reported here to save space. The corresponding results with the Gaussian correlation function have similar locking behavior. Table 4. Normalized deflections of the beam mid-span using various K-beam-DSG options. K-beam-DSG options

$L/h = 5$ $L/h = 10$ $L/h = 100$ $L/h = 1000$ $L/h = 10000$

1

P1-1-QS 0.958 0.944 0.938 0.938 0.938 P1-2-QS 0.999 1.000 0.959 0.206 0.003 P1-3-QS 0.997 0.996 0.983 0.517 0.012 P2-2-QS 1.001 1.001 0.993 0.540 0.011 P2-3-QS 1.003 1.003 0.994 0.505 0.010 P3-3-QS 1.001 1.001 1.001 1.001 1.001 It can be seen

from Table 4 that for moderately thick to thin beams (i.e.,

63

$L/h = 5$ to 100), all K-beam-DSG options could produce accurate results. However, when the beam becomes thinner, it is apparent that only the K-beam-DSG elements with P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS options show no locking. Further testing of the P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS options with length-to-thickness ratios L/h greater than 104 reveals that there is indeed no locking up to $L/h = 107$. For L/h over 107, the results become inaccurate because of the ill- conditioned stiffness matrix. It is worth noting that K-beam-DSG P1-1-QS is actually identical to the locking-free linear Timoshenko beam element with DSG presented in

[Bischoff et al. (2003); Bletzinger et al. (2000)].

4

To further investigate why the shear locking phenomenon remains in the K-beam-DSG elements with linear (except with one layer) and quadratic basis functions, we considered the shear forces calculated using the 'successful' options, namely P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS, and 'unsuccessful' options, namely P1-3-QS and P2-3-QS, for the extremely thin beam ($L/h = 104$). The shear force diagrams are plotted in Figs. 6a and 6b, respectively. Figure 6a shows 16 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi that the shear force distribution obtained from the K-beam-DSG element with P1-1-QS is, as expected, piecewise constant over each element. This indicates

that the element is able to give an optimal approximate solution in the

77

constant strain function space. The shear force distribution corresponding to the P3-3-QS option oscillates about the exact distribution. This oscillation, however, is very mild compared to the violent shear force oscillations obtained from the K-beam-DSG elements with P1-3-QS and P2-3-QS (Fig. 6b). The total area under the shear force curves of P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS seems to be

equal to the total area under the exact **curve.**

72

Furthermore, it was found that with P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS options, the shear force distributions for the beams of L/h greater than 104, up to $L/h = 107$, remain the same as those for the beam of $L/h = 104$. This is in contrast to the oscillation of shear force with the other K-beam element options, which are much heavier as the beams become thinner. This is the reason why the K-beam-DSG elements with P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS options are free of locking while they suffer from shear locking with the other options.

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG **Technique**

4

17 (a) (b) Fig. 6. Shear force diagram for the clamped-clamped beam with $L/h = 104$ obtained using K-beam-DSG elements with options: (a) P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS; (b) P1-3-QS and P2-3-QS. 18 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi To assess the locking behavior and accuracy of the K-beam-DSG elements in comparison to the original K-beam elements (without any locking treatment) and to the K-beam elements with the selective reduced integration (SRI) technique [Wong and Syamsoeyadi (2011)], the results obtained from a series of analyses using these K-beam elements for the beams with $L/h = 5$ and $L/h = 10000$

are listed in Table 5. It can be seen from Table 5 **that**

12

without any locking treatment, the K-beam elements suffer from shear locking even for the element with a cubic polynomial basis. The

SRI technique is effective at eliminating **the locking**

6

for all K-beam element options. However, this technique produces less accurate results

for the thick beam ($L/h \geq 5$). The DSG technique produces **the**

2

most accurate results for the thick beam, but it is only effective at eliminating the shear locking for the K-beam elements with the P1-1-QS and P3-3-QS options. Table 5. Normalized deflections

obtained using the original **and** SRI K **-beam elements** **and the** K **-beam-**
DSG **elements.**

1

K-beam $L/h = 5$ options Original SRI DSG P1-1-QS 0.887 0.958 0.958 1.96E-06 0.938 0.938 P1-2-QS 0.979 1.018 0.999 5.34E-05 1.031 0.003 P1-3-QS 0.983 1.031 0.997 4.33E-04 1.049 0.012 P2-2-QS 0.994 1.001 1.001 P2-3-QS 0.991 1.036 1.003 P3-3-QS 0.999 1.011 1.001 $L/h = 10000$ Original SRI DSG 5.28E-05 0.999 0.011 0.001 1.049 0.010 0.002 1.006 1.001 In the subsequent tests, we only considered the K-beam-DSG element with the P3-3-QS option since this element is free from shear locking and truly Kriging-based finite elements (the element with the P1-1-QS option is essentially identical to the conventional DSG linear beam element [Bletzinger et al. (2000)]).

