IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering

With the ability to publish proceedings from events of any size, *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* provides a comprehensive solution for materials science and engineering conferences

NOTICE: Ukraine: Read IOP Publishing's statement.

Table of contents

Volume 1034

2021

◂ Previous issue Next issue ▸

2nd International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application (iCOMERA 2020) 7th–9th October 2020, Malang, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 16 December 2020

Published online: 18 March 2021

Open all abstracts

Committee

General chair

Dr.-Ing. Victor Yuardi Risonarta, ST., M.Sc.

Dr. Eng. Moch. Agus Choiron

Moch, Syamsul Ma'arif, ST., MT.

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Programme chair

Zainal Abidin, PhD.

Fikrul Akbar Alamsyah, ST., MT.

Dr. Eng. Mega Nur Sasongko, ST., MT.

Teguh Dwi Widodo, ST., M.Eng. Ph.D.

Dr. Eng. Nurkholis Hamidi, ST., M.Eng.

Southwest Research Institute, Texas, USA

National Sun Yat Sen University, Taiwan

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Publication

Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Al Emran bin Ismail

Bayu Satriya Wardhana, ST., M.Eng.

Khairul Anam, ST. MT.

Dr. Femiana Gapsari, ST., MT.

Winarto, ST., MT., PhD.

Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia

National Central University, Taiwan

Vienna Institute of Technology, Austria

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Promotion

Dr. Slamet Wahyudi, ST., MT.

Purnami, ST., MT.

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Scientific committee

Prof. Shahruddin bin Mahzan @ Mohd Zin

Marco Talice, Ph.D.

Prof. Shigeyuki Haruyama

Prof. Chi-Cheng Cheng

Wahyu Caesarendra, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Triyono, ST., MT.

Prof. Dr. Ir. Djoko Kustono

Prof. Ir. ING Wardana, M.Eng, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Ir. Rudy Soenoko, M.Eng.

Prof. Ir. Sudjito, Ph.D.

Dr.-Eng. Budi Prawara

Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia

PM2 Engineering, Italy

Yamaguchi Unversity, Japan

National Sun Yat Sen University, Taiwan

Universiti Brunei Darusalam, Brunei Darusalam

Sebelas Maret University

State University of Malang, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

University of Brawijaya, Indonesia

Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Indonesia

Source details

IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering

Scopus coverage years: from 2009 to Present

ISSN: 1757-8981 E-ISSN: 1757-899X

Subject area: Engineering: General Engineering | Materials Science: General Materials Science

Source type: Conference Proceeding

View all documents > | Set document alert | Save to source list | Source Homepage

CiteScore 2020
0.7

SJR 2019
0.198

SNIP 2020
0.484

CiteScore | CiteScore rank & trend | Scopus content coverage

i Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. Learn more >

CiteScore 2020

0.7 = 49,696 Citations 2017 - 2020 / 68,224 Documents 2017 - 2020

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021

0.9 = 55,859 Citations to date / 62,145 Documents to date

Last updated on 05 October, 2021 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020

Category	Rank	Percentile
Engineering — General Engineering	#228/297	23rd
Materials Science — General Materials Science	#381/455	16th

View CiteScore methodology > CiteScore FAQ > Add CiteScore to your site

BRAWIJAYA UNIVERSITY

ICOMERA

CERTIFICATE

OF PARTICIPATION

The 2nd International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application

"Innovative Research in Engineering for 21st Century"

October 7-8, 2020

The Mechanical Engineering Department of Brawijaya University, Indonesia

This is to certify that

Yopi Yusuf Tanoto, Juliana Anggono, Fefe

Have presented a paper entitled

Optimization of Fused Deposition Modeling Parameters for Hips Flexural Strength with Taguchi Method

Head of Mechanical Engineering Department	Chairman of Conference
Ir. Djarot Bangun Darmadi, MT., Ph.D.	Dr. Ing. Victor Yuardi Risonarta, ST., M.Sc

International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application (ICOMERA) 2020

