

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

PREFACE

To cite this article: 2020 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **581** 011001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [PREFACE](#)
- [2019 9th International Conference on Future Environment and Energy](#)
- [Preface](#)



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

243rd ECS Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

More than 50 symposia are available!

Present your research and accelerate science

Boston, MA • May 28 – June 2, 2023

[Learn more and submit!](#)

PREFACE

We are honored to bring you this collection of articles from the 2020 10th International Conference on Future Environment and Energy (ICFEE 2020) which was held in Kyoto, Japan from January 7 to 9, 2020. ICFEE 2020 is dedicated to issues related to Future Environment and Energy.

The primary focus of the conference is to bring together academic scientists, engineers, and industry researchers to share their experiences and research results, and discuss the practical challenges encountered and the solutions adopted. The ICFEE 2020 served as a good platform for the scientific community where more than 100 participants met, exchanged ideas and research results, and examined the way forward regarding future environment and energy.

ICFEE 2020 Conference Chair Prof. Kondo Akira Osaka University, Japan did the Opening Remarks and delivers his keynote speeches titled "Evaluation on current and future air quality in Continental Southeast Asia by using WRF/CMAQ"; also there were two other Keynote Speeches. They are Prof. Jae K. Park from University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA) presented Keynote Speech titled "Innovative Upcycling of Scrap Tires for Pollutant Abatement" on 8th January. This was followed by Keynote Speaker Prof. Rodney Stewart, form Griffith University, Queensland, Australia who spoke on "Role of Intelligent Sensor Networks and Big Data Informatics for Managing Urban Water and Energy Resources". All the three keynote speakers focused on the latest information and most innovative developments in their respective expertise areas on future environment and energy. For the conference proper, there were nine sub-sessions and one poster session with various interesting topics as follows: Environmental Management and Sustainable Development, Atmospheric Monitoring and Water Quality Analysis, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Building Energy Efficiency and Energy Management, Life Cycle Analysis of Photovoltaic Solar Panels, Combustion Science and Power Engineering, Urban Engineering and Transportation Engineering, Battery Technology and Photovoltaic System Optimization, Geological and Civil Engineering.

ICFEE 2020 was indeed a golden opportunity for academics, students, researchers and engineers to interact with the experts and specialists to get their advice or consultation on state-of-the-art developments in future environment and energy, technical matters, as well as research methodologies and innovative strategies in the field of environmental and energy management. On the third day of the conference, we had a one-day visit in Kyoto city.

This proceeding presents a selection from papers submitted to the conference from participants from universities, research institutes and industry. All papers were subjected to peer-review by conference committee members and international reviewers. The papers selected were based on their quality and their relevancy to the



conference. The volume tends to present to the readers the recent advances in the field of Future Environment and Energy and various related areas, such as Energy and Environment, Renewable Energy, Advanced Energy Technologies, Fuels and Combustion, Benefits of the Conference, etc.

Finally, it is appropriate that we record our thanks to our organizing committees and reviewers for their work in securing a substantial input of papers from 15 countries and in encouraging participation from those areas. We are also indebted to those who served as Keynote Speakers, Conference Chairmen and Session Chairmen. Without their support, the conference could not have been as successful as it was. We also acknowledge the authors who have contributed to this volume, without whose expert inputs, this compilation of proceedings would not have been possible. We would like to thank all the conference participants for their support to ICFEE 2020.

Prof. Kondo Akira
Osaka University, Japan
April 23, 2020

Table of contents

Volume 581

2020

◀ Previous issue Next issue ▶

2020 10th International Conference on Future Environment and Energy 7-9 January 2020, Kyoto, Japan

Accepted papers received: 22 September 2020

Published online: 04 December 2020

[Open all abstracts](#)

Preface

OPEN ACCESS 011001

PREFACE

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 011002

Conference Committee

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 011003

Delegate List

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 011004

Peer review declaration

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

Energy Policy

OPEN ACCESS 012001

Analysis of Emission Reduction Benefits of Electric Vehicles

CX Hu and TR Li



[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012002
The site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy. [X](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012016

