

Discussion Paper

by Jimmy Susanto

Submission date: 18-May-2020 09:47AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1326649539

File name: Discussion_Paper_-_Jimmy_Chandra_Susanto_Teng.pdf (83.77K)

Word count: 1115

Character count: 5476

1 Disc. 116-S117/From the September 2019 ACI Structural Journal, p. 247

2 **Novel Empirical Expression ¹ to Predict Shear Strength**
3 **of Reinforced Concrete Walls Based on Particle Swarm**

4 Paper by Hadi Baghi, Hani Baghi, and Sasan Siavashi

6 **Discussion by Jimmy Chandra[#] and Susanto Teng^{##}**

7 ⁴ **#Department of Civil Engineering, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia**

8 ³ **##School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University,**
9 **Singapore**

10

11 The **authors** have **to be** congratulated for developing a new method for shear analysis of
12 structural walls. We do have some queries that we hope the authors can help clarify. They are
13 as follows:

14

15 1. In engineering practice, structural reinforced concrete (RC) walls are always provided
16 with shear or web reinforcements. Therefore, our method² assumed that RC walls were
17 always provided with web reinforcements and the derivations of the governing equations
18 for our method² assumed that web reinforcements were provided. As such, specimens that
19 have no web reinforcement were excluded from our database². The excluded specimens
20 were specimens B4-2 and B5-4 tested by Barda, et. al.¹⁴; **specimens SW-10, SW-11, and**
21 **SW-12** tested by Cardenas, et. al.¹⁵; specimens 24, 28, and 32 tested by Hidalgo, et. al.³⁹.
22 However, those excluded specimens were included in the authors' database (Table 2).
23 Naturally, our method² should not be used to calculate the shear strengths of those
24 excluded specimens. Doing so would not be right and it could unnecessarily make our
25 method² to appear less accurate than it actually is.

1

2 2. Our method² is intended for calculating shear strengths, not flexural strengths of RC walls.

3 For calculating the flexural strengths of RC walls, a reasonably accurate procedure using
4 the flexural theory for RC flexural members can be used. Therefore, our method² as
5 presented in our paper² is only for calculating the shear strength of RC walls. Thus,
6 comparisons between experimental shear strengths and calculated shear strengths as
7 calculated by our method², the authors' own method, as well as ACI shear strength
8 equations, should only be done for specimens failing in shear (not in flexure). Yet, the
9 authors included in their comparisons, specimens that failed in flexure, such as: specimens
10 HW1, HW2, and HW3 tested by Yun, et. al.²⁵; specimens Wall-7, Wall-8, and Wall-9
11 tested by Li and Li²⁷; all specimens tested by Li, et. al.²⁹; all specimens tested by Kuang
12 and Ho³⁶. The shear resistances that were recorded when the specimens failed in flexure
13 were not the shear strengths of the specimens and they could not be used for comparison
14 between experimental shear strengths and nominal shear strengths of RC walls as
15 calculated by our method² or by other shear strength methods. Furthermore, those
16 specimens tested by Hidalgo, et. al.³⁹ were loaded in such a way to model double
17 curvature walls. Our method² is not intended for double curvature walls since the
18 derivation of the governing equations and boundary conditions were intended for normal
19 cantilever walls.

20

21 3. The authors might have made some errors when comparing the shear strengths of
22 specimens as calculated using our method² against the experimental shear strengths of the
23 specimens as tested by Looi, et. al.²⁶. Our method² was shown (as calculated by the
24 authors) in Table 2 to produce V_{exp}/V_{ana} of 0.00 for three specimens (ALR02, ALR03, and
25 ALR04). However, according to our calculations, the V_{exp}/V_{ana} should be 0.76, 0.73, and

1 0.74 for specimens ALR02, ALR03, and ALR04, respectively. Those errors would affect
2 the statistics significantly.

3

4 4. In the current paper, the authors show in Table 3, that the minimum value for V_{exp}/V_{ana} for
5 our method² is 0.10. However, we could not find this number (0.10) in the detailed results
6 as presented in Table 2. In addition, the authors also show in Fig. 5 that there are three
7 specimens that have the values of V_{exp}/V_{ana} of around 0.10. Again, we could not find those
8 specimens in Table 2. We hope that the authors can list those specimens since the
9 minimum value for V_{exp}/V_{ana} of 0.10 shows that our method² can be very unsafe, which
10 may not be true.

11

12 We hope that the authors can clarify those four issues that we mentioned above.

13

14

REFERENCES

15 2. Chandra, J.; Chanthabouala, K.; and Teng, S., "Truss Model for Shear Strength of
16 Structural Concrete Walls," ACI Structural Journal, V. 115, No. 2, Mar. 2018, pp. 323-
17 335. doi: 10.14359/51701129.

18 14. Barda, F.; Hanson, J. M.; and Corley, W. G., "Shear Strength of Low-Rise Walls with
19 Boundary Elements," Reinforced Concrete Structures in Seismic Zones, SP-53, N. M.
20 Hawkins and D. Mitchell, eds., American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI,
21 1977, pp. 149-202.

22 15. Cardenas, A. E.; Russell, H. G.; and Corley, W. G., "Strength of Low-Rise Structural
23 Walls," Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Wind and Earthquake Loads, SP-
24 63, J. Schwaighofer, ed., American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 1980, pp.
25 221-242.

- 1 25. Yun, H.-D.; Choi, C.-S.; and Lee, L.-H., "Earthquake Performance of High-Strength
2 Concrete Structural Walls with Boundary Elements" 13th World Conference on
3 Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, BC, Canada, Aug. 2004, Paper No. 1182.
- 4 26. Looi, D. T. W.; Su, R. K. L.; Cheng, B.; and Tsang, H. H., "Effects of Axial Load on
5 Seismic Performance of Reinforced Concrete Walls with Short Shear Span," *Engineering*
6 *Structures*, V. 151, 2017, pp. 312-326. doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.08.030.
- 7 27. Li, H., and Li, B., "Experimental Study on Seismic Restoring Performance of Reinforced
8 Concrete Shear Walls," 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering Vancouver,
9 BC, Canada, Aug. 2004, Paper No. 1559.
- 10 29. Li, B.; Pan, Z.; and Xiang, W., "Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Performance of
11 Squat RC Structural Walls with Limited Ductility Reinforcing Details," *Journal of*
12 *Earthquake Engineering*, V. 19, No. 2, 2015, pp. 313-331. doi:
13 10.1080/13632469.2014.962669.
- 14 36. Kuang, J. S., and Ho, Y. B., "Seismic Behavior and Ductility of Squat Reinforced
15 Concrete Shear Walls with Nonseismic Detailing," *ACI Structural Journal*, V. 87, No. 1,
16 Jan.-Feb. 1990, pp. 23-31.
- 17 39. Hidalgo, P.; Ledezma, C.; and Jordan, R., "Seismic Behavior of Squat Reinforced
18 Concrete Shear Walls," *Earthquake Spectra*, V. 18, No. 2, 2002, pp. 287-308. doi:
19 10.1193/1.1490353.

Discussion Paper

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.i-scholar.in

Internet Source

2%

2

Submitted to Nanyang Technological University,
Singapore

Student Paper

2%

3

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

2%

4

ced.petra.ac.id

Internet Source

1%

5

Xian-Liang Rong, Shan-Suo Zheng, Yi-Xin
Zhang, Xiao-Yu Zhang, Li-Guo Dong.

"Experimental study on the seismic behavior of
RC shear walls after freeze-thaw damage",
Engineering Structures, 2020

Publication

1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 1%