

Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review

GJBSSR

Vol. 3 (1) July-Sept. 2015



A scientific journal published by Global Academy of Training & Research



Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review

About the Journal

Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review (GJBSSR) best practices in finance and accounts diversity, research, academia and social sciences. It is directly beneficial for research scholar, academicians, trainers, policy makers and finance professionals. The journal publishes high quality research papers in business, social science and their interface. All empirical methods are included but not limited to, qualitative, quantitative, experimental, and combination methods.

GJBSSR provides a forum for exchange of knowledge among academicians and researchers for advancement of research in financial management. We prefer empirically oriented papers, but do not exclude review articles or theoretical contributions provided that they are of high quality and relevant to the journal's aims. Among empirical papers, we prefer those that are relevant to a broad international audience, i.e., papers covering a range of countries or analysing topics that are clearly relevant outside a single country.

The purpose of GJBSSR is to improve communications between, and within, the academic and other research communities and policymakers. Operational decision makers at financial institutions - private and public, national and international, and their regulators.

GJBSSR accepts submission of mainly four types: original articles, short communications, reviews, and proposals for special issues.

Objectives

GJBSSR aims to persuade Malaysian academic journal publishers to publish their journals on the web and to enhance access to the contents of their journals to the worldwide community, in addition to develop the visibility of contents, consequently, hopeful convention moreover generating credentials to articles published.

Scope

Areas relevant to the scope of the GJBSSR include: *Accounting, Law, Finance, Education, Banking, Political Science, Economics, Psychology, Management, Communication & Culture, Marketing and Society.*

GJBSSR

Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review

Editor-in-Chief:

Kashan Pirzada, Ph. D

Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR), Malaysia

President:

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid, Ph. D

Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Malaysia

Associate Editors:

Prof. Dr. Danture Wickramasinghe, Ph.D.
University of Glasgow, UK

Prof. Dr. Kamran Ahmed, Ph.D.
La Trobe Business School, Australia

Prof. Dr. Gabriël A Moens, Ph. D
Curtin University, Australia

Prof. Dr. Bjoren Willy Aamo, Ph.D.
University of Norland, Norway

Editorial Staff:

Rasulkhon Shukurov
Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR), Malaysia

Nur Hazira Md Alimuddin
Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR), Malaysia

Editorial Office:

Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR)

Suite 15, Taman Bukit Angkasa, Jalan Pantai Dalam, 59200 Kuala Lumpur
Tel: +603-2201 1665 Mob: +6017-369 0275
Email: gjbssr.officer@gmail.com
Website: www.gjbssr.org

Editorial Board Members

Prof. Dr. Milena Popov, Ph.D.

The City University of New York, USA

Prof. Dr. Wynand Grobler, Ph.D.

North West University, South Africa

Prof. Dr. Steven Tam, Ph.D.

Fort Hays State University, USA

Prof. Dr. Fouzia Naem Khan, Ph.D.

SMI University, Pakistan

Prof. Dr. Yasushi Suzuki, Ph.D.

Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University, Japan

Prof. Dr. Lucy Xu, Ph.D.

Macro think Institute, USA

Prof. Dr. Nadir Kolachi, Ph.D.

Skyline University College, UAE

Prof. Dr. Nayan Kanwal, Ph.D.

University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Abdul Hamid, Ph.D.

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Siah Hwee Ang, Ph.D.

Victoria University, New Zealand

Prof. Dr. Osayimwense Osa, Ph.D.

American University of Ras Al Khaimah, UAE

Prof. Dr. Michele Ambaye, Ph.D.

Pau Business School, Pau, France

Prof. Dr. Suzan Abed, Ph.D.

Applied Science Private University, Jordan

Prof. Dr. Shobha Sundareshan, Ph.D.

Maharani Lakshmi Ammanni College for Women, India

Prof. Dr. Iwan Triyuwono, Ph.D.

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Suleiman Al Sardy, Ph.D.

Al Balqa Applied University, Jordan

Prof. Dr. Subramanian

Arumugam, Ph.D.

Kalasalangam University, India

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nuraisyah Chua

Abdullah, Ph.D.

Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Muhammad Umar Draz, Ph.D.

UCSI University Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Dr. Martin Surya Mulyadi, Ph.D.

Bina Nusantara University, Indonesia

Dr. Mohd Zulhairi Bin Mustapha, Ph.D.

University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. Noor Sharoja Binti Sapiei, Ph.D.

University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. Mohammad Jameel, Ph.D.

University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. Ali Alashwal, Ph.D.