Multi-energy Complementary System for Joint Production of Liquid Fuel and Power



F Zhang, L Zhu, P Wu, L Sun and Y Wang

+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012017

Investigating the Effects of Boil-off Gas on Liquefied Energy Carriers During Land Storage and Ocean Transportation



Mohammed Al-Breiki and Yusuf Bicer

+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012018

Experimental Study on the Performance of Vortex Tube Cooling Device on a Cooling Jacket

Muhammad Fadhli Suhaimi, Lim Sheh Hong and Mohd Hazwan Yusof



+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

Waste Disposal

OPEN ACCESS 012019

Thermal Oxidative Decomposition of Soybean Straw: Thermo-Kinetic Analysis via Thermogravimetric Analysis and Artificial Neural Networks



T Xie, R C Wei, C Z Gong and J Wang

+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012020

Combustion Performance of *Pterocarpus indicus* Leaves Wastes Briquette with Rejected Papaya as Binding Agent



Willyanto Anggono, Sutrisno, Fandi D. Suprianto, F.X. Yulio Arifin and Gabriel Jeremy Gotama

+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012021

Water-Retention Properties of Pavement Ceramics Prepared From Mixtures of Waste Diatomite and Light Emitting Diode Quartz Sand

Kae-Long Lin, Kang-Wei Lo, Ya-Wen Lin and Ta-Wui Cheng

+ Open abstract  View article  PDF

Water Resource

OPEN ACCESS 012022

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Conference Committee

To cite this article: 2020 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **581** 011002

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Organizing Committee](#)
- [International Conference of Modern Applications on Information and Communication Technology \(ICMAICT\), University of Babylon, Babylon, Iraq](#)
- [The 4th International Conference on Advanced Technology & Applied Sciences \(ICaTAS'2019\)](#)



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

243rd ECS Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

More than 50 symposia are available!

Present your research and accelerate science

Boston, MA • May 28 – June 2, 2023

[Learn more and submit!](#)

Conference Committee

Conference Chairs

Akira Kondo, Osaka University, Japan

Jae K. Park, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA

Rodney Stewart, Griffith University, Queensland, Australia

Program Chairs

Jae K. Park, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA

Dr. Enrico Sciubba, University of Roma Sapienza, Italy

Vladan Babovic, National University of Singapore

Mathias Cehlin, University of Gävle, Sweden

Anja Pfennig, HTW University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany

Yeon-Gun Lee, Jeju National University, Korea

Guest Editors

Akira Kondo, Osaka University, Japan

Jae K. Park, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA

Enrique Romero, Cadaval, University of Extremadura, Spain

Jeong-Hun Park, Chonnam National University, South Korea

Publication Chair

Ms. Hedy Zhao, Hong Kong Chemical, Biological & Environmental Engineering Society, Hong Kong

