# palgrave macmillan subjects <</td> Publishing with us < </td> Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management Overview About Issues & Articles For Authors

Editor: Ian Yeoman

**ISSN**: 1476-6930 (print) **ISSN**: 1477-657X (electronic) **Journal no.**: 41272 Associated with:



• Bridges theory and practice to to advance the field of revenue management (RM)

• Affiliated with the INFORMS Revenue Management and Pricing Section

#### **Journal Metrics**

2020 Downloads: 79,871 2019 Scopus CiteScore: 1.4 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.373

Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management (RPM) provides an international forum for a wide range of practical, theoretical and applied research in the fields of revenue management (RM) and pricing. Each article emphasizes meaningful answers to problems, whether cutting edge science or real solutions. The journal places an emphasis on disseminating the best articles from the best minds and benchmarked businesses within the field of Revenue Management and Pricing.

Revenue management, also known as Yield Management (YM), marries the diverse disciplines of operations research/management science, analytics, economics, human resource management, software development, marketing, economics, e-commerce, consumer behaviour and consulting. The journal is committed to publishing a multidisciplinary set of views on key and emerging issues in in the field, and to encouraging greater understanding and linkage between the fields of study related to revenue management and pricing.

Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management publishes practice papers, applied research papers, case studies, models and theories, assessments of the key issues, new trends and future ideas by leading experts and practitioners, and book/conference reviews. It is essential reading for senior professionals in private and public sector organisations and academic observers in universities and business schools.

#### COVID 19 and impact on peer review

As a result of the significant disruption that is being caused by the COVID-19 pandemic we are very aware that many researchers will have difficulty in meeting the timelines associated with our peer review process during normal times. Please do let us know if you need additional time. Our systems will continue to remind you of the original timelines but we intend to be highly flexible at this time.

#### Go to **SpringerLink** to see if you have access

Browse Volumes & Issues	Ø
Read Open Access Articles	Ø
View Online First Articles	Ø

Get access to more content



#### Get informed about Updates

Sign Up for Alerts

## Latest issue



Volume 20, Issue 5, October 2021

Editorial Pricing and revenue management relationships

Ian Yeoman

#### Research Article

Normative decomposition of the profit bridge into the impact of changes in marketing variables Tim J. Smith

>

#### Research Article

Browse Issue

A meta-analysis of hotel revenue management Fatemeh Binesh, Amanda Belarmino, Carola Raab

#### Publish with us

Find out more about how to publish in this journal

>

Browse now

#### Issues & Articles

Latest Issue Free articles Open Access Articles Podcasts Online First Articles

# You're seeing our new journal sites and we'd like your opinion, please send feedback palgrave macmillan Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management Volumes and issues > Volume 18, issue 5 Search within journal For authors Submission guidelines Contact the journal Volume 18, issue 5, October 2019 Special Issue: Pricing & Revenue Management in Fragmented and Segmented Supply Chains Explore 6 articles in this issue Online first articles Volumes and issues Collections Pricing and revenue management in fragmented and segmented supply chains Vipul Jain & Gajanan Panchal Editorial Published: 01 October 2019 Pages: 353 - 354 Analysis of barriers in implementation of Goods and Service Tax (GST) in India using interpretive structural modelling (ISM) approach Manoranjan Kumar, Akhilesh Barve & Devendra K. Yadav Practice Article Published: 02 July 2019 Pages: 355 - 366 An integrated NPV-based supply chain configuration with third-party logistics services Remica Aggarwal & S. P. Singh Research Article Published: 01 July 2019 Pages: 367 - 375 Carrier Pricing decision model for new and remanufactured short life-cycle products with green consumers Shu-San Gan, I Nyoman Pujawan ... Yopi Yusuf Tanoto Research Article Published: 19 July 2019 Pages: 376 - 392 Bullwhip effect in pricing under different supply chain game structures Ziaul Haq Adnan & Ertunga Özelkan 849-15 May-81 May-81 Max-84 Not-89 Sop-82 May-98 May-98 May-98 Not-60 Sop-60 Sop-60 Not-60 Sop-60 Not-60 Research Article Published: 10 July 2019 Pages: 393 - 404 Optimization of stochastic, (Q, R) inventory system in multi-product, multi-echelon, distributive supply chain iler 1 Debabrata Das, Nirmal Baran Hui & Vipul Jain ler 2 Research Article Published: 06 August 2019 Pages: 405 - 418

# Over 10 million scientific documents at your fingertips

Home Impressum Legal information Privacy statement California Privacy Statement How we use cookies Manage cookies/Do not sell my data Accessibility Contact us

Not logged in - 125.164.242.168 Not affiliated **SPRINGER NATURE** 

© 2021 Springer Nature Switzerland AG. Part of Springer Nature.

# Pricing decision model for new and remanufactured short life-cycle products with green consumers

Shu-San Gan, I Nyoman Pujawan ⊠, Didik Wahjudi & Yopi Yusuf Tanoto

*Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management* **18**, 376–392 (2019) Cite this article **112** Accesses **3** Citations <u>Metrics</u>

# Abstract

Remanufacturing has been studied quite extensively during the last decades, as one of product recovery options that could extend product's useful life and mitigate the environmental impact. Rapid innovation in technology accelerates obsolescence and hence product life cycles are getting shorter and wastes build up faster. Remanufacturing of short life-cycle product is an important alternative to mitigate the waste. However, since there are impacts in implementing remanufacturing, such as cannibalization, segmentation, and lower willingness to pay, pricing has become a significant aspect to ensure successful remanufacturing business. Several studies show that there exists green segment consumer, who has higher preference to purchase environmental-friendly products and higher willingness to pay for such products. In this paper, we study manufacturer's and retailer's pricing decision of short life-cycle product considering the green segment consumers, and propose two scenarios i.e. independently optimized profit scenario under Stackelberg game with manufacturer as the leader, and integrated scenario. The results show that the integrated scenario achieves higher profit compared to the independent one, and both players are better off under the integrated decision. We also find that the existence of green segment increases the profit of manufacturer and retailer to a certain level, before it erodes manufacturer's profit when the green level is too high. In addition, price sensitivity of new and remanufactured products, demand's speed of change, and remanufacturing cost influence the optimum prices as well as the optimum green level. For firms that are engaging in remanufacturing, managerial insights are also provided to assist managers in making pricing decisions when there exist green consumers.

This is a preview of subscription content, access via your institution.

# Abbreviations

- *P*<sub>*n*</sub>: Retail price of the new product
- **P**<sub>r</sub>: Retail price of the remanufactured product
- $P_{nw}$ : Wholesale price of the new product  $P_{nw} \le P_n$
- *P*<sub>*rw*</sub>: Wholesale price of the new product
- $\theta$ : Green level
- $D_n$ : Demand capacity of the new product
- $D_r$ : Demand capacity of the remanufactured product

*a*: New product's price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the new product to a change in the price of the new product

**b**: Remanufactured product's cross-price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the new product to a change in the price of the remanufactured product

**c**: Remanufactured product's price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the remanufactured product to a change in the price of the remanufactured product

Access options	
Buy article PDF	
34.95 €	

# Palgrave macmillan subjects <</td> Overview About Issues & Articles For Authors

# Editorial Board Ed

# **Editorial Board**

Connect with us

Ian Yeoman, Associate Professor, School of Management, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

#### Deputy Editor

Editor

Una McMahon-Beattie, Head of Department, Hospitality and Tourism Management, University of Ulster, UK

#### Associate Editors – Pricing

Andreas Hinterhuber, Partner, Hinterhuber & Partners, Austria Stephan Liozu, Adjunct Professor and Research Fellow at Case Western Reserve University, Weatherhead School of Management, USA

#### **Editorial Board**

Academia:

Chris K. Anderson, Associate Professor, School of Hotel Administration, Cornell University, USA Carmen Balan, Professor, School of Marketing, The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania Peter P. Belobaba, Principal Research Scientist, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA Esther Chávez-Miranda, Lecturer, University of Seville, Spain Chih-Chien Chen, Assistant Professor, College of Hotel Administration, University of Nevada, USA Jin Young Chung, Assistant Professor, School of International Trade, Incheon National University, South Korea Catherine Cleophas, Senior Lecturer in Management Science, Lancaster University, UK Andrew J. Collins, Research Assistant Professor, Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Center (VMASC), Old Dominion University, USA Christine Currie, Course Director, MSc in Operational Research, University of Southampton, UK Amy M. Gregory, Assistant Professor, Rosen College of Hospitality Management, University of Central Florida, USA Basak Denizci Guillet, Associate Professor, School of Hotel and Tourism Management, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong Niklas L. Hallberg, Senior Lecturer, Institute of Economic Research, Lund University, Sweden Kostis Indounas, Assistant Professor, Department of Marketing and Communication, Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece Stanislav Ivanov, Vice Rector for Academic Affairs and Research, Varna University of Management, Bulgaria Vipul Jain, Senior Lecturer, School of Management, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand Magnus Johansson, Research Fellow, Institute of Economic Research, Lund University, Sweden Soulaymane Kachani, Professor, Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Colombia University, USA Sheryl Kimes, Professor of Operations Management, School of Hotel Administration, Cornell University, USA Seung Hyun "Jenna" Lee, Assistant Professor, School of Hospitality Management, East Carolina University, USA Patrick Legohérel, Professor, University of Angers, France Christine Mathies, Senior Lecturer, School of Marketing, Australian School of Business, University of New South Wales, Australia Irene Ng, Director, International Institute for Product and Service Innovation (IIPSI), University of Warwick, UK Breffni Noone, Associate Professor, School of Hospitality Management, The Pennsylvania State University, USA Fredrik Odegaard, Assistant Professor, Ivey School of Business, Western University, USA Georgia Ch. Papadopoulou, Assistant Professor, Al Ghurair University, UAE

