

 Inbox  New Message  Folders  Search  Fetch Mail  Calendar  Filters  Password

 Address Book  File Manager  Options  Problem  Help  Log out

 Open Folder  Inbox

Quota status: 1134.35MB / 1757.81MB (64.53%)

Search Results: Thank you for submitting your review (6 of 26)

Mark as: Move | Copy This message to

[Back to Search Results](#)  

[Delete](#) | [Reply](#) | [Forward](#) | [Redirect](#) | [Blacklist](#) | [Whitelist](#) | [Message Source](#) | [Save as](#) | [Print](#)

Date: Sat, 20 Apr 2013 04:09:16 -0400 [04/20/2013 03:09:16 PM WIT]

From: eduard.muljadi@nrel.gov

To: tumbel@peter.petra.ac.id

Subject: Thank you for submitting your review

Headers: Show All Headers

Dear Dr. Hanny Tumbelaka,

Thank you for submitting your review of TEC-00131-2013 entitled Effect of photovoltaic generator components on the number of MPPs under partial shading conditions, for the IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion.

On behalf of the Power & Energy Society and the Editorial Board of IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, we appreciate the voluntary contribution that each reviewer gives to the Journal. We thank you for your participation in the review process and hope that we may call upon you again to review future manuscripts.


Regards,

Prof. Scott Sudhoff, Editor-in-Chief
Dr. Eduard Muljadi, Editor
IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion

[Delete](#) | [Reply](#) | [Forward](#) | [Redirect](#) | [Blacklist](#) | [Whitelist](#) | [Message Source](#) | [Save as](#) | [Print](#)

Mark as: Move | Copy This message to

[Back to Search Results](#)  



[Log Out](#) | [Change Password](#) | [Edit Account](#) | [Payment](#) | [Contact Us](#)

[Home](#)

[Author Instructions](#)

[Reviewer Instructions](#)

[Notice](#)

[Home](#) [Reviewer Main](#)

[Edit Account](#) | You are logged in as **Hanny Hosiana Tumbelaka**

Reviewer Main

Manuscripts assigned to you for review are listed in the "Review and Scores" list below. You can view the manuscript by clicking on its title. To view reviewer instructions and access the score sheet, click on the "Review" button. If you like to see your scores sheet you completed in the past, click on the "View" button in the "Score Submitted" list.

Awaiting Invited Response

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Submitted Date	Status
No Data.			

Review and Score

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Due Date	Perform Review
No Data.			

Scores Submitted

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Date	Status	Perform
---------------	------------------	------	--------	---------

JPE, SCI E

JPE, Scopus



pISSN: 1598-2092
eISSN: 2093-4718

Journal of Power Electronics has been listed in SCIE(Science Citation Index Expanded) and SCOPUS since January 2007.

		Completed		Review
JPE-12-09-057 / Ver. 0001	Transient SVC Plant Terminal Voltage Minimization using Sliding Mode Controller [View Submission]	28-11-2012	Reject	Review
JPE-12-09-059 / Ver. 0001	A Boost Sine Wave Inverter using Simple Voltage Reference Closed Loop Control for AC Grid and Solar Cell [View Submission]	15-10-2012	Reject	Review

Correspondence**Journal of Power Electronics**

Rm. #408, The Korea Science & Technology Bldg. 22, 7gil, Teheran-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Republic of Korea
Tel : +82-2-554-0184~5 | Fax : +82-2-554-0186 | Website : <http://www.jpe.or.kr> | Email : editor@jpe.or.kr



JPE
Journal of Power Electronics



[Log Out](#) | [Change Password](#) | [Edit Account](#) | [Payment](#) | [Contact Us](#)

[Home](#)
[Author Instructions](#)
[Reviewer Instructions](#)
[Notice](#)

Home Reviewer Main

[Edit Account](#) | You are logged in as **Hanny Hosiana Tumbelaka**

Reviewer Main

Manuscripts assigned to you for review are listed in the "Review and Scores" list below. You can view the manuscript by clicking on its title. To view reviewer instructions and access the score sheet, click on the "Review" button. If you like to see your scores sheet you completed in the past, click on the "View" button in the "Score Submitted" list.

Awaiting Invited Response

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Submitted Date	Status
No Data.			

Review and Score

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Due Date	Perform Review
No Data.			

