

[> Editorial Board](#)[> Aims and Scope](#)[> Abstracting/Indexing](#)[> Editorial Policy](#)[> Submission Guidelines](#)[> Online Submission](#)[> Forthcoming Articles](#)[> Current/Past Issues](#)[> Ethics & Malpractice](#)

Eurasian Journal of Business and Management (EJBM) accepts both theoretical and empirical articles that span the entire range of business and management such as audit & accounting, industrial organization, competition policy, entrepreneurship, strategic management, marketing, human resources, MIS, risk management, corporate governance, etc.

Although the priority is given to the papers related to European and Asian economies, all papers from related fields on any region or country are highly encouraged. If you have any inquiries related to *EJBM*, please e-mail us at ejbm@eurasianpublications.com. For submission, please refer to our online submission page.

Call for Papers: Volume:9 Issue:3 Sep'2021**Contact**

Eurasian Publications

(Esra Barakli)

Aksemsettin Mah. Kocasinan Cad.

Erenoglu Is Merkezi

Fatih – Istanbul, TURKEY

Email: journals@eurasianpublications.comCopyright 2013-2021 © Eurasian Publications | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Privacy Statement](#)

veriyaz®

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

**Eurasian Journal of
Business and Management****Eurasian Journal of Business and Management**
Online ISSN: 2148-0206[> Editorial Board](#)[> Aims and Scope](#)[> Abstracting/Indexing](#)[> Editorial Policy](#)[> Submission Guidelines](#)[> Online Submission](#)[> Forthcoming Articles](#)[> Current/Past Issues](#)[> Ethics & Malpractice](#)**Editorial Board****Editors**

Nidžara Osmanagić-Bedenik
(Managing Editor)
University of Zagreb
Croatia

Marco Bisogno
(Co-Editor)
University of Salerno
Italy

Editorial Board

- Iustin Pop Atanasiu, Babes-Bolyai University, Romania
- Adil Hassan Bakheet Khalid, Sohar University, Sultanate of Oman
- Gábor Bóta, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary
- Laura Brancu, West University of Timisoara, Romania
- Po-Hsun Cheng, National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan
- Beatriz Cuadrado-Ballesteros, University of Salamanca, Spain
- Dina Fadaly, Arab Academy for Science & Technology, Egypt
- Asnan Furinto, Binus Business School, Indonesia
- Hugo Gaggiotti, University of the West of England, UK
- Whyeda Gill-McLure, University of Derby, UK
- Tamara Jovanov, University Goce Delcev – Shtip, Macedonia
- Veljko M. Mijušković, University of Belgrade, Serbia
- Eri Nakamura, Shinshu University, Japan
- Pasqualina Porretta, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
- Liza Rybina, KIMEP University, Kazakhstan
- Kashif Saleem, Lappeenranta Univeristy of Technology, Finland
- Irina Sennikova, RISEBA University, Latvia
- Vinay Sharma, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India
- Ivan Strugar, University of Zagreb, Croatia
- Berna Tari Kasnakoğlu, TOBB Economy and Technology University, Turkey
- Andrew R. Thomas, University of Akron, USA
- Teresina Torre, Universiy of Genoa, Italy
- Stella Zounta, University of the Aegean, Greece

Contact

Eurasian Publications

(Esra Barakli)

Aksemsettin Mah. Kocasinan Cad.

Erenoglu Is Merkezi

Fatih – Istanbul, TURKEY

Email: journals@eurasianpublications.com

Copyright 2013-2021 © Eurasian Publications | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Privacy Statement](#)

veriyaz®



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

**Eurasian Journal of
Business and Management****Vol.7 No.1
March 2019**[> Vol.9 No.2 - 2021](#)[> Vol.9 No.1 - 2021](#)[> Vol.8 No.4 - 2020](#)[> Vol.8 No.3 - 2020](#)[> Vol.8 No.2 - 2020](#)[> Vol.8 No.1 - 2020](#)[> Vol.7 No.4 - 2019](#)[> Vol.7 No.3 - 2019](#)[> Vol.7 No.2 - 2019](#)[> Vol.7 No.1 - 2019](#)[> Vol.6 No.4 - 2018](#)[> Vol.6 No.3 - 2018](#)[> Vol.6 No.2 - 2018](#)[> Vol.6 No.1 - 2018](#)[> Vol.5 No.4 - 2017](#)[> Vol.5 No.3 - 2017](#)[> Vol.5 No.2 - 2017](#)[> Vol.5 No.1 - 2017](#)[> Vol.4 No.4 - 2016](#)[> Vol.4 No.3 - 2016](#)[> Vol.4 No.2 - 2016](#)[> Vol.4 No.1 - 2016](#)[> Vol.3 No.4 - 2015](#)[> Vol.3 No.3 - 2015](#)[> Vol.3 No.2 - 2015](#)[> Vol.3 No.1 - 2015](#)[> Vol.2 No.3 - 2014](#)[> Vol.2 No.2 - 2014](#)[> Vol.2 No.1 - 2014](#)[> Vol.1 No.2 - 2013](#)[> Vol.1 No.1 - 2013](#)**Page
Number****Article Information**

1-10

**The Information Asymmetry and Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure***Juniarti***DOI:** 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.001**Abstract**

CSR become one of the highlighted activities, aligned with the requirement to adopt the interest of stakeholders. This study aims to search whether the earnestness of companies to manage CSR as disclosed in their sustainability reporting reduces information asymmetry. The sample consists of the companies that have published sustainability reporting for the period 2008-2014 and listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. The finding of this research are as follow: (1) unlike the previous research that found a reducing of information asymmetry after the issuing of sustainability reporting, this study finds the opposite, that the higher the disclosure of CSR activities, the wider the spread, (2) further, this study finds that the companies which have a negative earning tend to disclose more CSR information (3) The presence of institutional investors representing well-informed investors help the company to ensure the reliability of CSR activity information delivered through Sustainability Reporting.