Technical Committee

Ken Nagasaka, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan

Kazutaka Itako, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, Japan

Enrico Sciubba, University of Roma Sapienza, Italy

Enrique Romero Cadaval, University of Extremadura, Spain

Roberto San Jose, Technical University of Madrid, Spain

Hui Tong Chua, University of Western Australia

Jeong-Hun Park, Chonnam National University, South Korea

Sheng-Yuan Chu, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

Kazutaka Itako, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, Japan

Ali Cheknane, University Of Laghouat, Algeria

Tanakorn Wongwuttanasatian, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

Ruey-Fang Yu, National United University, Taiwan



Yanpeng Cao, Zhejiang University, China
Chien-Yen Chen, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan
Md Maruf Mortula, American University of Sharjah, UAE
Khurram Shahzad, University of the Punjab, Pakistan
Maikel Mendez, Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica, Costa Rica
Wim F. Fuls, University of Cape Town, South Africa
Xiaojuan Wu, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, China
Amnart Suksri, Khon Kaen University, Thailand
Hao Zhang, Southwest University China
Luiz Carlos Pereira da Silva, university of campinas
Mathias Cehlin, University of Gävle, Sweden
Wei Li, Zhejiang University, China
Willyanto Anggono, Petra Christian University, Indonesia
Kui-Hao Chuang, Central Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Wenbing Mei, Chaoyang University of Technology, Taiwan
Yao Yu, North Dakota State University, USA
Hen Friman, Holon Institute of Technology, Holon, Israel
Xiaolin Wang, University of Tasmania, Australia
Gerardo Reyes, Lakehead University, Canada
Viktor Kochkodan, Hamad bin Khalifa University, Qatar
Jarotwan Koiwanit, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand
Arpapong Changjan, Pathumwan Institute of Technology, Thailand
Sutinee Sinutok, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand
Qing Zhu, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, USA
Wong Yee-Shian, University Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP), Malaysia
Winardi Dwi Nugraha, Diponegoro University, Indonesia
Nuttakorn Intaravicha, Pathumwan Institute of Technology, Thailand
Atsushi Shiota, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan
Rahul S. Todmal, Vidya Pratishthan's ASC College, India
Chumnan Boonyaputthipong, Khon Kaen University, Thailand
Loo Joo Ling, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Malaysia
Lee Hwang Sheng, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Malaysia
Teng Shao, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China
A. S. Devakumar, University of Agricultural Sciences, India



Scientific Programming Paper

Join Leading Researchers in the Field of Scientific Prog
Publish With Us

Hindawi

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

COUNTRY

United Kingdom



Universities and
research institutions in
United Kingdom

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Earth and Planetary
Sciences

Earth and Planetary
Sciences
(miscellaneous)

Environmental Science

Environmental
Science
(miscellaneous)

Physics and Astronomy

Physics and
Astronomy
(miscellaneous)

PUBLISHER

IOP Publishing Ltd.

H-INDEX

34

PUBLICATION TYPE

Conferences and
Proceedings

ISSN

17551307, 17551315

COVERAGE

2010-2021

INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)

[How to publish in this
journal](#)

ees@ioppublishing.org


SCOPE

the open access IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (EES) provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective




Join the conversation about this journal

SJR




Total Documents




Total Cites

Self-Cites




Citations per document




External Cites per Doc

Cites per Doc




% International Collaboration



Citable documents

Non-citable documents



Cited documents

Uncited documents



IOP Conference Series:
Earth and Environmental...