Lindie du Plessis, Senior Lecturer, School for Business Management, North-West University (NWU), South Africa Jean-Pierre I. van der Rest, Professor of Business Administration, Department of Business Studies, Institute of Tax Law and Economics, Leiden Law School, Leiden University, NL Kare Sandvik, Professor, School of Business, University of Southeast Norway, Norway Marianna Sigala, Professor of Tourism, University of South Australia, Australia Outi Somervuori, Researcher, Aalto University School of Business, Finland Arne K Strauss, Associate Professor, Warwick University, UK Xuan Lorna Wang, Hospitality and Tourism Management, University of Surrey, UK Larry Weatherford, Professor and Scarlett Chair in Business Administration, College of Business, University of Wyoming, USA Nick Yip, Lecturer, Norwich Business School, University of East Anglia, UK In Industry: Bill Brunger, Principal, Brunger Consulting, USA Emmanuel Carrier, Operations Research Consultant, Delta Airlines, USA Kevin Connor, Vice President Cargo, DHL Aviation Americas, USA Thomas Fiig, Director Chief Scientist, Amadeus Airline IT - Research & Development, Denmark Karl Isler, Senior Director and Head of Revenue Management Strategy and Operational Research, Swiss International Air Lines Ltd, Switzerland Sunny Ja, Senior Manager, Operations Research, American Airlines, USA Himanshu Jain, Decision Support Specialist, ICF International, USA Warren H. Lieberman, President, Veritec Solutions, USA Kelly McGuire, Senior Vice President, Revenue Management & Direct Marketing, MGM Resorts International, USA Andrew Pascoe, CEO Margin Fuels, New Zealand Steven G. Pinchuk, Advanced Analytics, Customer Intelligence & Profit Optimization, IBM, USA Stefan Pölt, Head of Revenue Management Tools, Lufthansa German Airlines, Germany Paul Rose, Managing Director, Paul Rose Revenue Management Ltd, UK Mark W. Shafer, Senior Vice President, Revenue & Profit Management, Walt Disney Parks & Resorts, USA Göran Skugge, Senior Partner, PriceGain, Sweden Ben Vinod, Senior Vice President & Chief Scientist, Sabre Holdings, USA Darius Walczak, Principal Research Scientist, PROS Inc., USA Dieter Westermann, Vice President Revenue Management, Etihad Airways,

### Help with

Contacting editors Contacting sales reps eBook collections Help overview Rights and permissions Newsletter

UA

Information for

#### Other sites and products

Macmillan Education Macmillan International Higher Education Palgrave Journals Springer Link Springer Nature

# palgrave macmillan

Palgrave Macmillan publishes journals, monographs and reference books in print and online. About Us

© 2021 Palgrave is part of Springer Nature | Terms of Use • Manage Cookies/Do Not Sell My Data, Privacy Policy, California Privacy Statement

# Palgrave macmillan subjects <</td> Overview About Issues & Articles For Authors

# Editorial Board Ed

# **Editorial Board**

Connect with us

Ian Yeoman, Associate Professor, School of Management, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

#### Deputy Editor

Editor

Una McMahon-Beattie, Head of Department, Hospitality and Tourism Management, University of Ulster, UK

#### Associate Editors – Pricing

Andreas Hinterhuber, Partner, Hinterhuber & Partners, Austria Stephan Liozu, Adjunct Professor and Research Fellow at Case Western Reserve University, Weatherhead School of Management, USA

#### **Editorial Board**

Academia:

Chris K. Anderson, Associate Professor, School of Hotel Administration, Cornell University, USA Carmen Balan, Professor, School of Marketing, The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania Peter P. Belobaba, Principal Research Scientist, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA Esther Chávez-Miranda, Lecturer, University of Seville, Spain Chih-Chien Chen, Assistant Professor, College of Hotel Administration, University of Nevada, USA Jin Young Chung, Assistant Professor, School of International Trade, Incheon National University, South Korea Catherine Cleophas, Senior Lecturer in Management Science, Lancaster University, UK Andrew J. Collins, Research Assistant Professor, Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Center (VMASC), Old Dominion University, USA Christine Currie, Course Director, MSc in Operational Research, University of Southampton, UK Amy M. Gregory, Assistant Professor, Rosen College of Hospitality Management, University of Central Florida, USA Basak Denizci Guillet, Associate Professor, School of Hotel and Tourism Management, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong Niklas L. Hallberg, Senior Lecturer, Institute of Economic Research, Lund University, Sweden Kostis Indounas, Assistant Professor, Department of Marketing and Communication, Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece Stanislav Ivanov, Vice Rector for Academic Affairs and Research, Varna University of Management, Bulgaria Vipul Jain, Senior Lecturer, School of Management, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand Magnus Johansson, Research Fellow, Institute of Economic Research, Lund University, Sweden Soulaymane Kachani, Professor, Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Colombia University, USA Sheryl Kimes, Professor of Operations Management, School of Hotel Administration, Cornell University, USA Seung Hyun "Jenna" Lee, Assistant Professor, School of Hospitality Management, East Carolina University, USA Patrick Legohérel, Professor, University of Angers, France Christine Mathies, Senior Lecturer, School of Marketing, Australian School of Business, University of New South Wales, Australia Irene Ng, Director, International Institute for Product and Service Innovation (IIPSI), University of Warwick, UK Breffni Noone, Associate Professor, School of Hospitality Management, The Pennsylvania State University, USA Fredrik Odegaard, Assistant Professor, Ivey School of Business, Western University, USA Georgia Ch. Papadopoulou, Assistant Professor, Al Ghurair University, UAE

Lindie du Plessis, Senior Lecturer, School for Business Management, North-West University (NWU), South Africa Jean-Pierre I. van der Rest, Professor of Business Administration, Department of Business Studies, Institute of Tax Law and Economics, Leiden Law School, Leiden University, NL Kare Sandvik, Professor, School of Business, University of Southeast Norway, Norway Marianna Sigala, Professor of Tourism, University of South Australia, Australia Outi Somervuori, Researcher, Aalto University School of Business, Finland Arne K Strauss, Associate Professor, Warwick University, UK Xuan Lorna Wang, Hospitality and Tourism Management, University of Surrey, UK Larry Weatherford, Professor and Scarlett Chair in Business Administration, College of Business, University of Wyoming, USA Nick Yip, Lecturer, Norwich Business School, University of East Anglia, UK In Industry: Bill Brunger, Principal, Brunger Consulting, USA Emmanuel Carrier, Operations Research Consultant, Delta Airlines, USA Kevin Connor, Vice President Cargo, DHL Aviation Americas, USA Thomas Fiig, Director Chief Scientist, Amadeus Airline IT - Research & Development, Denmark Karl Isler, Senior Director and Head of Revenue Management Strategy and Operational Research, Swiss International Air Lines Ltd, Switzerland Sunny Ja, Senior Manager, Operations Research, American Airlines, USA Himanshu Jain, Decision Support Specialist, ICF International, USA Warren H. Lieberman, President, Veritec Solutions, USA Kelly McGuire, Senior Vice President, Revenue Management & Direct Marketing, MGM Resorts International, USA Andrew Pascoe, CEO Margin Fuels, New Zealand Steven G. Pinchuk, Advanced Analytics, Customer Intelligence & Profit Optimization, IBM, USA Stefan Pölt, Head of Revenue Management Tools, Lufthansa German Airlines, Germany Paul Rose, Managing Director, Paul Rose Revenue Management Ltd, UK Mark W. Shafer, Senior Vice President, Revenue & Profit Management, Walt Disney Parks & Resorts, USA Göran Skugge, Senior Partner, PriceGain, Sweden Ben Vinod, Senior Vice President & Chief Scientist, Sabre Holdings, USA Darius Walczak, Principal Research Scientist, PROS Inc., USA Dieter Westermann, Vice President Revenue Management, Etihad Airways,

### Help with

Contacting editors Contacting sales reps eBook collections Help overview Rights and permissions Newsletter

UA

Information for

#### Other sites and products

Macmillan Education Macmillan International Higher Education Palgrave Journals Springer Link Springer Nature

# palgrave macmillan

Palgrave Macmillan publishes journals, monographs and reference books in print and online. About Us

© 2021 Palgrave is part of Springer Nature | Terms of Use • Manage Cookies/Do Not Sell My Data, Privacy Policy, California Privacy Statement

					SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RAM	ONS RANKINGS	
Scimago Journal & Count	ry Rank		Enter	Journal Ti	tle, ISSN or Publisher Name	Q,	
Home	Journal Rankings	Country Rankings	Viz Tools	Help	About	Us	
	Scimago Journal & Count Home	Scimago Journal & Country Rank Home Journal Rankings	Scimago Journal & Country Rank Home Journal Rankings Country Rankings	also develo Scimago Journal & Country Rank Home Journal Rankings Country Rankings Viz Tools	Scimago Journal & Country Rank       Enter         Home       Journal Rankings       Country Rankings       Viz Tools       Help	also developed by scimago:         Scimago Journal & Country Rank       Enter Journal Till         Home       Journal Rankings       Country Rankings       Viz Tools       Help       About	also developed by scimago: IIII SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RAM         Scimago Journal & Country Rank       Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name         Home       Journal Rankings       Country Rankings       Viz Tools       Help       About Us

# Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER
United Kingdom           Universities and research           institutions in United Kingdom	Business, Management and Accounting Business and International Management Strategy and Management Economics, Econometrics and Finance Economics and Econometrics Finance	Palgrave Macmillan Ltd.
H-INDEX	PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN
23	Journals	14766930, 1477657X
COVERAGE	INFORMATION	
2004, 2009-2020	Homepage How to publish in this journal ian.yeoman@vuw.ac.nz	

#### SCOPE

The Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management serves the community of researchers and practitioners dedicated to improving understanding through insight and real life situations. Each article emphasizes meaningful answers to problems whether cutting edge science or real solutions. The journal places an emphasis disseminating the best articles from the best minds and benchmarked businesses within the field of Revenue Management and Pricing.

 ${igodot}$  Join the conversation about this journal





# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# Pricing decision model for new and remanufactured short life-cycle products with green consumers

Shu-San Gan<sup>1</sup> · I Nyoman Pujawan<sup>2</sup> · Didik Wahjudi<sup>1</sup> · Yopi Yusuf Tanoto<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 April 2018 / Accepted: 14 January 2019 © Springer Nature Limited 2019

# Abstract

Remanufacturing has been studied quite extensively during the last decades, as one of product recovery options that could extend product's useful life and mitigate the environmental impact. Rapid innovation in technology accelerates obsolescence and hence product life cycles are getting shorter and wastes build up faster. Remanufacturing of short life-cycle product is an important alternative to mitigate the waste. However, since there are impacts in implementing remanufacturing, such as cannibalization, segmentation, and lower willingness to pay, pricing has become a significant aspect to ensure successful remanufacturing business. Several studies show that there exists green segment consumer, who has higher preference to purchase environmental-friendly products and higher willingness to pay for such products. In this paper, we study manufacturer's and retailer's pricing decision of short life-cycle product considering the green segment consumers, and propose two scenarios i.e. independently optimized profit scenario under Stackelberg game with manufacturer as the leader, and integrated scenario. The results show that the integrated decision. We also find that the existence of green segment increases the profit of manufacturer and retailer to a certain level, before it erodes manufacturer's profit when the green level is too high. In addition, price sensitivity of new and remanufactured products, demand's speed of change, and remanufacturing cost influence the optimum prices as well as the optimum green level. For firms that are engaging in remanufacturing, managerial insights are also provided to assist managers in making pricing decisions when there exist green consumers.

