



IEEM2010

The Venetian® Macao-Resort-Hotel

7 to 10 December 2010

www.IEEM.org

The IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management



Organised by:

IEEE Technology Management Council
Singapore Chapter

IEEE Singapore Section

University of Macau

Table of Contents

Decision Analysis & Methods (1)

Pricing Insurance Contracts and Determining Optimal Capital of Insurers <i>Hong MAO, Krzysztof OSTASZEWSKI</i>	1
Two-Decision-Maker Cooperative Games with Fuzzy Preferences <i>Mubarak ALMUTAIRI</i>	6
An Integrated Fuzzy Multi-criteria Decision Making Approach for Realizing the Practice of Quality Function Deployment <i>Chih-Hsuan WANG</i>	13
An Empirical Analysis of Elicited Weights in AHP <i>Céline VERLY, Karim LIDOUH, Yves DE SMET</i>	18
Overall Weighting Equipment Effectiveness <i>Ratapol WUDHIKARN</i>	23
Diversity of Feature Selection Approaches Combined with Distinct Classifiers <i>Feng-Chia LI, Peng-Kai WANG, Cho Hua YEH</i>	28

Decision Analysis & Methods (2)

A Dynamic Differential Evolution Algorithm for Mixed Logit Discrete Choice Model Estimation <i>Songlin CHEN, Youbang ZHANG, Xiaojin ZHANG, Jianxin JIAO</i>	33
A Decision Making Method for Selection of Finish Process for a Cylindrical Surface <i>Varinder SINGH, Vishnu Prakash AGARWAL, Prasanta DEB</i>	38
A Decision Analysis on the Optimal Timing Under the Uncertain Cost of Urban Logistics <i>Tyrone T. LIN, Chun-Chen SHIH</i>	43
The Decision Analysis of Market Entry with Game Options Concept <i>Tyrone T. LIN, Chia-Fang WU</i>	47
Using Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis for Supporting Decisions of Business Process Management <i>Ana Carolina CAMPOS, Adiel ALMEIDA</i>	52
Spatial Detection of Manufacturing Shift in Mean <i>Chen-ju LIN, Chen-yu LIN, Yen-ting CHEN</i>	57

Decision Analysis & Methods (3)

What Affects the Decision-making Consumers Consumption of Luxury Goods: An Evidence Case from China <i>Yong ZHANG, Jikun FANG, Hao ZHANG, Zheng CUI, Xiaojuan FAN</i>	61
Analysis of Competitive Advantages - Approach of Transnational Interregional I-O Table <i>Mei-Chen LO, Toshimasa OSAKI, Martin DROZDA, Helena SZCZEBICKA, Gwo-Hsiung TZENG</i>	65
Advanced Sales and Operations Planning Based on Integration of Physical and Financial Flows <i>Jun-Zhong WANG, Ping-Yu HSU</i>	70

A General Framework to Make A Sequential Preventive Maintenances Decision Using Proportional Hazards Model (PHM) 2220
Ping JIANG, Ming ZUO, Jae-Hak LIM, Bo GUO

Reliability & Maintenance Engineering (3)

Reliability Model of Multiplatform Phased Mission System Based on CPN 2224
Chun-Hui YANG, Jian-Jun YANG, Peng DONG, Ming LI

Machine Condition Monitoring and Fault Diagnosis based on Support Vector Machine 2228
Jianhua ZHONG, Zhixin YANG, Seng Fat WONG

Improving Healthcare Reliability by Integrating Six-sigma in a Business Process Modeling and Analysis Strategy 2234
Zied BEN ATALLAH, Amar RAMUDHIN

Optimal Maintenance Policy for Three-States POMDP with Quality Measurement Errors 2239
Mohammad ALDURGAM, Salih O. DUFFUAA

Application of Accelerated Failure Model for Oil and Gas Industry in Arctic Region 2244
Abbas BARABADI, Javad BARABADY, Tore MARKESET

Assurance Technologies for Electric Point Machine Controlled by Optical LAN 2249
Yoshiyuki HIRANO, Gen KOGURE, Shinichi RYOKI, Tadao MIURA, Takashi KUNIFUJI, Yuichiro DEN

Global Manufacturing & Management (1)