Not yet assigned
quartile

SJR 2021
0.2

Show this widget in
your own website

Just copy the code below
and paste within your html
code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimago.org/journal/S0950068721000000">
```



Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our [new data visualization tool](#).



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022

N NAJI 6 months ago

Hello Dear

I participated as a corresponding author in IOP conf .series: Earth and Environment Science
877(2021)012046

I want do this IOP still in Scopus ???

kind regards

reply



Melanie Ortiz 6 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Naji, thank you very much for your comment. We suggest you consult the Scopus database directly. Keep in mind that the SJR is a static image (the update is made one time per year) of a database (Scopus) which is changing every day.


The Scopus' update list can also be consulted here:

<https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/how-scopus-works/content>

Best Regards, SCImago Team

A Alharia Dinata 2 years ago

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science - Volume 708 is not available in Scopus.





Source details

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

Scopus coverage years: from 2010 to Present

Publisher: Institute of Physics Publishing

ISSN: 1755-1307 E-ISSN: 1755-1315

Subject area: Earth and Planetary Sciences: General Earth and Planetary Sciences
Environmental Science: General Environmental Science

Source type: Conference Proceeding

CiteScore 2021
0.6 ⓘ

SJR 2021
0.202 ⓘ

SNIP 2021
0.409 ⓘ

[View all documents >](#) [Set document alert](#) [Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2021

0.6 = $\frac{45,063 \text{ Citations 2018 - 2021}}{74,324 \text{ Documents 2018 - 2021}}$

Calculated on 05 May, 2022

CiteScoreTracker 2022 ⓘ

0.7 = $\frac{49,560 \text{ Citations to date}}{71,622 \text{ Documents to date}}$

Last updated on 05 October, 2022 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2021 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Earth and Planetary Sciences	#153/191	20th
General Earth and Planetary Sciences		
Environmental Science	#191/228	16th
General Environmental Science		

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗ . All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies ↗.





Participation and Presentation Certificate

for

2020 10th International Conference on Future
Environment and Energy (ICFEE 2020)
Kyoto, Japan, January 7-9, 2020

Paper title: Combustion performance of Pterocarpus indicus
leaves wastes briquette with rejected papaya as
binding agent

Presenter's name: Willyanto Anggono (K5003)

Presenter's affiliation: Petra Christian University, East
Java, Indonesia

www.cbees.org



Session Chair

Organization



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Combustion Performance of *Pterocarpus indicus* Leaves Wastes Briquette with Rejected Papaya as Binding Agent

To cite this article: Willyanto Anggono *et al* 2020 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **581** 012020

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



EXTENDED ABSTRACT DEADLINE: DECEMBER 18, 2020

239th ECS Meeting
with the 18th International Meeting on Chemical Sensors (IMCS)

May 30-June 3, 2021

SUBMIT NOW →

Combustion Performance of *Pterocarpus indicus* Leaves Wastes Briquette with Rejected Papaya as Binding Agent

Willyanto Anggono^{1,2}, Sutrisno^{1,2}, Fandi D. Suprianto^{1,2}, F.X. Yulio Arifin^{1,2}, and Gabriel Jeremy Gotama^{3,4}

1 Mechanical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia

2 Centre for Sustainable Energy Studies, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia

3 School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

4 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Munich, Garching, Munich, Germany

E-mail: kj-mesin@petra.ac.id

Abstract. *Pterocarpus indicus* is a plant commonly found in Indonesia and it produces wastes from its leaves falling. Earlier investigation indicated that transforming these leaves into briquette could clean them and provide a new source of energy. However, the use of tapioca as binding agent in the previous study may be criticized for affecting the food availability as it is edible. To solve this issue, tapioca is substituted with rejected papaya. The briquette was then investigated to find out the best manufacturing parameters and its viability as a sustainable fuel. The optimum ratio of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya, which yield calorific value of 4338.79 Kcal/kg, is found to be 95% and 5%, respectively. Proximate and ultimate analyses corroborate the use of this briquette. Investigation of four combustion parameters (ignition time, flame temperature, combustion rate, and burning time) indicates that the best briquette is manufactured with biomass of 60 mesh size or 250 μm and compacted with hydraulic pressure of 2 MPa.

1. Introduction

The increase of the overall human population reduces the supply of available fossil fuel [1,2]. This situation promotes the development of modern sustainable fuels. The cost of fuel may stabilize with the addition of new sources of renewable energy [3,4]. Biomass has been investigated meticulously for its potential as a sustainable fuel. Various types of biomass comprise of factory waste, algae, wood, city and animal wastes. The convenience of acquiring the biomass with low price to no cost is one of its advantages [5]. Biomass also has the potential to be a CO₂ neutral fuel [5].

