

# **3rd International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management**

**(IEOM 2012)**

**Istanbul, Turkey  
3-6 July 2012**

**Volume 1 of 3**

**ISBN: 978-1-62993-911-7**

**Printed from e-media with permission by:**

Curran Associates, Inc.  
57 Morehouse Lane  
Red Hook, NY 12571



**Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.**

Copyright© (2012) by Industrial Engineering & Operations Management Society (IEOM)  
All rights reserved.

Printed by Curran Associates, Inc. (2014)

For permission requests, please contact Industrial Engineering & Operations Management Society (IEOM)  
at the address below.

Industrial Engineering & Operations Management Society (IEOM)  
c/o Dr. Ahad Ali  
39433 Dorchester Circle  
Canton MI 48188

Phone: (248) 204-2531  
Fax: (248) 204-2576

[ieom-society@ieom.org](mailto:ieom-society@ieom.org)

**Additional copies of this publication are available from:**

Curran Associates, Inc.  
57 Morehouse Lane  
Red Hook, NY 12571 USA  
Phone: 845-758-0400  
Fax: 845-758-2634  
Email: [curran@proceedings.com](mailto:curran@proceedings.com)  
Web: [www.proceedings.com](http://www.proceedings.com)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME 1

### OPERATIONS RESEARCH – MANAGEMENT TRACK

<b>INTEGRATING PRODUCTION SCHEDULING AND MAINTENANCE: PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS</b> .....	1
<i>Laith Alhadidi, Umar Al-Turki, Abdur Rahim</i>	
<b>TIME-RISK TRADEOFF OF HAZMAT ROUTING PROBLEM IN EMERGENCY SITUATION</b> .....	9
<i>Abbas Mahmoudabadi, Seyed Mohammad Seyedhosseini</i>	
<b>ADVANCED SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE SEMI-ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM</b> .....	17
<i>Shih-Ting Zeng, Chi-Jen Lin, Ue-Pyng Wen</i>	
<b>INVENTORY LOCATION ROUTING PROBLEM: A COLUMN GENERATION APPROACH</b> .....	26
<i>Mateo Gutierrez Granada, Catalina Wills Silva</i>	
<b>A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR TRAIN ROUTING AND SCHEDULING PROBLEM WITH FUZZY APPROACH</b> .....	36
<i>Amin Jamili</i>	
<b>A VARIABLE CAPACITY PARALLEL MACHINE SCHEDULING PROBLEM</b> .....	46
<i>Emine Akyol, Tugba Saraç</i>	
<b>QUADRATIC MULTIPLE KNAPSACK PROBLEM WITH SETUPS AND A SOLUTION APPROACH</b> .....	53
<i>Nilay Dogan, Kerem Bilgiçer, Tugba Saraç</i>	
<b>MULTI OBJECTIVE MIXED MODEL ASSEMBLY LINE BALANCING</b> .....	63
<i>Rifat Gurcan Ozdemir, Fadime Üney-Yüksektepe, Nihan Karaca</i>	
<b>EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE ON SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGY ADOPTION AND SELECTION OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES METRICS FOR KETCHUP COMPANIES IN MALAYSIA</b> .....	64
<i>Azanizawati Ma'Aram, Afagh Malek</i>	
<b>DEVELOPING A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR TEAM CONFIGURATION IN CREATIVITY PROCESS</b> .....	71
<i>Iraj Mahdavi, Hamed Fazlollahtabar, Amin Aalaei, Mohammad Hassan Yahyanejad</i>	
<b>A NEW MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR A MULTI-CRITERIA GROUP SCHEDULING PROBLEM IN A CMS SOLVED BY A BRANCH-AND-BOUND METHOD</b> .....	77
<i>Yousef Gholipour-Kanani, Reza Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, Rahileh Cheraghizadeh, M. Mahmoodjanloo</i>	
<b>PRIORITIZING CRITERIA AND CONTRACTORS FOR PAYMENT OF THEIR CLAIMS - CASE STUDY IN KARAJ MUNICIPALITY TRANSPORT SERVICES</b> .....	84
<i>Amir Hossein Dehestani Monfared, Reza Kiani Mavi, Sakine Abbas Khakiani</i>	
<b>AN INTEGER PROGRAMMING APPROACH TO AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PROBLEM</b> .....	90
<i>Golbarg Moeini, Ali Akgunduz, Brigitte Jaumard</i>	
<b>MANAGING KNOWLEDGE IN AIRCRAFT ENGINEERING – AN OPERATIONS-BASED APPROACH</b> .....	99
<i>Rafed Zawawi, Hasan Akpolat, Ravindra Bagia</i>	
<b>DYNAMIC AND STOCHASTIC KNAPSACK-TYPE MODELS FOR FORECLOSED HOUSING ACQUISITION AND REDEVELOPMENT</b> .....	109
<i>Armagan Bayram, Senay Solak</i>	
<b>MINIMIZING THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF TARDY JOBS IN DYNAMIC FLOW SHOP WITH STOCHASTIC DUE DATES</b> .....	118
<i>Ali Elyasi, Nasser Salmasi</i>	
<b>A GENERALIZED COST MALMQUIST INDEX TO COMPARE THE PRODUCTIVITIES OF UNITS WITH NEGATIVE DATA IN DEA</b> .....	128
<i>Shabnam Razavyan, Ghasem Tohidi</i>	
<b>CROSS EFFICIENCY OF DECISION MAKING UNITS WITH NEGATIVE DATA IN DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS</b> .....	133
<i>Ghasem Tohidi, Shabnam Razavyan</i>	
<b>OUTSOURCING AND ITS IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE</b> .....	138
<i>Ali Nazeri, Reza Gholami, Sadra Rashidi</i>	
<b>A DEVELOPMENT OF HYBRID CROSS ENTROPY-TABU SEARCH ALGORITHM FOR TRAVELLING REPAIRMAN PROBLEM</b> .....	147
<i>Budi Santosa, Muchammad Aminuddin</i>	
<b>SCHEDULING WITH AGREEMENTS : A MILP-BASED FORMULATION WITH A POLYNOMIAL RESULT</b> .....	154
<i>Mohamed Bendraouche, Mourad Boudhar</i>	
<b>APPROXIMATION TO PERFORMANCE MEASURES IN QUEUING SYSTEMS</b> .....	155
<i>Ehsan Moghimihadji, Alagar Rangan</i>	
<b>FUZZY MULTI-OBJECTIVE PARALLEL MACHINES SCHEDULING PROBLEM THROUGH NEW SOLUTION METHOD</b> .....	161
<i>Mohammad Asghari, Samaneh Nezhadali</i>	
<b>MINIMIZING THE SUM OF MAKESPAN AND MAXIMUM TARDINESS ON SINGLE MACHINE WITH RELEASE DATES</b> .....	171
<i>Elkanah Oyetunji</i>	
<b>STUDY OF THE SCHEDULING PROBLEM UNDER PREEMPTION, RELEASE DATES AND TRANSPORTATION DELAYS CONSTRAINTS</b> .....	181
<i>Amina Haned, Mourad Boudhar</i>	

