



Industrial Engineering & Management Systems

Journal Abbreviation : Ind. Eng. Manag. Syst.

Frequency : Quarterly

DOI Prefix : 10.7232/iems

ISSN : 1598-7248 (Print)

ISSN : 2234-6473 (Online)

Year of Launching : 2002

Publisher : The Korean Institute of Industrial Engineers

Indexed/Tracked/Covered By :



- **An Integrated Approach of Fuzzy-AHP-TOPSIS for E-commerce Evaluation**

Tran Thi Tham, Hong-Phuc Nguyen

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.82–95

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.82

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Incoming Call Forecasting Framework for a Network Service Provider Call Center during Mobile Expo Events**

Thanyawan Chanpanit, Apinanthana Udomsakdigool

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.96–108

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.96

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Effectiveness of Ergonomic Intervention in Work-related Postures and Musculoskeletal Disorders of Call Center Workers: A Case-control Study**

Lito M. Amit, Young-Woong Song

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.109–118

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.109

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Predicting Arbitrage-free American Option Prices Using Artificial Neural Network with Pseudo Inputs**

Younhee Lee, Youngdoo Son

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.119–129

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.119

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Performance of ARCH and GARCH Models in Forecasting Cryptocurrency Market Volatility**

Bashar Yaser Almansour, Muneer M. Alshater, Ammar Yaser Almansour

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.130–139

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.130>

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **The Impact of Education on Business Opportunities for Women Entrepreneurs in Public & Private Television Advertisements in Pakistan**

Rani Gul, Sumaira Zakir, Imran Ali, Hafsa Karim, Rashid Hussain

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.140–147

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.140>

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **A Feature Selection Method for Classifying Highly Similar Text Documents**

Jeenyoung Kim, Daiki Min

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.148–162

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.148>

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **A Regression Model Tree Algorithm by Multi-task Learning**

Seeun Jo, Chi-Hyuck Jun

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.163–171

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.163

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **A Radial Hybrid Estimation of Distribution Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows**

Pérez-Rodríguez Ricardo

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.172–183

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.172

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Analyzing the Reality of Local Investment and Measuring its Impact on Sustainable Development in the UAE Using the ARDL Methodology**

Noaman Mundher Younus, Bakr Hameed Jassoom, Zuhair Hamid Turki

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.184–191

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.184

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

- [Open abstract](#)

- **Mathematical Model Developed Using Meta-Initiative Optimization Algorithm for Production and Labor Planning**

Roy Setiawan

Industrial Engineering & Management Systems :: Vol.20 No.2 pp.192–200

DOI:https://doi.org/10.7232/iems.2021.20.2.192

- [Download pdf](#)

- [Export Citation](#)

Editor-in-Chief

Editor-in-Chief

Chi-Hyuck Jun

Department of Industrial & Management Engineering
POSTECH

77 Cheongam-Ro, Nam-Gu, Pohang, Gyeongbuk, Korea 37673

Tel: +82-54-279-2197

Fax: +82-54-279-2870

E-mail: chjun@postech.ac.kr

Deputy Editor

Hirokazu Kono

Graduate School of Business Administration
Keio University

4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku,
Yokohama 223-8526, Japan

Tel: +81-45-564-2019

Fax: +81-45-562-3502

Email: kono@kbs.keio.ac.jp

Managing Editor

Jaewook Lee

Department of Industrial Engineering Seoul National University
599 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-744, Korea