General process of using energy residing in the biomass is by directly combusting it [6]. However, direct combustion requires certain condition. High water content in biomass will stop the combustion to take place and biomass with high water content are prevalent [4]. Therefore, it is crucial for the biomass to be processed as to decrease its water content. Another weakness of the direct combustion is in logistic. Biomass requires large space due to its low bulk density which translates to higher expenditure when transporting it [7].

The disadvantages of directly combusting the biomass can be solved by turning them into briquette, which has better energy and bulk density, better heating value, better energy properties, and less water



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

content [7-9]. Furthermore, the energy obtained from the use of briquette is larger compared to the energy needed to convert the biomass into briquette [10]. In social context, briquette is beneficial to society by decreasing the scarcity of energy and providing additional income, particularly to those who lives in rural area [5,11].

Plant waste has been recognized as a potential biomass fuel [12]. Indonesia, a developing country with tropical climate, has an abundant source of plant biomass [5], including *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes. *Pterocarpus indicus* trees are generally found in the street of Indonesia and create a surfeit amount of litters in the form of leaves. An example of the *Pterocarpus indicus* plant commonly seen in Indonesia is displayed in Figure 1. It may be observed from this figure that the leaves that fall from the tree become litters. To clean these litters, people commonly burn these leaves. The burning of leaves generates additional greenhouse emission and wasting possible energy source. Turning these litters of leaves into briquette is a viable solution to stop the mistreatment of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes. The preceding investigation has discovered the possibility of manufacutring briquette using *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes and tapioca flour as its binder [4]. However, the use of tapioca as binding agent in that study may be criticized for it is an edible source and therefore affects the food availability. To solve this issue, rejected papayas are used as the binding agent instead. Rejected papayas, as shown in figure 2, are papayas that are not sold or consumed due to their lack in quality during sorting process. Their sugar and natural fibre content make it possible to use them as binder for briquette. Most of the time, they are left to rot, causing odour problem and unsightly view. Hence, rejected papayas are considered to be wastes and their utilization is useful in reducing them.



Figure 1. *Pterocarpus indicus* tree found in Indonesia. Leaves wastes are seen beneath it.



Figure 2. Rejected papayas that are left to rot and become unpleasant to look.

In this study, the investigation focuses mainly in obtaining the best briquette that is made of *Pterocarpus indicus* and rejected papaya. Crucial attributes that affect the quality of the produced briquette are compacting pressure, ratio of biomass to binder, and particle size of the biomass [2,13]. This study was conducted to discover the optimum level of these parameters. Firstly, the optimum proportion of biomass and binder is found by comparing their calorific value. Afterward, the best proportion of briquette underwent proximate and ultimate analyses. Optimum particle size and compacting pressure were found through testing of ignition time, combustion rate, flame temperature, and burning time.

2. Experimental method

Firstly, in order to manufacture the *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes and rejected papaya briquette, the raw materials are gathered. Both leaves and rejected papaya were easy to obtain and only require little to no cost. After obtaining both materials, the moisture content in the leaves were reduced by directly exposing them to sunlight for 3 days. As for the rejected papayas, the papayas were squashed into liquid to prepare them as binder, as shown in figure 3. Subsequently, the dried leaves were cut into several smaller pieces according to the pre-determined particle size required for the investigation.

Afterward, the shredded leaves were combined with the squashed papayas in a mould. The mould was then exposed to a hydraulic pressure to compact both leaves and papaya into briquette. The final result of the briquette is displayed in figure 4. The acquired briquettes, which were manufactured distinctively under numerous combinations of proportion, size, and pressure, were then tested to find out their best proportion, proximate and ultimate analyses, and combustion characteristics.



Figure 3. Squashed rejected papaya.



Figure 4. Result of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya briquette.