## VOLUME 2

<b>THE IMPORTANCE OF E-INTEGRATION IN SUPPLY CHAIN OF PAKISTANI AND ITS EFFECT ON PERFORMANCE</b> .....	899
<i>Mari Sonia, Marri Hussain Bux, Memon M. Saad</i>	
<b>A MULTI-AGENT ARCHITECTURE FOR DISTRIBUTED SUPPLY CHAIN</b> .....	907
<i>Felix T. S. Chan, Bharat Bansal, Nishikant Mishra, A. Prakash, Vikas Kumar</i>	
<b>APPLYING LABELING ALGORITHM TO IMPROVE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS</b> .....	917
<i>Kang-Ting Ma, Chi-Jen Lin, Ue-Pyng Wen</i>	
<b>MANAGING SUSTAINABILITY IN THE EU FOOD INDUSTRY: THE HIGH LEVEL FORUM FOR A BETTER FUNCTIONING FOOD SUPPLY CHAIN</b> .....	927
<i>Birgit Oberer, Alptekin Erkollar</i>	
<b>INVENTORY MODEL INVOLVING CONTROLLABLE LEAD TIME THROUGH NEW APPROACH</b> .....	928
<i>Saeed Poormoayed, Mohsen Dastpak</i>	
<b>THE RESEARCH OF SUPPLIER QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT IN A WASHING MACHINE FACTORY</b> .....	934
<i>Ozcan Akcaoglu</i>	
<b>AN INVESTIGATION EXPLORING THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF OUTSOURCING THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW PRODUCTS IN THE INDIAN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY</b> .....	935
<i>Horacio Soriano-Meier, Jose Arturo Garza-Reyes, Jasdev Singh Lal, Luis Rocha-Lona</i>	
<b>ANALYSIS OF PERMISSIBLE DELAY IN PAYMENT POLICY FOR SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT</b> .....	944
<i>Gede Agus Widyadana, Nyoman Sutapa</i>	
<b>LOGISTICS ACTIVITIES MANAGEMENT IN THE EARTHQUAKE RESPONSE PHASE: A ROBUST OPTIMIZATION APPROACH</b> .....	951
<i>Mehdi Najafi, Kourosh Eshghi</i>	
<b>MODELLING A TRACEABILITY SYSTEM FOR THE AGRO-FOOD INDUSTRY: THE CASE OF FROZEN VEGETABLES</b> .....	962
<i>Giovanni Mirabelli, Teresa Pizzuti, Fernando Gómez-González, Miguel Ángel Sanz-Bobi</i>	
<b>ANALYSIS OF EDUCATIONAL SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT MODEL: A CASE STUDY APPROACH</b> .....	972
<i>Bishwajit Banik Pathik, Musawer Tahsin Chowdhury, Md. Mamun Habib</i>	
<b>A HEURISTIC BASED ON INTEGER PROGRAMMING FOR THE VEHICLE ROUTING PROBLEM WITH BACKHAULS</b> .....	981
<i>Gülçin Dinç Yalçın, Nihal Erginel</i>	
<b>MULTI ITEM TWO STAGE EOQ MODEL FROM SINGLE SOURCE TO MULTIPLE DESTINATIONS UNDER VARYING FREIGHT POLICIES</b> .....	990
<i>Sandhya Makkar, P. C. Jha</i>	
<b>SELECTING SUPPLIERS FOR BIOMASS SUPPLY NETWORKS WITH AN IFS - MULTI PERIODIC OPTIMIZATION METHOD</b> .....	1001
<i>Vassilis C. Gerogiannis, Vasiliki Kazantzi</i>	
<b>IMPLEMENTATION OF SET PARTS SYSTEM IN MALAYSIAN AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY</b> .....	1011
<i>Rizauddin Ramli, Suhartini Mohd Jainury, Rizauddin Ramli, Mohd Nizam Abd Rahman, Jaharah Abd Ghani, Dzuraidah Abd Wahab, Azhari Omar</i>	