Keywords Pricing · Remanufacturing · Short life-cycle product · Green consumers

# List of notations

# Decision variables: All variables are non-negative

- $P_n$  Retail price of the new product
- $P_r$  Retail price of the remanufactured product
- $P_{nw}$  Wholesale price of the new product  $P_{nw} \le P_n$
- $P_{rw}$  Wholesale price of the new product
- $\theta$  Green level

# Parameters: All parameters are non-negative

- $D_n$  Demand capacity of the new product
- $D_r$  Demand capacity of the remanufactured product
- ☐ I Nyoman Pujawan pujawan@gmail.com; pujawan@ie.its.ac.id
- <sup>1</sup> Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup> Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Kampus Keputih Sukolilo, 60111 Surabaya, Indonesia

- *a* New product's price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the new product to a change in the price of the new product
- *b* Remanufactured product's cross-price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the new product to a change in the price of the remanufactured product
- *c* Remanufactured product's price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the remanufactured product to a change in the price of the remanufactured product
- *e* New product's cross-price sensitivity, i.e., the sensitivity of the demand for the remanufactured product to a change in the price of the new product
- $\lambda$  Speed of change in demand capacity for new product
- $\eta$  Speed of change in demand capacity for remanufactured product
- $c_m$  Unit manufacturing cost of producing the new product

- *c<sub>r</sub>* Unit remanufacturing cost of producing the remanufactured product
- γ Green-level-demand-expansion coefficient
- $\rho$  Green-level-investment coefficient
- $\varphi$  Contracted revenue sharing portion

# Introduction

With the increasing speed of innovation in technologicalbased products, new ideas area getting to the market faster and old products are pushed away from the market. The new models of mobile phones, digital camera, LED TV, and many others are reaching the market faster from time to time. While these innovation brings excitement to the consumers, environmental burden are becoming more severe as the old products may have to be wasted faster than technically it should be. On the other hand, the speed of depletion in natural resources is increasing to support the production of these new product generations.

Attempt to slow down the speed of waste build up and the depletion of natural resources has been a hot issue in recent years. Of various alternatives, remanufacturing has been considered as an important way to protect the mother earth from waste build up and fast depletion of natural resources. Remanufacturing is a product recovery process that transforms used products into "like-new" condition. For remanufacturing business to stay competitive, demand should exist and supply of materials in the form of used products should be available in a sufficient amount with adequate quality for remanufacturing process.

Demand for remanufactured products maybe available due to various reasons. There may be a segment of customers who are willing to purchase the remanufactured goods because it is priced cheaper compared to the new ones. Others may prefer to purchase them over the new products because they value the concept of remanufacturing for sustainability reason. Literature has discussed about this green segment recently (see for example Mostafa 2007; Jiménez-Parra et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2018; Zhou and Gupta 2019; Michaud and Llerena 2011; Finisterra do Paço and Raposo 2010). Few authors consider that remanufactured products is an inferior substitution to the new one (Debo et al. 2005) unless given green image (Michaud and Llerena 2011). Others argue that there are customers who values green or remanufacturable product higher (Abeliotis et al. 2010; Finisterra do Paço and Raposo 2010; Gu et al. 2015) but remanufactured product lower (Gu et al. 2015). All of these suggest that segmenting and pricing of remanufactured products is a critical decision to ensure business continuity.

Research to address pricing decisions for manufactured products have attracted attentions from researchers. Researchers argue that appropriate pricing strategy for remanufactured products avoid cannibalization, expand the market and thus ensure profitability. Guide and Wassenhove (2009), Atasu et al. (2010), Souza (2013) are among the authors who discussed pricing of new and remanufactured products in a closed-loop supply chain. However, despite the significant number of publications addressing pricing issues for remanufactured products, little works has been done in the context of products with short life-cycle. Gan et al. (2015, 2017, 2018) are among those who addressed pricing decisions for short life-cycle products, aiming to keep both the new and remanufactured ones to stay competitively in the market. However, none of these incorporated the green consumer segments.

This paper presents models for pricing decision of new and remanufactured short-life-cycle products where green consumer segment exists and demand is sensitive to price. Two scenarios are compared. The first one is where the decision is taken independently between the retailer and the manufacturer. The second scenario is where the two parties jointly making decision on the prices. In the followings sections we present respectively the literature review, problem descriptions, optimization models, numerical example, and conclusions.

# Literature review

Our study relates to two streams of the literature i.e. pricing of new and remanufactured products, and green consumers. In the first stream, the importance of pricing decision for new and remanufactured product in a closed-loop supply chain has been discussed in Guide and Wassenhove (2009), Atasu et al. (2010), and Souza (2013). They show that firms should manage the pricing strategy to avoid cannibalization, expand the market, and ensure profitability when engaging in remanufacturing practices. There are several works about pricing decision problem involving manufacturer or remanufacturer. Ferrer and Swaminathan (2006) study a problem where new and remanufactured products are not differentiated and sold in the same market with the same price. In the first period, manufacturer offers new product and in the next periods offers new and remanufactured product based on the returns from the first period. They develop models for finding optimum price and quantity that maximize profit with various planning horizons under monopoly and duopoly. Further, they extend the work by differentiating the price of new product from remanufactured one (Ferrer and Swaminathan 2010). Another pricing model is proposed by Ovchinnikov (2011) where new and remanufactured products are sold in the same market. They observe customer switching behavior and identify the proportion of customers who switch from purchasing new product to remanufactured product. In addition to new and remanufactured products' prices and quantities, Shi et al. (2011) also considered the cores acquisition price and find their optimum values that maximize the supply chain total profit. For short life-cycle product, Gan et al. (2015) propose a pricing and quantity decision model that involves a manufacturer, a retailer and a collector, for profit maximization. They consider two scenarios i.e. independently optimized profit under Stackelberg game with manufacturer as the leader, and joint profit optimization. The objective of the proposed model is to find the optimal wholesale and retail prices for both new and remanufactured products; and the optimal acquisition and transfer prices. Deng and Yang (2016) study the effect of the uncertain market size in the second period on the pricing strategies in the remanufacturing market. It is considered important because they find from empirical and experimental results that in reality market size is uncertain due to several factors such as environmental awareness, customer's psychological factors, and governments' policies. Furthermore, an insight into game-playing relationship between manufacturers and remanufacturers is provided, as well as optimum pricing strategy and its sensitivity analyses. Pricing and warranty level decisions problem for short life-cycle product is studied by Gan and Pujawan (2017) where manufacturer decides the optimum wholesale prices and warranty level that would maximize profit, while retailer decides the optimum retail prices. They find that optimum warranty level can be achieved regardless the initial warranty level set at the beginning of retailer's optimization, and remanufactured product's wholesale and retail prices are influenced by the expansion effectiveness coefficient. As for the sales channel, Gan et al. (2017) propose a pricing decision model for a closed-loop supply chain involving manufacturer, retailer, and collector, where the remanufactured products are sold via separate sales channel. Zhou et al. (2017) investigate pricing decision for remanufactured short life cycle technology where multiple generation product line is considered as it might result in cannibalization among various generation product line as well as new and remanufactured products. They consider two scenarios i.e. independent profit optimization and joint profit optimization. Zhou and Gupta (2019) extend the previous work, by extracting pricing data of new and remanufactured products for various generations from eBay. They propose price trend functions for these products with average quality, and also the technology depreciation rates.

Several studies are considering closed-loop supply chain with several players, namely manufacturer, retailer, collector, and remanufacturer. Qiaolun et al. (2008) propose pricing decision model using Stackelberg game with manufacturer as the leader, while retailer and collector are followers. They find optimum retail price, wholesale price, and collection price that would maximize profits. In this setting, new and remanufactured product are not differentiated, and considered as the same product with the same price. An empirical study that investigates consumer perceptions of remanufactured product is conducted by Abbey et al. (2015). They study consumers' responds to various discounts and brand equity manipulation of remanufactured product. The results show that discounting had a consistently positive, linear effect on remanufactured product attractiveness. However, brand equity manipulation turns out to be less important to consumers than specific remanufactured product quality perceptions. Another finding is that there exist green consumers who find remanufactured product is more interesting than new product. But, on the other hand, there also exist consumers who have negative attribute perceptions towards remanufactured product that significantly reduce its attractiveness. Gao et al. (2016) explore the effect of different channel power structures on the optimal retail price, investment in collection efforts, and sales efforts under centralized model. For the decentralized model, they use a game theory with three scenarios i.e. manufacturer Stackelber, vertical Nash, and retailer Stackelberg. It is shown that, when there is a dominant power shifting from the manufacturer to the retailer, manufacturer still can benefit from larger demand if collection effort is large enough. In addition, the performance of decentralized strategy can be improved with low price strategy. Jena and Sarmah (2014) study two remanufacturing firms that compete on price and service with random demand and uncertainty in collected used products. They develop a model for four remanufacturing configurations and find the equilibrium decisions, and identify the conditions under which manufacturers are able to use direct system or integrated system compared to global system. The results show that, when there is an increase in demand variation, direct system would be better. A return contract mechanism with quantity discount is proposed to coordinate the decentralized system. Wang and Hazen (2016) study pricing and production strategies in a capacitated hybrid manufacturing-remanufacturing system. A bidding mechanism is introduced for collecting returns, called name-your-own-price (NYOP) mechanism. Under this mechanism, manufacturer's optimal strategies depend on bidding cost, cost saving of remanufacturing, production capacity, and market scale. Manufacturer may perform pure manufacturing strategy when remanufacturing capacity becomes higher than manufacturing's. When compared to traditional list-price mechanism, NYOP mechanism is preferable to manufacturer under the conditions of low reverse market share, high manufacturing cost, sufficient capacity, or low capacity requirement of remanufacturing. Giri et al. (2017) study dual channel closed-loop supply chain, where the forward channel of new product is conducted through traditional retail channel and internet channel (e-tail), and the reverse channel is handled through traditional third party logistics and e-tail channel. There are five scenarios considered i.e. centralized, decentralized Nash, manufacturerled, retailer-led, and third party-led decentralized scenarios.