Keywords: Bid-Ask Spread, Information Asymmetry, Sustainability Reporting

11-17

**Board of Directors And Profitability: The Case of Tunisian Private Banks***Mouna Gouia Ben Zina***DOI:** 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.002**Abstract**

The issue of the impact of the Board of Directors on banking performance is still being discussed. There is indeed a controversy on the topic to the point that drawing clear conclusions is a bit rash. In this paper, our aim then is to extend the issue by measuring the impact of the presence of independent directors, board structure and duality of functions on banking performance. To this end, we use a Generalized Method of Moment (GMM) estimation to study these relationships in a sample of seven private banks in Tunisia observed over the 2008 – 2017 period. We found evidence pointing to the presence of a negative correlation between duality of functions, board size and performance. Conversely, the relationship between independent directors and performance is found to be positive and significant.

Keywords: Banking Performance, Profitability, Independent Director, Board Size

18-30

**Intention to Implement Corporate Social Responsibility – The Role of Religiousness And Ethical Idealism***Marija Ham, Ana Pap, and Helena Stimac***DOI:** 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.003**Abstract**

The awareness of the importance of corporate social responsibility is highly increasing and it has become clear that it is an essential element of sustainable development and social progress. Also research has shown that socially responsible behavior is not anymore a competitive advantage, but an important precondition for competitive parity or surviving in the market. The aim of this research is to determine influential factors of business students' intention to implement corporate social responsibility in their future companies. There is a vast research base dealing with the corporate social responsibility of managers. However, this research is based on the assumption that from the perspective of the possible positive influence, it is necessary to investigate the influences during the time before they become managers in order to develop an effective educational program to increase the awareness and positive attitudes towards corporate social responsibility. The model is based on the

theory of planned behavior and extended with two variables: representation of corporate social responsibility in education which has been determined in previous research, and religiousness and ethical idealism which is a new variable proposed in the present research. Two models were tested, one using three original TPB constructs (attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control) and the other using the extended version of TPB. The latter model confirms the importance of the inclusion of CSR in education and explains the positive role of religiousness and ethical idealism. The level of students' religiousness and ethical idealism is proved to be significant influential factors on students' intention to implement CSR in their future companies.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Theory of Planned Behavior, Religiousness, Ethical Idealism

31-43



Advisor Choice: Influences of Personality Traits, General Attitudes and Suggested Biases

Katarina Kostelic

DOI: 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.004

Abstract

While decision-making process and influences are extensively researched, linking those insights to real-life choices opens various research questions that have yet to be answered. The purpose of this paper is to examine the correlation of personality traits to advisory first-choice in two context situations: solving a financial and a legal issue. The goal is to identify individual's choices, as well as personality traits that correlate to specific advisory choice and offer theoretical and practical insights, as well as to implicitly examine the base for further research regarding the connection of personality traits and general attitudes to biases. Personality traits, value scales and advisory choice preferences were collected online and analyzed using logistic binomial regression models to determine statistically significant variables and possibility of choice prediction. Respondents' answers are also observed regarding biases occurrence, where overconfidence, anchoring, familiarity and trust biases are discussed. Although advisor first-choice models offer a correlation of the personality traits and general attitudes with moderate and high prediction, which enables specific and practical implications; they also indicate a theoretical contribution: it is not possible to outline generalization of influencing variables on similar observed choices. This can mean that two seemingly similar questions represent completely different decision-making contexts for individuals. Theoretical and practical implications are discussed.

Keywords: Advisory Choice, Personality Traits, General Attitudes, Biases

44-64



Corporate Governance and Fraud Detection: A Study from Borsa Istanbul

Burcu Birol

DOI: 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.005

Abstract

Governments give high priority to corporate governance principles after important business scandals. Since the ultimate goal is to enable sustainable life span for the corporations, new regulations and sanctions has been introduced about transparency, and accountability all over the world. The basic corporate governance principles are applied for establishing more reliable financial reporting of firms. In this study, the relationship between corporate governance practices and fraud risk is investigated. There are some studies conducted in literature that investigating fraudulent financial statements, and detection models are tried to be constructed. They are generally based on financial indicators. The aim of this study is to combine financial data with non-financial variables about corporate governance applications, and to construct a fraud detection model via measuring the effectiveness of corporate governance on fraud risk. The hypotheses have been created based on assumptions and tested with the logistics regression. 134 companies listed in Istanbul Stock Exchange have been studied via benefiting their financial statements published between 2010 and 2014, and their corporate governance applications announced. Findings show that the new regulations about corporate governance principles and their applications in Turkey have not made the expected effect on fraud risk yet. On the other hand, profitability and indebt positions of companies have an impact on the risk of preparing fraudulent financial statements, and give clues about the misstatements, consistently with literature.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Fraud, Fraud Detection, Fraudulent Financial Statements, Financial Ratio Analysis

65-73



The Impact of Financial Leverage on Firm Growth: Empirical Evidence from Bosnia & Herzegovina