To assess the accuracy and convergence characteristics of the K -beam element with the

1

P3-3-QS option, we considered

a cantilever beam subjected to a linearly varying distributed load, as illustrated in

1

Fig. 7, under different beam thickness conditions, that is, normal ($L/h = 8$), extremely thick ($L/h = 1$), and extremely thin ($L/h = 10000$). The problem

parameters were taken as $L = 4$ m, $b = 2$ m, $E = 1000$ kN/m², $\nu = 0.3$, and $q_0 = 1$ kN/m. The beam was

1

analyzed using different degrees of mesh refinement, that is, 4, 8, 16, and 32 elements. The deflection

at the free end, the bending moment, and the shear force at the clamped end were observed and normalized to the corresponding exact solutions,

1

viz. [Friedman and Kosmatka (1993)]: $w_L = 3q_0 L^4 / (4EI)$ (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (36a) (36b) (36c) (36d) (36e) (36f) (36g) (36h) (36i) (36j) (36k) (36l) (36m) (36n) (36o) (36p) (36q) (36r) (36s) (36t) (36u) (36v) (36w) (36x) (36y) (36z)

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

19 Fig. 7. Cantilever beam subjected to linearly varying load. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z) (aa) (ab) (ac) (ad) (ae) (af) (ag) (ah) (ai) (aj) (ak) (al) (am) (an) (ao) (ap) (aq) (ar) (as) (at) (au) (av) (aw) (ax) (ay) (az) (ba) (bb) (bc) (bd) (be) (bf) (bg) (bh) (bi) (bj) (bk) (bl) (bm) (bn) (bo) (bp) (bq) (br) (bs) (bt) (bu) (bv) (bw) (bx) (by) (bz) (ca) (cb) (cc) (cd) (ce) (cf) (cg) (ch) (ci) (cj) (ck) (cl) (cm) (cn) (co) (cp) (cq) (cr) (cs) (ct) (cu) (cv) (cw) (cx) (cy) (cz) (da) (db) (dc) (dd) (de) (df) (dg) (dh) (di) (dj) (dk) (dl) (dm) (dn) (do) (dp) (dq) (dr) (ds) (dt) (du) (dv) (dw) (dx) (dy) (dz) (ea) (eb) (ec) (ed) (ee) (ef) (eg) (eh) (ei) (ej) (ek) (el) (em) (en) (eo) (ep) (eq) (er) (es) (et) (eu) (ev) (ew) (ex) (ey) (ez) (fa) (fb) (fc) (fd) (fe) (ff) (fg) (fh) (fi) (fj) (fk) (fl) (fm) (fn) (fo) (fp) (fq) (fr) (fs) (ft) (fu) (fv) (fw) (fx) (fy) (fz) (ga) (gb) (gc) (gd) (ge) (gf) (gg) (gh) (gi) (gj) (gk) (gl) (gm) (gn) (go) (gp) (gq) (gr) (gs) (gt) (gu) (gv) (gw) (gx) (gy) (gz) (ha) (hb) (hc) (hd) (he) (hf) (hg) (hh) (hi) (hj) (hk) (hl) (hm) (hn) (ho) (hp) (hq) (hr) (hs) (ht) (hu) (hv) (hw) (hx) (hy) (hz) (ia) (ib) (ic) (id) (ie) (if) (ig) (ih) (ii) (ij) (ik) (il) (im) (in) (io) (ip) (iq) (ir) (is) (it) (iu) (iv) (iw) (ix) (iy) (iz) (ja) (jb) (jc) (jd) (je) (jf) (jg) (jh) (ji) (jj) (jk) (jl) (jm) (