They find that retailer-led decentralized scenario is the most profitable.

The second stream of the literature is exploring the existence of green consumers and their purchase behavior, and then discuss literature in pricing for remanufactured product with green consumers. Mostafa (2007) investigates the influence of cultural values and psychological factors on the green purchase behavior of Egyptian consumers. The results from the structural equation model show that consumers' natural environment orientation, ecological knowledge, and environmental concern influence their attitudes towards green purchase. However, the link between intention to purchase and actual purchase is low. Finisterra do Paço and Raposo (2010) conducted an empirical study on green consumer market segmentation in Portugal and find that there exist consumers with environmentally friendly behavior. They also identify environmental and demographic variables that can differentiate greener segment from other segments. It is also observed that green consumers possess certain attitudes and behaviors to minimize harmful impact on the environment. Michaud and Llerena (2011) study consumer willingness to purchase remanufactured product when they are informed the green aspect of it. An experimental auction was conducted and it is found that providing environmental information will increase consumers' willingness to pay for remanufactured product, and decrease consumers' willingness to pay for conventional product which is perceived to be more polluting product. However, there is no evidence that consumers are willing to pay premium for green product. Jiménez-Parra et al. (2014) conduct an empirical analysis to study the purchase intentions of potential consumers of remanufactured product and determine their basics characteristics. The findings confirm that key drivers for purchasing remanufactured products are respect for environment, price and brand reputation, and support from social environment. Wang and Hazen (2016) study how knowledge of remanufactured products in terms of cost, quality, and green attributes affects consumers' perception, and further affects consumers' purchase intentions in China. They find that perceived value has positive effect towards purchase intention. There are several factors that influence perceived value, which are quality knowledge, cost knowledge and green knowledge. Further study by Wang et al. (2018) reveals that there is a positive relation between consumers' greenness and their preference for remanufactured products. In addition, the relations between consumers' preferences for remanufactured products and price discount levels and product's green attributes are verified for three type of products i.e. technology, household and personal products. Vafadarnikjoo et al. (2018) study key factors for purchasing remanufactured bike using consumers' and experts' opinions. The results show that quality is the most significant motivation to purchase remanufactured product. The others factors are warranty, price, information provision, remanufacturer's reputation, value-added services and retailer's reputation. The abovementioned studies show that remanufactured product is viewed as a green product, and firms should take into account the existence of green consumers because the greenness of the product increases their purchase intention and willingness to pay.

S.-S. Gan et al.

Pricing strategy for remanufactured product with the existence of a green segment has been studied recently. Gu et al. (2015) study the effect of producing remanufacturable and non-remanufacturable new products to the production cost and consumer valuations. In addition, they investigate the environmental consequences of designing for remanufacturability, with the assumption that remanufacturable new products are valued higher, but remanufactured product are valued less than non-remanufacturable new product. Chen (2018) consider a supply chain where retailer is responsible for recycling, and manufacturer is responsible for remanufacturing. They apply game theoretic models for two scenarios, i.e., independently optimized based on Stackelberg equilibrum and Nash equilibrium, and joint pricing scenario within a cooperative game model. Ho et al. (2018) explore the effect of eco-friendly image of remanufacturing to the product's sales. In addition, they study the impacts of green consumers' purchase behaviors to products' random demands as well as to products' prices, and develop a model to find the optimum prices that maximize profit. The results show that when the size of the green market is large enough, new and remanufactured products can be easily distinguished, therefore, manufacturer need to gradually increase the price gap between two products such that consumers can differentiate them easily. A study on pricing decision and coordination of green electronic product is studied by Zhu and Yu (2018). They use dynamic game model to find optimal pricing strategy and the double marginal effect is coordinated through revenue-sharing contract, as well as two toll contracts. The degree of consumer preferences towards green remanufactured product is also studied, and they find that when the preference increases the service differentiation among new, remanufactured, and refurbished products is reduced. However, when the consumer preference is lower, it significantly affects the remanufactured product's price. Giri et al. (2018) develop two models with one manufacturer and one retailer. The first model considers price sensitive demand and is dependent on warranty period, while the second model considers price sensitive demand that is dependent on greening level and warranty period. The models are solved under three scenarios i.e. centralized, decentralized, and revenue-sharing contract. They conclude that the second model gives better results. Although the prices are higher, the market demand increases due to higher greening level for lower warranty period. These studies show that it is important to consider green consumers in pricing decision.

Our works is closely related to Giri et al. (2018) in terms of green consumers but we are focusing on short life-cycle products where the demand has specific characteristics. We consider price sensitive demand with green consumers, and the decision variables are the retail and wholesale prices of new and remanufactured products, and the green level that maximize the profits.

# **Problem description**

The product considered in this study is a short life-cycle product which bears obsolescence effect after a certain period such that the demand would increase significantly in the introduction and growth phases, but decline rapidly afterwards. We use time frames that represent the product's life cycle, either for new and remanufactured products as shown in Fig. 1 where demand capacities of new and remanufactured products depends on the function characteristics or patterns as well as the length of time frames. A short life-cycle product usually has short useful life, which is typically less than 2 years (Kamath and Roy 2007), therefore it is reasonable to use a single period, since the future demand is not guaranteed (Galbreth and Blackburn 2005). Market is price sensitive, and there exists green segment. We consider manufacturer and retailer in the pricing decision, and explore two scenarios, i.e., independent and integrated scenario.

Demand capacity for this product is adopted from Gan et al. (2015), where the governing functions for the demand patterns are

$$d_{n}(t) = \begin{cases} d_{n1}(t) = \frac{U}{1+ke^{-\lambda U}}; 0 \le t \le \mu \\ d_{n2}(t) = \frac{U}{\lambda U(t-\mu)+\delta}; \mu \le t \le t_{3} \end{cases} \text{ where } \begin{cases} k = \frac{U}{d_{0}} - 1 \\ \delta = 1 + ke^{-\lambda U\mu} \end{cases}$$
$$d_{r}(t) = \begin{cases} d_{r1}(t) = \frac{V}{1+he^{-\eta U(t-t_{1})}}; t_{1} \le t \le t_{3} \\ d_{r2}(t) = \frac{V}{\eta V(t-t_{3})+\epsilon}; t_{3} \le t \le T \end{cases} \text{ where } \begin{cases} h = \frac{V}{d_{0}} - 1 \\ \delta = 1 + ke^{-\lambda U\mu} \end{cases}$$

where  $d_n(t)$  and  $d_r(t)$  are the demand patterns for the new and the remanufactured products. U is maximum possible demand for the new product,  $\mu$  is the time of highest



Fig. 1 Demand patterns for new and remanufactured products

demand,  $\lambda$  is the speed of the change in demand. Parallel definitions are applicable for *V*,  $t_3$ ,  $d_{r0}$ , and  $\eta$ , respectively, for the remanufactured products

# **Modeling assumptions**

- Consumer can differentiate new and remanufactured product, therefore, the prices are differentiated.  $P_n$  is retail price of new product, and  $P_r$  is retail price of remanufactured product. Manufacturer also differentiates both products hence the wholesale prices are  $P_{nw}$  and  $P_{rw}$  for new and remanufactured products, respectively.
- Demand capacity depends on parameters of short lifecycle function characteristics, and we define  $D_n$  as demand capacity of new product and  $D_r$  as demand capacity of remanufactured product, as follows

$$D_n = \int_0^{\mu} \frac{U}{1 + ke^{-\lambda Ut}} dt + \int_{\mu}^{t_3} \frac{U}{\lambda U(t - \mu) + \delta} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{\delta}{(1 + k)e^{-\lambda U\mu}}\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{\lambda U(t_3 - \mu) + \delta}{\delta}\right)$$

$$D_r = \int_{t_1}^{t_3} \frac{V}{1 + he^{-\eta V(t-t_1)}} dt + \int_{t_3}^T \frac{V}{\eta V(t-t_3) + \varepsilon} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{\eta} \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(1+h)e^{-\eta V(t_3-t_1)}}\right) + \frac{1}{\eta} \ln\left(\frac{\eta V(T-t_3) + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

- Green segment consumers value environmental-friendly product higher, therefore, they have higher preference towards remanufactured product, and might be willing to pay premium price for remanufactured product.
- The attractiveness of remanufactured product can be improved by manufacturer's efforts such as customer education, green campaign, green remanufacturing processes, and marketing. We define  $\theta > 0$  as the green level, which means higher  $\theta$  translates to higher attractiveness for green consumers.
- Demands are price sensitive and depend on market capacity. For remanufactured product, demand also increases linearly with green level (as in Gu et al. 2015; Giri et al. 2018; Zhu and Yu 2018). Therefore,

Demand of new product =  $D_n(1 - aP_n + bP_r)$ Demand of remanufactured product =  $D_r(1 - cP_r + eP_n + \gamma\theta)$ 

where a, c > 0 are demand's price sensitivity coefficient, b, e > 0 are substitute-product coefficient, and  $\gamma > 0$  is green-level-demand-expansion coefficient

- The effort to implement a certain green level requires an investment and we use quadratic function to represent it (as in Gu et al. 2015, Giri et al. 2018), i.e.  $\rho\theta^2$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is green-level-investment coefficient
- Unit cost of manufacturing new product is higher than unit cost of remanufacturing used product, i.e.  $c_m > c_r$

# Optimizations

# **Independent scenario**

In this scenario, manufacturer and retailer are independently making pricing decision under Stackelberg game where manufacturer is the leader. The objective is to maximize profits. Demand information is known by manufacturer and retailer. At the beginning of the pricing mechanism, as the Stackelberg leader, manufacturer gather retailer's optimal response when given certain wholesale prices. Based on this response, manufacturer decides on new and remanufactured wholesale prices as well as the green level to maximize her profit. The backward induction process begins with retailer's optimization and is followed by manufacturer's optimization.