Jasmina Dzafic and Nedžad Polic

DOI: 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.006

Abstract

Referring to the permanent need for investigation of the corporate finance principles effects on firm growth performance, we present the research of financial leverage effects on firms' growth in Bosnia and Herzegovina. For that purpose we collect a sample of 18 007 firms

growth in Bosnia and Herzegovina. For that purpose, we collect a sample of 10,007 firms from B&H. The sample includes all firms being active in the period 2008-2016, classified in twelve industries, three size levels and situated in two entities (Federacija BiH and Republika Srpska). Along with the impact investigation, we tend to confront the findings with theoretical expectations and suggest certain incentives policy development if appropriate. We apply Stata 15 throughout the empirical research analysis. The findings of the paper call for comprehensive and decisive response in order to turn potentials of external operations and investments financing into true development supporting tool, instead of being temporary solution to cover loss of assets and imbalanced assets to debt structure as the main findings reveal.

Keywords: Financial Structure, Financial Leverage, Firm Growth, Bosnia & Herzegovina

74-84



The Effect of Person-Organization Fit on the Organizational Identification: The Mediating Role of Organizational Attractiveness

Esra Cinar

DOI: 10.15604/ejbm.2019.07.01.007

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the mediator effect of organizational attractiveness on the relationship between organizational identification and person- organization (P-O) fit. To examine the research model, data were collected from 221 employees working in different sectors. By using structural equation model (SEM), the hypothesis of the research was analyzed. The results indicated that there was a significant and positive relationship between P-O fit and organizational identification and organizational attractiveness. Also, a positive and significant relationship was found between organizational attractiveness and organizational identification. Results of SEM showed that organizational attractiveness had a partial mediation effect on the relationship between P-O fit and organizational identification.

Keywords: Organizational Identification, Organizational Attractiveness, Person-Organization Fit

Eurasian Publications > Eurasian Journal of Business and Management > Current/Past Issues > Vol.7 No.1

Contact

Eurasian Publications

(Esra Barakli)

Aksemsettin Mah. Kocasinan Cad.

Erenoglu Is Merkezi

Fatih – Istanbul, TURKEY

Email: journals@eurasianpublications.com

Copyright 2013-2021 © Eurasian Publications | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Privacy Statement](#)

veriyaz®



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

EURASIAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT

www.eurasianpublications.com

THE INFORMATION ASYMMETRY AND CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DISCLOSURE

Juniarti 

Petra Christian University, Indonesia
Email: yunie@petra.ac.id

Abstract

CSR become one of the highlighted activities, aligned with the requirement to adopt the interest of stakeholders. This study aims to search whether the earnestness of companies to manage CSR as disclosed in their sustainability reporting reduces information asymmetry. The sample consists of the companies that have published sustainability reporting for the period 2008-2014 and listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. The finding of this research are as follow: (1) unlike the previous research that found a reducing of information asymmetry after the issuing of sustainability reporting, this study finds the opposite, that the higher the disclosure of CSR activities, the wider the spread, (2) further, this study finds that the companies which have a negative earning tend to disclose more CSR information (3) The presence of institutional investors representing well-informed investors help the company to ensure the reliability of CSR activity information delivered through Sustainability Reporting.

Keywords: Bid-Ask Spread, Information Asymmetry, Sustainability Reporting

1. Introduction

Companies with a high CSR rating provide broader disclosure as a form of their responsibility to stakeholders (Gelb and Strawser, 2001). Cho *et al.* (2013) stated that CSR activities motivate companies to provide public disclosure. CSR disclosure is used as a tool by companies in communicating about the attitudes, policies or actions of the company to stakeholders including the community as a whole on the impact of the company's operational activities on society and the environment (Setyorini and Ishak, 2012; Mathews, 1995; Campbell and Shiller, 1988). Disclosures regarding CSR aspects are not found in the company's financial statements (Jensen and Berg, 2011). Thus, CSR disclosures complement financial (economic) disclosures and help demonstrate the company's efforts and commitment in increasing transparency to investors regarding the company's performance and risk management (Dhaliwal *et al.* 2011; Martinez *et al.* 2015). The higher information disclosed by the company will show the increasing information transparency (Fung, 2014) and will reduce information asymmetry (Diamond and Verrecchia, 1991; Reverte, 2011; Cui *et al.* 2012, 2018; Cho *et al.* 2013; Lopatta *et al.* 2015).

Although there is some evidence that CSR disclosure can reduce information asymmetry, research on the effect of CSR on information asymmetry has not been done much. Some studies have found a significant negative relationship between CSR and information asymmetry among them (Cui *et al.* 2012; Cho *et al.* 2013; Lopatta *et al.* 2015; Lu and Chueh, 2015; Semenescu and

Curmei, 2015; Cui *et al.* 2018; Diebecker and Sommer, 2017). Many prior studies have investigated the direct relationship between CSR and financial performance (Alexander and Buchholz, 1978; Aupperle *et al.* 1985; Guidry and Patten 2010; Hermawan and Mulyawan 2014; Firli and Akbar, 2016).