Scores Submitted

Manuscript ID	Manuscript Title	Date Completed	Status	Perform Review
JPE-13-10-025 / Ver. 0101	Half Load-Cycle Worked Dual Input Single Output D C/AC Inverter [View Submission]	09-05-2014	Minor Revision	Review
JPE-13-10-025 / Ver. 0001	A novel DC/AC Converter for Photovoltaic Power Generation [View Submission]	09-12-2013	Major Revision	Review
JPE-12-09-057 / Ver. 0001	Transient SVC Plant Terminal Voltage Minimization using Sliding Mode Controller [View Submission]	28-11-2012	Reject	Review
JPE-12-09-059 / Ver. 0001	A Boost Sine Wave Inverter using Simple Voltage Reference Closed Loop Control for AC Grid and Solar Cell [View Submission]	15-10-2012	Reject	Review

Correspondence
Journal of Power Electronics

Rm. #408, The Korea Science & Technology Bldg. 22, 7gil, Teheran-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-703, Republic of Korea
Tel : +82-2-554-0184~5 | Fax : +82-2-554-0186 | Website : <http://www.jpel.s.org> | Email : editor@kipe.or.kr

JPE, SCIE

JPE, Scopus







pISSN: 1598-2092
eISSN: 2093-4718

Journal of Power Electronics has been listed in SCIE/Science Citation Index Expanded and SCOPUS since January 2007.

Try a fast, secure browser with updates built in

X

jpe

Mail

5 of 24

COMPOSE

[JPE] Thank you for reviewing manuscript JPE-16-03-139(Ver. 0001) Inbox x

Inbox (304)

Starred
Sent Mail
Drafts

Alumni Australia /

 Hanny

No Hangouts Contacts

[Find someone](#)

 editor@kipe.or.kr
to me

Apr 25 ☆



Journal of Power Electronics

Dear **Dr. Hanny Hosiana Tumbelaka**,

Thank you for reviewing manuscript **JPE-16-03-139** entitled "**GMPPT Analysis Under Partial Shading Using a Different PV Panel Model**" for **Journal of Power Electronics(JPE)**. We highly appreciate your voluntary contribution to the Journal and also thank you for your participation in the online review process and hope to call upon you again for reviewing future manuscripts.

Sincerely,
Editor-in-Chief
Prof. Dong-Choon Lee

Try a fast, secure browser with updates built in

Yes, get Chrome now

No, not interested

X

jpe

Mail

Move to Inbox

More

2 of 24

COMPOSE

[JPE] Thank you for reviewing manuscript JPE-16-05-054(Ver. 0001)

Inbox x

Inbox (304)

Starred

Sent Mail

Drafts

Alumni Australia /

Hanny

No Hangouts Contacts

[Find someone](#)

editor@kipe.or.kr
to me

Jun 16



Journal of Power Electronics

Dear **Dr. Hanny Hosiana Tumbelaka,**

Thank you for reviewing manuscript **JPE-16-05-054** entitled "**Comparative Study between three high performance MPPT controllers for photovoltaic applications**" for **Journal of Power Electronics(JPE)**. We highly appreciate your voluntary contribution to the Journal and also thank you for your participation in the online review process and hope to call upon you again for reviewing future manuscripts.

Sincerely,
Editor-in-Chief



UNIVERSITAS
KRISTEN
PETRA

Hanny Tumbelaka <tumbeh@petra.ac.id>

Decision for ESE-2016-02-0010 - Energy Science & Engineering [email ref: DL-SW-4-b]

1 message

ese@wiley.com <ese@wiley.com>

Thu, Jun 2, 2016 at 4:55 PM

To: ese@wiley.com

02-Jun-2016

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you for taking the time and making the effort to review the paper entitled "Investigating Variable Speed Wind Turbine Transient Performance Considering Different Inverter Schemes and SDBR" for Energy Science & Engineering. A decision of 'Reject' has been rendered for the manuscript.

At the time a decision is made, as a courtesy, we like to share with you the comments of all reviewers that worked on this paper. Below you will see your own comments on this work, as well as the comments of others who participated in the review process.

Your participation in the peer-review process is critical to the journal's success and directly impacts the quality of the journal we publish. We appreciate your assistance with the evaluation of the manuscript and hope that we may contact you for assistance with future submissions falling within your areas of interest and expertise.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Carrick, Managing Editor, Energy Science & Engineering

Reviewer comments to the author:

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The purposes/objectives of these paper are not clear. What are the novelty and the contribution of this paper?