Tel: +82-2-880-7176

Fax: +82-2-889-8560

E-mail: jaewook@snu.ac.kr

Manuscript Editor

Kyoung-Kuk Kim

School of Management Engineering

KAIST, 85 Hoegi-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea

Tel: +82-2-958-3420

Fax: +82-2-958-3620

E-mail: kkim@kaist.ac.kr

Area Editors

Applied Statistics & Data Mining

Chien-Chih Wang

Ming Chi University of Technology, Taiwan

Jong-Seok Lee

Sungkyunkwan University, Korea

Business & Information Systems

Yong Seog Kim

Utah State University, USA

Hyunseok Hwang

Hallym University, Korea

Bashar Almansour

The World Islamic Science and Education University, Jordan

Hussein kadhim Sharaf

Bilad Alrafidain University College, Iraq

Computational Intelligence & Optimization

Sang Jin Kweon

UNIST, Korea

Fulya Altiparmak

Gazi University Ankara, Turkey

Decision Making Under Uncertainty

Jinwu Gao

Renmin University of China, China

Emergency & Risk Management

Jin Peng

Huanggang Normal University, China

Environment and Energy

Anthony Shun Fung Chiu

De La Salle University, Philippines

Prof. Ming Lang Tseng

Asia University, Taiwan

Ergonomics & Human Factors

Yuh-Chuan Shih

National Defense University, Taiwan

Myung-Hwan Yun

Seoul National University, Korea

Logistics & Transportation

Kap Hwan Kim

Pusan National University, Korea

Byung-In Kim

POSTECH, Korea

Ching-Jung Ting

Yuan Ze University, Taiwan

Manufacturing Systems

Katsuhiko Takahashi

Hiroshima University, Japan

Young Jae Jang

KAIST, Korea

Funlade Sunmola

University of Hertfordshire, U.K

Planning & Scheduling

Kazuko Morizawa

Osaka Prefecture University, Japan

Taebok Kim

Hanyang University, Korea

Dong-Ho Lee

Hanyang University, Korea

Quality & Reliability

Kwai-Sang Chin

City University of Hong Kong, China

Wook-Yeon Hwang

Dong-A University, Korea

SCM & Inventory Systems

Huynh Trung Luong

Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Il-Kyeong Moon

Seoul National University, Korea

Advisory Board

Min K. Chung

Department of Industrial & Management Engineering
POSTECH
San 31 Hyoja-dong, Pohang 790-784, Korea
E-mail: mkc@postech.ac.kr

Augustine Esobue

School of Industrial and System Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Groseclose 0205, Room 442
765 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332-0205, USA
E-mail: augustine.esobue@isye.gatech.edu

Hark Hwang

Department of Industrial Engineering Korea Advanced Institute of Science & Technology Taejon, Korea
E-mail: harkhwang@kaist.ac.kr

Kazuyoshi Ishii

Department of Industrial & Information Systems Engineering
Kanazawa Institute of Technology
Kanazawa, Japan
E-mail: ishiik@neptune.kanazawa-it.ac.jp

Erhan Kozan

Senior Lecturer, School of Management Science, Queensland University of Technology GPO Box 2434
Brisbane, Q 4001, Australia
E-mail: e.kozan@qut.edu.au

Mitsuru Kuroda

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering
Aoyama Gakuin University JAPAN
E-mail: kuroda@ise.aoyama.ac.jp

Kin Keung Lai

Department of Management Sciences City University of Hong Kong Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong
E-mail: mskklai@cityu.edu.hk

Gilbert Laporte

CIRRELT and Canada Research Chair in Distribution Management
HEC Montréal
3000, chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine, Montréal, Canada H3T 2A7
E-mail: Gilbert.Laporte@cirrelt.ca

Tsong-Ming Lin

National Yunlin University of Science & Technology
123 University Road, Section 3, Doulin, Yunlin 64002, Taiwan
Email: tmlin@yuntech.edu.tw

Baoding Liu

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, China
E-mail: liu@tsinghua.edu.cn

Masayuki Matsui

Department of Systems Engineering

The University of Electro-Communications
1-5-1, Chofugaoka, Chofu, Tokyo, 182-8585, Japan
E-mail: matsui@se.uec.ac.jp

Suebsak Nanthavanij

Department of Industrial Engineering
Thammasat University
P.O.Box 22, Thammasat-Rangsit Post Office
Klong Luang, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand
E-mail: suebsak@siit.tu.ac.th

Barry L. Nelson

Department of Industrial Engineering & Management Sciences
Northwestern University
McCormick School of Engineering and Applied Science
2145 Sheridan Road, Room C250, Evanston, IL 60208-3119, USA
E-mail: nelsonb@northwestern.edu

Yang-Byung Park

Department of Industrial Engineering,
Kyung Hee University
Yongin-si, Gyunggi-do, Korea
E-mail: ybpark@khu.ac.kr

Linyan Sun

School of Management
Xi'an Jiaotong University
Xi'an, 710049, China
E-mail: lysun@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Mario Tabucanon

School of Advanced Technologies,
Asian Institute of Technology
P.O. Box 4, Klong Luang, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand
E-mail: mtt@ait.ac.th