<b>THE DYNAMIC DESIGN OF REVERSE LOGISTICS NETWORK WITH FUZZY INCENTIVE-DEPENDENT RETURN</b> .....	1017
<i>Farzad Dehghanian, Mohammad Asghari</i>	
<b>EMERGENCY LOGISTICS FOR NATURAL DISASTERS: A STOCHASTIC PROGRAMMING APPROACH</b> .....	1026
<i>Rodrigo Garrido, Patricio Lamas</i>	
<b>MODELING CAPACITY INVESTMENT UNDER COMPETITION USING GAME THEORY</b> .....	1027
<i>Ilham Kissani, Youssef El Boukhari</i>	
<b>INVENTORY CONTROL FOR DEFECTIVE GOODS SUPPLY CHAIN BY DETERMINATION OF PRODUCTION PERIOD LENGTH</b> .....	1036
<i>Salah Alden Ghashimi, Rizauddin Ramli, Jaharah A. Ghani, Nizaroyani Saibani, Isa Nakhai Kamalabadi</i>	
<b>MULTI SOURCE - MULTIPLE DESTINATION EOQ MODEL FOR CONSTANT DETERIORATING ITEMS INCORPORATING QUANTITY AND FREIGHT DISCOUNT POLICIES</b> .....	1042
<i>Kanika Gandhi, P. C. Jha</i>	
<b>DEVELOPING AN INDEX SYSTEM FOR SUPPLY CHAIN VAULE MEASUREMENT: ADOPTING A HOLISTIC VIEW</b> .....	1052
<i>Seyedeh Fatemeh Golriz Gashti, Seyed Mohamad Seyed Hosseini, Mohamad Mohsen Sedighi</i>	
<b>AN ANALYSIS OF COMPLEX ADAPTIVE COMPUTER MANUFACTURING SUPPLY NETWORK EVOLUTION IN COMPETITIVE MARKETS</b> .....	1062
<i>Selen Onel, Abe Zeid, Sagar Kamarthi</i>	
<b>COLLABORATIVE SUPPLY CHAIN PRACTICES AND PROSPECT OF COMPANIES IN BANGLADESH</b> .....	1069
<i>Md. Abdul Quddus, A. M. M. Nazmul Ahsan, Azizur Rahman</i>	
<b>A REVIEW: DIFFERENT VIEWS OF CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT</b> .....	1079
<i>Shahnaz Piroozfar, Reza Yaghini</i>	
<b>INCORPORATING PRICE DECISIONS IN A PROFITABLE MULTIPLE-TOUR PROBLEM</b> .....	1088
<i>Amir Ahmadi Javid, Elahe Amiri</i>	



**3rd International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management (IEOM 2012)**  
Istanbul, Turkey, July 3-6, 2012

[Home](#)

[Welcome](#)

[Committee](#)

[Sponsors](#)

[Keynotes](#)

[Track Papers](#)

[Search Proceedings](#)

[Author Index](#)

[Tech Support](#)

## **Conference Committee**

### **Conference Chairs**

Dr. Ahad Ali, Lawrence Technological University, Southfield, Michigan, USA  
Dr. Fethi Calisir, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey

### **Program Chairs**

Dr. Mohammad Khadem, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman  
Dr. Mohammad Anwar Rahman, University of Southern Mississippi, USA

### **Local Committee**

Dr. Fethi Calisir, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey, Chair  
Dr. Cigdem Altin Gumussoy, Turkey  
Dr. Sule Itir Satoglu, Turkey  
Murat Durucu, Turkey  
Elvan Bayraktaroglu, Turkey  
Mine Isik, Turkey  
Levent Atahan, Turkey  
Cagatay Iris, Turkey  
Serdar Baysan, Turkey