University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. Aleš Kocourek, Ph.D.

Technical University of Liberec, Czech Republic

Dr. Kausar Saida, Ph.D.

Emirates College for Management and IT, UAE

Dr. Maniam Kaliannan, Ph.D.

The University of Nottingham, Malaysia

Dr. Mukhtar E. Eltaweel, Ph.D.

Misurata University, Libya

Dr. Toto Rusmanto, Ph.D.

Binus Business School, Indonesia

Dr. Smitha Dev, Ph.D.

Abu Dhabi University, UAE

Dr. Mohamed I. Mugableh, Ph.D.

Irbid National University, Jordan

Dr. P.Lalitha, Ph.D.

BITS Pilani, India

Dr. Sharifah Syahirah Syed Sheikh, Ph.D.

Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Rohana bt Kamaruddin, Ph.D.

Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Emin Cihan Duyan, Ph.D.

ANadolu University, Turkey

Dr. Bashir Said AbulQaraya, Ph.D.

Zayed University, UAE

Dr. Caleb Chen, Ph.D.

Hong Kong Baptist University, China

Abstracting/ Indexing:

Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review (GJBSSR) is being indexed with following organizations: *Cabell Publishing, Inc., Ulrichsweb, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), JournalSeek, IndexCopernicus, ProQuest, Gale, The Australian Research Council (ARC), Massmann International Booksellers, Malaysian Citation Centre (MCC), Google Scholar, Citefactor and CrossRef.*



Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review

Vol. 3 (1) July - Sept. 2015

Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| Foreword | i |
| Regular Articles | |
| An Investigation of Credit Risk Management Strategies of Private Commercial Banks of Bangladesh <i>Abu Hanifa Md. Noman, Md. Amzad Hossain, Sajeda Pervin</i> | 1-12 |
| The Protective Designation for Urban Conservation and Heritage Development in George Town, Penang <i>Kamarudin Ngah , Mohd Fitri Abdul Rahman, Zainal Md. Zan & Zaherawati Zakaria</i> | 13-21 |
| The Effects of Brand Experiences, Satisfaction and Trust on Building Brand Loyalty; An Empirical Research on Global Laptop Brands <i>Adilla Anggraeni, Desyra Sukma Dewanthi, Vivian Lim</i> | 22-28 |
| "The Village Creative Industries": Solution Strengthening Regional Competitiveness In The Asean Economic Community (AEC) (Study Case In Kendang Jimbe Industry At Blitar, East Java) <i>Yenny Kornitasari</i> | 29-34 |
| Nursing Documentation: Culture Perception, Motivation, and Commitment (Study in Paru Batu Hospital Malang East Java Indonesia) <i>Agni H. Pratiwi, Armanu, Dewi K. Ningsih</i> | 35-42 |
| Alternatives Management of Erosion and Sediment in Wanggu River Estuary at Kendari City Southeast Sulawesi Province <i>Weka Adi Suryawan, Ahmad Syarif Sukri, Yuspian Gunawan, Romi Talanipa</i> | 43-52 |
| Analysis of Internet Banking Using Technology Acceptance Model by Experience as Variable Moderation <i>Muchriana Muchran, Ana Mardiana, Grace T.Pontoh</i> | 53-60 |
| A study: History of Buddha by using the Field Studies at Buddhamonthon <i>Weerachai Singkha</i> | 61-65 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| Public Relations Performance Based on Perception of Media Workers <i>Anang Sujoko</i> | 66-71 |
| Impacts of Marketing Mix on the Usage of Library Services towards Library Users' Satisfaction <i>Aqilah Jamaludin, Razilan Abdul Kadir, Dang Merduwati Hashim, Masitah Ahmad, Ahmad Nazri Mansor</i> | 72-78 |
| The Impact of Behavior of Tax Inspection Report Application User on Tax Performance Approach Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) on Tax Office Madya Makassar. <i>Tenriwaru, Amiruddin, Grace T. Pontoh</i> | 79-85 |
| Qordul Hasan; The Solution for Traditional Financial "Helping Hand" Instrument Trap <i>Asfi Manzilati</i> | 86-90 |
| A Comparative Study on the Work Reward Preferences between Generation X and Generation Y <i>Tengku Elena Tengku Mahamad, Amirah Mardhiah Khairil Annuar, Wan Soraya Wan Abdul Ghani</i> | 91-97 |
| Visitor Perception on Food Court Servicescape the Study of Two Shopping Center Food Courts in Indonesia <i>Astrid Kusumowidagdo</i> | 98-106 |
| Using Digital Simulation as An E-Learning Tool to Create Dynamic Learning in Architecture Students <i>Sujatavani Gunasagaran, M Tamilsalvi Mari</i> | 107-114 |
| The Coverage of Islam/Muslims and Terrorism in International Media <i>Sofia Hayati Yusoff, Rosninawati Hussin, Siti Nubailah Mohd Yusof</i> | 115-121 |
| Integrated Sectoral Planning Through The Region Industrial Agglomeration Mapping That Promote Agricultural Sector In Semarang Regency <i>Shanty Oktavilia, Fafurida, Moh Khoiruddin</i> | 122-131 |
| Do Ethical Climate and Machiavellianism Affect Ethical Perceptions of Earnings Management? Evidence From Indonesia <i>Avi Sunani, Bambang Subroto, Yeney W. Prihatiningtias</i> | 132-140 |
| The Impact of Service Innovation on Tourist Satisfaction: Evidence from the Malaysian Tourism Industry <i>Boon Liat Cheng</i> | 141-156 |
| Right Issue: The Impact to Abnormal Return, Share Liquidity and Company's Financial Performance (Empirical Study at Companies listed at Indonesia Stock Exchange) <i>Nikolas Aldo, Ratnawati Kurnia</i> | 157-165 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| Performance of State Owned Enterprises in Indonesia and Malaysia <i>Citra Sukmadilaga, Shamsheer Mohamad Ramadili Mohd, Taufik Hassan</i> | 166-174 |
| Redistribution Adjusts Efficiency In Economy; Islamic Paradigm <i>Dwi Retno Widiyanti</i> | 175-186 |
| Family-Work Enrichment and Individual Outcomes Among Employees Public Sector Organization <i>Endang Dhamayantie, Eddy Suratman, Sukma Indra</i> | 187-195 |
| Psychological Determinants of Online Disclosure on Facebook: Differences between Indonesian and Polish users <i>Rahkman Ardi, Dominika Maison</i> | 196-213 |
| The Analysis of Intellectual Capital Performance of Islamic Bank in Indonesia <i>Radhiyatul Fitriyeni, Dr. Yurniwati, SE, M.Si, CA, Ak</i> | 214-221 |
| Environment Uncertainty Implication on Supply Chain Integration (SCI) and Supplier's Performance Relation in the Construction Sector <i>Fahmy Hermawan</i> | 222-230 |
| Audit Firm Tenure, Audit Firm Size and Audit Quality <i>Padri Achyarsyah, Molina</i> | 231-239 |
| Principals' Developmental Leadership Behaviors: Validating a Scale with Structural Equation Modelling <i>Fanny Kho Chee Yuet, Hamidah Yusof, Syed Ismail Syed Mohamad</i> | 240-250 |
| Evaluating Employees' Commitment in Islamic Work Ethics Perspective <i>Nur`Ain Achim, Hairunnisa Ma`amor, Norhidayah Mohd Rashid</i> | 251-259 |
| The Impacts of IFRS Adoption on Financial Statement Quality for Firms Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange <i>Inten Rachmawati Abuda, Felizia Arni Rudiawarni</i> | 260-267 |
| Analysis of External Environmental Forces and Company Resources Its Influence on Strategic Orientation, Absorptive Capability and Value Creation and Their Implication on Business Performance: A Survey on Courier Services Companies in Indonesia <i>Hana Suryana</i> | 268-277 |
| Understanding Employee Psychological Contract and Job Satisfaction <i>Hairunnisa Ma`amor, Norhidayah Mohd Rashid, Nur`ain Achim, Nurul Atikah Zainal</i> | 278-285 |
| Developing measurement of value creation in Malaysian Government Linked Companies using the Delphi technique <i>Nik Herda Nik Abdullah, Jamaliah Said</i> | 286-294 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| The Roles and Competencies of Human Resource Professionals within Human Resource Transformation in Sidoarjo and Pasuruan Manufacturing Industries <i>Nazaruddin Malik</i> | 295-306 |
| Review on Process Representation in Accounting Information System <i>Hamzah Ritchi, Dini Rosdini</i> | 307-314 |
| The Impact of Capital Structure on Financial Performance of the listed Agriculture Companies in Indonesia <i>Kauri Dwitya Noor, Imelda Suardi</i> | 315-324 |
| Factors Affecting Work Outcomes on the Educators' Continuous Usage Behaviour of Learning Management System (LMS): A Proposed Conceptual Framework <i>Nabilah Mohd Fisol, Mohamed Najib Salleh, Siti Norezam Othman</i> | 325-332 |
| Reducing Labor Turn Over Through Succession Plan in Indonesian Textile Industry: The Pipeline Management Analysis <i>Misbahuddin Azzuhri</i> | 333-340 |
| The Effect of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Indonesia <i>Mariana Ing Malelak, Sautma Ronni Basana</i> | 341-348 |
| Diffusion of Innovations on E-Customs Business to Government IT: Developing E-Government Services New Concepts and Technologies <i>Maria Mia Kristanti, SE., MM.</i> | 349-356 |
| Conceptualising Consumers' Purchase Intention towards Online Group Buying <i>Liew Yean Sien, Mohammad Falahat</i> | 357-366 |
| The Delivery of Service in Forming the Image of the Hospital and Patients Satisfaction to Gain Patients Loyalty in Kajuruhan Kepanjen Hospital Malang Regency <i>Mamik, Sunarti, Sri Rahayu</i> | 367-375 |
| The Influence of Strategic Orientation and Absorptive Capability on Value Creation of Courier Service Industry in Indonesia <i>Hana Suryana, Agus Rahayu, Disman, Lili Adi Wibowo</i> | 376-384 |
| The issue of Assessment Tax Arrears: A Case Study of the Melaka Historic City Council, Malaysia <i>Shamsinar Rahman, Hardev Kaur Latchimanan Singh, Zaliha Hussin, Zulkifli Baharuddin</i> | 385-392 |
| Decentralization and Local Autonomy in South Korea: Lessons for Malaysia <i>Nor Zaini Zainal Abidin, Kuppusamy Singaravelloo</i> | 393-399 |