Implementation Framework for Collaboration in a Non-Hierarchical Business Network 2254
Ahm SHAMSUZZOHA, Timo KANKAANPÄÄ, Luis CARNEIRO, Petri HELO

The Investigation on Research Opportunities for the Applications of the Internet of Things in Semiconductor Wafer Fabrication 2259
Yong-Zai LU

Engineering Portal with Coordination Mechanism for Collaborative Product Development 2263
Zhixin YANG, Jie ZHOU

Analysis of Green Product Knowledge, Green Behavior and Green Consumers of Indonesian Students (Case Study for Universities in Surabaya) 2268
Togar PANJAITAN, I Nyoman SUTAPA

Job Characteristics, Work Commitments, and Job Satisfaction of the Thai Workers in Taiwan 2273
PeiWen LIAO, FurHsing WEN, Chin-Cheh YU

Flexible Management of Resource Service Composition in Cloud Manufacturing 2278
Lin ZHANG, Hua GUO, Fei TAO, Yongliang LUO, Nan SI

Global Manufacturing & Management (2)

A Measure to Estimate the Novelty of Component Combinations in Technologies 2283
Toru TAKAHASHI, Tomoko SAIKI

Green Manufacturing Using Integrated Decision Tools 2287
Clifford CHAN, K.M. YU, K.L. YUNG



All



[ADVANCED SEARCH](#)

Conferences > 2010 IEEE International Confe... [?](#)

Analysis of green product knowledge, green behavior and green consumers of Indonesian students (case study for universities in Surabaya)

Publisher: IEEE

[Cite This](#)

PDF

Togas W. S. Panjaitan ; I Nyoman Sutapa [All Authors](#) ...



5
Cites in
Papers

392
Full
Text Views

Alerts

[Manage Content Alerts](#)
[Add to Citation Alerts](#)

Abstract

Document Sections

- I. Introduction
- II. Green Products, Green Behaviour, and Green Consumers
- III. Data Analysis
- IV. Conclusion

[Authors](#)

[Figures](#)

[References](#)

[Citations](#)

[Keywords](#)

[Metrics](#)

[More Like This](#)



Downl
PDF

Abstract:

Nowadays, environmental damages become hardly to be solved. It happened by many factors like lack of information about green products, human lifestyle that unaware or eve... [View more](#)

Metadata

Abstract:

Nowadays, environmental damages become hardly to be solved. It happened by many factors like lack of information about green products, human lifestyle that unaware or even don't care about the environment, and there' still paradigms that green product or green consumerism aligned with high cost. This study shows that students have sufficient knowledge related to green products. Green behavior of students is tends to improve by start from a simple things in their daily activities. In other hands, whenever decide to buy products; not all those students aware with green products in order to make them adopt green lifestyle. The students with high financial capability are more aware buy green products than others.

Published in: 2010 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management

Date of Conference: 07-10 December 2010

DOI: 10.1109/IEEM.2010.5674276

Date Added to IEEE Xplore: 23 December 2010

Publisher: IEEE

ISBN Information:

Conference Location: Macao, China

ISSN Information:

Organizers & Committees

General Chair

Wei Zhao,
*President of University of Macau,
Macau*

Organizing Chair

Min Xie,
*National University of Singapore,
Singapore*

Roger Jiao,
*Georgia Institute of Technology,
USA*

Program Chairs

*Zhaotong Lian,
University of Macau, Macau*

Zhang Wu,
*Nanyang Technological University,
Singapore*

Organizing Committee

Anil Varma (Finance),
Singapore Polytechnic, Singapore

Kah Hin Chai (Logistics),
*National University of Singapore,
Singapore*

Carman Lee (Publicity),
*Nanyang Technological University,
Singapore*

Szu Hui Ng (Publication),
National University of Singapore, Singapore

Lianjie Shu (Local Arrangement),
University of Macau, Macau

Songlin Chen,
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Hongyi Sun,
*City University of Hong Kong,
Hong Kong*

Xiaoming Liu,
University of Macau, Macau

Zhixin Yang,
University of Macau, Macau

Alfred Wong,
University of Macau, Macau

Advisory Committee

Way Kuo (Chair),
*City University of Hong Kong,
Hong Kong*

Daniel Berg,
*Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute,
United States*