## **Retailer's optimization**

\_ \_

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{P_n, P_r}{\text{Max}} \Pi_R &= D_n \big( 1 - aP_n + bP_r \big) \big( P_n - P_{nw} \big) \\ &+ D_r \big( 1 - cP_r + eP_n + \gamma \theta \big) \big( P_r - P_{rw} \big) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The respective first- and second-order derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_R}{\partial P_n} = (-2aD_n)P_n + (bD_n + eD_r)P_r + (D_n(1 + aP_{nw}) - eP_{rw}D_r) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_R}{\partial P_r} = (bD_n + eD_r)P_n + (-2cD_r)P_r + (D_r(1 + cP_{rw} + \gamma\theta) - bP_{nw}D_n) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_R}{\partial P_n^2} = -2aD_n$$

 $\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_R}{\partial P_r^2} = -2cD_r$ 

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_R}{\partial P_n \partial P_r} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_R}{\partial P_r \partial P_n} = bD_n + eD_r$$

The Hessian matrix for this problem is

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2aD_n & bD_n + eD_r \\ bD_n + eD_r & -2cD_r \end{bmatrix},$$
  
and  $|H_2| = 4acD_nD_r - (bD_n + eD_r)^2$ 

It is obvious that the first sub-determinant is negative, i.e.  $-2aD_n < 0$ , and the second sub-determinant or the determinant of Hessian is positive when  $4acD_nD_r > (bD_n + eD_r)^2$ .

**Proposition 1** The retailer's profit function  $\Pi_R$  is concave in  $P_n$  and  $P_r$  if

$$4acD_nD_r > \left(bD_n + eD_r\right)^2 \tag{2}$$

**Proposition 2** The optimum retail price for new product  $(P_n^*)$  and optimum retail price for remanufactured product  $(P_r^*)$  in retailer's profit function are:

$$P_n^* = K_1 P_{nw} + K_2 P_{rw} + K_3 \theta + K_4$$
(3)

$$P_r^* = L_1 P_{nw} + L_2 P_{rw} + L_3 \theta + L_4 \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_{1} &= \frac{(2ac - be)D_{n}D_{r} - b^{2}D_{n}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \quad L_{1} &= \frac{acD_{n}D_{r} - abD_{n}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \\ K_{2} &= \frac{bcD_{n}D_{r} - ceD_{n}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \quad L_{2} &= \frac{(2ac - be)D_{n}D_{r} - e^{2}D_{r}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \\ K_{3} &= \frac{\gamma(bD_{n}D_{r} + eD_{r}^{2})}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \quad L_{3} &= \frac{2a\gamma D_{n}D_{r}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \\ K_{4} &= \frac{(b + 2c)D_{n}D_{r} + eD_{r}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \quad L_{4} &= \frac{(2a + e)D_{n}D_{r} + bD_{n}^{2}}{4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}} \end{split}$$

#### Manufacturer's optimization

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{P_{nw}, P_{rw}, \theta}{\text{Max}} \Pi_{M} = D_{n} \big( 1 - aP_{n} + bP_{r} \big) \big( P_{nw} - c_{m} \big) \\ & + D_{r} \big( 1 - cP_{r} + eP_{n} + \gamma \theta \big) \big( P_{rw} - c_{r} \big) - \rho \theta^{2} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

subject to retailer's responses to manufacturer's wholesale prices, which are  $P_n^*$  and  $P_r^*$  as given in Proposition 2.

Hence, manufacturer's optimization problem can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underset{P_{nw}, P_{rw}, \theta}{\text{Max}} \Pi_{M} = D_{n} \left( 1 - a \left( K_{1} P_{nw} + K_{2} P_{rw} + K_{3} \theta + K_{4} \right) + b \left( L_{1} P_{nw} + L_{2} P_{rw} + L_{3} \theta + L_{4} \right) \right) \left( P_{nw} - c_{m} \right) \\
& + D_{r} \left( 1 - c \left( L_{1} P_{nw} + L_{2} P_{rw} + L_{3} \theta + L_{4} \right) + e \left( K_{1} P_{nw} + K_{2} P_{rw} + K_{3} \theta + K_{4} \right) + \gamma \theta \right) \left( P_{rw} - c_{r} \right) - \rho \theta^{2}
\end{aligned}$$
(6)

The first- and second-order derivatives are:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Pi_M}{\partial P_{nw}} &= \left\{ 2D_n \left( -aK_1 + bL_1 \right) \right\} P_{nw} + \left\{ \left( -aK_2 + bL_2 \right) D_n + \left( -cL_1 + eK_1 \right) D_r \right\} P_{rw} \\ &+ \left\{ D_n (-aK_3L_3) \theta - \left\{ D_n \left( \left( -aK_1 + bL_1 \right) c_m - (1 - aK_4 + bL_4) \right) + D_r \left( -cL_1 + eK_1 \right) c_r \right\} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \Pi_M}{\partial P_{rw}} &= \left\{ \left( -aK_2 + bL_2 \right) D_n + \left( -cL_1 + eK_1 \right) D_r \right\} P_{nw} + \left\{ 2D_r \left( -cL_2 + eK_2 \right) \right\} P_{rw} \\ &+ \left\{ D_r \left( -cL_3 + eK_3 + \gamma \right) \right\} \theta - \left\{ D_n \left( -aK_2 + bL_2 \right) c_m + D_r \left( (-cL_2 + eK_2) c_r - (1 - cL_4 + eK_4) \right\} = 0. \\ \frac{\partial \Pi_M}{\partial \theta} &= \left\{ D_n \left( -aK_3 + bL_3 \right) \right\} P_{nw} + \left\{ D_r \left( -cL_3 + eK_3 + \gamma \right) \right\} P_{rw} \\ &+ \left\{ -2\rho \right\} \theta - \left\{ D_n \left( -aK_3 + bL_3 \right) c_m + D_r \left( -cL_3 + eK_3 + \gamma \right) \right\} P_{rw} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{nw}^2} = 2D_n \left( -aK_1 + bL_1 \right) = A_{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{rw}^2} = 2D_r \left( -cL_2 + eK_2 \right) = A_{22}$$

 $\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial \theta^2} = -2\rho = A_{33}$ 

$$H_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{13} & A_{23} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
The first sub-determinant i.e.  $A_{13}$ 

The first sub-determinant  $A_{11}$  is negative, the second subdeterminant i.e.  $A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}^2$  should be positive, and  $|H_3|$ should be negative to ensure concavity.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{nw} \partial P_{rw}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{rw} \partial P_{nw}} = D_n \left( -aK_2 + bL_2 \right) + D_r \left( -cL_1 + eK_1 \right) = A_{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{nw} \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial \theta \partial P_{nw}} = D_r (-aK_3 + bL_3) = A_{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial P_{rw} \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_M}{\partial \theta \partial P_{rw}} = D_r \big( -cL_3 + eK_3 + \gamma \big) = A_{23}$$

The Hessian matrix of manufacturer's optimization problem is

**Proposition 3** The manufacturer's profit function  $\Pi_M$  is concave in  $P_{nw}$ ,  $P_{rw}$  and  $\theta$  if

(a) 
$$aK_1 - bL_1 > 0$$
 (7)

(b) 
$$4D_nD_r(-aK_1+bL_1)(-cL_2+eK_2)$$
  
  $-(D_n(-aK_2+bL_2)+D_r(-cL_1+eK_1))^2 > 0$  (8)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2D_n(-aK_1+bL_1) & D_n(-aK_2+bL_2) + D_r(-cL_1+eK_1) & D_r(-aK_3+bL_3) \\ D_n(-aK_2+bL_2) + D_r(-cL_1+eK_1) & 2D_r(-cL_2+eK_2) & D_r(-cL_3+eK_3+\gamma) \\ D_r(-aK_3+bL_3) & D_r(-cL_3+eK_3+\gamma) & -2\rho \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(9)

(c)

¥

**Proposition 4** The optimum wholesale price for new product  $(P_{nw}^*)$ , optimum wholesale price for remanufactured product  $(P_{nw}^*)$ , and optimum green level  $(\theta^*)$  for manufacturer's profit function are the solution to the following linear system:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_n^2} = -2aD_n$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2D_{n}(-aK_{1}+bL_{1}) & D_{n}(-aK_{2}+bL_{2}) + D_{r}(-cL_{1}+eK_{1}) & D_{r}(-aK_{3}+bL_{3}) \\ D_{n}(-aK_{2}+bL_{2}) + D_{r}(-cL_{1}+eK_{1}) & 2D_{r}(-cL_{2}+eK_{2}) & D_{r}(-cL_{3}+eK_{3}+\gamma) \\ D_{r}(-aK_{3}+bL_{3}) & D_{r}(-cL_{3}+eK_{3}+\gamma) & -2\rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{nw} \\ P_{rw} \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} D_{n}((-aK_{1}+bL_{1})c_{m}-(1-aK_{4}+bL_{4})) + D_{r}(-cL_{1}+eK_{1})c_{r} \\ D_{n}(-aK_{2}+bL_{2})c_{m} + D_{r}((-cL_{2}+eK_{2})c_{r}-(1-cL_{4}-eK_{4})) \\ D_{n}(-aK_{3}+bL_{3})c_{m} + D_{r}(-cL_{3}+eK_{3}+\gamma)c_{r} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

# **Integrated decision**

Under the integrated decision scenario, manufacturer and retailer are jointly making the pricing decisions as well as the green level with a goal to maximize total profit. In this setting, manufacturer and retailer make pricing decision as a unity so the only pricing decision considered are the retail prices which directly impact the demand. They sign a contract on revenue sharing where a certain portion ( $\varphi$ ) of the revenue will be received by manufacturer and the rest of it by retailer, and the manufacturer agrees to offer lower wholesale prices. The integrated profit function is the summation of retailer's and manufacturer's profit functions, so the optimization problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_{n}, P_{r}, \theta} \Pi_{J} = & \left\{ D_{n} \left( 1 - aP_{n} + bP_{r} \right) \left( \varphi P_{n} + P_{nw} - c_{m} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + D_{r} \left( 1 - cP_{r} + eP_{n} + \gamma \theta \right) \left( \varphi P_{r} + P_{rw} - c_{r} \right) - \rho \theta^{2} \right\} \\ & \left. + \left\{ D_{n} \left( 1 - aP_{n} + bP_{r} \right) \left( (1 - \varphi)P_{n} - P_{nw} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + D_{r} \left( 1 - cP_{r} + eP_{n} + \gamma \theta \right) \left( (1 - \varphi)P_{r} - P_{rw} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{P_n, P_r, \theta}{\text{Max}} & \Pi_J = D_n \big( 1 - aP_n + bP_r \big) \big( P_n - c_m \big) \\ & + D_r \big( 1 - cP_r + eP_n + \gamma \theta \big) \big( P_r - c_r \big) - \rho \theta^2 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The first and second derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_J}{\partial P_n} = (-2aD_n)P_n + (bD_n + eD_r)P_r + (D_n(1 + ac_m) - ec_rD_r) = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Pi_J}{\partial P_r} &= \left( bD_n + eD_r \right) P_n + \left( -2cD_r \right) P_r + \left( \gamma D_r \right) \theta \\ &+ \left( D_r \big( 1 + cc_r \big) - bc_m D_r \big) = 0. \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_J}{\partial \theta} = \left(\gamma D_r\right) P_r + (-2\rho)\theta + \left(-\gamma D_r c_r\right) = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_r^2} = -2cD_r$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial \theta^2} = -2\rho$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_n \partial P_r} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_r \partial P_n} = bD_n + eD_r$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_n \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial \theta \partial P_n} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial P_r \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_J}{\partial \theta \partial P_r} = \gamma D_r$$

The concavity of this problem can be inspected from the Hessian matrix,

$$H_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -2aD_{n} & bD_{n} + eD_{r} & 0\\ bD_{n} + eD_{r} & -2cD_{r} & \gamma D_{r}\\ 0 & \gamma D_{r} & -2\rho \end{bmatrix}$$
$$|H_{3}| = -2\rho \Big(4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}\Big) + 2a\gamma^{2}D_{n}D_{r}^{2}$$

The first sub-determinant  $-2aD_n$  is obviously negative. The second sub-determinant i.e.  $4acD_nD_r - (bD_n + eD_r)^2$  should be positive, and  $|H_3|$  should be negative to ensure concavity.