### **Advisory Committee**

Dr. Olufemi Adetunji, University of Pretoria, South Africa  
Dr. Zeki Ayag, T.C. KADIR HAS UNIVERSITY, Turkey  
Dr. Abdul Talib Bon, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia  
Dr. Robert de Souza, National University of Singapore, Singapore  
Dr. Ramazan Evren, Istanbul Technical University, Turkey  
Dr. Angappa Gunasekaran, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, USA  
Dr. Kemal Kilic, Sabanci University, Turkey  
Dr. Muammer Koc, Istanbul Sehir University, Turkey  
Dr. Arun Kumar, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia  
Dr. Jay Lee, University of Cincinnati, USA  
Dr. Abu Masud, Wichita State University, Kansas, USA  
Dr. Samar Mukhopadhyay, GSB-Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Korea  
Dr. Kit Fai Pun, University of West Indies  
Dr. Abdur Rahim, University of New Brunswick, Canada  
Dr. Docki Saraswati, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia  
Dr. MD Sarder, University of Southern Mississippi, USA  
Dr. Bhaba R. Sarker, Louisiana State University, USA  
Dr. S P Sarmah, IIT-Kharagpur, India  
Dr. Mehmet Savsar, Kuwait University, Kuwait  
Dr. Hamid Seifoddini, University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee, USA  
Dr. Rosemary Seva, De La Salle University - Manila, Philippines  
Dr. AHM Shamsuzzoha, University of Vaasa, Finland  
Dr. Devdas Shetty, University of Hartford, Connecticut, USA  
Dr. Umar AL-Turki, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Saudi Arabia  
Dr. Junzo Watada, Waseda University, Japan  
Dr. Li Zheng, Tsinghua University, China

### **Track Chairs**

**Artificial Intelligence:** Dr. M. El-Sharkh, University of South Alabama, USA  
**Computer and Information Systems:** Dr. Elkanah Oyetunji, University for Development Studies, Ghana  
**E-Business and E-Commerce:** Dr. Josef Herget, Donau-Universität Krems, Austria  
**Energy Engineering:** Dr. Bonfils Safari, National University of Rwanda, Huye District, South Province, Rwanda  
**Project Management:** C.G. Sreenivasa, University B.D.T College of Engineering, Davangere, Karnataka, India  
**Engineering Economy:** Dr. Tauseef Aized, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan  
**Engineering Education:** Dr. Grace Kanakana, Tshwane University of Technology, South Africa  
**Facilities Planning and Management :** Dr. Kareem Dhoub, ÉSSTT, University of Tunis, Tunisia  
**Healthcare Systems:** Dr. Gerard O'Connor, Adelaide and Meath Hospital, Dublin, Ireland  
**Human Factors and Ergonomics:** Dr. Selim Zaim, Fatih University, Istanbul, Turkey  
**IE in Asia / Europe:** Dr. Alaa A. A-Ameer, British University in Dubai, UAE  
**Inventory Management:** Dr. Gede Agus Widyadana, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia  
**Lean and Six Sigma:** Dr. Wong Wai Peng, Universiti Sains Malaysia  
**Logistics and Supply Chain:** Dr. Md. Mamun Habib, American International University - Bangladesh  
**Manufacturing and Design:** Dr. Ramy Harik, Lebanese American University, Lebanon  
**Modeling and Simulation:** Dr. Zeki Ayağ, Kadir Has University, Turkey  
**Operations Research / Management:** Dr. Farooq Sheikh, State University of New York (SUNY), Geneseo, USA  
**Production Planning and Management:** Dr. Ayodeji E. Oluleye, University of Ibadan, Nigeria  
**Quality Control and Management:** Dr. Valerie Cook, Penn State University - Behrend, USA  
**Rapid Product Development:** Dr. Pisut Koomsap, Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand  
**Reliability and Maintenance:** Samuel John, Polytechnic of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia  
**Service Systems:** Dr. Eng Chew, University of Technology - Sydney, Australia  
**Sustainability and Green Systems:** Dr. Ing. Maria Grazia Gnani, University of Salento, Lecce, Italy  
**Systems Engineering:** Dr. M. El-Sharkh, University of South Alabama, USA  
**Case Studies / Tutorials:** Dr. Gulnara Abitova, L. N. Gumilev Eurasian National University, Kazakhstan