Boaz Golany,
Technion, Israel

Thong Ngee Goh,
National University of Singapore, Singapore

Mike Gregory,
Cambridge University, United Kingdom

Robert W. Grubbström,
Linköping Institute of Technology, Sweden

Martin Helander,
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Larry Y.C. Ho,
*Harvard University, United States and
Tsinghua University, China*

Tinus Pretorius,
University of Pretoria, South Africa

Ralf Reichwald,
Technical University of Munich, Germany

Gavriel Salvendy,
*Purdue University, USA
and Tsinghua University, China*

Mitchell M. Tseng,
*Hong Kong University of Science and
Technology, Hong Kong*

Ue-Pyng Wen,
National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan

Technical Program Committee

Dotun Adebajo,
*University of Liverpool,
United Kingdom*

Gajendra Adil,
IIT Bombay, India

Michel Aldanondo,
University of Toulouse - Mines Albi, France

Christian Almeder,
University of Vienna, Austria

Teresa Alvarez,
University of Valladolid, Spain

Chris Backhouse,
*Loughborough University,
United Kingdom*

Ana Paula Barroso,
UNIDEMI, FCT-UNL, Portugal

Arijit Bhattacharya,
the Patent Office, India

Jesus Miguel Chacon,
University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Jean-Jacques Chanaron,
Grenoble Ecole de Management, France

Wanpracha Chaovalitwongse,
Rutgers University, United States

Cheng-Wu Chen,
Shu Te University, Taiwan

Hung-Yi Chen,
Chaoyang University of Technology, Taiwan

Mu-Chen Chen,
National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan

Su-Chang Chen,
National Penghu University, Taiwan

Hui-Ping Cheng,
Ming-Dao University, Taiwan

Kwai-Sang Chin,
City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Chuang-Chun Chiou,
Dayeh University, Taiwan

Tsan-Ming Choi,
*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
Hong Kong*

Jui-Sheng Chou,
*National Taiwan University of Science and
Technology, Taiwan*

William Chung,
City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Virgilio Cruz-Machado,
UNIDEMI, FCT-UNL, Portugal

Deepak Dahiya,
*Institute of Technology and Management,
India*

Yves De Smet,
Universit Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

Vassilis Dedoussis,
University of Piraeus, Greece

Vahid Ebrahimipour,
University of Tehran, Iran

Liang Gao,
*Huazhong University of Science and
Technology, China*

Laurent Geneste,
Univ Toulouse ENIT-LGP, France

Amnon Gonen,
Holon Institute of Technology, Israel

Antonio Grilo,
Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Jiajun Gu,
*Huazhong University of Science and
Technology, China*

Murat Gulbay,
University of Gaziantep, Turkey

G. Gunawan,
University of Surabaya, Indonesia

Ma. Teodora Gutierrez,
*Technological Institute of the Philippines,
Philippines*

M. Salahuddin Habibullah,
*Institute of High Performance Computing,
Singapore*

Assed Haddad,
Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Organizers & Committees

Axel Hahn,
University of Oldenburg, Germany

Siana Halim,
Petra Christian University, Indonesia

Poul Kyvsgaard Hansen,
Aalborg University, Denmark

Qingpei Hu,
Chinese Academy of Science, China

Boray Huang,
National University of Singapore, Singapore

Shinji Inoue,
Tottori University, Japan

Myong K. Jeong,
*Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey,
United States*

Mingzhou Jin,
Mississippi State University, United States

Michael Johnson,
Texas A&M University, United States

Voratas Kachitvichyanukul,
Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Timo Knuutila,
University of Turku, Finland