**Proposition 5** The joint profit function  $\Pi_J$  is concave in  $P_n, P_r$  and  $\theta$  if

(a) 
$$4acD_nD_r > (bD_n + eD_r)^2$$
 (13)

(b) 
$$\rho \Big( 4acD_nD_r - (bD_n + eD_r)^2 \Big) - a\gamma^2 D_nD_r^2 > 0$$
(14)

**Proposition 6** The optimal selling price for new product  $(P_n^*)$  and remanufactured product  $(P_r^*)$ , and the green level  $(\theta^*)$  in the joint model scenario are:

$$P_{n}^{*} = \frac{\left(4c\rho D_{r} - \gamma^{2} D_{r}^{2}\right)G_{1} + \left(\gamma^{2} D_{r}^{2} c_{r} + 2\rho G_{2}\right)\left(bD_{n} + eD_{r}\right)}{-2\rho\left(4acD_{n} D_{r} - \left(bD_{n} + eD_{r}\right)^{2}\right) + 2a\gamma^{2} D_{n} D_{r}^{2}}$$
(15)

$$P_{r}^{*} = \frac{4a\rho D_{n}G_{2} + 2\rho G_{1}(bD_{n} + eD_{r}) + 2ac_{r}\gamma^{2}D_{n}D_{r}^{2}}{-2\rho (4acD_{n}D_{r} - (bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2}) + 2a\gamma^{2}D_{n}D_{r}^{2}}$$
(16)

- Manufacturer's profit per unit of new product =  $\varphi P_n + P_{nw} - c_m$
- Manufacturer's profit per unit of remanufactured product =  $\varphi P_r + P_{rw} - c_r$
- Retailer's profit per unit of new product =  $(1 \varphi)P_n P_{nw}$
- Retailer's profit per unit of remanufactured product =  $(1 - \varphi)P_r - P_{rw}$

# Numerical example

In this numerical example we use price sensitivity parameters that are similar to (Gan et al. 2018) i.e.

$$\theta^{*} = \frac{4ac\gamma c_{r}D_{n}D_{r}^{2} + \gamma D_{r}G_{1}(bD_{n} + eD_{r}) - \gamma D_{r}c_{r}(bD_{n} + eD_{r})^{2} + 2a\gamma D_{n}D_{r}G_{2}}{-2\rho \left(4acD_{n}D_{r} - \left(bD_{n} + eD_{r}\right)^{2}\right) + 2a\gamma^{2}D_{n}D_{r}^{2}}$$
(17)

where

$$G_1 = -(1 + ac_m)D_n + ec_rD_r$$
$$G_2 = bc_mD_n - (1 + cc_r)D_r$$

**Proposition 7** Under the optimum setting in the integrated decision problem, relations between green level and (1) demand capacity for remanufactured product, (2) selling price of remanufactured product, (3) remanufacturing cost are as follow

- (1)  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial D_r} > 0$ , which shows that the green level increases when the demand capacity for remanufactured product increases. With higher demand potential for remanufactured product, manufacturer gets higher opportunity to attract the green segment by increasing the green level;
- (2)  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial P_r} > 0$ , this result shows that the green level increases as the price of remanufactured product increases. Since the market is price sensitive, as the price is getting higher, manufacturer needs to offer a higher green level of the remanufactured product to the green segment, as to maintain the sales level;
- (3)  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial c_r} < 0$ , explains the decrease in green level as the remanufacturing cost increases. The higher the remanufacturing cost, manufacturer would decrease the green level to maintain selling price as well as profit margin.

Proof: See "Appendix 1" section.

Revenue sharing between manufacturer and retailer depends on  $\varphi$ , the contracted revenue sharing portion, hence

a = 0.003, b = 0.0001, c = 0.004, and e = 0.0002.The parameters describing demand capacity of short life-cycle products, or in brief we use the term 'short life-cvcle determinants' are similar to Gan et al. (2015), where new product's parameters are U = 1000,  $D_0 = 90$ , with varying  $\lambda = 0.05$ , and remanufactured product's are V = 500,  $D_{r0} = 50$ , and  $\eta = 0.05$ . Selling horizon consists of four time segments with  $t_1 = 1$ ,  $\mu = 2$ ,  $t_3 = 3$ , and T = 4. The unit manufacturing and remanufacturing costs are  $c_m = 90$  and  $c_r = 60$  respectively. Parameters related to the green level are  $\gamma = 0.002$ , and  $\rho = 20$ . The optimization problems are solved using Matlab R2014, and the results are analyzed to find the behavior of pricing decision when green consumers are considered. In addition, sensitivity analyses are conducted by varying several important parameters, namely, the green-level-demand-expansion coefficient, the unit remanufacturing cost, the demand parameters, and the short life-cycle determinants, to see their effects to the profit of manufacturer, retailer and the total profit.

# Numerical example results for independent decision problem

The results of independent decision problem is shown in Table 1. The green level responses to the model parameters can be observed in Figs. 2 and 3. The increment in demand parameter that represents new product's price sensitivity (*a*) is responded by higher optimum green level. As the market is getting more sensitive to new product's price, the attractiveness of remanufactured product can be improved by increasing green level, especially for green consumers. When green-level-demand-expansion coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) increases, the optimum green level also increases, because the effectiveness of increasing it is higher. However,

Table 1	Numerical	example's result	s varying $\lambda$ , $\eta$ , $\gamma$ , $\alpha$	$c_r, a, c, \rho$ (i	independent decision	I)
---------	-----------	------------------	--	----------------------	----------------------	----

Imbd	nu	а	b	cr	gamma	rho	Pnw	Pn	Qnty_n	Prw	Pr	Qnty-r	theta	Profit_M	Profit_R	Total Profit
0.01	0.01	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	211.71	273.69	406.39	118.64	243.66	78.57	1.36	54,029.94	35,012.28	89,042.21
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.1	0.1	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.90	273.66	411.18	118.09	243.12	86.47	1.42	54,694.96	36,614.47	91,309.44
0.2	0.2	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.91	273.66	409.63	118.10	243.13	86.03	1.42	54,488.51	36,457.59	90,946.10
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.0005	20	210.99	273.70	412.51	117.43	242.48	86.61	0.35	54,881.14	36,698.26	91,579.40
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.001	20	210.98	273.69	412.58	117.56	242.61	86.62	0.70	54,889.14	36,705.90	91,595.05
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.005	20	210.46	273.39	415.43	122.63	247.53	86.91	4.14	55,140.80	36,997.72	92,138.51
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.01	20	205.90	270.74	440.31	167.02	290.58	89.47	19.60	52,927.42	39,601.19	92,528.61
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	40	0.002	20	208.96	272.92	416.43	108.93	238.35	108.18	2.49	56,873.39	40,631.61	97,505.00
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	50	0.002	20	209.94	273.29	414.66	113.52	240.75	97.42	1.96	55,846.41	38,659.73	94,506.14
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	70	0.002	20	211.90	274.03	411.12	122.70	245.53	75.89	0.90	54,097.74	34,864.16	88,961.90
0.05	0.05	0.002	0.004	60	0.002	20	298.01	402.01	450.98	104.52	261.23	37.63	0.73	95,472.76	52,800.05	148,272.82
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.05	0.05	0.004	0.004	60	0.002	20	168.43	210.19	370.90	124.74	234.28	110.54	1.77	36,185.62	27,595.26	63,780.88
0.05	0.05	0.005	0.004	60	0.002	20	143.27	172.33	327.41	128.67	229.02	124.68	1.97	25,925.63	22,025.33	47,950.95
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.002	60	0.002	20	213.14	275.69	443.10	265.64	452.96	170.28	8.94	87,979.40	59,615.87	147,595.27
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.003	60	0.002	20	210.43	273.72	426.46	166.60	311.98	129.02	3.90	64,809.51	45,747.01	110,556.52
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.005	60	0.002	20	211.69	273.94	406.55	102.01	220.47	65.09	0.61	52,201.68	33,017.78	85,219.46
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	10	210.84	273.61	413.32	118.87	243.88	86.70	2.93	54,963.95	36,781.06	91,745.01
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	210.92	273.66	412.89	118.11	243.14	86.65	1.43	54,921.19	36,737.25	91,658.44
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	30	210.95	273.67	412.75	117.86	242.90	86.64	0.94	54,906.94	36,723.16	91,630.10
0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	40	210.96	273.68	412.68	117.74	242.78	86.63	0.70	54,899.82	36,716.21	91,616.03

The bold numbers are the parameter values that are varied for sensitivity analysis



**Fig. 2** The effect of price sensitivity (a, c) and green-level-demandexpansion coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) (independent scenario)

when remanufactured product's price sensitivity to remanufactured product's demand increases, it is followed by a decreasing green level, since the market is more sensitive



**Fig. 3** The effect of remanufacturing cost  $(c_r)$  and green-level-investment coefficient  $(\rho)$  (independent scenario)

to remanufactured product's price, so the effort to improve green level would not be effective. Figure 3 shows the effect of remanufacturing cost to green level which is inversely proportional. The higher the remanufacturing cost the lower



**Fig. 4** The effect of price sensitivity (*a*) to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (independent scenario)



**Fig. 5** The effect of price sensitivity (*c*) to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (independent scenario)

is the optimum green level, which is described in proposition 7. In addition, as the green-level-investment coefficient ( $\rho$ ) increases the optimum green level decreases.