In Indonesia, CSR and information asymmetry research has also not been systematically summarized, because there have not been many studies exploring CSR disclosure to information asymmetry. Existing researches have focused on the motivation of implementing CSR, the relationship of CSR with performance, and how consumers respond to disclosure of CSR activities (Hidayati and Murni, 2009; Dewi *et al.* 2014; Hermawan and Mulyawan, 2014). This study fills the gap in previous empirical studies, so that more empirical evidence can be obtained regarding CSR, which is theoretically predicted to contribute to the reduction of information asymmetry (Cui *et al.* 2012; Cho *et al.* 2013; Lopatta *et al.* 2015; Lu and Chueh, 2015; Semenescu and Curmei, 2015; Cui *et al.* 2018; Diebecker and Sommer, 2017). Second, this study contributes to broadening previous empirical evidence about a decline in information asymmetry on CSR activities delivered in the Sustainability Reporting.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Corporate Social Responsibility

Stakeholder theory states that the survival and success of a company depend on fulfilling economic and non-economic (social and environmental) goals through meeting the interests of stakeholders (Clarkson, 1995; Pirsch *et al.* 2007; Laplume *et al.* 2008). Stakeholders theory is based on the idea that outside investors, several people or groups have rights or interests related to company actions and decisions (Clarkson, 1995; Branco and Rodrigues, 2007). When companies make strategic decisions, companies must care about the interests of all stakeholders.

CSR is an implementation of Stakeholder theory, representing groups or people that must be considered in the company's business activities (Carroll, 1991; Branco and Rodrigues, 2007; Omran and Ramdhony, 2015). The company is expected to be responsible not only to investors but to all parties by helping resolve social and environmental problems caused by the company (Elijido-Ten, 2007). CSR implies that companies strive to achieve economic performance by considering the social and environmental impacts of their actions on each entity that may be directly or indirectly influenced by the company going forward (Cormier *et al.* 2011; Lu and Chueh, 2015). Van Marrewijk (2003) and Joseph (2009) define CSR as an activity that reflects the company's moral responsibility as a whole for the impact of the company's operational activities on society and environment. In social terms related to employees, human rights, society, and product responsibility (Taskin, 2015). Concerning the environment, it shows how a company pays attention to environmental impacts and through company actions or initiatives to minimize ecological impacts, such as waste management, recycling etc. (Saleh, 2009).

CSR relates to phenomena such as transparency and sustainability report (Van Marrewijk, 2003). Companies with high CSR performance are expected to be able to disclose broader non-financial information, thereby increase the transparency of information for investors (Dhaliwal *et al.* 2011; Martinez *et al.* 2015). In Indonesia, according to BAPEPAM-LK Regulation XK6 concerning Submission of Annual Report of Issuers or Public Companies in 2012, companies have to disclose information on CSR performance in annual reports or separate reports, such as sustainability reports or reports on corporate social responsibility delivered together with an annual report to BAPEPAM.

2.2. Information Asymmetry

Information asymmetry describes a situation where one party has more information (Nestorowicz, 2014; Martinez *et al.* 2015) and does not pass it on to others (Boujelbene and Besbes, 2012). Internal parties, such as management and board of director members (BoD) have more advantages than external stakeholders regard

ing information about company's activities, revenue, events or company decisions that may affect stock prices and performance company in the future (Lopatta *et al.* 2015; Liu *et al.* 2013). Investors need clear information to provide an assessment of the real company (Scordis *et al.* 2008).

When the level of disclosure is inadequate, those who feel they have inadequate information such as investors will protect themselves by giving prices that are lower than the actual price or refusing to do transactions that ultimately lead to an increase in bid-ask spreads (Dhaliwal *et al.* 2011; Thorne *et al.* 2014). This is in line with what Akerlof (1970) put forward that the information asymmetry phenomenon causes a good car to be valued as much as a lemon car because of the widening gap with the real information.

This study uses the bid-ask spread to measure information asymmetry, referring to Cormier *et al.* (2011), Cho *et al.* (2013), Hung *et al.* (2013), Lu and Chueh (2015), Cui *et al.* (2018), Diebecker and Sommer (2017). Bid-ask spread shows the difference between the prices offered at the requested price. The wider the spread shows, the higher information asymmetry (Diebecker and Sommer, 2017).

2.3. Hypothesis Development

CSR activities as the manifestation of the Stakeholder Theory (Carroll, 1991; Branco and Rodrigues, 2007), where the company seeks to accommodate the interests of all stakeholders (Elijido-Ten, 2007). This encourages companies to provide disclosures on CSR activities (Joseph, 2009; Cormier *et al.* 2011; Cho *et al.* 2013; Diebecker and Sommer, 2017). The company's action to provide CSR disclosure is a signal delivered to outside parties that the company is a good company (Mahoney, 2012; Thorne *et al.* 2014). The company does not only strive to achieve economic performance but is also morally responsible for all parties (Cormier *et al.* 2011; Lu and Chueh, 2015). CSR disclosure increases the availability of information for investors (Su *et al.* 2014; Martinez *et al.* 2015).

Higher CSR disclosures will provide additional non-financial information to increase information transparency (Liu *et al.* 2013; Cui *et al.* 2018). This will lead to a decrease in information asymmetry (Diamond and Verrecchia, 1991; Reverte, 2011; Cui *et al.* 2012, 2018; Cho *et al.* 2013; Lopatta *et al.* 2015). With the increasing amount of information, investors are expected to be able to provide an assessment as expected by the company (Kirmani and Rao, 2000; Scordis *et al.* 2008; Dhaliwal *et al.* 2011; Mahoney 2012; Su *et al.* 2014). Investors who have more information about the condition of the company will set a bargaining price that is close to the requested price or in the ask that is approaching the bid. This will then cause the difference between the bid and ask to narrow the bid-ask spread (Akerlof, 1970; Greenstein and Sami, 1994; Cormier *et al.* 2011).