C.K. Kwong,
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China

Tritos Laosirihongthong,
Thammasat University, Thailand

Jun-Der Leu,
National Central University, Taiwan

Zhizhong Li,
Tsinghua University, China

Thunshun Liao,
Louisiana State University, United States

Huitian Lu,
*South Dakota State University,
United States*

Yong-Zai Lu,
Zhejiang University, China

Virgínia Machado,
UNIDEMI, FCT-UNL, Portugal

Rammohan Maikala,
*Liberty Mutual Research Institute for Safety,
United States*

Viliam Makis,
University of Toronto, Canada

Henrique Matos,
Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

Harekrishna Misra,
Institute of Rural Management Anand, India

Lars Moench,
University of Hagen, Germany

Zahra Mohaghegh,
University of Maryland, United States

Susan Morton,
Loughborough University, United Kingdom

Mohamed k. Omar,
University Technical Melaka, Malaysia

Aditya Parida,
Luleå University of Technology, Sweden

Jennifer Percival,
*University of Ontario Institute of Technology,
Canada*

Alan Pilkington,
*Royal Holloway, University of London,
United Kingdom*

Kit Fai Pun,
*University of the West Indies,
Trinidad and Tobago*

Jerzy Stefan Respondek,
Silesian University of Technology, Poland

Peter Robertson,
EzyFlow Logistics Pty Ltd, Australia

Antonio S. C. Fernandes,
CEG-IST - UTL, Portugal

Ilias Santouridis,
TEI of Larissa, Greece

Kiyoshi Sawada,
*University of Marketing and Distribution
Sciences, Japan*

Kecheng Shen,
University of Western Australia, Australia

Yongjiang Shi,
University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

Naoki Shibata,
University of Tokyo, Japan

Ali Siadat,
Arts et Métiers ParisTech-LGIPM, France

Raj Siriram,
Dimension Data MEA, South Africa

Harm-Jan Steenhuis,
*Eastern Washington University,
United States*

Syafie Syafie,
University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Kay Chuan Tan,
National University of Singapore, Singapore

Tommi Tervonen,
University of Groningen, Netherlands

Purit Thanakijkasem,
*King Mongkut's University of Technology
Thonburi, Thailand*

Ramayah Thurasamy,
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Norbert Trautmann,
University of Bern, Switzerland

Paolo Trucco,
Politecnico Di Milano, Italy

Wen-Hsien Tsai,
National Central University, Taiwan

Ming-Lang Tseng,
MingDao University, Taiwan

Yuan-Jye Tseng,
Yuan Ze University, Taiwan

Enrico Vezzetti,
Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Shengyong Wang,
*State University of New York, Binghamton,
United States*

Yonggui Wang,
*University of International Business and
Economics, China*

Junzo Watada,
Waseda University, Japan

Richard Yam,
City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Shigeru Yamada,
Tottori University, Japan

QZ Yang,
*Singapore Institute of Manufacturing
Technology, Singapore*

Shuya Yin,
*University of California-Irvine,
United States*

Chui Young Yoon,
*Chungbuk National University,
South Korea*

Linda Zhang,
University of Groningen, Netherlands

Huiming Zhu,
Hunan University, China

Ahmed Zobaa,
University of Exeter, United Kingdom

**Sponsor, Co-sponsor and
Co-organizer**
IEEE Technology Management
Council Singapore Chapter

IEEE Singapore Section

National University of Singapore

Nanyang Technological University

University of Macau

City University of Hong Kong

Hong Kong University of Science and
Technology

Analysis of Green Product Knowledge, Green Behavior and Green Consumers of Indonesian Students (Case Study for Universities in Surabaya)

Togas W. S. Panjaitan¹, I Nyoman Sutapa¹

¹Industrial Engineering Department, Petra Christian University
Surabaya 60236, Indonesia
togar@petra.ac.id; mantapa@petra.ac.id

Abstract - Nowadays, environmental damages become hardly to be solved. It happened by many factors like lack of information about green products, human lifestyle that unaware or even don't care about the environment, and there' still paradigms that green product or green consumerism aligned with high cost.

This study shows that students have sufficient knowledge related to green products. Green behavior of students is tends to improve by start from a simple things in their daily activities. In other hands, whenever decide to buy products; not all those students aware with green products in order to make them adopt green lifestyle. The students with high financial capability are more aware buy green products than others.

Key-words: green product, green behavior, green consumers

I. INTRODUCTION

Today, Consumerism runs so deep in modern society it has effectively produced subconscious driving forces that help to steer our behavior. For many people, a key driving force in life is to accumulate wealth and then spend it, taking full advantage of all the goods and services available.

Customer's concern for environmental has become one of the most important issues in every aspect of people's lifestyles. Market for environmentally-friendly goods and services are becoming increasingly common. As we know, green lifestyles or green behaviors are specific lifestyle choices that reduce personal environmental impact and help ensure consumption of resources is sustainable at a society level. A fully green lifestyle involves ethical choices over a broad range of behaviors.