### **Technical Committee**

Dr. Kondo H. Adjallah, Paul-Verlaine University, France

Dr. Abdollah Aghaie, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Iran  
Dr. Rosli Ahmad, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia  
Dr. Kamrul Ahsan, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia  
Dr. Firoz Alam, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia  
Dr. Md. Yeakub Ali, International Islamic University, Malaysia  
Dr. D. K. Banwet, IIT-Delhi, India  
Dr. Hamdi Bashir, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman  
Dr. Mahdi Bashiri, Shahed University, Iran  
Dr. Ibrahim Garbie, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman  
Dr. Jahara bint Ghani, UKM, Malaysia  
Dr. Kannan Govindan, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark  
Dr. Md. Mamun Habib, American International University - Bangladesh  
Dr. Maruf Hasan, University of New South Wales, Australia  
Dr. Mohammad Iqbal, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh  
Dr. Ajay Joneja, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology  
Dr. Shahrul B. Kamaruddin, Universiti Sains Malaysia  
Dr. Khasan Karimov, Centre of Renewable Energy Resources of Physical Technical Institute of Tajikistan  
Dr. Onur Kuzgunkaya - Concordia University - Montreal, Quebec, Canada  
Dr. Khaled M. Gad El Mola, University of Houston, USA  
Dr. Ali Mostafaeipour, Yazd University, Iran  
Dr. Abdul Mutalib Leman, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia  
Dr. Yunos Ngadiman, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia  
Dr. Keng-Boon Ooi, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia  
Dr. Eui H. Park, North Carolina A&T State University, USA  
Dr. Wong Wai Peng, Universiti Sains Malaysia  
Dr. Shams Rahman, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia  
Dr. David Rodrick, University of Michigan, USA  
Dr. AHM Saifullah Sadi, Sohar University, Oman  
Dr. S.M. Sapuan, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Dr. Awaludin Mohd Shahraroun, University Technology Malaysia  
Dr. Md. Shamsuzzaman, University of Sharjah, UAE  
Dr. Ravi Shankar, IIT-Delhi, India  
Dr. Farooq Sheikh, State University of New York at Geneseo, USA  
Dr. Balan Sundarakani, University of Wollongong in Dubai, UAE  
Dr. Kutub Uddin, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh  
Dr. Ing Eckart Uhlmann, Fraunhofer-Institute for Production Systems and Design Technology IPK, Germany  
Dr. Nubia Velasco, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia  
Dr. Sachin D. Waigaonkar, BITS Pilani K.K. Birla-Goa Campus, India  
Dr. Li Xu, Old Dominion University, USA  
Dr. Suhaiza Zailani, Universiti Sains Malaysia  
Dr. Linda L. Zhang, IESEG School of Management, Lille-Paris, France

Copyright © 2012 IEOM All rights reserved.

Produced by [X-CD Technologies](#)

# **Analysis of Permissible Delay in Payment Policy for Sustainable Business Development**

**Gede Agus Widyadana and Nyoman Sutapa  
Department of Industrial Engineering  
Petra Christian University  
Surabaya 60236, Indonesia**

## **Abstract**

Many retailers in developing countries have difficulty to make direct payment. As a consequence, the vendor has to offer delay in payment to keep sustainable order from his retailers and to attract the retailers to order larger quantity. In the other side, the vendor financial position has to be preserved to keep their financial safety. When time value money is considered, retailers get some additional profit from interest earned and the vendor get loss from interest cost. In this situation, the vendor wants to maximize his profit and financial health. In this paper, we develop a model of one vendor and many retailers with delay in payment and considering time value of money. Since the vendor has two contradictive goals, goal programming approach is used in the model and Genetic Algorithm (GA) approach is employed to solve the problem. A numerical example and sensitivity analysis with different retailer demand, retailer payment ability and vendor credit limit are shown to illustrate the model. The results show that vendor prefer to delivers products to retailer with high payment ability to achieve his goals.

## **Keywords**

Sustainable business, delay of payment, time value of money, optimization

## **1. Introduction**

In today economy situation many organizations struggle to have sustainable business. Many retailers, especially in developing countries, have financial problems. They ask their vendor for delay in payment. Although delay in payment will affect the vendor financial balance, but the vendor will agree with delay in payment structure to keep sustainable order and attract retailers to order more. In this paper we want to analyze the effect of delay in payment for vendor by considering the vendor's profit and the vendor's financial condition.

Researches about delay in payment have been conducted intensively in recent years since delay in payment affect retailer order quantity (Chung, 1998). Jamal *et al.* (2000) developed a deteriorating inventory model considering credit period to the retailer for payment without penalty. They found that the retailer always choose an option to pay after the permissible credit period. Teng *et al.* (2005) analyzed the effect of permissible delay in payments with difference between selling price and purchase cost for one retailer and one supplier. They concluded that the order quantity and economic replenishment interval generally increases under permissible delay in payments. Carter and Auken (2005) concluded that a business prefers to involve permissible delay in payments when risk level appears high to minimize his accounts receivable. Yang and Wee (2006) found that permissible delay in payment is a win-win strategy for sharing profit in a collaborative strategy. Shah (2006) analyzed deteriorating inventory model by considering permissible delay in payments and time value of money. An integrated vendor retailer model by considering permissible delay in payment and profit sharing was developed by Jaber and Osman (2006). Jaggi and Khanna (2009) analyzed retailer's procurement policy under the effects of permissible delay in payments, inflation and time value of money. Darwish and Goyal (2010) found that permissible delay in payments impact supply chain decisions such as vendors' production lot size and number of shipments. Most of research above only considered one objective function.