Foreword

Global Journal for Business & Social Science Review (GJBSSR) is a refereed international quarterly journal having an advisory panel from world's leading universities and business schools. the journal welcomes articles in all areas of Management and Business. Both theoretical and applied manuscripts are considered for the journal. The journal employs double blind and peer reviewed process. The primary goal of GJBSSR is to provide opportunities for Academicians and Corporate leaders from various business related fields in a global realm to publish their paper in one source.

In order to bring quality to the published work in GJBSSR, we have established an internationally renowned large Editorial Board, consisting of excellent scholars and well-qualified subject specialists. This is to ensure that the research published is filtered through double-blind peer review process thus bringing accuracy, clarity and quality to the work published.

This issue contains 45 articles. The authors of these articles come from different countries, namely, Britain, Australia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Bangladesh. The articles cover a wide range of topics, from Accounting, Finance, Banking, Marketing, Economics, Management, Law, Education, Political Science, Communication & Culture, Psychology and Society.

I sincerely hope that this journal will contribute to furthering business and social sciences research by exploring alternative methods, frameworks and issues, and delegates' career development in particular.

Furthermore, the GJBSSR is getting more international each year, which is and indicator that is getting worldwide known and recongnized. Scholars from all over the world contributed to the issue of the journal. Special thanks are to all the reviewers, the member of international editorial board and editorial staff.

I hope that you will enjoy reading the papers.

Best regards,

Editor In Chief

Kashan Pirzada, CA, MBA, MA, MS, PhD.

Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR), Malaysia.

E-mail address: kashan@gatrenterprise.com



The Effect of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Indonesia

Mariana Ing Malelak^{1*}, Sautma Ronni Basana²

¹Finance Program Department of Management Faculty of Economics Petra Christian University, Siwalankerto
121-131, 60236, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Finance Program Department of Management Faculty of Economics Petra Christian University, Siwalankerto
121-131, 60236, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Objective – The main purpose of this research is to examine the effect of corporate governance on firm performance. The corporate governance characteristics was represented by the board structure (board of commissioner, board of director and independent commissioner) and ownership structure (institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership), while the proxy of firm performance is return on equity.

Methodology/Technique – This research used data from Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX) period 2004-2014 with purposive sampling method and panel data regression analysis as data analysis method.

Findings – The empirical result indicate that board of director, independent commissioner, institutional ownership and public ownership in a company has significant effect on firm performance, otherwise the board of commissioner and managerial ownership has no significant effect on firm performance. Overall, all of the independent variables (board and ownership structure) have significant effect on firm performance.

Novelty – The use of long research period during 2000 to 2014 allows to see the consistency of the application of corporate governance in Indonesia since 2001. Confirmed that Corporate Governance (Board and Ownership Structure) have significant effect on firm performance in Indonesia.