James M. Tien

Decision Science & Engineering Systems
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
CII 5023 110 8th St. Troy, NY 12180-3590, USA
E-mail: tienj@rpi.edu

Dingwei Wang

Department of System Engineering
Northeastern University,
Shenyang 110006, China

Kuo-Ming Wang

Department of Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management
National Tsing Hua University
101, Kuang Fu Road, Sec. 2, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan
E-mail: kmwang@mx.nthu.edu.tw

Board Members of APIEMS

(E): Elected Board Member, (F): Founding Board Member January 20, 2003

Australia:

Prof. E. Shayan (F)

Post Graduate Studies in Industrial Engineering Industrial Research Institute of Swinburne (IRIS) Swinburne University of Technology Hawthorn 3122, Australia

Tel: +61(3)9214-8452

FAX : +61(3)9214-5050

Email: eshayan@swin.edu.au

Prof. E. Krishnamurthy Ramanathan (F)

Visiting Professor School of Quantitative Business Methods & Operations, University of Western Sydney Nepean, P.O. Box 10 Kingswood, NSW 2747, Australia

Email: k.ramanathan@uws.edu.au

Prof. Erhan Kozan (F)

Senior Lecturer, School of Management Science, Queensland Univ. of Technology GPO Box 2434 Brisbane, Q 4001, Australia

Tel: +61(7)3864-1029

FAX : +61(7)3864-2310

Email: e.kozan@qut.edu.au

China:

Dr. Weixuan Xu (F)

Inst. of Policy & Management, Chinese Academy of Sciences P.O.Box 8712 Beijing 100080, China

Tel: +86(10)62542620

FAX : +86(10)62542619

Email: wxu@mail.casipm.ac.cn

Prof. Jifa Gu (F)

Inst. of Systems Science Chinese Academy of Sciences, Now: Japan Advanced Inst. of Science & Tech. Kanazawa, Japan

Email: jfgu@jaist.ac.jp

Dr. Yimming Wei (E)

Deputy Director, Inst. of Policy & Management, Chinese Academy of Sciences P.O.Box 8712 Beijing 100080, China

Email: ymwei@mail.casipm.ac.cn

Hong Kong:

Prof. Kin Keung Lai (F)

Dept. of Management Science City Univ. of Hong Kong Kowloon, Hong Kong

Tel: +852-2788-8563

FAX : +852-2788-8560

Email: mskklai@cityu.edu.hk

Prof. Edwin T. C. Cheng (F)

Hong Kong Polytechnic University Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Email: mscheng@inet.polyu.edu.hk

Prof. Kai Ling Mak (F)

Dept of Industrial Engineering Hong Kong University Pokfulam, Hong Kong

E-mail : makkl@hkucc.hku.hk

India:

Prof. Arun P. Shnha (F)

Dept. of Mechanical Engineering India Institute of Technology Kanpur 208016, India Phone: +91 (512) 597782, 597376

FAX : +91 (512) 590260, 590007

Email: asinha@iitk.ac.in

Prof. Tapan Bagchi (F)

Dept. of Industrial & Management Engineering India Institute of Technology Kanpur 208016, India Phone: +91 (512) 597782, 597376

FAX : +91 (512) 590260, 590007

Email: bagchi@iitk.ac.in

Prof. Rajendran Chandrasekharan (F)

Department of Humanities Indian Institute of Technology, Chennai, 600 036, India.

Tel: +91 (44)445-8431

FAX : +91(44)235-0509

Email: craj@iitm.ac.in

Japan:

Prof. Mitsuo Gen (F)

Graduate School of Information, Production and Systems Waseda University, Kitakyushu 808-0135 Japan

Email: gen@waseda.jp Phone: +81(93)692-5273

FAX : +81(93)692-5021

Prof. Kazuyoshi Ishii (F)

Dept. of Industrial & Information Sys. Engineering Kanazawa Inst. of Tech. Kanazawa, Japan

Tel : +81(76)294-6710

FAX : +81(76)294-6711

Email: ishiik@neptune.kanazawa-it.ac.jp

Prof. Hirokazu Kono (F)

Graduate School of Business Administration Keio University Hiyoshi-honcho, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8523, Japan