In this investigation, the proportion of biomass to rejected papaya is varied from 75% biomass-25% rejected papaya to 95% biomass-5% rejected papaya with a 5% increment of biomass proportion. The estimation of the calorific value was performed using 1341 Plain Coat oxygen bomb calorimeter to determine the calorific value of briquette for each proportion.

Proximate and ultimate analyses were also performed on the manufactured briquettes. The result of the proximate analysis provides insight to the fuel's properties including volatile matter and water content which are crucial when discussing the fuel's viability in society. The result of ultimate analysis provides insight to the essential elemental composition of the briquette including carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen. All of these tests were performed under ASTM standards.

In investigating the effect of biomass size, 3 distinct particle sizes were chosen, 60 mesh or 250 μm , 40 mesh or 420 μm , and lastly, 20 mesh or 840 μm . In investigating the effect of applied hydraulic pressure, two degree of pressure were exposed to the briquette, 1 MPa and 2 MPa. The mould is 50 mm in length and 12.5 mm in radius. Several briquettes were manufactured through numerous combinations of three distinct sizes and two distinct pressures. The results were then tested to find the best combination of particle size and pressure which yield the best briquette. Combustion characteristics such as ignition time, flame temperature, combustion rate, and burning time of the briquette were examined to ascertain the briquette's performance as renewable fuel. The combustion characteristics test was conducted for 3 repetitions for each combination of particle size and pressure to ensure the significance of the results.

3. Results and discussion

The calorific value for various ratio of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya blend is shown in figure 5. The results suggested that briquette made of 95% *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and 5% rejected papaya has the highest calorific value. This result is due to the *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste having higher calorific value (4427.62 Kcal/kg) [4] compared to rejected papaya (435.02 Kcal/kg) and therefore larger proportion of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes increases the overall calorific value of the briquette. According to figure 6, the calorific value obtained in this study is comparable to the *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes briquette with tapioca as binder and others biomass briquettes [13-15]. Briquette bonded with tapioca has higher calorific value since tapioca has larger calorific value (3574.47 Kcal/kg) [4] as opposed to rejected papaya (435.02 Kcal/kg).

The results of the ultimate and proximate analyses are given in table 2 and 3, respectively. The low moisture content, and the lower fixed carbon value compared to the volatile matter indicates that the briquette can be easily combusted [16]. The sulfur content is considered to be within acceptable range as compared to other briquettes such as *Cerbera manghas* leaves (0.19%) [13], rice straw/sugarcane leaves ($\pm 0.04\%$) [14], *Pterocarpus indicus* twigs (0.17%) [16], areca leaves ($\pm 0.6\%$) [17], and water

hyacinth charcoal ($\pm 0.36\%$) [18]. The ultimate analysis results indicate the combination of both hydrogen and carbon in briquette is higher than 50 %wt in which they contribute to the calorific value of the briquette [16]. These analyses corroborate the use *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya briquette as fuel.




Figure 5. Calorific value of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya for various proportion (5% to 25% wt of rejected papaya).




Figure 6. Comparison of various briquettes calorific value [13-15]. PIL is an abbreviation for *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves. CML is an abbreviation for *Cerbera manghas* leaves.

Table 2. Proximate analysis results.

Parameters	Unit	As Received	Dried Basis	Test Method
Total Moisture	% wt	11.15	-	ASTM D 3302 – 17
Ash Content	% wt	6.82	7.68	ASTM D 3174 – 12
Volatile Matter	% wt	66.30	74.62	ASTM D 3175 – 18
Fixed Carbon	% wt	15.73	17.70	ASTM D 3172 – 13
Total Sulfur	% wt	0.22	0.25	ASTM D 4239 – 18
Gross Calorific Value	Kcal/Kg	4341	4886	ASTM D 5865 - 13

Table 3. Ultimate analysis results.

Parameters	Unit	As received	Test Method
Carbon	% wt	46.12	ASTM D 5373–16
Hydrogen	% wt	5.09	ASTM D 5373–16
Nitrogen	% wt	3.58	ASTM D 5373–16
Oxygen	% wt	27.02	ASTM D 3176–15

The influence of various particle sizes and hydraulic pressures on the flame temperature is given in figure 7(a). High flame temperature is desired as it contributes to higher rate of heat transfer. Smaller particle size and larger pressure resulted in larger flame temperature. Peak flame temperature (586 °C) is found in briquette with 2 MPa compacting pressure and biomass size of 60 mesh.

The influence of various particle sizes and hydraulic pressures on the ignition time is given in figure 7(b). Ignition time relates to the time required for the briquette to be ignited [19]. Longer ignition time means that the briquette requires more time to be combusted. Smaller particle size and larger pressure

resulted in larger ignition time for the briquette. Peak ignition time (295 seconds) is found in briquette with 2 MPa compacting pressure and biomass size of 60 mesh.