Type of Paper: Empirical

Keywords: Board Structure; Corporate Governance; Firm Performance; Panel Data Analysis; Return on Equity; Ownership Structure

1. Introduction

Corporate Governance became familiar topic and received much more attention in the emerging market economies. Since 2001, when Enron Xerox, WorldCom gets involved in

* Paper Info: Revised: August, 2015

Accepted: October, 2015

Corresponding author:

E-mail: mariana.ing@petra.ac.id

Affiliation: Faculty of Economics, Petra Christian University

accounting scandals, the credibility of corporate financial reports started to under suspicion. Consequently, a corporate governance mechanism has been a crucial issue discussed again.

A research study in Indonesia, Hamzah and Suparjan (2009), find that the good corporate governance will increase the value of the firm. Corporate governance help direct the corporate system to be more focused, to increase corporate value and shareholder wealth.

The Indonesian Stock Exchange has made an effort to realize good corporate governance, in 20 July 2001 by implementing the regulations of Securities Registration about the Establishment of an Independent Commissioner, these regulations required all companies listed in Indonesian Stock Exchanges to establish an audit committee, independent board and company secretary. In the Private sector, there is also initiated to help disseminate corporate governance in Indonesia, such as forming some institutions, there are Forum for Corporate Governance in Indonesia (FCGI), Corporate Leadership Development in Indonesia (CLDI), Indonesian Institute for Corporate Directorship (IICD) and Indonesian Institute for Corporate Governance (IICG). Each institution has a different activity, but have the same goal of helping the government to socialize the application of corporate governance in Indonesia.

Many characteristics of corporate governance had been identified by Heenetigala & Armstrong (2011) and Zangina et al.,(2009). Zangina et al., (2009) find that board size, leverage and income volatility are significantly determined the firm value (share price), nevertheless inside ownership has no significant influence on firm value (share price). Heenetigala & Armstrong (2011), find positive relationship between governance practices (separate leadership, board composition, board committee) with the firm performance (based on return on equity, return on asset and Tobin's Q). Such a relationship along with this its effect shows that firms have implemented corporate governance strategy, has a higher profitability and share price performances.

Most of the works in the literature have evolved against the backdrop of developing economies, while there is very little known (empirically) about such issues in emerging market economies, like in Indonesia. Besides, there was inconsistency of the results from several prior studies about the influence of corporate governance characteristics and firm performance. Gap phenomenon occurs when there was inconsistencies direction of the relationship among the research variables, in which some researchers stated positively related corporate governance characteristics to firm performance, while some other researchers expressed negatively relationships. Those two reasons are exactly inspired the researcher to examine the effect of corporate governance on firm performance in Indonesia, during the period 2000 to 2014. The reason for choosing the period of 2000 to 2014 is during the period the economic situation in Indonesia has gradually improved after the monetary crisis in 1998.

The results of this study are expected to give a new contribution to the firms, investors, governance and the researchers. The First is to provide evidence about the effects of corporate governance on firm performance in Indonesian firms at the time 2000 - 2014. The Second is for the firms those are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, the result of this research can be used to better manage corporate governance in order to achieve the higher firm performance. For investors the research results can be used to assist investment decision. For the government, they can be trigger to make a good corporate government regulation. Finally, for the scientific research will open new horizons in research and issues of financial management, especially about the issue of corporate governance and firm performance.

2. Literature Review

According to Cadbury Committee, Corporate Governance is a set of rules that define the relationship between shareholders, managers, creditors, the government, employees and internal and external stakeholders in respect of their rights and responsibilities. Since the early work of Berle and Means (1932), corporate governance has focused upon the principal-agent problems arising from the dispersed ownership in the modern corporation. They viewed corporate governance as a mechanism where a board of directors is an essential monitoring device to minimize the problems brought about by the principal-agent relationship. In this context, agents are the managers, principals are the owners and the board of directors act as

the monitoring mechanism. The separation of ownership from management can lead to managers of firms taking action that may not maximize shareholder wealth, but could benefit them and not the owners. Hence a monitoring mechanism is required to protect shareholder interests (Jensen & Meckling 1976).

2.1 Corporate Governance in Indonesia

In Indonesia, several institutions propose a definition of corporate governance, one of the institutions is FCGI (Forum for Corporate Governance in Indonesia). Corporate Governance can be defined as a set of rules that govern the relationship between shareholders, directors (managers), creditors, government, employees and all of the internal and external others that relating to their rights and obligations or in the other words, as a system regulating and controlling the firms (FCGI, 2002). Corporate governance problems arise due to separation between ownership and control of the company (agency theory). Asian Development Bank (ADB) explained that:

The issue of corporate governance arises because of the separation of ownership from control in modern corporations. When salaried managers run companies on behalf of dispersed shareholders, they may not act in shareholders and managers, but also between controlling and minority shareholders, between shareholders and creditors and between controlling shareholders and other stakeholders; including suppliers and workers. A sound corporate governance system should provide effective protection for shareholders and creditors such that they do not deny the return on their investment (Zhuang, 1999).