Gedung Teknik Mesin I, Jl. MT Haryono 167 Malang 65145
East Java - Indonesia

PAPER ACCEPTANCE NOTIFICATION

Number : 61/VIII/ICOMERA2020/LoA
Date : August 5, 2020

First Author : Yopi Yusuf Tanoto
Email : yopi.tanoto@petra.ac.id
Affiliation : Mechanical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Jln. Siwalankerto 121-131,Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia.
Co-authors : Juliana Anggono, Fefe
Paper Title : OPTIMIZATION OF FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PARAMETERS FOR HIPS FLEXURAL STRENGTH WITH TAGUCHI METHOD
Paper ID : iCOMERA-Paper 061

Dear Yopi Yusuf Tanoto
We are pleased to inform you that, after a careful double-blind peer-reviewing process, your manuscript is accepted for oral presentation at the International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application 2020 (ICOMERA 2018) to be held from 7 - 9th October 2020 in Malang, Indonesia.p

To be eligible to publish on IOP Conference Series (Scopus Indexed), please submit your full paper using ICOMERA 2020 paper template (https://proicomera.ub.ac.id/index.php/icomera/2/about/submissions#authorGuidelines) and make revision as advised by our reviewer.

Also, selected papers will be published in International Journal of Integrated Engineering (IJIE) – UTHM (Scopus Indexed), Jurnal Rekayasa Mesin (JRM) and International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Technologies and Applications (MECHTA) which is subjected to terms and conditions stipulated by editorial boards. The information regarding the papers published in IJIE, JRM, and MECHTA will be informed in separate letter.

After receiving this Letter of Acceptance (LoA), you need to:

1. Submit your full revised paper to iCOMERA's 2020 OCS system by visiting our website (https://proicomera.ub.ac.id/index.php/icomera/2) and follow the Online Revision Guidelines.
2. Notify iCOMERA 2020 committee about how you will do the presentation (preferably by asynchronous system via recorded presentation or by synchronous system via zoom on the day of conference). Please also send your recorded presentation no later than 11 September 2020 to icomera.ub@gmail.com or icomera@ub.ac.id

Please note that Presenter MUST present their paper, otherwise, the paper will not be published.

Should you have any questions concerning registration, conference program, and paper publication, please do not hesitate to contact us by e-mail to icomera.ub@gmail.com and icomera@ub.ac.id and khairul.anam27@ub.ac.id for OCS system. For the most updated information of the conference, kindly refer to the official conference website at http://icomera.teknik.ub.ac.id.

Thank you,
Yours sincerely,

Djarot B. Darmadi, PhD.
Treasurerof iCOMERA 2020

[ICOMERA] Editorial Decision on Paper

External Inbox ×

Mochammad Syamsul Ma'arif <syamsulm@ub.ac.id>
to me

Thu, Jul 30, 2020, 12:01 PM

Dear Mr Yopi Yusuf Tanoto,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper titled "OPTIMIZATION OF FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PARAMETERS FOR HIPS FLEXURAL STRENGTH WITH TAGUCHI METHOD" is accepted with revision to be presented and published in The 2nd International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application (iCOMERA) 2020. The review result, Turnitin check result for the paper and other guidelines can be found in the attachment.

Please revise your paper, if any, as suggested by the reviewer and Turnitin then resubmit it through your account at iCOMERA 2020's OCS (https://proicomera.ub.ac.id/index.php/icomera/2) as directed by online revisions guidelines.

For presentation, please inform us about how you will deliver the presentation (preferable by asynchronous one via video recording or other by synchronous one via zoom meeting).

Also, please proceed to the registration payment process by transferring to the following bank account:
Bank name : BANK NEGARA INDONESIA (BNI)
Branch Office : UNIBRAW MALANG, INDONESIA
Account Name : DJAROT BANGUN DARMADI
Account Number : 0908 224 205
SWIFT Code : BNINIDJA

The registration fee has to be transferred under the registrant's name. Please send a copy of the bank statement via e-mail together with the information about how your presentation will be delivered to the committee of ICOMERA 2020 (icomera.ub@gmail.com). For information regarding the registration fee, please visit http://icomera.teknik.ub.ac.id/payment/. Note that all the bank charges for remittance should be paid by the registrant.

