ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2

PROCEEDING

of The 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics

MICEEI 2010

Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH) South Sulawesi, Indonesia

27-28 October 2010

~ Electrical Power Engineering
~ Telecommunications
~ Control, Electronics and Instrumentations
~ Computer & Informatics Engineering

IEEE INDONESIA SECTION

IEEE COMSOC INDONESIA CHAPTER

IEEE MTT/AP CHAPTER INDONESIA

Organized by:

Electrical Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering
Hasanuddin University

ISBN 978-602-8509-15-2

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI),
27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, © 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Foreword

Hasanuddin University, Makassar, INDONESIA and the IEEE Indonesian Chapter have agreed to jointly sponsor the Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI). The First MICEEI was held on November 13-14, 2008, and it was decided to hold the event bi-annually in the even numbered years in Makassar. This MICEEI being held on October 27-28, 2010 is the second one. The other international event jointly sponsored by Hasanuddin University and the IEEE Indonesian Chapter was the International Workshop on Modern Research Methods in Electrical Engineering (IWoRMEE). It is held also bi-annually in the odd numbered years, either in Makassar or elsewhere. The First IWorMEE was hosted by the Hasanuddin University Department of Electrical Engineering in Makassar last year.

Informatics is a relatively new study program in the Indonesian academia, but it is quite a fast growing discipline. We decided to integrate this new discipline into the Department of Electrical Engineering – and accommodated research papers in this area in this conference – not without reasons. Several sub-disciplines of Informatics such as software engineering, algorithm analysis and development, pattern recognition, etc., are very familiar to the Electrical Engineering world, especially the Computer Engineering specialization. The papers presented in the Second MICEEI. 2010 cover a broad spectrum of both Electrical Engineering discipline (including the Electrical Power and Energy Engineering, Telecommunication, Control, Electronic and Computer Engineering) and Informatics. All presented papers as well as the text version of keynote speeches are printed in the proceedings.

I wish to thank all members of the Organizing Committee, especially the International Advisory and Program Committee, session chairpersons and authors of all papers, all of whom have contributed in large measure to the success of this 2nd MICEEI 2010. We all indebted to the distinguished keynote speakers and the Hasanuddin University's Vice Rector for Academic Affairs and the Dean of Engineering who have agreed to address the plenary session in the first day of the conference. I also wish to express my sincere thanks to our Secretary General, Dr. Elyas Palantei, the Department Chairman and Secretary, Dr. Zahir Zainuddin and Ms. Zaenab Muslimin respectively, for their enormous work in carrying out many detailed tasks to make this 2nd MICEEI possible. Finally, I would like to sincerely thank all of our friends and partners in the electrical engineering industrial world and academia who have contributed greatly to this event.

R. S. Sadjad
Conference Chairperson

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2,
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Organizing Committee

Supervisory Committee:

Dr. Syahrul Yasin Limpo, S.H, M.Si, M.H (Governor of Sulawesi Selatan)
Ir. Ilham Arief Sirajuddin, MM (Mayor of Makassar)
Prof.Dr.dr. Idrus Paturusi, SPBO (Rector of Universitas Hasanuddin)
Dr. Ir. Wahyu H. Piarah, MSME (Dean of Engineering Faculty, UNHAS)

General Chair:

Rhiza S. Sadjad, Universitas Hasanuddin(UNHAS), Indonesia

Co-Chair:

Zahir Zainuddin, Head of Electrical Engineering Department, UNHAS, Indonesia

International Advisory Committee:

Nadjamuddin Harun, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia (Chair)
Muhammad Tola, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia (Co-Chair)
Muhammad Arief, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia
David Victor Thiel, CWMA, Griffith University, Australia (IEEE Senior Member)
Mary-Anne Williams, University of Technology Sydney (UTS), Australia
Kazi M. Ahmed, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand (IEEE Senior Member)
Tapio J. Erke, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand
Arnold Djiwatampu, (IEEE Indonesia Section Chair)
Burhanuddin Yeop Majlis, Universitas Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM)(IEEE Senior Member)
Eko Tjipto Raharjo, Universitas Indonesia(IEEE Indonesia MTT/AP-S Joint Chapter)
Takashi Hiyama, Kumamoto University, Japan (IEEE Senior Member)
Josaphat Tetuko Sri Sumantyo, Chiba University, Japan (IEEE Senior Member)
Manfred Glesner, Darmstadt Univ. of Technology, Germany (IEEE Fellow)
Adang Suwandi, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Indonesia
Tumiran, Universitas Gadjah Mada(UGM), Indonesia
Dadang Achmad Suryamihardja, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia

International Program Committee:

Salama Mandjang, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia (Chair)
Zulfajri B. Hasanuddin, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia (Co-Chair)
Mazlina Esa, UTM, Malaysia (IEEE Malaysia Section AP/MTT/EMC Joint Chapter)

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, © *2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

R.M.A.P Rajatheva, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand
T.Sanguankotchakorn, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand
Tsuyoshi Usagawa, Kumamoto University, Japan
Hassan Bevrani, University of Kurdistan, Iran (IEEE Senior Member)
Budiono Mismail, Universitas Brawidjaya (UNIBRAW), Indonesia
Burhanuddin Mohd Aboobaider, UTEM, Malaysia
Pekik A Dahono, (IEEE Indonesia Joint Chapter of Education, Electron Devices, Power Electronics & Signal Processing Societies)
Tri Adiono, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Indonesia
Eniman Syamsuddin, Bandung Institute of Technology (ITB), Indonesia
Wahidin Wahab, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
Edi Lukito, Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM), Indonesia
Anton Satria Prabuwono, Universitas Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Malaysia
Arief Hamdani Gunawan,PT.Telekomunikasi Indonesia TbK.,(IEEE Indonesia Secretary)
Kuncoro Wastuwibowo, IEEE Comsoc Indonesia Chapter
Rini Nur Hasanah, Universitas Brawidjaya (UNIBRAW), Indonesia
Armin Lawi, Universitas Hasanuddin (UNHAS), Indonesia
Syafaruddin, Kumamoto University, Japan
Andreas Vogel, Visiting Lecturer of Universitas Hasanuddin, Germany
Faizal Arya Samman, Darmstadt Univ. of Technology, Germany

Local Organizing Committee:

Secretariat:

Elyas Palantei (IEEE Member)(Chair)
Muhammad Anshar
Fitrianti Mayasari
Merna Baharuddin
Ikhlas Kitta
Andi Ejah Umraeni Salam
Elly Warni
Adi Wahyudi
Mustakim
Electrical Engineering Student Association-HME UNHAS (Member)

Treasure Committee:

Zaenab Muslimin, (Chair)
Novy Nurrahmillah AM
Ansar Suyuti
Sri Mawar Said

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, © *2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Publication Committee:

Tahir Ali (Chair)
Muchtar Saleh
Rachmat Santosa
Nien Khamsawarni Nauman
Herman Rombe
Syafruddin Syarif
Electrical Engineering Student Association-HME UNHAS

Local Arrangement Committee:

Gassing (Chair)
Subaer Kanata
Christoforus Yohannes
Andani Achmad
Indrajaya Mansur
A Toyib Rahardjo
Sri Wahyuni Awaluddin (Politeknik Kesehatan Makassar)
Electrical Engineering Student Association-HME UNHAS

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, *© 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Performance Analysis of Dijkstra, A* and Ant Algorithm for Finding Optimal Path Case Study: Surabaya City Map

Leo Willyanto Santoso, Alexander Setiawan, Andre K. Prajogo
Informatics Department, Faculty of Industrial Engineering
Petra Christian University
Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131 Surabaya, 60236
leow@petra.ac.id

1. Introduction

In the programming world there are so many algorithms that can be used to do an optimal path finding, for example Dijkstra, Ant and A* algorithm. However, there has been little work on the benchmarking in terms of the performance analysis of these algorithms [3, 7]. In this paper, we compare the performance of Dijkstra, Ant and A* algorithm to better know the characteristic of each algorithm when finding optimal path of certain route.

It would need the appropriate algorithm to search the optimal route, therefore, the purpose of this research is to explore what a good routing algorithm by comparing the 3 types of algorithms that can be used to solve the problem route search is: Ant algorithm, Dijkstra and A * in hopes of finding the best algorithm for searching a route.

The problems to be solved in this research are as follows:

1. How to implement an optimal routing algorithm in this application.
2. How to create a user friendly and easily understandable application.
3. How to set the constraints in this application.
4. How to implement an optimal routing algorithm on several goals at once.