Tel: +81-45-564-2019

FAX : +81-45-562-3502

Email: kono@kbs.keio.ac.jp

Prof. Takashi Oyabu (E)

Graduate School of Regional Economic Systems Kanazawa Seiryō University Ushi 10, Gosho-machi, Kanazawa, 920-8620 Japan

Tel +81(76)253-3962,

FAX +81(76)253-3995 E-mail: oyabu@seiryō-u.ac.jp

Prof. Yasuhiro Hirakawa (E)

Department of Industrial Administration Tokyo University of Science 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

E-mail: hirakawa@ia.noda.sut.ac.jp

Prof. Toyokazu Nose (E)

Department of Industrial Management Osaka Institute of Technology 5-16-1 Omiya Asahi Osaka 535-8585
Japan

E-mail: nose@dim.oit.ac.jp

Korea:

Prof. Hark Hwang (F)

Dept. of Industrial Engineering Korea Advanced Inst. of Science & Tech. Taejon, Korea

Email: harkhwang@kaist.ac.kr

Tel : +82(42)869-3113

FAX : +82(42)869-3110

Prof. Mooyoung Jung (F)

School of Technology Management UNIST, Ulsan, Korea

Email: myjung@unist.ac.kr

Tel: +82-52-217-1011

FAX : +82(54)279-5998

Prof. Jin-Woo Park (F)

Dept. of Industrial Engineering Seoul National Univ. Seoul, Korea

Email: autofact@snu.ac.kr

Tel: +82(2)880-7179

Prof. Kwang-Jae Kim (E)

Department of Industrial Engineering Pohang University of Science and Technology Pohang, Kyungbuk,
790-784, Korea

Tel: +82-54-279-2208

Email: kjk@postech.ac.kr

Prof. Chi-Hyuck Jun (E)

Department of Industrial Engineering Pohang University of Science and Technology Pohang, Kyungbuk,
790-784, Korea

Tel: +82-54-279-2197

Email: chjun@postech.ac.kr

Phillipines:

Prof. Anthony SF Chiu (F)

Operations and Environment Management Graduate Schools of Business and Engineering, De La Salle
University Manila, Phillipines

Email: anthonyfschiu@pldtmysdl.com ac@tri-isys.com

Prof. Anna Bella Siriban-Manalang (E)

Industrial Engineering De la Salled University Manila, Phillippines

Email: manalanga@dlsu.edu.ph beng_manalang@yahoo.com

Taiwan:

Prof. Kuo-Ming Wang (F)

Technology Management College National Tsing Hua University Taiwan Phone: +886(3)571-9134

Email: kmwang@mx.nthu.edu.tw

Prof. Bernard Jiang (F)

Department of Ind. Eng. and Mgt. Yuan Ze University 135 Yuan-Tung Road, Chung-Li, Taiwan 320

Tel. +886-3-4638800 ext. 522

FAX +886-3-4638822 or +886-3-4638907

Email: iebjiang@saturn.yzu.edu.tw

Prof. David M.-C. Wu (F)

Dept. of Industrial Engineering National Chiao Tung University Taiwan Phone: +886(3)5731-913

FAX : +886(3)5720-610

Email: mcwu@cc.nctu.edu.tw

Prof. Mao-Jiun J. Wang (E)

Department of Industrial Engineering and Engineering, Management, National Tsing Hua University 101, Sec. 2, Kuang Fu Rd., Hsinchu, Taiwan 300

Tel.: +886-3-5715131 ext 3956

FAX : +886-3-5722685 E-mail: mjwang@ie.nthu.edu.tw

Prof. Yon-Chun Chou (E)

Institute of Industrial Engineering National Taiwan University 1, Roosevelt Rd. Section 4 Taipei, Taiwan Phone: 886(2)2365-3100

Email: ychou@ntu.edu.tw

Thailand:

Prof. Suebsak Nanthavanij (F)

Department of Industrial Engineering, Sirinthorn International Institute of Technology Thammasat University Pathumthani, Thailand 1211 Phone:+66 (2)986-9009 Ext.2108

FAX : +66 (2)986-9112

Email: suebsak@siit.tu.ac.th

Dr. Nagen Nagarur (F)

Ex-Officio Board Members:

Prof. Heung-Suk Hwang

Editor-in-Chief of IEMS Department of Industrial Engineering Dongeui University Busanjin-gu, San 24 Gaya-dong, Busan 614-714, Korea