Genetic algorithm (GA) is a search method developed by Holland (1975) and it is inspired by natural biological evolution. Since the GA has quite good performance in reasonable computation time, it has been using to solve many problems. Genetic Algorithm (GA) method is one of heuristic methods that mimic the natural evolution process and has been used widely to solve NP-hard problems. GA has been used intensively in supply chain problems. Maiti *et al.* (2006) solved a constrained non-linear mixed-integer programming problem for multi-item inventory model with discount using GA. The GA in their paper used Roulette wheel selection, arithmetic crossover and non-uniform mutation. They concluded that the GA can be extended to solve other inventory models. Torabi *et al.* (2006) developed a supply chain model with lot and delivery scheduling. They formulated the problem as a mixed integer non linear program (MINLP) and solve it using a hybrid genetic algorithm. They incorporated a neighbourhood search into a basic GA. Pourakbar *et al.* (2007) developed a model and solution for four-stage supply chain system. They tried to get optimal order quantity for each stage in order to minimize total cost of the supply chain. They used GA to derive the solution. Pasandideh *et al.* (2008) used GA to solve a production inventory model for multi products, discrete delivery and constrained space. The problem is formulated as a non-linear integer programming model (NILP). Kannan *et al.* (2010) applied GA to solve a mixed integer linear programming model (MILP) for a closed loop supply chain model. The authors concluded that GA performs well in term of solution quality and computation time for large size problem.

Michaelraj and Shahabudeen (2009) analyzed replenishment policies with delay of payment and considering financial safety. They set two different objective functions, which are financial safety and maximizing total profit. We extend their model by combining the vendor's financial safety and maximizing total profit in one goal programming model and considering time value of money. Vendor likes to increase his profit by giving the retailers delay in payment; however he also has limited financial constraint. We will analyze percentage of supplier's demand that will be delivered by the vendor considering vendor's profit and financial condition. Number of items delivered by vendor depends on supplier credit that has been paid. In this paper we assume there are one vendor and few retailers that have different financial ability. In this paper, we try to analyze the benefit of delay in payment policy offered by vendor to his retailers. Section one explain the background of the problem and literature review. The mathematical model is formulated in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe the operators that are used in Genetic Algorithm. Section 3 shows a numerical example and some analyses of the calculation results and some interest conclusions and future research are shown.

## 2. Mathematical Model

In this model, we analyze a vendor strategy to handle some retailers using permissible delay in payment under varies payment ability. The vendor wants to maximize his profit and keep his financial safety. Some assumptions are using in this model as follows:

1. Retailer's demands are fixed in one contract period.
2. Retailer's payments are stochastic.
3. The payments policy has been set up in the contract and it cannot be changed during one contract period.
4. Contract period is fixed.
5. Suppliers have to pay all off their liability at the end of contract period.
6. Vendor has a right to decide number of products delivery if suppliers credit over the limit.
7. Vendor use lot for lot batching rules, so inventory cost can be ignored.

In this paper notations below are used:

$C_v$	= vendor price per unit
$L_{i,j}$	= vendor delivery units to supplier $i$ at time $j$
$D_{i,j}$	= demand of supplier $i$ at time $j$
$B_{i,j}$	= credit of retailer $i$ at time $j$
$P_{i,j}$	= payment of retailer $i$ at time $j$
$PR_i$	= percentage delivery to retailer $i$
$S_i$	= credit limit for retailer $i$
$U_j$	= percentage payment of retailer $j$
$IE$	= vendor interest earned
$VP$	= Total vendor profit
$IR$	= total vendor interest cost



$MP$  = maximum vendor profit  
 $PAR$  = vendor's planned account receivable  
 $dP^-$  = deviation of vendor's profit to the maximum profit  
 $dF^-$  = deviation of vendor's cash flows  
 $T$  = contract period  
 $R$  = number retailers

In this model, the vendor goal is to minimize deviation of vendor profit and vendor cash flows. The normalized vendor's goal function can be modeled as follows:

$$\text{Minimize } z = \frac{dP^-}{MP} + \frac{dF^-}{PAR} \quad (1)$$

The vendor total profit is equal to total income minus interest cost and he wants to get profit as much as the maximum profit. The maximum profit can be derived if all retailers pay all of his order directly after the vendor deliver the products. The vendor total cost in  $T$  contract period and  $R$  retailers is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^T (P_{i,j} - B_{i,j}IR) + dP^- = MP \quad (2)$$

The vendor also does not like to have too much account receivable. He set a target of account receivable and tries to minimize his total account receivable with his target. The account receivable goal can be modeled as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^T B_{i,j} + dF^- = PAR \quad (3)$$

The vendor account receivable for retailer  $i$  at time  $j$  is equal to interest earned from previous period minus payment of retailer plus total selling value to retailer. The vendor account receivable can be modeled as follows:

$$B_{i,j} = IE(B_{i,j-1}) - P_{i,j} + C_v D_{i,j} \quad \forall i, j \quad (4)$$

We assume that at the end of planning period, suppliers will pay all of his liability. One has:

$$B_{i,T} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (5)$$

Due to budget limitation, the vendor has delivery policy for each retailer. If retailer credit position is under credit limit then the vendor will deliver equal to the retailer's demand, but if retailer credit is higher than the credit limit, the vendor only delivers a predetermined percentage number of retailer's demand. This situation can be modeled as:

$$L_{i,j} = \begin{cases} D_{i,j} & \text{if } B_{i,j} \leq S_i \\ PR_i D_{i,j} & \text{if } B_{i,j} > S_i \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Retailer payment ability can be divided in three categories which are low, medium and high. The payment ability will affect retailer payment. If retailer's credit is equal or smaller than retailer's credit limit, then retailers does not have to pay, otherwise retailers have to pay their liability as much as total liability minus credit limit. This condition can be modeled as follows:

$$P_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } B_{i,j-1} \geq S_i \\ U_j(C_v L_{i,j} + B_{i,j-1}) - S_i & \text{if } B_{i,j-1} > S_i \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The percentage delivery rate of retailer  $i$  is set from 0 to 1.