Previous research consistently stated that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) affects information asymmetry. Cormier *et al.* (2011) with a sample of large Canadian companies in 2005, found that social and environmental disclosure reduced information asymmetry, as reflected in the volatility of stock prices and lower bid-ask spreads. Lopatta *et al.* (2015), Cui *et al.* (2012, 2018) and Cho *et al.* (2013) researched US companies to find consistent results that there is an inverse relationship between CSR involvement and the level of information asymmetry.

Similar results were expressed in Hung *et al.* (2013), and Liu *et al.* (2013) who researched companies in China found that CSR can play the same role as financial disclosure in promoting stock market transparency and then affecting investor behavior. With CSR reporting can cause a decrease in information asymmetry. In Indonesia, Hapsoro and Zidni (2015) conducted a study of 167 high-profile companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) found that overall disclosures related to CSR performance had a negative and significant relationship to the bid-ask spread. Based on the explanation above and previous research studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study are:

H₁: The level of CSR disclosure hurts information asymmetry as measured by the bid-ask spread.

3. Research Methodology

This study examines the information presented by the company through CSR disclosures that are reported separately in sustainability reporting. This study includes some control variables such as leverage, negative earnings, liquidity ratios and the proportion of institutional ownership that is a proxy of investors who are well-informed, to test the hypothesis

$$SPREAD = \alpha + \beta_1 TCSR_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 LEV_{i,t-1} + \beta_3 LABNEG_{i,t-1} + \beta_4 LIKUID_{i,t-1} + \beta_5 KINST_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

where:

$SPREAD_{i,t}$ = the average of the bid and ask spread during the stock trading period for the firm i in period i,t

$TCSR_{i,t-1}$ = the level of CSR disclosure from the firm i in period $t-1$

$LEV_{i,t-1}$ = company lever for the firm i in period $t-1$

$LABNEG_{i,t-1}$ = negative earning of firm i in period $t-1$

$LIKUID_{i,t-1}$ = liquidity ratio for the firm i in period $t-1$

$KINST_{i,t-1}$ = institutional ownership of the firm i in period $t-1$

3.1. Variable Operationalization

3.1.1. Bid-Ask Spread (SPREAD)

Bid-Ask Spread is a proxy of information asymmetry. This measurement refers to Cormier *et al.* (2011), Cho *et al.* (2013), Hung *et al.* (2013), Hapsoro and Zidni (2015), Lu and Chueh (2015), Cui *et al.* (2018), Diebecker and Sommer (2017). SPREAD is obtained from the spread at the end of the day divided by the average price of the last bid and ask. Daily spreads are then summed up and divided by the number of trading days for the annual spread. The formula for calculating SPREAD is as follow:

$$SPREAD_i = \frac{\sum_i^n \frac{S_{i,t}}{2 \frac{BID_{i,t} + ASK_{i,t}}{2}}}{n} \quad (2)$$

where:

$SPREAD_i$ = Average bid and ask difference during the stock trading period i

$S_{i,t}$ = Difference between last bid and ask on day t of stock i

$BID_{i,t}$ = Last price offered on day t of stock i

$ASK_{i,t}$ = The last price requested on day t of stock i

n = Number of trading days in a year

3.1.2. Corporate Social Responsibility (TCSR)

The level of disclosure of Corporate Social Responsibility (TCSR) shows how much disclosure of CSR activities carried out by the company through sustainability report (SR). The assessment uses the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) reference, both version 3.0, 3.1, or 4.0 which are core options, following the references used by each company. If the company discloses the criteria in the GRI, then it will be given a score of 1, if not disclosed then given a score of 0. The score is then summed and divided by the total GRI criteria. The total criteria for GRI version 3.0 are 79 criteria, GRI version 3.1 is 84 criteria, while GRI version 4.0 with core options is 91 criteria. The GRI measurement index is also used by many previous studies such as Guidry and Patten (2010), Najah and Jarboui (2013), Hapsoro and Zidni (2015), and Taskin (2015).

$$TCSR = \frac{\Sigma \text{company disclosure}}{\Sigma \text{disclosure as required by GRI}} \quad (3)$$

3.1.3. Leverage (LEV)

Leverage is measured by dividing total debt with total assets, such as Ryan (1996), Cormier *et al.* (2011), Dhaliwal *et al.* (2011), Lopatta *et al.* (2015), and Habbash (2016). Debt is an obligation due to the influx of funds into the company, such as loans.

3.1.4. Negative earning (LABNEG)

Negative earning is part of the company's risk. Negative profit indicates a loss in the company's operations (Hayn, 1995) is determined when the company's profit after tax shows a negative value. This study uses a dummy variable if the company loses the value of 1, and if it does not experience a loss, it is given a value of 0.

3.1.5. Institutional Investors (KINST)

The number of institutional investors is a proxy of investors who have greater information access to the company, measured by the proportion of share ownership by institutional investors. Institutional investors are considered to have more information than individual investors.

3.1.6. Liquidity (LIKUID)

The level of liquidity shows the level of short-term risk of a company, as measured by the portion of current liabilities divided by total current assets.

3.2. Samples

This study assesses CSR disclosures reported by companies through separate reports, known as sustainability reporting. The reason for not using CSR disclosures through annual reports is because companies that individually convey information on CSR activities separately show a high commitment to social and environmental responsibility.