Email: hshwang@hyomin.dongueui.ac.kr

Prof. Tae-Eog Lee

Managing Editor of IEMS Department of Industrial engineering KAIST 373-1 gusung-dong Yusung-gu, Taejon 305-701, Korea

Email: Telee@mail.kaist.ac.kr


SJR



SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name



Home

Journal Rankings

Country Rankings

Viz Tools

Help



About Us

Nursing Research Manuscript

Hindawi

Op

Industrial Engineering and Management Systems

<div>COUNTRY</div> <div>South Korea</div> <div><div>Universities and research institutions in South Korea</div><div>Media Ranking in South Korea</div></div>	<div>SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY</div> <div>Economics, Econometrics and Finance<ul style="list-style-type: none">Economics, Econometrics and Finance (miscellaneous)Social Sciences<ul style="list-style-type: none">Social Sciences (miscellaneous)</div>	<div>PUBLISHER</div>	<div>H-INDEX</div> <div>16</div>
<div>PUBLICATION TYPE</div> <div>Journals</div>	<div>ISSN</div> <div>15987248, 22346473</div>	<div>COVERAGE</div> <div>2014-2022</div>	<div>INFORMATION</div> <div>Homepage How to publish in this journal chjun@postech.ac.kr</div>

ECONOMIST IMPACT

The current plastic value chain is linear and resource-inefficient
Its core to the plastic pollution crisis





Submit Your Paper Today

Dove Medical Press Ltd [Learn](#)

SCOPE

Industrial Engineering and Management Systems (IEMS) covers all areas of industrial engineering and management sciences including but not limited to, applied statistics & data mining, business & information systems, computational intelligence & optimization, environment & energy, ergonomics & human factors, logistics & transportation, manufacturing systems, planning & scheduling, quality & reliability, supply chain management & inventory systems.

Join the conversation about this journal

	<h1>Submit Your Paper Today</h1>
Dove Medical Press Ltd	Learn

Quartiles




Download event brochure

Gastech Singapore 2023


Op

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?			
1 Operations Research Perspectives NLD	2 Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering GBR	3 OPSEARCH IND	4 Direccion y ESP
73% similarity	69% similarity	68% similarity	6 s





Just copy the code below
and paste within your html
code:

<https://www.scimag>

← reply



Source details

Industrial Engineering and Management Systems

Scopus coverage years: from 2014 to Present

Publisher: Korean Institute of Industrial Engineers

ISSN: 1598-7248 E-ISSN: 2234-6473

Subject area: Social Sciences: General Social Sciences
Economics, Econometrics and Finance: General Economics, Econometrics and Finance

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2022 1.8 ⓘ
SJR 2022 0.218 ⓘ
SNIP 2022 0.456 ⓘ

[View all documents >](#) [Set document alert](#) [Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2022 counts the citations received in 2019-2022 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2019-2022, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2019-2022. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2022

1.8 = $\frac{495 \text{ Citations 2019 - 2022}}{282 \text{ Documents 2019 - 2022}}$

Calculated on 05 May, 2023

CiteScoreTracker 2023 ⓘ

1.8 = $\frac{400 \text{ Citations to date}}{222 \text{ Documents to date}}$

Last updated on 05 August, 2023 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2022 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Social Sciences		
General Social Sciences	#101/262	61st
Economics, Econometrics and Finance		
General Economics, Econometrics and Finance	#115/279	58th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

Mathematical Model Developed Using Meta-Initiative Optimization Algorithm for Production and Labor Planning

Roy Setiawan*

Universitas Kristen Petra, Surabaya, Indonesia

(Received: February 27, 2021 / Revised: April 2, 2021 / Accepted: April 7, 2021)

ABSTRACT

In today's competitive environment, production efficiency is a very important and key issue in success in the market. However, all decisions of the production unit are interdependent and it is necessary to use an integrated form which leads to finding a better approach for the management. Accordingly, in this research, the integration of three important fields in manufacturing companies has been addressed. These fields include production planning, maintenance, and labor scheduling. In this regard, a novel mathematical model with the aim of optimal use of labor and increasing production volume is presented. In this model of workers' experience, machine utilization rate and machine failure rate are expressed using fuzzy numbers. To optimize this model, the ant colony optimization algorithm has been used. Numerical results obtained from the implementation of the mathematical model and solution method show that the used algorithm can provide solutions with the least possible error in a reasonable time. Moreover, the sensitivity analysis shows that the failure rate of the machine before and after maintenance has a great impact on the objective function of the mathematical model.