Some consequences are, first, the owners can be divided into two groups, namely controlling and minority shareholders, it may cause misalignment of interests. In Indonesia, it is very often the case, which the controlling shareholders become the main actors in the control of management and therefore the decision was not appropriate because it may harm the interests of minority shareholders. Second, good corporate governance system should provide effective protection for shareholders and creditors. The protection can be done through an internal mechanism (internal monitoring and control) and external mechanism. The external system of corporate governance consists of, first, the regulations that clarify between shareholders, managers, creditors, government and other stakeholders (legislation defining the rights and obligations), and the second, a wide variety of mechanisms, either directly or indirectly, in term of enforcing regulations.

To ensure the achievement of Good Corporate Governance, firms should pay more attention to several principles: transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence, and fairness. The implementation of Good Corporate Governance can be found in the corporate governance characteristics such as board structure (board of commissioner, board of director and independent commissioner) and ownership structure (institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership). Therefore, we hypothesized:

Corporate governance characteristics that represented by board structure (board of commissioner, board of director and independent commissioner) and ownership structure (institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership) has a significant effect on firm performance.

3. Data and Methodology

3.1 Data

The data used in this study is secondary data in the form of annual financial report of the company listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange during the period of 2000 to 2014. This research takes only the primary and secondary sectors based on JASICA (Jakarta Stock Industrial Classification) Index, and excludes both financial and services companies due to the implication of their regulation. Used purposive sampling technique, based on following criteria:

1. Listed as an Emiten in Indonesian Stock Exchange during 2000 – 2014 (never had a delisting)
2. Issue financial statements yearly
3. The information about board structure (board of commissioner, board of director and independent commissioner), ownership structure (institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership) and firm performance should be mentioned in the financial statements

3.2 Develop the Model

Data used in this study can be categorized as a panel (pooled) data, because used the combination of time series data (15 year period, 2000 – 2014) and cross section data (all primary and secondary firms listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange). Thus, regression model using panel data referred as a Panel Data Regression Model. According to Gujarati, 2004, there are three estimations of panel data regression model: pooled OLS regression model, fixed effect model and random effect model. Statistical test should perform to be assigned the appropriate model. After obtaining the appropriate panel data regression model, the next step is to do a classical assumption test, such as autocorrelation, multicollinearity and heterocedasticity test.

The panel data regression analysis used to explain the relationship between corporate governance characteristics and firm performance, with the basic model:

$$FP_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{Board of Commissioner}_{i,t} + \beta_2 \text{Board of Director}_{i,t} + \beta_3 \text{Independent Commissioner}_{i,t} + \beta_4 \text{Institutional Ownership}_{i,t} + \beta_5 \text{Managerial Ownership}_{i,t} + \beta_6 \text{Public Ownership}_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

Where:

$FP_{i,t}$ = firm performance of firm i at time t

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6$ = coefficient regression of each regressor firm i at time t .

$\varepsilon_{i,t}$ = error term of firm i at time t

Firm Performance is the dependent variable which is a proxy of profitability, measured by:

$$\text{Return on Equity} = \frac{\text{Net Income}}{\text{Equity}} \quad (2)$$

Corporate governance represented by board structure and ownership structure, each of the variables measured by:

a. Board of Commissioner = \sum Number of commissioners (3)

b. Board of Director = \sum Number of active members of the board directors (4)

c. Independent commissioner = \sum Number of independent commissioners (5)

d. Institutional ownership = % of shares owned by institutions (6)

e. Managerial ownership = % of shares owned by managers (7)

f. Public ownership = % of shares owned by the public (8)

Some prior studies, declared that large board of director and board of commissioner has diverse opinion and consensus is difficult to reach, then the efficiency being lower (Lipton ND Lorsch, 1992), but the other study reverse, the larger board implies members with diverse background and viewpoints, which is helpful for the quality of decisions therefore positively significant with the firm performance (Bacon, 1973; Zahra & Pearce, 1989; Kiehl & Nicholson, 2003). The independent commissioner must be independent, because according to the Agency Theory, when a chairman acting ad decision maker and supervisor at the same time, the function of the board to minimize the agency cost could be weaken tremendously, in the end corporate performance goes down (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). Besides, ownership structure has a negative significant effect on firm performance, Berle and Means (1932), stated that ownership dispersion may contribute to agency problems between managers and shareholders or shareholders and debtors, then it will cause the lower firm performance.