The purpose of this research is to make an application to compare search algorithm routes between the three algorithms used in the search for optimal route so that the results of the third comparison of these algorithms can be determined which algorithms are suitable for searching the optimal route.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents an overview of current proposal for dealing with routing algorithm. Section 3 depicts the approach that we have delineated to solve the proposed problems. Moreover, the performance of proposed methods were discussed. Finally, section 4 concludes the paper.

2. Background

In graph theory, the shortest path problem is the problem of finding a path between two vertices (or nodes) such that the sum of the weights of its constituent edges is minimized. An example is finding the quickest way to get from one location to another on a road map; in this case, the vertices represent locations and the edges represent segments of road and are weighted by the time needed to travel that segment [2, 6].

Multiple destination path finding problem is problem to find a solution path which must pass through several places at once. This problem can be solved by two approaches, brute force and heuristic [1].

2.1 Ant Colony Algorithm

This algorithm is aiming to search for an optimal path in a graph, based on the behavior of ants seeking a path between their colony and a source of food.

The original idea comes from observing the exploitation of food resources among ants, in which ants' individually limited cognitive abilities have collectively been able to find the shortest path between a food source and the nest. The first ant finds the food source (F), via any way (a), then returns to the nest (N), leaving behind a trail pheromone (b). Ants indiscriminately follow four possible ways, but the

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2,
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

strengthening of the runway makes it more attractive as the shortest route. Ants take the shortest route; long portions of other ways lose their trail pheromones [5].

- An ant will move from node *i* to node *j* with probability:

$$p_{i,j} = \frac{(\tau_{i,j}^{\alpha})(\eta_{i,j}^{\beta})}{\sum(\tau_{i,j}^{\alpha})(\eta_{i,j}^{\beta})} \quad (1)$$

where,
$\tau_{i,j}$ is the amount of pheromone on edge *i,j*
α is a parameter to control the influence of $\tau_{i,j}$
$\eta_{i,j}$ is the desirability of edge *i,j* (a priori knowledge, typically $1/d_{i,j}$, where d is the distance)
β is a parameter to control the influence of $\eta_{i,j}$

- It must visit each city exactly once.
- Pheromone Update:
$\tau_{i,j} = (1-\rho)\tau_{i,j} + \Delta\tau_{i,j}$
where
$\tau_{i,j}$ is the amount of pheromone on a given edge *i,j*
ρ is the rate of pheromone evaporation
$\Delta\tau_{i,j}$ is the amount of pheromone deposited, typically given by

$$\Delta\tau_{i,j}^{k} = \begin{cases} 1/L_k & \text{if ant } k \text{ travels on edge } i,j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where L_k is the cost of the *k*th ant's tour (typically length).

2.2 Dijkstra Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm is a graph search algorithm that solves the single-source shortest path problem for a graph with nonnegative edge path costs, producing a shortest path tree.

In the following algorithm [4], the code u := vertex in *Q* with smallest dist[], searches for the vertex *u* in the vertex set *Q* that has the least dist[*u*] value. That vertex is removed from the set *Q* and returned to the user. dist_between(*u*, *v*) calculates the length between the two neighbor-nodes *u* and *v*. The variable *alt* on line 13 is the length of the path from the root node to the neighbor node *v* if it were to go through *u*. If this path is shorter than the current shortest path recorded for *v*, that current path is replaced with this *alt* path. The previous array is populated with a pointer to the "next-hop" node on the source graph to get the shortest route to the source.

```
 1  function Dijkstra(Graph, source):
 2      for each vertex v in Graph:           // Initializations
 3          dist[v] := infinity               // Unknown distance function from source to v
 4          previous[v] := undefined          // Previous node in optimal path from source
 5      dist[source] := 0                     // Distance from source to source
 6      Q := the set of all nodes in Graph
        // All nodes in the graph are unoptimized - thus are in Q
 7      while Q is not empty:                 // The main loop
 8          u := vertex in Q with smallest dist[]
 9          if dist[u] = infinity:
10              break
              // all remaining vertices are inaccessible from source
11          remove u from Q
12          for each neighbor v of u:         // where v has not yet been removed from Q.
13              alt := dist[u] + dist_between(u, v)
14              if alt < dist[v]:             // Relax (u,v,a)
15                  dist[v] := alt
16                  previous[v] := u
17      return dist[]
```

An upper bound of the running time of Dijkstra's algorithm on a graph with edges *E* and vertices *V* can be expressed as a function of $|E|$ and $|V|$ using the Big-O notation.