The human lifestyle that not concern about environment can be influence by technology development. If the technology doesn't well develop and not focus to create green technology/green process/green products, it will push the society more far away from green lifestyle. Green lifestyle became familiar and well accepted in developed countries than in developing countries. There are a lot of studies to find the trigger factors that influences society to adopt this lifestyle. This paper focuses on students in the university. Like Indonesia, students in big cities like Surabaya have a high degree of access to get information than others.

Unfortunately, customers who have concern to green lifestyles still limited because they still have skepticism over the green products, higher prices, lower quality, lack of information, etc. For every piece of information that people receive about the need to do something to help the environment, there must be a hundred promoting the opposite sort of behavior. Campaigns to encourage and persuade the public to adopt green behaviors must be framed in terms that make sense to them, according to their own values and motivations. In this paper tries to find out what dominant factors that support society to be green consumer.

II. GREEN PRODUCTS, GREEN BEHAVIOUR, AND GREEN CONSUMERS

Green Product is eco-friendly products or products that in their planning and process with technique have less impact to environment, even in production process, distribution, and consumption.




Fig. 1. Conceptual model for Green Products (Nugrahadi) [10]

Based on Engel, A consumer behavior is an action that direct involved to get things, consumptions, and to use those things (product or services), including decision process before and follow that decision. [2]. Concern for the environment or green behavior has become one of the most important issues of the 1990s. In developed countries like Europe and the United

States, companies realized that consumers will buy products or not based upon environmental considerations and consumers like this usually said as green consumers. This phenomenon, referred to as Green Marketing, involves the marketing response to the design, production, packaging, use and disposal of products. [4]

Green marketing has grown and changed dramatically in the past few decades. It began as an effort by marketers and managers to label their products as “recyclable” or “environmentally friendly,” and over the years, has come to encompass much more. [7]

III. DATA ANALYSIS

In the questioners, we give weight for every answer of indicators, i.e. 1 = never or very unimportant or strongly disagree, 2 = rarely or unimportant or disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = usual or important or agree, 5 = always or very important or strongly agree. In this study, we also did analysis was combined from students in 2 groups based on different level of cost spend in universities. Group 1 is consist of students that study in low cost universities and group 2 is a students in high cost university with 100 samples for every group.

A. Green Behavior

From Table 1, shows that study instrument (questioners) is reliable because the value α Cronbach more than 0.8. In general, respondents perception on purchase decision, habits, and recycling are tend to neutral, it means they just do that action sometimes.

From Fig. 2, Group 2 tends to buy less packaging product, buy food product from a local store buy high efficiency bulbs to save energy, and buy energy efficient household than group 1. In other hands, more than 50% respondents didn't buy recycled papers, it happens because many reasons like difficult to find that products even more the quality is poor to use.

TABLE 1
FACTOR SOLUTION FOR BEHAVIOR DATA

Variables	Indicators/Measurements	Standard Deviation	Means	α Cronbach
Purchase decisions	Avoid Aerosol Product	1.33	3.00	0.99
	Buy Organic Product			
	Buy Less Packaging Product			
	Buy food Product from a local store			
	Buy High Efficiency bulbs to save energy			
	Buy energy efficient household appliances			
	Buy recycled toilet paper			
	Buy recycled writing paper			

TABLE 1 (CONTINUE)
FACTOR SOLUTION FOR BEHAVIOUR DATA

Variables	Indicators/Measurements	Standard Deviation	Means	α Cronbach
Habits	Use environmentally friendly detergents	1.22	3.12	0.89
	Use save water in the bathroom by taking a shower or only filling the bath half way up			
	Reuse glass bottles and jars for save			
	Wait until wait until I have a full load before putting on the washing machine			
	Turn off the tap whilst cleaning my teeth			
	Switch off lights in unoccupied rooms			
	Avoid keeping the tap running when washing dishes			
	Turn off the water/ shower when 'Soaping up'.			
	Reuse bag shopping			
	Reuse paper remain if can use again			
Recycling	Reuse paper remains if can use again	1.95	2.60	0.98
	Donate clothes to charity			
	Donate book if not use again			
	Recycle glass			
	Recycle newspaper			
	Recycle cane			
	Recycle plastic bottle			




Fig. 2. Purchase Decision; PD 1: Avoid Aerosol Product, PD2: Buy Organic Product, PD3: Buy Less Packaging Product, PD4: Buy food Product from a local store, PD5: Buy High Efficiency bulbs to save energy. PD6: Buy energy efficient household appliances, PD7: Buy recycled toilet paper, PD8: Buy recycled writing paper.