The sensitivity analysis plots are shown in Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 as follows

• The higher customers' price sensitivity to new products (*a*), the lower the profits of both manufacturer and retailer, as seen in Fig. 4. However, manufacturer's profit plummets faster than retailer's. On the other hand, an increase in customer's price sensitivity to remanufactured product (*c*) would decrease the profits, but now retailer's profit declines more rapidly. Figure 5 shows this result. The existence of green segment allows remanufactured



**Fig. 6** The effect of demand's speed of change  $(\lambda, \eta)$  to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (independent scenario)



The effect of green-level-demand-expansion

**Fig. 7** The effect of green-level-demand-expansion coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) to manufacturer's, retailer's, and total profits (independent scenario)

product's retail price to be higher than new product's. When c is lower, the demand capacity of remanufactured product is absorbed better and it is also responded by higher optimum green level to attract green consumers, as shown in Table 1, therefore, the optimum retail price is higher. This results shows that firm should perform market survey to determine price sensitivity and then use them to decide green segment strategies for pricing decisions.

• The effect of demand's speed of change represented by  $\lambda$  for new product, and  $\eta$  for remanufactured product can be observed in Fig. 6, where the highest profit is



**Fig.8** The effect of remanufacturing cost  $(c_r)$  to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (independent scenario)



Fig. 9 The effect of green-level-investment coefficient to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (independent scenario)

attained at 0.05 either for manufacturer or retailer. This result shows that it is important that firm manages the promotion such that the increase in demand would not be too fast to achieve sufficient demand capacity during the growth period before it decreases fast due to short life-cycle characteristic.

• Manufacturer's profit is increasing with green-leveldemand-expansion coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) until  $\gamma = 0.005$  but decreasing at  $\gamma = 0.01$ , but for retailer it is always increasing, as shown in Fig. 7. When  $\gamma$  is getting higher, it is responded by a very high optimum green level, therefore, the attractiveness of remanufactured product is increasing significantly followed by higher remanufactured product's prices and lower new product's prices, which is then reduce manufacturer's profit, which can be observed in Table 1. When green segment is quite large, then  $\gamma$  is quite high, and firms should increase the green level of the product to attract green consumers, but not too high as it would erode the sales of the new product.

- Remanufacturing  $\cot(c_r)$  is inversely proportional to profits received by manufacturer as well as retailer, which is shown in Fig. 8. This can be explained by the effect of remanufacturing cost to retail prices. When  $c_r$  is higher, prices are increasing, which then decrease the demanded products. In addition, the optimum green level is decreasing because otherwise it would be too costly for remanufactured product.
- Green-level-investment coefficient (ρ) does not have significant effect to profit earned as shown in Fig. 9, because the higher ρ is responded by lower optimum green level, and it keeps the prices relatively stable, as seen in Table 1.

# Numerical example results for integrated decision problem

In this setting, manufacturer and retailer act as one unit and make pricing decision that maximize total profit. The wholesale prices are agreed to be  $P_{nw} = 90$  and  $P_{rw} = 40$ , and contracted revenue sharing portion is  $\varphi = 40\%$ . The results can be seen in Table 2.

Total profit in integrated decision is 32.5% higher than independent scenario. Since the contract requires the manufacturer to provide lower wholesale price, then retail prices also become lower. Retail price for new product in integrated scenario is 26.6% lower than independent scenario, and retail price for remanufactured product is 47.5% lower. Demand for new product increases 44.7% and for remanufactured product 73.2%. The revenue sharing contract also enable both manufacturer and retailer to receive higher profit i.e. 33.4% and 31.1%, respectively, compared to independent scenario.

The sensitivity of parameters are consistent with the independent scenario as shown in Figs. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15. It is observed when green-level-demand-expansion coefficient increases to  $\gamma = 0.01$ , retailer's profit exceeds manufacturer's profit, because the optimum green level is increasing significantly which required higher investment by manufacturer. On the other hand, the price of remanufactured product is increasing as a respond to higher interest of green consumers to buy remanufactured product, which is beneficial to the retailer. In addition, the effect of remanufacturing cost in the integrated scenario is less significant since the wholesale prices are contracted as fixed values.

Table 2	Numerical exam	ple's results va	rying $\lambda, \eta, \gamma$	$, c_r, a, c, \rho$	(integrated	decision)
---------	----------------	------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----------

J	lmbd	nu	а	b	cr	gamma	rho	Pnw	Pn	Qnty_n	Prw	Pr	Qnty-r	theta	Profit_M	Profit_R	Total Profit
	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.08	736.16	40.00	164.97	373.22	4.98	80,294.10	51,198.56	131,492.67
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.1	0.1	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	744.07	40.00	164.87	400.82	5.33	82,188.09	53,159.88	135,347.96
	0.2	0.2	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	741.28	40.00	164.87	398.92	5.30	81,864.11	52,935.62	134,799.73
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.0005	20	90.00	216.13	747.18	40.00	163.63	396.82	1.32	82,594.95	52,730.71	135,325.66
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.001	20	90.00	216.14	747.18	40.00	163.88	397.83	2.65	82,577.78	52,852.68	135,430.47
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.005	20	90.00	216.42	747.17	40.00	172.52	433.00	14.33	81,793.66	57,278.45	139,072.11
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.01	20	90.00	217.74	747.16	40.00	212.05	593.88	38.74	73,557.07	82,172.76	155,729.83
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	40	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	745.14	40.00	155.01	443.22	5.86	91,226.95	53,079.33	144,306.27
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	50	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	746.16	40.00	159.95	422.57	5.60	86,701.71	53,275.60	139,977.30
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	70	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	748.19	40.00	169.82	381.28	5.09	78,637.40	53,301.32	131,938.72
	0.05	0.05	0.002	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	301.97	838.54	40.00	169.22	402.19	5.57	119,846.67	101,208.27	221,054.93
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.05	0.05	0.004	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	173.32	655.80	40.00	162.72	401.80	5.23	63,034.30	32,332.88	95,367.18
	0.05	0.05	0.005	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	147.63	564.43	40.00	161.42	401.72	5.17	50,700.98	22,036.41	72,737.39
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.002	60	0.002	20	90.00	220.81	747.12	40.00	303.77	470.29	12.42	110,639.84	98,644.56	209,284.40
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.003	60	0.002	20	90.00	217.70	747.16	40.00	210.72	434.88	7.68	91,841.35	67,938.59	159,779.93
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.005	60	0.002	20	90.00	215.66	747.18	40.00	149.70	385.85	4.57	79,425.75	48,660.55	128,086.31
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	10	90.00	216.22	747.17	40.00	166.26	407.52	10.83	82,398.20	54,035.30	136,433.50
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	20	90.00	216.17	747.18	40.00	164.88	401.93	5.34	82,505.19	53,349.59	135,854.78
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	30	90.00	216.15	747.18	40.00	164.43	400.10	3.55	82,538.24	53,126.95	135,665.19
	0.05	0.05	0.003	0.004	60	0.002	40	90.00	216.15	747.18	40.00	164.21	399.19	2.66	82,554.29	53,016.71	135,571.00

The bold numbers are the parameter values that are varied for sensitivity analysis



**Fig. 10** The effect of price sensitivity (*a*) to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (integrated scenario)

# **Managerial implications**

From the optimum results and the numerical example with sensitivity analyses, we provide several managerial implications as follows:



**Fig. 11** The effect of price sensitivity (*c*) to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (integrated scenario)

• The existence of green segment is beneficial to manufacturer as well as retailer, as it increases their profits. Therefore, managers need to perform sound market survey to identify the green segment and their willing-



**Fig. 12** The effect of demand's speed of change  $(\lambda, \eta)$  to manufacturer's, retailer's, and total profits (integrated scenario)



**Fig. 13** The effect of green-level-demand-expansion coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) to manufacturer's, retailer's, and total profits (integrated scenario)

ness to pay, hence identify the magnitude of green-leveldemand-expansion coefficient.

- Manufacturer should be careful in increasing green level when the size of green segment is large. This is caused by the higher attractiveness of remanufactured product for green consumers which is responded by increased optimum green level, followed by higher investment cost that erodes manufacturer's profit.
- Manufacturer and retailer are better off when working together in the pricing decision under the integrated scenario with revenue sharing contract, as the total profit gains would be higher compared to the independent scenario. They need to agree on the contracted revenue sharing portion, as well as the lower wholesale prices.



**Fig. 14** The effect of remanufacturing cost  $(c_r)$  to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (integrated scenario)



**Fig. 15** The effect of green-level-investment coefficient ( $\rho$ ) to manufacturer's, retailer's and total profits (integrated scenario)

- Price sensitivity plays a significant role in the model, therefore, firms should perform a reliable market survey to better understand the extent of new product's price sensitivity as well as remanufactured product's price sensitivity to decide the optimum green level to attract green consumers.
- Since demand's speed of change is not always better when it is increased, firms should manage the promotion and product immersion such that the increase in demand would not too fast to achieve sufficient demand capacity during the growth period before it decreases fast due to short life-cycle characteristic.
- Under independent scenario, manufacturer should calculate the remanufacturing cost carefully because it would influence the retail prices, both new and remanufactured

Ж

product. Higher remanufacturing cost would hurt the firms' profits.

# Conclusion

This paper addresses a pricing decision problem for short life-cycle product with price-sensitive demand and green consumers exist. Two supply chain players considered, i.e., manufacturer and retailer, and they decide on wholesale and retail prices of new and remanufactured products with a certain green level. We proposed independently optimized profit scenario under Stackelberg game with manufacturer as the leader, and integrated scenario where the two parties jointly making decision on the prices. The results show that the integrated scenario achieves higher profit compared to the independent scenario, and both players are better off under the integrated decision. We find that the existence of green segment, which is represented by the magnitude of greenlevel-demand-expansion coefficient, increases the profit of manufacturer and retailer to a certain level. However, there is a certain limit to the magnitude of green-level-demandexpansion coefficient before it erodes manufacturer's profit when the green level is too high. In addition, price sensitivity of new and remanufactured products, demand's speed of change, and remanufacturing cost influence the optimum prices as well as the optimum green level. The proposed models can assist managers in making pricing decisions and assess to what extent the green level should be increased to attract green consumers, how to manage the promotion and product's immersion to the market, and understand the importance of price-sensitivity coefficients. In this study, we have not included the effect of warranty period of the products, which could be significant to consumer's preference to purchase new and remanufactured products. This could be a focus to be explored further. This study can also be extended by considering the return rate in the collection of used product because the quantity and quality of supply of used products are uncertain, so it is important to assess the market-driven collection strategy as opposed to waste stream strategy.