For any implementation of set *Q* the running time is $O(|E| \cdot dk_Q + |V| \cdot em_Q)$, where dk_Q and em_Q are times needed to perform decrease key and extract minimum operations in set *Q*, respectively.

The simplest implementation of the Dijkstra's algorithm stores vertices of set *Q* in an ordinary linked list or array, and extract minimum from *Q* is simply a linear search through all vertices in *Q*. In this

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, © 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

case, the running time is $O(|V|^2 + |E|) = O(|V|^2)$. For sparse graphs, that is, graphs with far fewer than $O(|V|^2)$ edges, Dijkstra's algorithm can be implemented more efficiently by storing the graph in the form of adjacency lists and using a binary heap, pairing heap, or Fibonacci heap as a priority queue to implement extracting minimum efficiently. With a binary heap, the algorithm requires $O((|E|+|V|)\log |V|)$ time (which is dominated by $O(|E| log |V|)$, assuming the graph is connected), and the Fibonacci heap improves this to $O(|E|+|V| \log |V|)$.

2.3 Algoritma A* (A Star)

A* (pronounced "A star") is a computer algorithm that is widely used in path finding and graph traversal, the process of plotting an efficiently traversable path between points, called nodes. As A* traverses the graph, it follows a path of the lowest *known* path, keeping a sorted priority queue of alternate path segments along the way. If, at any point, a segment of the path being traversed has a higher cost than another encountered path segment, it abandons the higher-cost path segment and traverses the lower-cost path segment instead. This process continues until the goal is reached [8, 9].

The time complexity of A* depends on the heuristic. In the worst case, the number of nodes expanded is exponential in the length of the solution (the shortest path), but it is polynomial when the search space is a tree, there is a single goal state, and the heuristic function h meets the following condition:

$$|h(x) - h^*(x)| = O(\log h^*(x))$$

where h^* is the optimal heuristic, the exact cost to get from x to the goal. In other words, the error of h will not grow faster than the logarithm of the "perfect heuristic" h^* that returns the true distance from x to the goal

3. Implementation and Testing

In this section, discussed the use and testing of application. Testing process conducted by performing the test route search using three existing path finding algorithms with multiple destinations at once and by providing constraints. Applications have been tested on computers with Intel ® Core2Duo processor specifications ™ T5550@1.83 GHz with 2 GB of memory.

Using this application, users can directly search the route because the application has been entered the point - the crossing point and the points are already connected, however, the user must enter a first starting point and desired point to do a search and choosing one among the three algorithms that have been provided. Figure 1 shows entering the destination and choosing the algorithm.



Figure 1: Entering Destination and Choosing Algorithm on Application

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, *© 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

After entering the destination and choose the algorithm that is used then the user can press the search button after that will show the route through which the route, mileage and duration of the search process route. This can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Route Search Result

Testing the application carried out by comparing the results of the 3 existing algorithms, the testing conducted are as follows:

- Testing the running time of algorithm
- Testing the correctness of the calculation in the program
- Testing mileage generated by the algorithm

There are two kinds of testing method of multiple destination on this application, heuristic methods and the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) where the heuristic method has better speed but with less accuracy. The test is done with destination " AE - U - E - G - BJ - AP ". Here are the test results and TSP heuristic method:

Table 1: Testing Dijkstra's algorithm with heuristic methods

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	140
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	141
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	141
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	140
5.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	140

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, *© 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Table 2: Testing A* Algorithm with Heuristic methods

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	109
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	109
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	109
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	124
5.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	94

Table 3: Testing Ant Algoritma Ant *with* Heuristic *methods*

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	14133
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	13946
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	13884
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	13604
5.	A-B-C-D–**E**-**U**-**AE**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK–**BJ**-BK-AZ-AY-AS-AP-AL-AJ-AH-AE-AF-T-S-R-Q-**G**	3007.61	13993



Figure 3: The output of *Heuristic* Method Testing

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, *© 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Table 4: The testing of *Dijkstra* Algorithm with TSP Method

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9454
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9485
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9453
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9484
5.	A A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9438

Table 5: Testing of A* Algorithm with TSP Method

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9313
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9328
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9298
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9327
5.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	9422

Table 6: Testing of Ant Algorithm with TSP Method

No.	Point passed (with destination AE – U – E – G – BJ – AP)	Distance traveled (meter)	Time (ms)
1.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	25155
2.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	26005
3.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	25990
4.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	25600
5.	A-B-C-D–**E**-F–**G**-Q-R-S-T–**U**-AH-AJ-AL–**AP**-AS-AY-AZ-BK-**BJ**	2260.96	26083

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2,
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

Figure 4: The output of TSP Method

From the test results can be concluded that the route search with heuristic methods can provide results faster but produces a much longer route, whereas when using the TSP method the time required to perform the search requires more time than the heuristic method, but produces a shorter route. However, there is also a condition in which the two methods produce similar results.