The influence of various particle sizes and hydraulic pressures on the burning time is given in figure 7(c). Burning time shows the time required for the fuel to reduce into ashes after being ignited [19]. Longer burning times means that the briquette last longer when used as fuel. Smaller particle size and larger pressure resulted in slightly larger burning time of the briquette. Peak burning time (4394 seconds) is found in briquette with 2 MPa compacting pressure and biomass size of 60 mesh.

The influence of various particle sizes and hydraulic pressures on the combustion rate is given in figure 7(d). Combustion rate shows the rate of which the combustible component of the fuel is consumed when combusted. Larger burning rate means that the briquette burns at a faster rate and therefore will not last for long. Smaller particle size and larger pressure resulted in slightly less combustion rate of the briquette. The smallest combustion rate (0.00253 gram/second) is found in briquette with 2 MPa compacting pressure and biomass size of 60 mesh.

The result of the burning time and combustion rate only have a slight difference between different hydraulic pressures. However, these results are in line with the previous studies where larger hydraulic pressure leads to longer burning rate and larger combustion rate [2,16].




Figure 7. (a) flame temperature, (b) ignition time, (c) burning time, and (d) combustion rate of briquette at various particle size and compacting pressure.

4. Summary

The result of this study suggests that briquette manufactured with ratio of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves wastes and rejected papaya of 95% and 5%, respectively, yields the highest calorific value of 4338.79 Kcal/kg. The calorific value of the briquette bonded with rejected papaya is comparable with other types of briquette and slightly lower compared to briquette bonded with tapioca due to their respective binder's calorific value. Ultimate and proximate analyses support the use of *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya briquette. Burning time, ignition time, and flame temperature raises

with the increase of the applied hydraulic pressure and reduction of the shredded biomass size. In the other hand, the reduction of applied hydraulic pressure and the raise of biomass size increase the combustion rate. 60 mesh (250 μm) particle size and 2 MPa hydraulic pressure were found to be the best manufacturing parameters for the briquette. Briquette manufactured under these attributes has 4394 seconds of burning time, 295 seconds of ignition time, 586 °C of flame temperature, and 0.00253 gram/second of combustion rate.

While 60 mesh particle size and 2 MPa hydraulic pressure are the most optimum manufacturing attributes discovered in this investigation. Universally, it might not be the best parameters for manufacturing *Pterocarpus indicus* leaves waste and rejected papaya briquette. Prospective studies may investigate the biomass size and hydraulic pressure comprehensively through addition of larger hydraulic pressure and much finer particle size. Another alternative binding agent may also be investigated in the future; Particularly, those that increase the overall calorific value of the briquette without affecting the availability of the food source.

5. References

- [1] Jones G A and Warner K J 2016 *Ene. Pol.* **93** 206–12
- [2] Anggono W, Suprianto F D, Gotama G J, Sutrisno and Evander J 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **417** 012007
- [3] Sarkar A and Praveen G 2016 *Biofuels and Bioenergy Int. Conf.* (India) (Switzerland: Springer International Publishing AG) p 117
- [4] Anggono W, Sutrisno, Suprianto F D and Evander J 2017 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **241** 012043
- [5] Sugumaran P and Seshadri S 2010 *Biomass Charcoal Briquetting Technology for Alternative Energy Based Income Generation in Rural Areas* (India: Shri AMM Murugappa Chettiar Research Centre)
- [6] Thipkhunthod P, Meeyoo V, Rangsunvigit P, Kitiyanan B, Siemanond K and Rirksomboon T 2005 *Fuel* **84** 849–57
- [7] Sengar S H, Mohod A G, Khandetod Y P, Patil S S and Chendake A D 2012 *Int. J. Ene. Eng.* **2** 28–34
- [8] Mwampamba T H, Owen M and Pigaht M 2013 *Ene. Sust. Dev.* **17** 158–70
- [9] Stolarski M J, Szczukowski S, Tworowski J, Krzyżaniak M, Gulczyński P and Mleczek M 2013 *Renew. Ene.* **57** 20–6
- [10] Sakkampang C and Wongwuttanasatian T 2014 *Fuel* **115** 186–9
- [11] Hu J, Lei T, Wang Z, Yan X, Shi X, Li Z, He X and Zhang Q 2014 *Energy* **64** 557–66
- [12] Anggono W, Noor MM, Suprianto F D, Lesmana L A, Gotama G J and Setiawan A 2018 *Int. J. of Auto. And Mech. Eng. (IJAME)* **15** 5667-82
- [13] Anggono W, Suprianto F D, Sutrisno and Kasrun A W 2016 *Int. J. Ind. Res. and App. Eng.* **1** 11–4
- [14] Jittabut P 2015 *Ene. Proc.* **79** 2-9
- [15] Lela B, Barišić M and Nižetić S 2016 *Waste Man.* **47** 236-345
- [16] Anggono W, Sutrisno, Suprianto F D, Evander J and Gotama GJ 2018 *Int. J. Ren. Ene. Res.* **8** 1393-400
- [17] Deepak K B, Manujesh B J, Vivek, Yashas B K 2019 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2080** 030004
- [18] Suttibak S, Loengbudnark W 2018 *IOP Conf. Series: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **297** 012001
- [19] Kabok P A, Nyaanga D M, Mbugua J M and Eppinga R 2018 *J. Petrol. Env. Biotech.* **9** 100370