jn) (jo) (jp) (jq) (jr) (js) (jt) (ju) (jv) (jw) (jx) (jy) (jz) (ka) (kb) (kc) (kd) (ke) (kf) (kg) (kh) (ki) (kj) (kk) (kl) (km) (kn) (ko) (kp) (kq) (kr) (ks) (kt) (ku) (kv) (kw) (kx) (ky) (kz) (la) (lb) (lc) (ld) (le) (lf) (lg) (lh) (li) (lj) (lk) (ll) (lm) (ln) (lo) (lp) (lq) (lr) (ls) (lt) (lu) (lv) (lw) (lx) (ly) (lz) (ma) (mb) (mc) (md) (me) (mf) (mg) (mh) (mi) (mj) (mk) (ml) (mm) (mn) (mo) (mp) (mq) (mr) (ms) (mt) (mu) (mv) (mw) (mx) (my) (mz) (na) (nb) (nc) (nd) (ne) (nf) (ng) (nh) (ni) (nj) (nk) (nl) (nm) (nn) (no) (np) (nq) (nr) (ns) (nt) (nu) (nv) (nw) (nx) (ny) (nz) (oa) (ob) (oc) (od) (oe) (of) (og) (oh) (oi) (oj) (ok) (ol) (om) (on) (oo) (op) (oq) (or) (os) (ot) (ou) (ov) (ow) (ox) (oy) (oz) (pa) (pb) (pc) (pd) (pe) (pf) (pg) (ph) (pi) (pj) (pk) (pl) (pm) (pn) (po) (pp) (pq) (pr) (ps) (pt) (pu) (pv) (pw) (px) (py) (pz) (qa) (qb) (qc) (qd) (qe) (qf) (qg) (qh) (qi) (qj) (qk) (ql) (qm) (qn) (qo) (qp) (qq) (qr) (qs) (qt) (qu) (qv) (qw) (qx) (qy) (qz) (ra) (rb) (rc) (rd) (re) (rf) (rg) (rh) (ri) (rj) (rk) (rl) (rm) (rn) (ro) (rp) (rq) (rr) (rs) (rt) (ru) (rv) (rw) (rx) (ry) (rz) (sa) (sb) (sc) (sd) (se) (sf) (sg) (sh) (si) (sj) (sk) (sl) (sm) (sn) (so) (sp) (sq) (sr) (ss) (st) (su) (sv) (sw) (sx) (sy) (sz) (ta) (tb) (tc) (td) (te) (tf) (tg) (th) (ti) (tj) (tk) (tl) (tm) (tn) (to) (tp) (tq) (tr) (ts) (tt) (tu) (tv) (tw) (tx) (ty) (tz) (ua) (ub) (uc) (ud) (ue) (uf) (ug) (uh) (ui) (uj) (uk) (ul) (um) (un) (uo) (up) (uq) (ur) (us) (ut) (uu) (uv) (uw) (ux) (uy) (uz) (va) (vb) (vc) (vd) (ve) (vf) (vg) (vh) (vi) (vj) (vk) (vl) (vm) (vn) (vo) (vp) (vq) (vr) (vs) (vt) (vu) (vv) (vw) (vx) (vy) (vz) (wa) (wb) (wc) (wd) (we) (wf) (wg) (wh) (wi) (wj) (wk) (wl) (wm) (wn) (wo) (wp) (wq) (wr) (ws) (wt) (wu) (wv) (ww) (wx) (wy) (wz) (xa) (xb) (xc) (xd) (xe) (xf) (xg) (xh) (xi) (xj) (xk) (xl) (xm) (xn) (xo) (xp) (xq) (xr) (xs) (xt) (xu) (xv) (xw) (xx) (xy) (xz) (ya) (yb) (yc) (yd) (ye) (yf) (yg) (yh) (yi) (yj) (yk) (yl) (ym) (yn) (yo) (yp) (yq) (yr) (ys) (yt) (yu) (yv) (yw) (yx) (yy) (yz) (za) (zb) (zc) (zd) (ze) (zf) (zg) (zh) (zi) (zj) (zk) (zl) (zm) (zn) (zo) (zp) (zq) (zr) (zs) (zt) (zu) (zv) (zw) (zx) (zy) (zz)

the deflection remains very accurate, the accuracy of the bending moment drops a little. The resulting shear stresses, however, are not very accurate for the extremely thin beam. Tables 6–8 show that all the results converge very well to the corresponding exact solutions.