4. Results and Discussion

This study uses balance panel data with 50 firms as samples, which are classified as primary and secondary industry and listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange during the period 2000 – 2014.

We use the fixed effect model in panel data regression analysis. This study passes the classical assumption test, there is no autocorrelation, multicollinearity and heteroscedasticity.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

| | ROE | BC | BD | IC | IO | MO | PO |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean | 0.2719 | 4.6520 | 4.9533 | 1.5613 | 0.6877 | 0.0342 | 0.2594 |
| Maximum | 31.4500 | 16.000 | 12.000 | 7.0000 | 0.9960 | 0.6720 | 0.9774 |
| Minimum | -44.4152 | 0.0000 | 2.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -3.7600 |
| Std. Dev. | 2.5033 | 2.1344 | 1.9103 | 1.1051 | 0.2104 | 0.0537 | 0.1859 |
| Observations | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 |

Table 1. shows that from 750 observations, we obtain the average return on equity 27.19%, means that the owner gets return 27.19% from their investments in companies. The average value of board structure: board of commissioner, board of director and independent commissioner are 4.65, 4.95 and 1.56. During the period 2000 – 2014, the average number of commissioners and directors owned by the company is around 4 – 5 persons, and the average of the proportion of the independent commissioners owned by the company is 1-2 persons. Meanwhile the average value of ownership structure: institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership are 68.77%, 3.42% and 25.94%, means that based on the three kinds of ownership structure, the institutional ownership dominated with the highest average value 68.77%.

4.1 Regression Results

We will test the effect direction and the significance between independent variables (board and ownership structure) and dependent variables (firm performance).

Table 2. Regression Results

| Dependent Variable: ROE | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Method: Panel Least Squares (Cross-section weights) | | | | | |
| Sample: 2000 2014 | | | | | |
| Periods Included: 15 | | | | | |
| Cross-sections included: 50 | | | | | |
| Total panel (balanced) observations: 750 | | | | | |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. | R² |
| C | 0.3039 | 0.1149 | 2.6460 | 0.0083 | 0.7792 |
| BC | 0.0016 | 0.0074 | 0.2164 | 0.8287 | |
| BD | -0.0135 | 0.0065 | -2.0942 | 0.0366* | Adjust R² 0.7048 |
| IC | 0.0770 | 0.0152 | 5.0849 | 0.0000* | |
| IO | 0.1136 | 0.1107 | -1.0255 | 0.0355* | |
| MO | -0.4850 | 0.2544 | -1.9069 | 0.0569 | |
| PO | 0.4291 | 0.1275 | -3.3672 | 0.0008* | |
| F Statistics | 10.4646 | | | | |
| Prob (F-Stat) | 0.0000 | | | | |

Based on the regression results table, there are four variables that have a significant effect to firm performance: board of director, independent commissioner, institutional ownership and public ownership. While in overall, corporate governance characteristics (board and ownership structure) have a significant effect to firm performance (probability value of F-statistics = 0.0000 below $\alpha = 0.005$).

Adj-R2 showed 70.48%, means that 70.48% firm performance can be explained by the board and ownership structure (board of commissioners, board of director, independent commissioner, institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership), while the rest 29.52% is explained by the other variables.

The main objective of this study is to examine the effect of corporate governance characteristics on firm performance. Corporate governance characteristics are represented by board structure (board of commissioners, board of director and independent commissioner) and ownership structure (institutional ownership, managerial ownership and public ownership).

Board of director has a negative significant effect on firm performance, means that the increase in board size member will have significant effect to decrease firm performance, this research study shows that the increase of board director seen as something does not benefit to the firm performance, contrast with independent commissioner. An increase in independent commissioner has positive significant effect to firm performance, because the existence of an independent commissioner is expected to help monitor the actions of directors to act in the interest of the company. They believed that independent commissioner is a member of a board of commissioner who are not affiliated with the board of directors, other commission members and controlling shareholders, as well as free of the business relationship or other relationship which could affect its ability to act independently or act solely in the interests of the company.

There are two variables from ownership structure that has a positive significant effect to firm performance, shows that the domination of the ownership by some large institutions proven to force company, they provide best efforts to get a positive contribution to firm performance. Although the public ownership have a small percentage in the ownership structure but also have a significant effect to contribute to increase firm performance.