$$0 \leq PR_i \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

### 3. Genetic Algorithm

In this paper, we use Genetic Algorithm method to solve the problem because the problem is too complex to be solved using analytical method. The genetic algorithm method is developed as follows:

### 3.1. Chromosome

The chromosome (allele) is a real and it is used to represent percentage delivery to retailer  $i$  ( $PR_i$ ). The minimum value is zero and the maximum value is equal to 1. The chromosome is represented as binary and an example of chromosome is as follows:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0

The value of chromosome is equal to  $(0x2^0+1x2^1+1x2^2+0x2^3+0x2^4+1x2^5+1x2^6+0x2^7)/355 = 102/355 = 0.287$

### 3.2. Initial population

The initial chromosome population is generated randomly and the population size is equal to 20. The initial chromosome consist of variable percentage delivery to retailer  $i$  ( $PR_i$ ).

### 3.3. Evaluation of Fitness

A fitness function is calculated using the normalized vendor's goal function (eq. 1). The fitness function has to be minimized. The fitness function is calculated using some constraint as shown in equation 2 to 8.

### 3.4. The Parent Selection

The parent selection is used to pick two chromosomes as parents. Using the parents, we will generate two new chromosomes that are known as children. This model uses the roulette wheel method with lot size equal to their fitness for reproduction. Because the objective is to minimize revenue, a solution with a lower fitness value has greater probability to be selected.

### 3.5. Genetic Operators

Genetic operators are used to derive a better solution for each generation. Genetic operators consist of elitism, crossover and mutation. In this study, the population size is set at a constant through successive generation. In each generation, elitism is set and crossover and mutation are used to generate new children.

#### 3.5.1. Crossover

Crossover is used to get better solutions around existing solutions. We used two points crossover function with crossover probability is equal to 0.8. In the two point crossover, two positions are picked randomly from parent chromosome and every allele between the two points of the parents is swapped. The example of two point crossover is shown below.

If we have two parents as below:

Parent 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0

Parent 2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0

and the two point crossover have position 2 and 4, then we have two children as follows:

Child 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0

Child 2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0

#### 7.5.2. Mutation

Mutation is used to prevent the solutions trapped in local optimum solutions. The mutation scheme is uniform with mutation probability is equal to 0.05. In this scheme, one parent is picked randomly and one position picked

randomly from the parents and then changed the value of the allele. The example of the mutation scheme is shown below. If we have one parent chromosome as:

Parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0

and the mutation position is point to third position, then the value of third position is switched from 1 to 0, and we have new child as:

Child

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0

### 3.6. Stopping Criterion

The stopping criterion for Genetic Algorithm depends on the number of generations. If the number of generations is greater than the value previously determined, then stop. We used 100 numbers of generations when the solutions have been convergence. In the next section, a numerical example is given to illustrate the theoretical results.

## 4. Numerical Example

We will use a numerical example to analyze the model. The numerical example data are generated randomly, however we consider all possibility situations in reality. We consider there are three types of retailer demands and retailer performance payments which are low, medium and high. All demand and payment are generated randomly following uniform distribution. Low demands are from 100 to 200 units, medium demands are form 300 – 600 units and high demands are from 1000 – 2000 units. Percentage of payments represents the financial ability of retailers to pay. Retailers with low ability to pay can pay 30% – 50% of his credit, medium ability retailers can pay 50% - 70% of his credit and high ability retailer can pay 70% – 100% of his credit. The detail data is shown in Table 1. The numerical example using ten business periods and since the retailer’s payments are stochastic, we use 25 replications. The solution is derived using genetic algorithm (GA) method.

Table 1. Data for different retailer types

Retailers	Demand		Payment	
	Type	Quantity	Type	% of payment
1	Low	U(100-200)	Low	U(30-50)
2	Low	U(100-200)	Medium	U(50-70)
3	Low	U(100-200)	High	U(70-100)
4	Medium	U(300-600)	Low	U(30-50)
5	Medium	U(300-600)	Medium	U(50-70)
6	Medium	U(300-600)	High	U(70-100)
7	High	U(1000-2000)	Low	U(30-50)
8	High	U(1000-2000)	Medium	U(50-70)
9	High	U(1000-2000)	High	U(70-100)

We analyze the vendor goal and the vendor decision variables using different credit limit. We use credit limit for each retailers equal to one month, two months and three months of his average demand in one business period. The detail results can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. The Vendor's goal and % of delivery for different credit limit