While the SRI technique can eliminate the shear locking,

1

it deteriorates the accuracy in the cases of thick beams (compared to the original K-beam element solutions). Overall,

the results of the K -beam- DSG element

6

are better in comparison to those obtained from the original and SRI K-beam elements. Thus,

application of the DSG technique to the K -beam elements has improved
the

1

elements' performance. Table 6. Results obtained for the normal-thickness beam ($L/h = 8$) using the K-beam P3-3-QS elements with the DSG technique, the SRI technique, and the original element. (a) Normalized free-end deflection Number of K-beam P3-3-QS elements DSG SRI Original 4 0.99989 1.00534 0.99989 8 0.99999 1.00069 0.99999 16 1.00000 1.00017 1.00000 32 1.00000 1.00006 1.00000 20 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi Table 6. Results obtained for the normal-thickness beam ($L/h = 8$)... (continued) (b) Normalized clamped-end bending moment Number of K-beam P3-3-QS elements DSG SRI Original 4 0.99972 1.07761 0.92760 8 1.00190 1.04268 0.99075 16 1.00074 1.02153 0.99917 32 1.00022 1.01071 0.99993 (c) Normalized clamped-end shear force Number of K-beam P3-3-QS elements DSG SRI Original 4 1.03397 4.25756 1.62985 8 1.00210 1.90156 1.11862 16 1.00022 1.44091 1.01848 32 1.00003 1.34918 1.00251 Table 7. Results obtained

for the extremely thick beam ($L/h = 1$) using the K -beam

2

P3-3-QS elements with the DSG technique, the SRI technique, and the original element. (a) Normalized free-end deflection Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 0.99995 1.01852 0.99995 8 1.00000 1.00864 1.00000 16 1.00000 1.00359 1.00000 32 1.00000 1.00162 1.00000 (b) Normalized clamped-end bending moment Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 1.00055 1.07761 0.98400 8 1.00192 1.04268 0.99783 16 1.00074 1.02153 0.99974 32 1.00022 1.01071 0.99997 (c) Normalized clamped-end shear force Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 1.00097 1.43572 1.01403 8 1.00019 1.36542 1.00234 16 1.00004 1.33960 1.00034 32 1.00001 1.32864 1.00005

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

21 Table 8. Results obtained for the extremely thin beam ($L/h = 10000$) using the K-beam P3-3-QS

elements with the DSG technique, the SRI technique, and the original element. (a) Normalized free-end deflection Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 0.99989 1.00486 0.99989 8 0.99999 1.00041 0.99999 16 1.00000 1.00004 1.00000 32 1.00000 1.00001 1.00000 (b) Normalized clamped-end bending moment Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 0.95561 1.07761 0.69987 8 0.95087 1.04268 0.70047 16 0.98499 1.02153 0.72160 32 0.99917 1.01072 0.91343 (c) Normalized clamped-end shear force Number of elements DSG SRI Original 4 2.79 4.5E+06 4.7 8 2.59 8.5E+05 16.5 16 0.61 1.6E+05 28.6 32 0.98 3.3E+04 17.6 To further demonstrate the accuracy of the K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS element in comparison to the original K-beam P3-3-QS element, the profiles of deflection, rotation, and bending moment for the extremely thin beam case, obtained from the analyses using eight elements, are plotted in Figs. 8a to 8c. The shear force profiles obtained from the K-beam- DSG and K-beam elements have not been plotted because they oscillated heavily about the exact shear force. The figures confirm that the K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS element is able to produce accurate

results for the deflection, rotation, and bending moment

10

even

in the case of the extremely thin beam. The shear force, however, is

19

not accurate. The

performance of the element is superior compared to the

10

original K-beam element. 22 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi

(a) Deflection profile (b) Rotation profile (c) Bending moment profile Fig.

15

8. Profiles of

(a) deflection, (b) rotation, and (c) bending moment along the

15

extremely thin beam ($L/h = 10000$), obtained using the K-beam P3-3-QS element with the DSG technique in comparison to the exact profile and that obtained using the original K-beam P3-3-QS.