From Fig. 3, we see that around 60% respondents don't have green habits and the rest 40% have green habits. Fig. 4 provides information that respondents' behaviors related reuse or recycle still low (>50%) particularly in recycle glass, recycle newspaper, and recycle cane.




Fig. 3. Habits, H1: Use environmentally friendly detergents, H2: Use save in the bathroom by taking a shower or only filling the bath half way up, H3: Reuse glass bottles and jars for save, H4:Wait until I have a full load before putting on the washing machine,H5: Turn off the tap whilst cleaning my teeth, H6: Switch off lights in unoccupied rooms, H7: Avoid keeping the tap running when washing dishes, H8: Turn off the water/ shower when ‘Soaping up’, H9: Reuse bag shopping.




Fig. 4. Recycling, DU1: Reuse paper remains if can use again, DU2: Donate clothes to charity, DU3: Donate book if not use again, DU4: Recycle glass, DU5: Recycle newspaper, DU6: Recycle cane, DU7: recycle plastic bottle.

A. Characteristic Consumers

In analyze characteristic consumers, this study focus on income, involve in group/community, and type of communities for every groups.

TABLE 2
INCOME AND COMMUNITY

	Income (IDR) (x 100,000)					Community	
	2-5	5-10	10-20	20-30	>30	Yes	No
Group 1	12%	30%	37%	16%	5%	41%	59%
Group 2	5%	12%	31%	36%	16%	55%	45%

In Table 2, there’s different significant between income group1 and group 2. In group 1, the majority income (67%) is between IDR 500,000 until 2,000,000 and in group 2, between IDR 1,000,000 until 3,000,000 (67%). Besides that, the respondents in group 1 that

involved in community groups are lower than group 2 and the different is about 15 %. It can be assumed that students who have high income more have capacity, opportunity, and time than group 1.




Fig. 5. Type of Communities

Communities are consisting as 3 types of community, Politics, Environment, and others. From respondents, we got that more than 50% involved in other communities outside politics and environment communities. The lowest community is politics, it only 7 %. It means politics community is not interesting community to joint with and in developing countries like Indonesia, level of participating societies in politics not to high because people thinks politics only for politicians.

B. Social Factors

From Table 3, shows that social factors above are reliable because the value α Cronbach more than 0.8. In general, respondents’ perception on altruistic and conservative is more care to environment than others.

TABLE 3
SOCIAL FACTORS

Variable	Indicators/ Measurements	Standard Deviation	Means	α Cronbach
Altruistic	Loyalty	0.95	4.19	0.99
	Honoring Parent			
	Equality			
	Social Justice			
	Enjoying Life			
	Helpful			
Openness to change	Hard at Work	1.03	3.56	0.96
	Varied Life			
	Exciting Life			
	Curious			
	Social Environmental			
Conservative	Social Order	0.86	4.06	0.99
	Obdience			
	Authority			
	Unity			
Egoism	Wealth	0.98	3.61	0.98
	Social Power			
	Influential			
	Authority			
	Luxurious			




Fig. 6. Altruistic

From Fig. 6 provides information that the lower indicators in altruistic is work hard. We can see that only small amount of people thinks that work is very important for altruistic.

For openness to change, Fig. 7, both respondent think is important (almost 50%) from each indicator. It happens to indicator in conservative (Fig. 8).