Our simulation show that the ant algorithm is not good enough to be used for path finding if compared to the Dijkstra and A* algorithm because lack of accuracy and stability and the duration for the process is far slower. However, under varying traffic conditions, Ant algorithm could adapts to the changing traffic and performs better than other shortest path algorithm. Moreover, the Dijkstra and A* algorithm could be developed more because the duration is still fast enough.

4. Conclusions

Based on the results of the implementation and testing program that has been done, it can be concluded that:

- Ant algorithm is not suitable for path finding algorithm because it is less stable and requires a long time to do a search.
- Dijkstra's algorithm and the algorithm A* provide optimal results in a fairly quick time.
- The more destinations you are looking for the longer process is needed.
- The constraint on the road does not affect the long process required. The constraint could affect the result of the search route depending on some conditions.
- Heuristic methods have a faster running time but sometimes gives a longer route, while the TSP method has a little longer running time but produces a much shorter routes than heuristic methods.
- Heuristic methods and TSP could provide the same results under certain conditions.

The emphasis of this paper was on feasibility – identification of possible approaches and development of methods to put them into practices. The evaluation of performance and the reliability of methods was proposed in this paper. Firstly, benchmarking for performance evaluation indicates for which method is

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, *© 2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

the most efficient and effective from response time point of view. The next concern is the quality of the result.

References

[1] Champandard, Alex J. *How to Calculate Paths to Multiple Destinations*. Retrieved September 10, 2009 from http://www.aigamedev.com, 2003.

[2] Cormen, T.H., Leiserson, C.E., Rivest, R.L., & Stein, C. *Introduction to algorithms* (2nd ed.). Massachusetts: The MIT Press. 2001.

[3] Dariusz Król , Łukasz Popiela, Modelling Shortest Path Search Techniques by Colonies of Cooperating Agents, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Computational Collective Intelligence. Semantic Web, Social Networks and Multiagent Systems, October 05-07, 2009, WrocBaw, Poland, 2009.

[4] Dijkstra, E. W. "A note on two problems in connexion with graphs". *Numerische Mathematik* **1**: 269–271, 1959.

[5] Dorigo, M., Maniezzo,V. & Colorni, A., Ant System: Optimization by a Colony of Cooperating Agents. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics–Part B, 26, 1-13*, 1996.

[6] Khan, Md. Mujibur Rahman. *Ant System to find the shortest path*. Paper presented at the 3rd International Conference on Electrical & Computer Engineering. Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2004.

[7] Lin Zhang , Li Xiaoping, The research and improvement of AntNet algorithm, Proceedings of the 2nd international Asia conference on Informatics in control, automation and robotics, p.505-508, March 06-07, 2010, Wuhan, China, 2010.

[8] Nillson, N.J. *Artificial intelligence: A new synthesis*. San Fransisco: Morgan Kauffman Publishers, 1998.

[9] Russel, S. & Norvig, P. (2003). *Artificial Intelligence: A modern approach* (2nd ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2003.

Proceedings of 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI), 27-29 October 2010, Makassar Golden Hotel (MGH), Makassar, Indonesia
ISBN: 978-602-8509-15-2, © *2010 Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Hasanuddin*
Supported by IEEE Indonesia Section, IEEE APS/MTT Indonesia Joint Chapter, and IEEE Communication Society (Comsoc) Indonesia Chapter

MICEEI2010

The 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics

Certificate of Appreciation

This is to certify that

LEO WILLYANTO SANTOSO

has participated as

SPEAKER

In **The 2nd Makassar International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (MICEEI 2010)** organized by Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia. The event is held at Makassar Golden Hotel, Makassar, Indonesia, on 27 - 28 October 2010. His/Her has contributed to the overall succes of the event.

Dr. Ing. Ir. Wahyu H. Piarah, MSME
Dean Of Engineering Faculty

Dr. Ir. Zahir Zainuddin, Msc
Head of Electrical Engineering Department

Dr. Ir. Rhiza S Sadjad, MSEE
General Chairman

Department of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Hasanuddin University