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

23

5.2. Free vibration analysis 5.2.1.

73

Thick and thin clamped-clamped beams

To assess the performance of the K-beam-DSG element with the

19

P3-3-QS in free vibration analyses, we first considered

free vibration analysis of a clamped-clamped beam with two different

78

thickness conditions, that is, moderately

thick ($L/h = 5$) and very thin (L/h

6

$= 1000$). The parameters of the beam were

$L = 10 \text{ m}$, $b = 1 \text{ m}$, E

6

$= 2 \times 10^9 \text{ N/m}^2$,

$\nu = 0.3$, and $\rho = 10 \text{ kg/m}^3$. The beam was

52

modeled using meshes of

4, 8, 16, and 32 elements. The resulting natural frequencies were expressed in the form of dimensionless frequency

2

parameters [Lee and Schultz (2004)], given as $\omega_i^2 = \lambda_i^2 E I / L^2$ (38) where ω_i

is the natural frequency of the i -th vibration mode. The natural frequencies obtained from

17

the

pseudo-spectral method [Lee and Schultz (2004)] were taken as the

80

reference solution for the moderately thick beam, while those obtained from the

[Lee and Schultz (2004)] were taken as the reference solution for the very thin beam. The resulting frequency parameters for the first 15 vibration modes obtained using the DSG and original K-beam elements,

normalized with respect to the corresponding reference solutions, are presented in Tables 9 and

8

10 for the moderately thick and very thin beams, respectively. It is seen from Table 9 that for the moderately thick beam, the present K-beam element can give very accurate natural frequencies. Furthermore, the results obtained using the K-beam-DSG element are consistently more accurate than those obtained using the original K-beam. Using only four elements, the DSG K-beam element

can predict the first two natural frequencies within 5% accuracy while the original K-beam element can only predict one natural frequency.

7

Using 32 elements, the present

element can predict the first 15 natural frequencies

7

with an error of less than 0.5%. Table 10, however, indicates that the accuracy deteriorates considerably when the beam becomes very thin. Using a mesh of four elements, only the first natural frequency is predicted accurately. Using the same mesh, the original K-beam element gives erroneous results due to shear locking. For this very thin beam, the K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS element needs a mesh of 32 elements to obtain reasonable results for high natural frequencies. As in the case of the thick beam, in this case the performance of the DSG element is also better than that of the original K-beam element. 24 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsioyadi Table 9.

Normalized frequency parameters for the moderately thick beam ($L/h = 5$).

2

Mode λ_i/λ_i^* Shape λ_i^* 4 elements 8 elements 16 elements 32

elements	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	DSG	Original
1	4.2420	1.0039	1.0370	1.0014	1.0180	1.0016	1.0180	1.0016	1.0180	2
2	6.4188	1.0144	1.1510	1.0021	1.0290	1.0022	1.0280	1.0023	1.0280	3
3	8.2853	1.1519	1.1940	1.0046	1.0400	1.0027	1.0340	1.0028	1.0340	4
4	9.9037	1.3579	1.4320	1.0104	1.0550	1.0029	1.0370	1.0031	1.0370	5
5	11.3847	1.2451	1.3240	1.0223	1.0690	1.0002	1.0370	1.0001	1.0360	6
6	12.6402	1.2002	1.2650	1.0475	1.0890	1.0042	1.0430	1.0034	1.0420	7
7	13.4567	—	—	1.0104	1.0610	1.0045	1.0560	1.0044	1.0560	8
8	13.8101	—	—	1.0461	1.0910	1.0054	1.0460	1.0035	1.0430	9
9	14.4806	—	—	1.0385	1.0830	1.0041	1.0470	1.0037	1.0470	10
10	14.9383	—	—	1.0512	1.0900	1.0074	1.0480	1.0036	1.0440	11

15.6996 -- 1.0753 1.1150 1.0041 1.0410 1.0031 1.0400 12 16.0040 -- 1.1284 1.1690 1.0107 1.0520
 1.0038 1.0460 13 16.9621 -- 1.1340 1.1710 1.0042 1.0350 1.0025 1.0330 14 16.9999 -- 1.1963 1.2390
 1.0152 1.0570 1.0041 1.0480 15 17.9357 -- -- 1.0203 1.0470 1.0044 1.0440

Note: * Convergent solution from the pseudo-spectral method

6

[Lee and Schultz (2004)] Table 10.

Normalized frequency parameters for the very thin beam ($L/h = 1000$).