# **Appendix 1: Proof of Proposition 7**

Under the optimum setting in the integrated decision problem:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_J}{\partial \theta} = (\gamma D_r) P_r + (-2\rho)\theta + (-\gamma D_r c_r) = 0$$
(A1)

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_J}{\partial P_r} = (bD_n + eD_r)P_n + (-2cD_r)P_r + (\gamma D_r)\theta + (D_r(1 + cc_r) - bc_m D_r) = 0$$
(A2)

The green level can be expressed as

$$\theta_1 = \frac{(\gamma D_r)(P_r - c_r)}{2\rho} \text{from (A1) and}$$
$$= \frac{-(bD_n + eD_r)P_n - 2cD_rP_r - (D_r(1 + cc_r) + bc_mD_r)}{\gamma D_r}$$
from (A2)

Therefore, the relations between green level and

- (1) Demand capacity for remanufactured product
- (2) Selling price of remanufactured product  $\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial D_r} = \frac{\gamma(P_r c_r)}{2\rho} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial D_r} = \frac{bD_n P_n}{D_r^2} > 0$ (2) Selling price of remanufactured product  $\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial P_r} = \frac{\gamma D_r}{2\rho} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial P_r} = \frac{2c}{\gamma} > 0$ (2)
- (3) Remanufacturing cost  $\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial c_r} = -\frac{\gamma D_r}{2\rho} < 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial c_r} = -\frac{c}{\gamma} < 0$



# References

- Abbey, J.D., et al. 2015. Remanufactured Products in Closed-Loop Supply Chains for Consumer Goods. Production and Operations Management 24 (3): 488-503.
- Abeliotis, K., C. Koniari, and E. Sardianou. 2010. The Profile of the Green Consumer in Greece. International Journal of Consumer Studies 34 (1): 153-160. https://doi.org/10.3846/16484 142.2011.560366.
- Atasu, A., V.D.R.J. Guide, and L.N. Van Wassenhove. 2010. So What If Remanufacturing Cannibalizes My New Product Sales? California Management Review 52 (2): 56-76. https://doi.org/10.1525/ cmr 2010 52 2 56
- Chen, D., et al. 2018. Reverse Logistics Pricing Strategy for a green Supply Chain: A View of Customers' Environmental Awareness. International Journal of Production Economics. https://linki nghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0925527318303505.
- Debo, L.G., L.B. Toktay, and L.N.Van Wassenhove. 2005. Market Segmentation and Product Technology Selection for Remanufacturable Products. Management Science 51 (8): 1193-1205.
- Deng, L., and S. Yang. 2016. Pricing Strategies in the Remanufacturing Market for the Uncertain Market Size in the Second Period. Journal of Applied Mathematics 2016: 13. https://doi. org/10.1155/2016/4164295.
- Ferrer, G., and J.M. Swaminathan. 2006. Managing New and Remanufactured Products. Management Science 52 (1): 15-26.
- Ferrer, G., and J.M. Swaminathan. 2010. Managing New and Differentiated Remanufactured Products. European Journal of Operational Research 203 (2): 370-379.

- Finisterra do Paço, A.M., and M.L.B. Raposo. 2010. Green Consumer Market Segmentation: Empirical Findings from Portugal. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 34 (4): 429–436.
- Galbreth, M.R., and J.D. Blackburn. 2005. Optimal Acquisition and Sorting Policies for Remanufacturing. *Production and Operations Management* 15 (3): 384–392.
- Gan, S.-S., et al. 2015. Pricing Decision Model for New and Remanufactured Short-Life Cycle Products with Time-Dependent Demand. *Operations Research Perspectives* 2: 1–12. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.orp.2014.11.001.
- Gan, S.-S., et al. 2017. Pricing Decision for New and Remanufactured Product in a Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Separate Sales-Channel. *International Journal of Production Economics* 190: 120–132.
- Gan, S.S., et al. 2018. Pricing Decisions for Short Life-Cycle Product in a Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Random Yield and Random Demands. *Operations Research Perspectives* 5 (2017): 174–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2018.07.002.
- Gan, S.-S., and I.N. Pujawan. 2017. Pricing and Warranty Level Decisions for New and Remanufactured Short Life-Cycle Products. Jurnal Teknik Industri 19 (1): 39–46.
- Gao, J., et al. 2016. Pricing and Effort Decisions in a Closed-Loop Supply Chain Under Different Channel Power Structures. *Journal* of Cleaner Production 112: 2043–2057.
- Giri, B.C., A. Chakraborty, and T. Maiti. 2017. Pricing and Return Product Collection Decisions in a Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Dual-Channel in Both Forward and Reverse Logistics. *Journal* of Manufacturing Systems 42: 104–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmsy.2016.11.007.
- Giri, B.C., C. Mondal, and T. Maiti. 2018. Analysing a Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Selling Price, Warranty Period and green Sensitive Consumer Demand Under Revenue Sharing contract. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 190: 822–837. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.092.
- Gu, W., et al. 2015. Quality Design and Environmental Implications of Green Consumerism in Remanufacturing. *International Journal* of Production Economics 162: 55–69.
- Guide, V.D.R.J., and L.N.Van Wassenhove. 2009. The Evolution of Closed-Loop Supply Chain Research. *Operations Research* 57 (1): 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1080.0628.
- Ho, J.W., Y.S. Huang, and C.L. Hsu. 2018. Pricing Under Internal and External Competition for Remanufacturing Firms with Green Consumers. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 202: 150–159. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.109.
- Jena, S.K., and S.P. Sarmah. 2014. Optimal Acquisition Price Management in a Remanufacturing System. *International Jour*nal of Sustainable Engineering 7 (2): 154–170. https://doi. org/10.1080/19397038.2013.811705.
- Jiménez-Parra, B., S. Rubio, and M.A. Vicente-Molina. 2014. Key Drivers in the Behavior of Potential Consumers of Remanufactured Products: A Study on Laptops in Spain. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 85: 488–496.
- Kamath, N.B., and R. Roy. 2007. Capacity Augmentation of a Supply Chain for a Short Lifecycle Product: A System Dynamics Framework. *European Journal of Operational Research* 179: 334–351.
- Michaud, C., and D. Llerena. 2011. Green Consumer Behaviour: an Experimental Analysis of Willingness to Pay for Remanufactured Products. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 20: 408–420.
- Mostafa, Mohamed M. 2007. A Hierarchical Analysis of the Green Consciousness of the Egyptian Consumer. *Psychology and Marketing* 24 (5): 445–473.
- Ovchinnikov, A. 2011. Revenue and Cost Management for Remanufactured Products. *Production and Operations Management* 20 (6): 824–840.

- Qiaolun, G., J. Jianhua, and G. Tiegang. 2008. Pricing Management for a Closed-Loop Supply Chain. *Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management* 7 (1): 45–60.
- Shi, J., G. Zhang, and J. Sha. 2011. Optimal Production Planning for a Multi-product Closed Loop System with Uncertain Demand and Return. *Computers and Operation Research* 38 (3): 641–650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2010.08.008.
- Souza, G.C. 2013. Closed-Loop Supply Chains: A Critical Review, and Future Research. *Decision Sciences* 44 (1): 7–38.
- Vafadarnikjoo, A., et al. 2018. Assessment of Consumers' Motivations to Purchase a Remanufactured Product by Applying Fuzzy Delphi Method and Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 196: 230–244.
- Wang, Y., et al. 2018. Consumers' Green Preferences for Remanufactured Products. *Recent Advances in Intelligent Manufacturing*, 332–342. Singapore: Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-981-13-2396-6.
- Wang, Y., and B.T. Hazen. 2016. Consumer Product Knowledge and Intention to Purchase Remanufactured Products. *International Journal of Production Economics* 181: 460–469. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.08.031.
- Zhou, L., and S.M. Gupta. 2019. Marketing Research and Life Cycle Pricing Strategies for New and Remanufactured Products. *Journal* of Remanufacturing 9(1): 29–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1324 3-018-0054-x
- Zhu, X. and Yu, L., 2018. Differential Pricing Decision and Coordination of Green Electronic Products from the Perspective of Service Heterogeneity. *Applied Sciences*, 8(1–19):1207. www.mdpi.com/ journal/applsci.
- Zhou, L., et al. 2017. Pricing Decision Models for Remanufactured Short-Life Cycle Technology Products with Generation Consideration. *Procedia CIRP* 61: 195–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. procir.2016.11.208.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Shu-San Gan** is Associate Professor at Mechanical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University. She received M.Sc. in Applied Mathematics from Michigan State University, USA; PhD in Industrial Engineering from Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Indonesia. Her research interests include optimization, pricing, remanufacturing, and closed-loop supply chain. She has published articles about pricing decisions in several reputable journals, namely *International Journal of Production Economics, Operations Research Perspectives, Operations and Supply Chain Management.* 

**I Nyoman Pujawan** is Professor of Supply Chain Engineering at the Department of Industrial Engineering, Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya, Indonesia. He received a M.Eng in Industrial Engineering from Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand, and PhD in Management Science from Lancaster University, UK. He has published articles in various international journals including the *European Journal of Operational Research, International Journal of Production Research, International Journal of Production Economics, Production Planning and Control, International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, Business Process Management Journal, among others.* 

**Didik Wahjudi** is Associate Professor in the Mechanical Engineering, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia. He received his Master of Science degree from the University of Iowa and Master of Engineering from Texas A&M University, USA. He completed his Ph.D. from the Industrial Engineering Department, ITS, Surabaya, Indonesia. His research interests are quality management, lean six sigma, and remanufacturing. Dr. Wahjudi has published his articles in the *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management* and *International Journal of Business and Society*.

Yopi Yusuf Tanoto is a Lecturer in the Mechanical Engineering, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia. He received his Master degree from Mechanical Engineering Department, Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology (ITS), Surabaya, Indonesia. His research interests are in the area of rapid prototyping and optimization in manufacturing. He has published his article in the *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering* and *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*.