5. Conclusion and Limitations

Based on panel data regression test, there are four variables of corporate governance characteristics that have significant effect on firm performance during the period 2000-2014, those are board of director, independent commissioner, institutional ownership and public ownership, while the board of commissioner and managerial ownership have no significant effect. Therefore the firm has to pay attention more to the board of director, independent commissioner, institutional ownership and public ownership (firm need to consider properly the decision related to increase or decrease the board size of director or the decision to change the percentage of institutional and public ownership, because every decision will give impact to firm performance). The limitations of this research are:

1. Use of Indonesian company listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange, thus it can enrich the result analysis for various types of Industry.
2. Consider to use control variable to see the impact of corporate governance characteristics to firm performance.
3. Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX) was implementing good corporate governance in 20th of July 2001. Therefore, we need to consider to make research comparison between the periods before and after the implementation of Good Corporate Governance in Indonesia, it could help us to get a clear picture about the implementation of Corporate Governance in Indonesia.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the support of the Faculty of Economics Petra Christian University who gave us the opportunity and allowed us to join GCBSS conference and submit our paper to the Journal. We are also grateful to our family who encouraged us to finish this paper with all their efforts.

References

- Abor, J. 2007. Corporate Governance and Financing Decision of Ghanaian Listed Firms. *Managerial Finance*, Vol. 7 (1): 83-92.
- Bacon, J. 1973. *Corporate directorship practice, member and committees of the board*. New York: The conference board.
- Berle, Adolf and Gardiner Means. 1932. *The Modern Corporation and Private Property*. United States: Transaction Publishers.
- Bertus, Mark., Jahera, J.S. & Yost, Keven. 2009. Capital Structure, Corporate Governance And The Effect of Sarbanes-Oxley. *Corporate Ownership and Control Journal*.
- Brealey and Myers. 2000. *Principles of Corporate Finance*, 6th edition. McGraw-Hill College.
- Brigham, Eugene F., and Philip R. Daves, 2004. *Intermediate Financial Management*, 8th edition, USA : South-Western Co.
- Cooper. 2010. *Business Research Methods*, Tenth Edition. McGraw-Hill.
- Fosberg, R. H. 2004. Agency Problem and Debt Financing: Leadership Structure Effects. *Corporate Governance. International Journal of Business in Society*, Vol. 4 (1): 31- 38.
- Gitman, L. J. 2006. *Principles of Managerial Finance*, 11th edition. Boston: Pearson Education.
- Gujarati. 2004. *Basics Econometrics*, Fourth Edition. McGraw-Hill
- Hamzah and Andhika Suparjan, 2009. *Pengaruh Karakteristik Corporate Governance Terhadap Struktur Modal*. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Bisnis Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Heenetigala Kumudini, Armstrong Anona. 2011. *The Impact of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance in an Unstable Economic and Political Environment: Evidence from Sri Lanka*. 3rd Conference on Financial Markets and Corporate Governance.
- Jensen, M.C. 1986. Agency Cost of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance and Takeovers. *American Economic Review*, Vol. 76 (2), pp. 323-329.
- Jensen, M.C. and Meckling, W.H. 1976. Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency cost, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 76, pp. 305-360.
- Kiehl, G.C and Nicholson. 2002. Real world governance: Driving business success through effective corporate governance. *Monash Mount Eliza Business Review*, Vol 5, pp 17-28.
- Kiehl, G.C and Nicholson. 2003. *Boards that Works: A New Guide for Directors*. Sydney: McGraw Hill.
- Komite Nasional Kebijakan Governance (KNKG). 2006. *Pedoman Umum Good Corporate Governance Indonesia*. www.governance-indonesia.com
- Lipton, Martin and Jay W. Lorsch. 1992. A modest proposal for improved corporate governance. *Business Lawyer* 48, no.1, 59-77.
- Zahra S.A. and Pearce II J.A. 1989. Boards of Directors and Corporate Performance: A review and integrative model. *Journal of Management*, 15(2), 291-334.
- Zangina Isshaq, Godfred A. Bokpin, Joseph Mensah Onumah. 2009. Corporate governance, ownership structure, cash holdings, and firm value on the Ghana Stock Exchange. *Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 10 Iss: 5, pp. 488 – 499.

Zhuang, Juzhong. 1999. Some Conceptual Issues of Corporate Governance. Asian Development Bank: Economics and Development Resource Center.