2

Shape λ_i^*

4 elements 8 elements 16 elements 32 elements

65

Mode λ_i / λ_i^* DSG Original DSG Original DSG Original DSG Original 1 4.7300 1.0044 7.5960 1.0012 1.6020
 1.0000 1.0280 2 7.8532 4.2232 12.3080 1.1775 2.1730 1.0017 1.1480 3 10.9956 12.2361 12.6810 1.7695
 4.1300 1.0133 1.3170 4 14.1372 173.2836 195.6570 2.2220 6.4780 1.0589 1.4490 5 17.2788 147.5479
 160.0830 2.7744 7.9750 1.1689 1.5410 6 20.4204 129.1803 135.4550 4.0242 8.7380 1.3321 1.8260 7
 23.5619 -- 8.9171 9.2180 1.4923 2.4440 8 26.7035 -- 76.5291 103.5830 1.6049 3.2090 9 29.8451 --
 80.4533 92.6800 1.6013 3.9900 10 32.9867 -- 75.6397 83.8530 1.5731 4.7140 11 36.1283 -- 71.2762
 76.5620 1.7623 5.3280 12 39.2699 -- 66.7072 70.4380 2.2091 5.8200 13 42.4115 -- 61.9115 65.2200
 2.9443 6.2270 14 45.5531 -- 57.6457 60.7230 4.2292 6.5440 15 48.6947 -- -- 6.3361 6.6620 1.0000
 1.0000 1.0000 1.0040 1.0000 1.0120 1.0002 1.0300 1.0010 1.0560 1.0030 1.0890 1.0074 1.1220 1.0158
 1.1520 1.0298 1.1750 1.0508 1.1910 1.0791 1.2050 1.1138 1.2340 1.1521 1.3040 1.1905 1.4360 1.2253
 1.6250

Note: * Exact solution of Euler-Bernoulli beam theory

2

[Lee and Schultz (2004)]

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

25 5.2.2. Thick simply supported beam To assess the performance of the K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS element in comparison to the exact and consistent

Timoshenko beam element developed by Friedman and Kosmatka

2

[1993] ('exact' within static analysis), we adopted the beam problem as described in the reference. The problem is a simply supported beam with the parameters $b = 0.2$, $h = 0.2$, $E = 1$, $\nu = 0.3$, and $L/h = 5$. The first six natural frequencies were calculated using three different meshes, that is, 4, 8, and 20 elements, and

then

normalized to the first natural frequency based upon the Euler -Bernoulli beam theory, 7

that is, $\omega_1 \approx \omega_{1E} \approx \omega_{1F} \approx \omega_{1K} \approx \omega_{1T}$ (39) The

results are presented in Table 11. It can be seen from the table that 13

when only four elements are used, the current element can predict the first two natural frequencies 7

more accurately than the Friedman and Kosmatka element [Friedman and Kosmatka (1993)] . When more

elements are used, the current element can predict the first six natural frequencies 7

consistently more accurately than the Friedman and Kosmatka element. Table 11. First six

normalized natural frequencies of a thick simply supported beam (L/h 18

= 5) obtained using the current K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS element and Friedman and Kosmatka element (F&K) [Friedman and Kosmatka (1993)]. Mode ω/ω_T Shape Exact*

4 elements 8 elements 20 elements Current F&K Current F&K Current 7

F&K 1 0.9404 1.0003 1.0024 0.9999 1.0006 2 3.2672 1.0090 1.0281 0.9996 1.0067 3 6.2514 1.3180 1.0952 1.0019 1.0241 4 9.4970 1.8297 1.5101 1.0125 1.0550 5 12.8357 1.4408 1.4682 1.0446 1.0985 6 16.1981 1.3535 1.2714 1.0727 1.1371 1.0001 1.0001 0.9999 1.0010 0.9998 1.0038 0.9997 1.0088 0.9997 1.0161 1.0000 1.0258 * Timoshenko [1922] as cited in Friedman and Kosmatka [1993] 5.3. Buckling analysis

To study the accuracy and convergence of the K- 3

beam-DSG P3-3-QS element

60

beams, we performed analyses of simply supported and clamped-clamped beams for a variety of beam

76

100, and 1000, using different meshes of 4, 8, 16, and 32 elements. A comparison is made with the original K-beam P3-3-QS element. The resulting critical loads (Tables 12 and 13) were normalized to the exact solution [Bažant and Cedolin (1991) as cited in K o s m a t k a (1 9 9 5)], which

10

26 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsuoyadi Pcr ? L22eEffl ??? 1 ? ? ? ? ? 1 ? ? 2 El ? ? (40) ? L2eff GAs ?
? where Leff is the effective length of the beam (L

15

It is observed from Tables 12 and 13 that all results converged very well to the corresponding exact solutions. While for the thick beam conditions

75

DSG and original elements are comparable, for the thin beams the performance of the DSG is superior to that obtained using the original element. For the clamped-clamped beam with

18

the results obtained from the original K-beam element present large errors because of the shear locking.