The sample selection in this study follows a purposive sampling technique, where the sample is selected based on the following criteria: (1) the company publishes sustainability reporting, (2) is registered in the GRI database in 2008 - 2014, (3) has been listed on the Exchange Indonesian Securities, and (3) have the data needed to calculate SPREAD. There were 131 observations from 34 companies that met the criteria as research samples.

4. Results

The number of sustainability reporting (SR) published from 2008 to 2014, as many as 250 reports, but as many as 80 reports were published by companies that are not yet listed on the IDX. Besides, four reports do not have a bid and ask data, so they are excluded from the sample. There were 131 SR reports published by 34 companies, which met the requirements as samples. The sample profile is as follows.

On average, companies convey CSR disclosures that are quite high, namely as much as 58.7% of the standard criteria set by the GRI version. Even some companies express fully under GRI, because of the maximum value of 1. SPREAD which represents the existence of information asymmetry from the sample company has a relatively narrow average.

The results of the hypothesis are presented in Table 1, showing that high CSR performance represented by the score of CSR implementation (TCSR) has a positive and significant effect on SPREAD. The higher the TCSR is directly proportional to the increase in SPREAD. Investors have not seen that the score of CSR disclosure is high as an effort to distribute information as widely as possible to the public.

Table 1. Testing hypotheses using 131 observations
Dependent variable: SPREAD

| | <i>Coefficient</i> | <i>t-ratio</i> | <i>p-value</i> |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| TCSR | 0.00332 | 4.175 | <0.0001 |
| LEV | 0.00364 | 4.060 | <0.0001 |
| LABNEG | 0.00827 | 4.706 | <0.0001 |
| LIKUID | -0.00110 | -0.903 | 0.3682 |
| KINST | -0.00054 | -2.890 | 0.0045 |
| R-squared | 0.28185 | Adj.R-squared | 0.25313 |
| F(5, 125) | 9.81210 | P-value(F) | 6.27e-08 |

Following the results of Cho *et al.* (2013) which proves that there is an institutional role of investors in enlarging SPREAD, this study tries to integrate CSR variables and institutional investors (Table 2). The test results show that the existence of institutional investors narrows SPREAD, contrary to previous research. This can be seen from the negative and significant KINST*TCSR interaction coefficient. Institutional investors have high confidence in their private information about the company's CSR activities, thus reducing doubts about the information disclosed in sustainability reporting. Without being interacted with the CSR disclosure score, it turns out that the KINST variable widens the SPREAD (Table 2).

Table 2. Testing of Interaction of Institutional Investors and CSR Score
Dependent variable: SPREAD

| | <i>Coefficient</i> | <i>t-ratio</i> | <i>p-value</i> |
|------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| const | 0.00238 | 2.536 | 0.0125 |
| TCSR | 0.00520 | 4.532 | <0.0001 |
| LEV | 0.00739 | 4.235 | <0.0001 |
| LABNEG | -0.00181 | -1.345 | 0.1811 |
| LIKUID | -0.00057 | -3.330 | 0.0011 |
| KINST | 0.00578 | 2.530 | 0.0127 |
| KINST*TCSR | -0.00542 | -1.845 | 0.0674 |
| R-squared | 0.29072 | Adj.R-squared | 0.25640 |
| F(5, 125) | 8.47085 | P-value(F) | 1.04e-07 |

5. Discussion

The results showed the opposite of predicted that the level of disclosure of corporate social responsibility (CSR) had a significant positive effect on information asymmetry as measured by the bid-ask spread. This result is not consistent with previous studies such as Cui *et al.* (2012), Cormier *et al.* (2011), Cho *et al.* (2013), Hung *et al.* (2013), Liu *et al.* (2013), Lopatta *et al.* (2015), Lu and Chueh (2015), Martinez *et al.* (2015), Semenescu and Curmei (2015), Cui *et al.* (2018), Diebecker and Sommer (2017), which states that CSR has a negative influence on information asymmetry.

This study found that the higher the level of disclosure of corporate social responsibility (TCSR), the higher the information asymmetry, which was reflected in the higher value of the bid-ask spread (SPREAD). Jaggi and Freedman (1992) show that companies that provide disclosure of CSR activities do not receive positive responses from investors. Investors consider the extent of the disclosure provided by the company does not contain additional information for them (Jaggi and Freedman, 1982). Investors suspect the company's actions that provide broad disclosure as a company effort to be seen as a good company.

The follow-up tests conducted above revealed that companies that have negative profits make more CSR disclosures to distract investors from deteriorating operational performance. This answers why investors generally do not believe in the substantial amount of CSR disclosures. Investors have not captured the seriousness of the company to distribute information that occurs on the company's internal.

However, in contrast to previous studies which found that the existence of institutional investors was counterproductive with a decrease in asymmetry information (Cho *et al.* 2013), this study proved otherwise. When institutional investors have private information access to CSR activities carried out by the company, they do not use their superiority for their own benefit. Access to private information on CSR can eliminate investors' institutional doubts that the information submitted is less reliable. Institutional investor confidence will reduce the information gap which can be seen from the narrower bid-ask spread.

6. Conclusion

This study aims to examine the effect of the level of corporate social responsibility disclosure on information asymmetry as measured by the bid-ask spread. Contrary to the results of previous studies, this study found the opposite result, that CSR disclosure through Sustainability Reporting hurts decreasing information asymmetry. The higher counterproductive disclosure scores with a decrease in information asymmetry.