Once you finish the revision and registration, then we will able to issue your Letter of Acceptance (LoA).

If you have any questions, please contact the committee of ICOMERA 2020 (icomera.ub@gmail.com).

Thank you and looking forward to your participation in this event.

Mochammad Syamsul Ma'arif
Mechanical Engineering Department, Brawijaya University
Phone +6281249358701
syamsulm@ub.ac.id

The 2nd International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Research and Application
https://proicomera.ub.ac.id/index.php/icomera/2/index

4 Attachments

- Paper 61_89-232-...
- Paper 61_OPTIMI...
- Online-Revisions...
- Paper-Guidance-...

Reply Forward

IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering

Optimization of fused deposition modeling parameters for hips flexural strength with Taguchi method

To cite this article: Yopi Yusuf Tanoto *et al* 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1034** 012094

Optimization of fused deposition modeling parameters for hips flexural strength with Taguchi method

Yopi Yusuf Tanoto*, Juliana Anggono, Fefe
Mechanical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University
Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya, Indonesia
*Email: yopi.tanoto@petra.ac.id

Abstract. HIPS (High Impact Polystyrene) is one of the materials used in 3D printing. Research and application of the use of this material have not been done much, especially in the applications that require flexural strength. This study aims to find a combination of FDM (Fused Deposition Modelling) process parameter settings, that produce experiments with the highest flexural strength, with the optimization process using the experimental design of the Taguchi method with FDM parameters namely the orientation position of the specimen, fill pattern, fill density, and layer. The results showed the confirmation experiment produced the highest flexural strength (32.6753 MPa). In the experiment before confirmation, the highest flexural strength (31,3768 MPa) was shown in experiment number 5 (3rd orientation position, fill pattern lattice, 75% fill density, and 0.125 mm layer thickness).

Keywords: 3D printing, fused deposition modelling, flexural strength, high impact polystyrene, Taguchi

1. Introduction

In the current era, making products with good quality and evaluating various design alternatives is quickly needed to develop products with sustainable principles. Prototype making is one of the keys to success or failure of a product to be launched. One way to make prototypes is by using rapid prototyping (RP) technology. The advantages of RP technology include increasing product model variance, increasing quality of product complexity, increasing product durability and service life, and reducing product prototype processing time [1]. According to Shahrabi, the classification of RP technology can be divided into 4 groups based on the process of using basic materials to make prototypes. The classifications are liquid phase, powder, sheet form, and gas phase [2]. Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) is the most used RP technology of various types of existing RP technology. Nearly half of the RP machines used by the market are FDM [3]. It is called FDM because its parts are formed by deposition of layers of fused material in the product making process. This RP technique is used both in making prototypes and in production applications. FDM was developed by S. Scott Crump in the late 1980s and was commercialized in 1990 by Stratasys [4]. The working principle of FDM is the material in the form of a solid polymer roll (such as ABS, PLA, HIPS, PETG, etc.) heated to liquid by a heated liquefier. Then, the liquid polymer is distributed through the nozzle. It produces a layer which then forms an object or part of arrangement of layers per layer. Heated liquid heater and nozzle are one component and can move three axes namely x, y, and z to form the produced object. The range of material properties needs to be carefully considered in the process of making a prototype because there are tradeoffs in cost, surface quality, and mechanical properties. [5].

Tanoto et al. had conducted several studies on setting of the printing process parameters in FDM to produce the best strength. The studied parameter was product orientation. The used materials were ABS and PLA, and the observed responses included tensile strength, processing speed, and dimensional accuracy of specimen products [6] [7] [8]. In this study, the best orientation position was obtained to produce the product or specimen that has the highest tensile strength and to compare the product quality and processing time between ABS and PLA material. Lee, et al (2004) examined the optimization of 3D printing parameters with FDM technology to produce flexible ABS materials. The parameters used are were air gap, raster angle, raster width, and layer thickness, each of which had three levels. Material testing was carried out using a catapult design to determine the level of flexibility of ABS, with each degree of slope 10°, 15°, and 20°. The result of this study is at a 10° slope position, the air gap parameter gives the maximum contribution to the performance of the product. Then, at a 15° slope position i.e., both the raster angle and layer thickness parameters contribute maximally. Last, at a 20 ° slop position, the layer thickness parameter gives the largest contribution on the product performance [9]. HIPS (High Impact Polystyrene) is a material other than ABS and PLA that is often used. This material offers advantages not possessed by other materials. Besides that, the application of the use and research on HIPS has not been done much. Therefore, this research chose HIPS material as the main material that was tested through finding the most suitable print process parameters to produce the most optimal flexural strength response.