53

beam obtained using the K-beam element with the DSG technique and the original K-beam element.

Number of

1

elements	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	DSG	Original	4	0.9986	1.0125	0.9985	1.0417	0.9985
1.2072	0.9985	1.2158	8	0.9997	1.0001	0.9997	1.0002	0.9998	1.0094	1.0002	1.1731	16	1.0000	1.0000

1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0002 1.0000 1.0169 32 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
1.0003 Table 13. Normalized critical buckling loads of a clamped-clamped beam obtained using the K-beam
element with the DSG technique and the original K-beam element. Number of

L/h = 5 L/h = 10 L/h = 100 L/h = 1000

1

elements DSG Original DSG Original DSG Original DSG Original 4 1.1254 1.1157 1.1654 1.3451 1.1850
29.9669 1.1852 2891.6 8 0.9972 1.0012 0.9965 1.0029 1.0043 1.0568 1.0612 5.5373 16 0.9997 1.0000
0.9997 1.0001 0.9997 1.0024 1.0028 1.1160 32 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0001 1.0000
1.0034 6.

Conclusions The DSG technique has been applied in the

1

framework of Kriging-based Timoshenko beam

elements in an attempt to eliminate shear locking. The

2

essence of the technique is to replace the original kinematic shear strain
with a substitute shear strain derived from the interpolation of discrete
shear gaps at the

1

nodes in the DOI. The developed elements, referred to as K-beam-DSG elements, were then tested in
static, free vibration, and bucking analyses. The results showed that the DSG technique was effective at
eliminating the shear

Kriging-based Timoshenko Beam Elements with the DSG Technique

4

27 locking for the element with a cubic basis function and three element-layer DOI (P3-3- QS) but was not
very effective for the other K-FEM options. In all cases, the performance of the K-beam elements was
improved by the DSG technique. For a practical range of beam thicknesses, the K-beam-DSG P3-3-QS
element can achieve exceptionally accurate deflections, rotations, bending moments, natural frequencies,
and critical buckling loads and reasonably accurate shear forces with a relatively course mesh. For
extremely thin beams, however, the accuracy may deteriorate and the resulting shear force is not accurate

with a small number of elements. The application of the

59

DSG technique to the Timoshenko beam model provides understanding and insight regarding its
effectiveness at eliminating shear locking.

Kriging-based shear deformable plate and shell models. Acknowledgments We gratefully acknowledge that