Fig. 7. Openness to Change




Fig. 8. Conservative

Fig. 9 provides information that respondents' social factors in egoistic varied for every group and every indicators. For example, respondents from group 1 are more open and care than group 2. In group 1, wealth and influence indicators are not important than group 2




Fig. 9. Egoistic

C. Psychology Factors

TABLE 3
PSYCHOLOGY FACTORS

Variable	Indicators/ Measurements	Standard deviation	Mean	α Cronbach
Outcome Beliefs	Good Economics	0.91	4	0.89
	Energy Beliefs			
	Response Efficacy			
	Waste Beliefs			
Trust and responsibility	Government responsibility	1.06	3.47	0.94
	Trust in Environmental			
	Environmental group information			
Price	Price of Eco-friendly	1.17	2.8	0.87
	Important of Price			
	Prefer eco-friendly produce			
	Willing to pay more			
Green Consumer Beliefs	Health Concerns	1.02	3.61	0.88
	Safety Concerns			
	Important of local product			
	Green Consumer Beliefs			

From Table 3, we classified psychology factors in 4 variables. For variable outcome beliefs, trust and responsibility, and green consumer beliefs show that majority of respondents agree with the indicators for each variable. The study instrument (questioners) is reliable because the value α Cronbach more than 0.8.




Fig. 10. Outcome Beliefs




Fig. 11. Trust and Responsibility

Fig. 10 shows that Group 1 and 2 strongly agree for all indicators.

From Fig. 11, group 1 strongly agrees that government must have responsibility to influence green lifestyle than other variable. It can be assumed most people think that government takes main roles. It's impact to respondent perception on information and environmental group or third party become low.



Fig. 12. Price




Fig. 13. Green Consumer Beliefs

From Fig. 12, Group 2 more concern to get green products even price is more expensive and the willing to pay more than group 1. In general, both groups still low commitment to follow green lifestyle because price. Finally, at Fig. 13, group 2 that have high economic level more concern on health, safety, local content and green consumers compare to group 1. It seems has relationship with income level and price.

IV. CONCLUSION

All movements or changing in a lifestyle are process in order to be able to combine those actions by having the same perception on the meaning of consumption, not just a word and not only focus on activities which don't have any correlation with the environment. It must be correlated with something bigger such as social value and human psychology.

The green product has some factors which represent a decision of someone to buy, habit, and recycle to goods which are not used. Most people are buying goods without put green as a consideration. University students in both groups have same level of perception about green products and green behavior but students in middle or high economic level more concern and adopt green lifestyle. In other hands, there is perception in society that green product mostly is more expensive than regular ones.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrew Gilg, Stewart Barr, Nicholas Ford, "Green consumption or sustainable lifestyles? Identifying the sustainable consumer", *Future* 37 (2005), p 481 – 504
- [2] Deswindi, Leli, (2007), "Kecepatan tingkat penerimaan dan perilaku konsumen terhadap produk lama yang mengalami perubahan dan produk inovasi baru dalam upaya memasuki dan merebut pasar", 29 September 2008, <http://www.ubm.ac.id/manajemen/images/doc/journal/jurnal-perilaku-konsumen-leli-deswindi.pdf>.
- [3] Ema Rex, Henrikke Baumann, "Beyond ecolabels: what green marketing can learn from conventional marketing", *Journal of Cleaner Production* 15 (2007), 567 - 576.
- [4] Marc Lampe and Gregory M.Gazda, "Green Marketing in Europe and the United State: an Evolving Business and Society Interface", *International Business Review* Vol 4, (1995), p 295 – 312.
- [5] Marc J Plonsky and Philip J R III, "Reevaluating Green Marketing: A Strategic Approach", *Business Horizons*, (Sept – Oct 2001), p 21 – 30.
- [6] Tracey Bedford, "Barriers and Motivators for sustainable lifestyles: An exploratory analysis", http://www.lsx.org.uk/whatwedo/ResourceRef2_page2844.aspx.
- [7] Tracey Groth, "Green Marketing: Opportunity for Innovation", 2nd edition, *Resource Review*, Summer 1998 (Vol 5 No. 4), p 89 - 90.
- [8] Wahyu, Eko, "Jalur Pemikiran Konsep Hijau (green Product)", http://tumoutou.net/702_04212/eko_wahyu_n.htm2002.
- [9] Definisi Green Marketing, <http://209.85.175.104/search?q=cache:Snf10wBuqJKJ:digilib.petra.ac.id/jiunkpe/s1/eman/2002/jiunkpe-ns-s1-2002-31498058-819-aqua-chapter2.pdf+definisi+green+marketing&hl=en&ct=clnk&cd=24&gl=id>.