The IGBT inverters mentioned in this paper are not new. This paper Introduces SDBR and a new PLL to improve the transient response during the fault. It is not clear the role of SDBR and PLL for improving transient response. How big is the resistor losses and what is the effect of the losses? What is the connection to the main controller? The SDBR and its controller is not shown in Fig. 3. Please show the previous researches/works of SDBR. Please show the previous researches/works of PLL. What are the advantages of your new PLL?

Please provide nomenclature. Many symbols and parameters are not clearly defined. For example, symbol 's' in equation 7 and so on is inconsistently used. Legends of the figures are also confused. For example in Fig. 9 – 11, there is no explanation about switching of the grid voltage. Need more comprehensive explanations of all figures, especially in section 5. The division of time axis should be the same for all figures of simulation results.

Organization of this paper is poor.

There are a six-step 2 level IGBT inverter, a single 2 level IGBT inverter, a single 2 level step inverter, a parallel interleaved IGBT inverter, and a parallel interleaved 2 level six step IGBT inverter. Which one are matched with Fig. 5 and 6. Please use the name consistently. Please describe the theories clearly and comprehensively.

Page 4, the last paragraph before section 3: 'Three switching signals' for ... What are they?

Three phase line filter inductor has no picture. As a result, it is difficult to understand equations 7 to 18. What is the purpose of writing these equations?

Page 7, section 5 A, first sentence: ... the best switching signals (Fig. 2) ... what is the best switching

signals? What is the relationship of the signals with Fig. 2 that shows SDBR control strategy? In Fig. 2, $E_{dc} > 1.5 E_{dc}$ or $I_r > 2 I_r$... these equations seem incorrect. Please check. Please explain about the grid voltage control mentioned in page 8?
It is not clear what the best position for SDBR is. Why only 2 level inverter is used for investigation?
Which one of the 2 level inverter is used?

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

This reviewer has a number of issues with the paper in its present state. These are enumerated below:

1. The authors state that the use of modular multilevel converters in wind power generation is the preferred option. However, wind turbines incorporating power electronics to interface them with the grid have been used way before modular multilevel converters became available. In any case, if this is the preferred option why have the authors focused on two-and three-level configurations instead?
2. How is the proposed PLL scheme different from the conventional one? Your readers would benefit from a clear explanation on their differences, advantages and disadvantages.
3. The way that the SDBR control strategy works has not been sufficiently explained. Only a figure gives an indication of this but there is no explanation about it.
4. What would be the implications of installing an SDBR in any of the examined locations? An offshore wind turbine is very expensive and any additional component would incur in an additional cost.
5. What control strategy is used in the DFIG? Is this the PVdq mode control? Is achieving maximum power extraction the goal? This should be explicitly clarified rather than expect the reader to interpret the control diagrams.
6. Full power converter based wind turbines are nowadays the most widely adopted topology for variable-speed operation. Is there a particular reason why the research has focused on DFIGs? At least some discussion would be necessary to justify this.

Reviewer: 3

Comments to the Author

The paper study the behaviour of different converters for low voltage ride-through for DFIG and using a rotor side resistance. Some studies are interesting but more data are needed

- what are the data used for the Whole system
- What is the sampling rate
- Include tables for comparison of the different systems
- Do not use PSCAD figures
- How is the resistance designed ?
- Also improve the figure quality and ensure the simulation steps are adequate small



Thank you from IET Renewable Power Generation

1 message

RVT -Review Management System <editorialoffice_1@iet-review.rivervalleytechnologies.com>

Fri, Aug 28, 2020 at 9:47 AM

Reply-To: iet_rpg@theiet.org

To: tumbeh@petra.ac.id

Dear Dr Hanny Tumbelaka,

RPG-2020-0446

'Strategic Planning of Power to Gas Energy Storage Facilities in Electricity Market'

We have received your review for the above paper.

On behalf of Professor David Infield, I would like to thank you for reviewing the paper for IET Renewable Power Generation.

We value the contribution you have made and hope that we will be able to ask you to review other papers for the Journal in the future.

Yours sincerely

Louise Bailey
IET Renewable Power Generation
Editorial Office.

Disclaimer: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.