2. Research Methods

2.1 Printing Process

HIPS filament material used was white with the E-sun brand. The filament was 3 mm in diameter with print extrusion temperature of 220 - 260°C [10]. The dimensions of the specimen were 120 mm × 15 mm × 5 mm, where the shape followed the ASTM D 790-2010 standard for flexural testing. The specimen was drawn with 3D CAD software and converted to STL format. Axon V2 was used to slicing the STL [11]. Specimens were made using the Double Head 3D Touch BFB Machine. There were 4 process parameters used in this study where each parameter had 3 levels. The process parameters were orientation position, fill pattern, fill density, and layer thickness. Orientation position 1 (height of perpendicular bed), 2 (width of perpendicular bed), and 3 (length of perpendicular bed) was shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. Parameter and level

Code	Parameter/Factor	Level		
		1	2	3
A	Orientation Position	Position 1	Position 2	Position 3
B	Fill Pattern	Linear	Lattice	Hexagonal
C	Fill Density	25%	50%	75%
D	Layer Thickness	0.125	0.25	0.5

Variations in the fill pattern parameters were linear, lattice, and hexagonal fill patterns. The fill density parameter was fill density with the level of 25%, 50%, and 75%. Finally, the layer thickness parameter used layer thickness with a level of 0.125 mm, 0.25 mm, and 0.5 mm. To set the fill density, fill pattern, and layer thickness parameters, Axon built setting menu was used as shown in Figure 2. The flexural test machine used was Shimadzu AGS Plus with a capacity of 50 kN type 3 points bend.

a b c



Figure 1. Orientation Position. a) Position 1, b) Position 2, c) Position 3



Figure 2. Axon built setting

2.2 Taguchi Method

The Taguchi method is a methodology in engineering that aims to improve product quality and reduce costs and resources to a minimum at the same time. The Taguchi method makes the product or process robust against noise factors therefore, this method is also called robust design.

The advantage of the Taguchi method is it makes the experiment more efficient because it is possible to conduct research that involves many factors and quantities. In addition, the Taguchi method can produce conclusions about the response of the factors and the level of control factors that produce the optimum response [12]. Taguchi method optimization was done by determining parameters and their levels, choosing orthogonal array, conducting experiments, analyzing experimental results with ANOVA, analyzing data and confirming experiments.

3. Result and Discussion

The factors and levels considered in this study are shown in Table 1. Experiments were conducted with four factors. Each at three levels and hence a three-level orthogonal array (OA) was chosen. Degrees of freedom (Dof) required for the design were eight. The OA, which satisfied the required Dof was L9. Figure 3 shows the results of the printing process from a different orientation. This product was tested for flexural strength. Before being tested, the specimen was first finished to fit the test standard. The specimen then flattened the surface and the sides by rubbing using sandpaper and miserly paper until the specimen dimension result was suitable for testing. The sandpaper used was grade 180, and the file used was a small file size, 7 mm. The experiments were conducted using L9 OA. The response values of flexural strength obtained are given in Table 2. Each experiment was carried out five times to obtain five flexural test results.

Table 2. Parameter and level

Experiment No	Factor/Parameter				Flexural Strength Average (MPa)
	A	B	C	D	
1	1	1	1	1	10.666
2	1	2	2	2	18.2719
3	1	3	3	3	21.5722
4	2	1	2	3	17.7125
5	2	2	3	1	31.3768
6	2	3	1	2	18.1126
7	3	1	3	2	27.1102
8	3	2	1	3	18.8914
9	3	3	2	1	25.6361

The results of the response table and response graph can be seen in Table 3 and Figure 4. These tables and graphs were assisted with Minitab software. On the response graph, it is shown that the optimum parameter setting combination is orientation position 3 (A = 3), fill pattern lattice (B = 2), fill density 75% (C = 3), and layer thickness 0.125 (D = 1). The resulting settings are the 3rd orientation position, fill pattern lattice, 75% fill density, and layer thickness 0.125 mm where the combination produces the highest flexural strength.