An interesting phenomenon in developing countries, where the level of openness to disclose information is still suspected as an action with specific intentions to divert investor attention to the actual condition of the company. However, the investor's suspicion is entirely rational, given the additional testing results indicate a significant negative correlation between companies that have negative earnings and the extent of CSR disclosures.

However, the existence of institutional investors representing investors who are well-informed is enough to help the company to ensure the reliability of information on CSR activities delivered through Sustainability Reporting. In contrast to the results of previous studies which showed that institutional investors tend to use the superiority of information, they have to maximize their interests, in this study institutional investors also distributed the information they possess to reduce asymmetry information.

This study has limitations because some companies do not continuously submit reports through SR, so consistency in the impact of CSR disclosures on the reduction of information asymmetry cannot be obtained. The number of companies submitting reports on CSR activities through SR is still very little less than 10% of the total companies listed on the IDX, thus limiting the generality of the results of this study.

References

- Akerlof, G., 1970. The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), pp. 488-500. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1879431>
- Alexander, G. J., and Buchholz, R. A., 1978. Corporate social responsibility and stock market performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 21(3), pp. 479-486. <https://doi.org/10.5465/255728>
- Aupperle, K. E., Carroll, A. B., and Hatfield, J. D., 1985. An empirical examination of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and profitability. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28(2), pp. 446-463.
- Boujelbene, Y., and Besbes, L., 2012. The determinants of information asymmetry between managers and investors: a study on panel data. *IBIMA Business Review*, 2012, pp. 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2012.818936>
- Branco, M. C., and Rodrigues, L. L., 2007. Positioning stakeholder theory within the debate on corporate social responsibility. *Electronic Journal of Business Ethics and Organization Studies*, 12(1), pp. 5-15.
- Campbell, J. Y., and Shiller, R. J., 1988. Stock prices, earnings, and expected dividends. *The Journal of Finance*, 43(3), pp. 661-676. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1988.tb04598.x>
- Carroll, A. B., 1991. The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), pp. 39-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(91\)90005-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G)

- Cho, S. Y., Lee, C., and Pfeiffer, R. J., 2013. Corporate social responsibility performance and information asymmetry. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 32, pp. 71-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2012.10.005>
- Clarkson, M. E., 1995. A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 92-117. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9503271994>
- Cormier, D., Ledoux, M. J., and Magnan, M., 2011. The informational contribution of social and environmental disclosures for investors. *Management Decision*, 49(8), pp. 1276-1304. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741111163124>
- Cui, J., Jo, H., and Na, H., 2012. *Does corporate social responsibility reduce information asymmetry?* Working Paper, Leavey School of Business, Santa Clara University.
- Cui, J., Jo, H., and Na, H., 2018. Does corporate social responsibility affect information asymmetry? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 148(3), pp. 549-572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-3003-8>
- Dewi, D. M., Sudarma, M., Djumahir, and Ganis, E., 2014. CSR effect on the market and financial performance. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 3(1), pp. 56-66. <https://doi.org/10.18860/ed.v1i02.2525>
- Dhaliwal, D. S., Li, O. Z., Tsang, A., and Yang, Y. G., 2011. Voluntary non-financial disclosure and the cost of equity capital: The initiation of corporate social responsibility reporting. *The Accounting Review*, 86(1), pp. 59-100. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.00000005>
- Diamond, D. W., and Verrecchia, R. E., 1991. Disclosure, liquidity, and the cost of capital. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(4), pp. 1325-1359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1991.tb04620.x>
- Diebecker, J., and Sommer, F., 2017. The impact of corporate sustainability performance on information asymmetry: The role of institutional differences. *Review of Managerial Science*, 11(2), pp. 471-517. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-016-0195-y>
- Elijido-Ten, E., 2007. Applying stakeholder theory to analyze corporate environmental performance: Evidence from Australian listed companies. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 15(2), pp. 164-184. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13217340710823378>
- Firli, A., and Akbar, N., 2016. Does corporate social responsibility solve ROA problem in Indonesia telecommunication industry? *American Journal of Economics*, 6(2), pp. 107-115.
- Fung, B., 2014. The demand and need for transparency and disclosure in corporate governance. *Universal Journal of Management*, 2(2), pp. 72-80.
- Gelb, D. S., and Strawser, J. A., 2001. Corporate social responsibility and financial disclosures: An alternative explanation for increased disclosure. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 33(1), pp. 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011941212444>
- Greenstein, M. M., and Sami, H., 1994. The impact of the SEC's segment disclosure requirement on bid-ask spreads. *Accounting Review*, 69(1), pp. 179-199.
- Guidry, R. P., and Patten, D. M., 2010. Market reactions to the first-time issuance of corporate sustainability report: Evidence that quality matters. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 1(1), pp. 33-50.
- Habbash, M., 2016. Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosure: Evidence from Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Economic and Social Development*, 3(1), pp. 87-103. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-07-2015-0088>
- Hapsoro, D., and Zidni, M. H., 2015. The effect of corporate social responsibility disclosure on economic consequences: Case study in Indonesia. *Journal of Business and Economics*, 6(9), pp. 1595-1605. [https://doi.org/10.15341/jbe\(2155-7950\)/09.06.2015/005](https://doi.org/10.15341/jbe(2155-7950)/09.06.2015/005)
- Hayn, C., 1995. The information content of losses. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 20(2), pp. 125-153. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101\(95\)00397-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(95)00397-2)
- Hermawan, M., and Mulyawan, S. G., 2014. Profitability and corporate social responsibility: An analysis of Indonesia's listed company. *Asia Pacific Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 3(1), pp. 16-31.
- Hidayati, N. N., and Murni, S., 2009. Pengaruh pengungkapan corporate social responsibility terhadap earnings response coefficient pada perusahaan high profile [The influence of