Retailers	1 month	2 months	3 months	Average
1	0	0	0.365	0.122
2	0.302	0.333	0.206	0.280
3	0.254	0.984	1	0.746
4	0	0.032	0.111	0.048
5	0.016	0	0.921	0.312
6	0.032	0.873	0.857	0.587
7	0	0	0.032	0.011
8	0	0	0.905	0.302
9	0.984	1	1	0.995
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.176</b>	<b>0.358</b>	<b>0.600</b>	

The vendor goal for one month credit limit is 1.475, 1 for two months credit limit and 0.773 for three months credit limit. It means when vendor offer bigger credit limit, then deviation of the vendor is lower. Table 2 shows that for one month credit limit, in average vendor only deliver 17.6% of his retailers demand. Percentage of product delivery increases as credit limit increases, however the vendor cannot send full delivery since there are credit limit constraint. The vendor delivers small percentage of demand for retailers with low demand with medium and high payment ability (retailer 2 and 3). The vendor does not deliver products to retailer with low payment ability (retailer 1). The vendor also does not take risk to deliver products to vendor with medium demand (retailer 4, 5 and 6). The vendor delivers almost full demand for retailer with high demand and high payment ability (retailer 9). However, the vendor does not want to take risk to deliver products to retailers with high demand but have low and medium payment ability (retailer 7 and 8). The vendor tends to deliver more products when he offers higher credit limit. Table 2 also shows that vendor prefers to delivers more products to retailers with good payment ability. In this model, retailers with high demand are not assured to get high percentage delivery if their payment ability is not high. The model shows that vendor delivers small quantity item to retailers with low payment ability and full quantity demand to retailers with high ability payment. When vendor has limited credit, for the long run permissible delay in payment will not gives benefit for retailers with low payment ability.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we analyze the effect of permissible delay in payment for one vendor and many suppliers by considering vendor profit and vendor account receivable goals. The model is solved using genetic algorithm method. A numerical example is used to analyze the model. The solutions show that credit limit affect vendor's goal and decisions. Vendor prefers to deliver more products to retailers with high payment ability to achieve his goals. In this model, retailers payment are generated randomly and the goals are analyzed only from vendor. In the future research, this model can be extended by considering retailer's goals. Different vendor-retailers policies such as integrated model and Stackelberg game also can be considered.

## References

- Carter R.B., and Auken H.V., Bootstrap financing and owners' perceptions of their business constraints and opportunities, *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 129-144, 2005.
- Chung K.J., A theorem on determination of economic order quantity of permissible delay in payments, *Computers & Operations Research*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 49-52, 1998.
- Darwish M.A. and Goyal S.K., A single-vendor single-buyer supply chain under conditions of permissible delay in payments, *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 57-72, 2010.
- Holland, K.H., 1975. Adaptation in natural and artificial system. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Jaber M.Y., and Osman I.H., *Coordinating a two-level supply chain with delay in payments and profit sharing*, vol. 50, pp.385-400, 2006.
- Jaggi C.K. and Khanna S., The retailer's procurement policy with credited-linked demand under inflationary conditions, *International Journal of Procurement Management*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 163-179, 2009.
- Jamal A.M.M., Sarker B.R., and Wang S., 2000. Optimal payment time for a retailer under permitted delay of payment by the wholesaler, *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 59-66, 2000.

- Kannan G., Sasikumar P. and Devika K, A genetic algorithm approach for solving a closed loop supply chain model: A case of battery recycling, *Applied mathematical Modelling*, 34(3), 655-670, 2010.
- Maiti A.K., Bhunia A.K., and Maiti M. An application of real-coded genetic algorithm (RCGA) for mixed integer non-linear programming in two storage multi-item inventory model with discount policy, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 183, 903-915, 2005.
- Michaelraj L.A. and Shahabudeen, Replenishment policies for sustainable business development in a continuous credit based vendor managed inventory distribution system, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 56, pp. 160–166, 2009.
- Pasandideh S.H.R, and Niaki S.T.A, A genetic algorithm approach to optimize a multi-products EPQ model with discrete delivery orders and constrained space, *Applied mathematics and Computation*, 195, 506-514, 2008.
- Pourakbar M., Farahani R.Z., and Asgari N., A joint lot-size model for an integrated supply network using genetic algorithm, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 189, 583-596, 2007.
- Shah N.H., Inventory model for deteriorating items and time value of money for finite time horizon under permissible delay in payments, *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 9–15, 2006.
- Teng J.Y., Chang C.T., and Goyal S.K., Optimal pricing and ordering policy under permissible delay in payments, *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 121–129, 2005.
- Torabi S.A., Fatemi Ghomi S.M.T., and Karimi B, A hybrid genetic algorithm for the finite horizon economic lot and delivery scheduling in supply chains, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 173, 173-189, 2006.
- Yang P.C., and Wee H.M., A collaborative inventory system with permissible delay in payment for deteriorating items, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 43, no. 3-4, pp. 209–221, 2006.