Figure 3. Printing result for each orientation. a) Position 1, b) Position 2, c) Position 3



Figure 4. Response graphic

Table 3. Table response

Level	A	B	C	D
1	16.84	18.5	15.89	**22.56**
2	22.4	**22.85**	20.54	21.16
3	**23.88**	21.77	**26.69**	19.39
Max-Min	7.04	4.35	10.8	3.17
Rank	2	3	1	4

Table 4. ANOVA

Source	Sq	vq	Mq	F-*ratio*	Sq'	ρ%
A	414.37	2	207.185	162.625	411.822	26.19
B	154.697	2	77.34	60.706	152.149	9.67
C	881.001	2	440.5	345.761	878.453	56.55
D	76.2	2	38.1	29.905	73.652	4.68
e	45.882	36	1.274	1	56.074	2.91
St	1572.15	44	35.73	-	1516.09	100
Sm	19916.88	1	-	-	4	
ST	21489.03	45	-	-	-	

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to determine the contribution of each parameter. Following ANOVA can be seen in Table 4. Looking at the ANOVA results, it is known that the orientation position (A) 26.19% and fill density (C) 56.55% contribute greatly to flexural strength. The next step was to carry out a confirmation experiment to verify or prove that the combination of parameter settings from the response table could produce the optimum/highest flexural strength. The first step is to find the predicted μ (mean) value and the value of the confidence interval using formulas 2 and 3. Then look for the value of the confirmatory μ, obtained from the confirmation experiment and the range of confidence with formula no 4. Next compare the graphs of each μ along with the confidence interval. Test specimens were prepared according to a combination of response tables (A3, B2, C3, D1). In the confirmation experiment, an experiment of five replications was carried out. Confirmation experiment data for average flexural strength were 32.6753 MPa. The flexural strength of the confirmation experiment was then compared with the flexural strength of the Taguchi experiment (experiments 1 through 9). Comparisons were made by calculating the μ and the confidence interval (CI). Calculations are shown as follows:

μ Predicted.

$$\mu_{prediction} = \sum \bar{x}_i - n\,.\,\bar{y} \quad (1)$$

$$\mu_{Predicted} = \bar{A}_3 + \bar{B}_2 + \bar{C}_3 + \bar{D}_1 - 3\,.\,\bar{y}$$

$$\mu_{Predicted} = 23.88 + 22.85 + 26.69 + 22.56 - (3 \times 21.038)$$

$$\mu_{predicted} = 32.866$$

Confident Interval (CI) for mean predicted (μ predicted).

$$n_{eff} = \frac{\text{number of experiment}}{\text{number of DOF in Predicted}} \quad (2)$$

$$n_{eff} = \frac{45}{3} = 15$$

α = 0.05 for v1 = 2 dan ve = 36 are 3.2653 (interpolation from F distribution table).

$$CI = \sqrt{F_{\propto,v1,ve} \times Me \times \left(\frac{1}{n_{eff}}\right)} \quad (3)$$

$$CI = \sqrt{F_{0.05,2,36} \times 1.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{15}\right)}$$

$$\mathrm{CI} = \sqrt{3{,}2653 \times 1.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{15}\right)}$$
$$\mathrm{CI} = \pm 0.526$$

So, the upper and lower limits for the μ:

$$\mu_{\mathrm{predicted}} - \mathrm{CI} \leq \mu_{\mathrm{predicted}} \leq \mu_{\mathrm{predicted}} + \mathrm{CI}$$
$$32.866 - 0.526 \leq \mu_{\mathrm{predicted}} \leq 32.866 + 0.526$$
$$32.34 \leq \mu_{\mathrm{predicted}} \leq 33.392$$