- corporate social responsibility to the earnings response coefficient in the high profile companies]. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 11(1), pp. 1-18.
- Hung, M., Shi, J., and Wang, Y., 2013. *The effect of mandatory CSR disclosure on information asymmetry: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in China*. In: Asian Finance Association (AFA) 2013 Conference, pp. 1-39.
- Jaggi, B., and Freedman, M., 1982. An analysis of the informational content of pollution disclosures. *Financial Review*, 17(3), pp. 142-152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6288.1982.tb00497.x>
- Jaggi, B., and Freedman, M., 1992. An examination of the impact of pollution performance on economic and market performance: pulp and paper firms. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 19(5), pp. 697-713. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.1992.tb00652.x>
- Jensen, J. C., and Berg, N., 2011. Determinants of traditional sustainability report versus integrated reporting: An institutionalist approach. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 21(5), pp. 299-316. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.740>
- Joseph, A. V., 2009. Successful examples of corporate social responsibility. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 44(3), pp. 402-409.
- Kirmani, A., and Rao, A. R., 2000. No pain, no gain: A critical review of the literature on signaling unobservable product quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(2), pp. 66-79. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.64.2.66.18000>
- Laplume, A. O., Sonpar, K., and Litz, R. A., 2008. Stakeholder theory: Reviewing a theory that moves us. *Journal of Management*, 34(6), pp. 1152-1189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308324322>
- Liu, D., Xu, S., and Yue, Y., 2013. The information contribution of corporate social responsibility disclosure for investors in China. *Journal of Convergence Information Technology*, 8(17), pp. 43-49.
- Lopatta, K., Buchholz, F., and Kaspereit, T., 2015. Asymmetric information and corporate social responsibility. *Business and Society*, 55(3), pp. 1-31.
- Lu, C. W., and Chueh, T. S., 2015. Corporate social responsibility and information asymmetry. *Journal of Applied Finance and Banking*, 5(3), pp. 105-122.
- Mahoney, L. S., 2012. Standalone CSR reports A Canadian analysis. *Issues in Social and Environmental Accounting*, 6(1), pp. 4-25. <https://doi.org/10.22164/isea.v6i1.62>
- Martinez-Ferrero, J., Ruiz-Cano, D., and García-Sánchez, I. M., 2015. The causal link between sustainable disclosure and information asymmetry: The moderating role of the stakeholder protection context. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 4, pp. 1-14.
- Mathews, M. R., 1995. Social and environmental accounting: A practical demonstration of ethical concern? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 14(8), pp. 663-671. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00871347>
- Najah, A., and Jarboui, A., 2013. The social disclosure impact on corporate financial performance: Case of big French companies. *International Journal of Business Management and Research*, 3(4), pp. 337-351.
- Nestorowicz, R., 2014. The information asymmetry and the social responsibility on the food market. *International Journal of Arts and Sciences*, 7(2), pp. 59-68.
- Omran, M. A., and Ramdhony, D., 2015. Theoretical perspectives on corporate social responsibility disclosure: A critical review. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 5(2), pp. 38-55. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v5i2.8035>
- Pirsch, J., Gupta, S., and Grau, S. L., 2007. A framework for understanding corporate social responsibility programs as a continuum: An exploratory study. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 70(2), pp. 125-140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9100-y>
- Reverte, C., 2011. The impact of better corporate social responsibility disclosure on the cost of equity capital. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 19(5), pp. 1-20.
- Ryan, H. A., 1996. The use of financial ratios as measures of risk in the determination of the bid-ask spread. *Journal of Financial and Strategic Decisions*, 9(2), pp. 33-41.

- Saleh, M., 2009. Corporate social responsibility disclosure in an emerging market: A longitudinal analysis approach. *International Business Research*, 2(1), pp. 131-141. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v2n1p131>
- Scordis, N. A., Barrese, J., and Wang, P., 2008. The impact of cash flow volatility on systematic risk. *Journal of Insurance Issues*, 31(1), pp. 43-71.
- Semenescu, A., and Curmei, C. V., 2015. Using CSR to mitigate information asymmetry in the banking sector. *Management and Marketing*, 10(4), pp. 316-329. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mmcks-2015-0021>
- Setyorini, C. T., and Ishak, Z., 2012. Corporate social and environmental disclosure: A positive accounting theory viewpoint. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(9), pp. 152-164.
- Su, W., Peng, M. W., Tan, W., and Cheung, Y. L., 2014. The signaling effect of corporate social responsibility in emerging economies. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134(3), pp. 479-491. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2404-4>
- Taskin, D., 2015. The relationship between CSR and banks' financial performance: Evidence from Turkey. *Journal of Yasar University*, 10(39), pp. 21-30. <https://doi.org/10.19168/jyu.97694>
- Thorne, L., S. Mahoney, L., and Manetti, G., 2014. Motivations for issuing standalone CSR reports: A survey of Canadian firms. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 27(4), pp. 686-714. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-07-2013-1393>
- Van Marrewijk, M., 2003. Concepts and definitions of CSR and corporate sustainability: Between agency and communion. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44(2-3), pp. 95-105. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023331212247>