funding of Petra Christian University, Indonesia. References Bathe, K. J. (1996). Finite Element Procedures. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey. Bažant, Z. P. and Cedolin, L. (1991). Stability of Structures: Elastic, Inelastic, Fracture, and Damage Theories. Dover Publications, New York. Belytschko, T., Lu, Y. Y. and Gu, L. (1994). Element-free Galerkin methods. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.*, 37: 229–256. Bischoff, M., Koschnick, F. and Bletzinger, K. (2003). Stabilized DSG elements – A new paradigm in finite element technology. *Proc. 4th European LS-DYNA Users Conf.* Bletzinger, K.-U., Bischoff, M. and Ramm, E. (2000). A unified approach for shear-locking-free triangular and rectangular shell finite elements. *Comput. Struct.*, 75: 321–334. Cook, R. D., Malkus, D. S., Plesha, M. E. and Witt, R. J. (2002). Concepts and Applications of Finite Element Analysis, 4th edn. John Wiley and Sons, Madison. Cowper, G. R. (1966). The shear coefficient in Timoshenko's beam theory. *J. Appl. Mech.*, 33: 335–340. Friedman, Z. and Kosmatka, J. B. (1993). An improved two-node Timoshenko beam finite element. *Comput. Struct.*, 47: 473–481. Hughes, T. J. R. (1987). The Finite Element Method: Linear Static and Dynamic Finite Element Analysis. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey. Kanok-Nukulchai, W., Barry, W., Saran-Yasoontorn, K. and Bouillard, P. H. (2001). On elimination of shear locking in the element-free Galerkin method. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.*, 52: 705–725. Koschnick, F., Bischoff, M., Camprubí, N. and Bletzinger, K. U. (2005). The discrete strain gap method and membrane locking. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, 194: 2444–2463. Kosmatka, J. B. (1995). An improved two-node finite element for stability and natural frequencies of axial-loaded Timoshenko beams. *Comput. Struct.*, 57: 141–149. Lee, J. and Schultz, W. W. (2004). Eigenvalue analysis of Timoshenko beams and axisymmetric Mindlin plates by the pseudospectral method. *J. Sound Vib.*, 269: 609–621. Liu, G. R. and Quek, S. S. (2003). The Finite Element Method- A practical course. Butterworth- Heinemann, Oxford. Nguyen-Thoi, T., Nguyen-Thoi, M. H., Vo-Duy, T. and Nguyen-Minh, N. (2015). Development of the cell-based smoothed discrete shear gap plate element (CS-FEM-DSG3) using three-node triangles. *Int. J. Comput. Methods*, 12: 1540015. 28 F.T. Wong, A. Sulistio, H. Syamsoeyadi Nguyen-Thoi, T., Phung-Van, P., Nguyen-Xuan, H. and Thai-Hoang, C. (2012). A cell-based smoothed discrete shear gap method using triangular elements for static and free vibration analyses of Reissner-Mindlin plates. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.*, 91: 705–741. Nguyen-Xuan, H., Liu, G. R., Thai-Hoang, C. and Nguyen-Thoi, T. (2010a). An edge-based smoothed finite element method (ES-FEM) with stabilized discrete shear gap technique for analysis of Reissner–Mindlin plates. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, 199: 471–489. Nguyen-Xuan, H., Rabczuk, T., Nguyen-Thanh, N., Nguyen-Thoi, T. and Bordas, S. (2010b). A node-based smoothed finite element method with stabilized discrete shear gap technique for analysis of Reissner–Mindlin plates. *Comput. Mech.*, 46: 679–701. Olea, R. A. (1999). Geostatistics for Engineers and Earth Scientists. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston. Plengkhom, K. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2005). An enhancement of finite element method with moving Kriging shape functions. *Int. J. Comput. Methods*, 2: 451–475. Prathap, G. (1993). The Finite Element Method in Structural Mechanics. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. Prathap, G. (2001). Finite Element Analysis as Computation. Retrieved February 5, 2016, from http://www.cmmacs.ernet.in/cmmacs/online_contents.html Reddy, J. N. (2006). An Introduction to the Finite Element Method, 3rd edn. McGraw-Hill, Singapore. Timoshenko, S. P. (1922). On the transverse vibrations of bars of uniform cross-section. *Philos. Mag. Ser. 6*, 43: 125–131. Wackernagel, H. (2003). Multivariate

Geostatistics, 3rd edn. Springer, Berlin. Wong, F. T. (2009). Kriging-based Finite Element Method for Analyses of Plates and Shells. Doctoral dissertation, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathumthani. Wong, F. T. (2013). Kriging-based finite element methods for analyses of shear deformable beams and plates. In Proc. 6th Civ. Eng. Conf. in Asian Region and Annual HAKI Conference, paper ID#63. Indonesian Society of Civil and Structural Engineers, Jakarta. Wong, F. T., Christabel, Y., Pudjisuryadi, P. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2015). Testing of the Kriging-based finite element to shell structures with varying thickness. *Procedia Engineering*, 125: 843–849. Wong, F. T. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2006a). Kriging-based finite element method for analyses of Reissner-Mindlin plates. In *Emerging Trends: Keynote Lectures and Symposia—Proc. 10th East-Asia Pacific Conf. Struct. Eng. Const.*, 509–514. Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok. Wong, F. T. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2006b). On alleviation of shear locking in the Kriging-based finite element method. In Proc. Int. Civ. Eng. Conf. “Towards Sustainable Engineering Practice”, 39–47. Petra Christian University, Surabaya. Wong, F. T. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2008). A Kriging-based finite element method for analyses of shell structures. In Proc. 8th World Congr. Comput. Mech. and 5th European Congr. Comput. Methods Appl. Sci. Eng., paper a1247. IACM and ECCOMAS, Venice. Wong, F. T. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2009a). Kriging-based finite element method : Element- by-element Kriging interpolation. *Civ. Eng. Dimens.*, 11: 15–22. Wong, F. T. and Kanok-Nukulchai, W. (2009b). On the convergence of the Kriging-based finite element method. *Int. J. Comput. Methods*, 6: 93–118. Wong, F. T. and Syamsoeyadi, H. (2011). Kriging-based timoshenko beam element for static and free vibration analyses. *Civ. Eng. Dimens.*, 13: 42–49.