Confident interval (CI) for experiment confirmation

$$\mathrm{CI} = \sqrt{F_{\propto,v1,v2} \times \mathrm{Me} \times \left(\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{eff}}} + \frac{1}{r}\right)} \quad (4)$$
$$\mathrm{CI} = \sqrt{F_{0.05,2,36} \times 1.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{5}\right)}$$
$$\mathrm{CI} = \sqrt{3.2653 \times 1.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{5}\right)}$$
$$\mathrm{CI} = \pm 1.053$$

So, the upper and lower limits for the experiment confirmation:

$$\mu_{\mathrm{confrimation}} - \mathrm{CI} \leq \mu_{\mathrm{confrimation}} \leq \mu_{\mathrm{confrimation}} + \mathrm{CI}$$
$$32.6753 - 1.053 \leq \mu_{\mathrm{confrimation}} \leq 32.6753 + 1.053$$
$$31.6223 \leq \mu_{\mathrm{confrimation}} \leq 33.7283$$

A comparative interpretation of the two confidence intervals is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Comparison of confident interval

Figure 5 shows the confidence interval of the flexural strength of the average confirmation experiment within the range of the Taguchi experiment confidence interval (predicted μ). Thus, it can be concluded that the combination of factor level settings at the optimum conditions that have been obtained is valid. Orientation position 1 has the best flexural strength because the results of surface area comparison with the specimens fill volume explain that the 3rd orientation position produces a large number of wall layers. Thus, it has an important effect on flexural strength. At the layer thickness, the smaller the value of the thickness, the more layers are produced to form a product. This causes the flexural strength to be as high as possible. Whereas in fill density, of course, the denser a product is, the greater its strength.

4. Conclusion

Optimization of the printing process using the Taguchi method has been carried out. The optimum parameter settings according to the response graphs are Orientation position 3, lattice fill pattern, 75% fill density, and 0.125 mm for layer thickness. The greatest Flexural strength is 32.6753 MPa obtained when conducting confirmation experiments. Fill density parameter has the biggest contribution to affect the result of flexural strength. The percentage of contribution of fill density is 56.55%.

5. Acknowledgement

Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (Research and Community Services Institute) Petra Christian University, Indonesia, bolstered this work as a part of *Hibah Penelitian Internal 2016-2017* (Internal Research Grant 2016-2017) program. Thus, Authors want to offer their gratitude toward the institution.

6. References

[1] Kumar L, Kumar V and Haleem A 2016 International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering, and Technology 3(1) 287-292
[2] Shahrabi M and Javadi M 2014 International Journal of Information Science and System 3(1) 15-22
[3] Anitha R, Arunachalam S and Radhakrishnan P 2001 Journal of Materials Processing Technology 118(1-3) 385-388
[4] Chennakesava P and Narayan S Y 2017 Fused deposition modelling – insights International Conference on Advantages in Design and Manufacturing pp 1345-1350
[5] Hallgrimsson B, 2012 Prototyping ang Model Making for Product Design (London: Laurence King Publishing)
[6] Tanoto Y Y, Anggono J, Siahaan I H and Budiman W 2016 The effect of orientation difference in fused deposition modelling of ABS polymer on the proccesing time, dimension accuracy, and strength International Conference on Engineering, Science, and Nanotechnology pp 3(5) 1-7
[7] Tanoto Y Y, Anggono J, Siahaan I H and Budiman W 2017 ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences 12 6616-6621
[8] Tanoto Y Y, Anggono J, Siahaan I H, Budiman W and Philbert K V 2020 Rekayasa Mesin 11 69-76
[9] Lee B H, Abdullah J and Khan Z A 2005 Journals of Materials Processing Technology 169 54-61
[10] Esun HIPS (2016). Esun Products and Materials. [online] Available at: http://www.esun3d.net/products/95.html [Accessed 12 Dec. 2017].
[11] Axon 2 Manual (2012) BFB Axon 2 UsersManual PDF. [Online] Available at:, from: http://www.fablabbergenopzoom.nl/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/AXON-User-manual.pdf [Accessed 28 Jun 2017].
[12] Belavendram N 2012 Principles of Robust Design Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management pp 1206-1215