Keywords: Production Planning, Maintenance, labor scheduling, Mathematical Model, Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm

* Corresponding Author, E-mail: roy@petra.ac.id, sadlah.hmd@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Many production units suffer from poor coordination and information exchange between activities, which tend to result in wasteful use of resources (cost, time, materials, etc.) and the emergence of an island culture in their organization. Naturally, all parts of a production unit are interdependent, which is why management decisions need to be made with an integrated approach taking into account all critical factors concerning the decision. This need for integration has long been a subject of interest to researchers and industry managers. According to researchers like Noor al-, many other researchers, including

Mirzanti *et al.* (2019) have highlighted the importance of integrating supply, maintenance, and repair activities. Many other researchers, like Boslah *et al.*, have working on integrating production, quality control, and maintenance operations (Berrichi *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, it can be seen that the integrated approach to the management of different domains of production and the integration of information related to different production activities have become popular topics over the past few decades. Having mutual interactions, these domains cannot be treated as islands and it is more reasonable to plan a mechanism for considering all of them together as much as possible. Indeed, many efforts have been made to integrate the plan-

ning of production, maintenance, and supply procurement activities (Amouzad Mahdiraji and Yousefi Talouki, 2021; Nasiri and Ahmadi Daryakenari, 2021; Sharma and Sharma, 2021).

In this research, we present a new mathematical model for production and maintenance planning and also an efficient meta-heuristic algorithm for solving this model. In the remainder of this paper, section 2 reviews the latest studies relevant to the subject, section 3 presents the proposed mathematical model, section 4 explains the fuzzy uncertainty method used in the model, section 5 presents the numerical results obtained with the model, and section 6 concludes the paper.

In a study carried out by Liao (2013), they tried to integrate production planning and maintenance strategies for a deteriorating single-machine system. In this paper, periodic imperfect preventive maintenance and periodic perfect maintenance were integrated to minimize the expected cost of production and maintenance activities. The objective in this study was to determine the duration of preventive and perfect maintenance cycles such that the expected cost of preventive maintenance is minimized. The results of this study showed that the optimal production plan and the optimal preventive maintenance are interdependent (Aliakbari, 2017).

In a study by Yalaoui *et al.* (2014), an extended linear programming model was developed for determining the optimum production plan with minimum total cost. The production planning and maintenance model of this study was bi-objective, multi-product, and multi-period, and had multiple deteriorating production lines. It was also assumed that maintenance operations restore the machines to their As Good As New (AGAN) condition.

Nourelfath *et al.* (2016) integrated the production planning, maintenance, and quality control operations of an imperfect process in a multi-period multi-product system. In this study, the main objective was to minimize all costs while meeting all demands. Ultimately, it was reported raising the level of preventive maintenance lowers the quality control costs. Also, preventive maintenance will not be feasible if its costs are not offset by the reduction in the quality control costs. The results obtained by solving the model also showed a strong relationship between production, preventive maintenance, and quality control operations.

In a study by Ettaye *et al.* (2017), they integrated maintenance and production planning with a periodic replacement scheme with minimal repairs (preventive maintenance) to prevent unforeseen breakdowns. Because of the complexity of this problem, it takes a lot of time to solve it with exact solution methods, thus these researchers recommended using approximation methods to reach acceptable solutions in reasonably short times.

Ekin (2018) studied the impact of uncertain endogenous factors in the integration of production planning

and maintenance programs. For this purpose, a decision model was developed in which uncertainty in the availability of machines serves as a proxy for the aforementioned factors. This model was optimized with a probabilistic simulation method. In the end, it was concluded that there should always be a balance between operating the machines and maintaining them.

Alimian *et al.* (2019) presented a robust mathematical model for preventive maintenance and production planning. This model takes demand uncertainty into account and utilizes a robust optimization approach to deal with this uncertainty. In this study, maintenance was planned based on a common cause breakdown approach. The results showed that the maintenance strategy can have a significant impact on production costs as well as product return rates.

In a study by Goli *et al.* (2019), annual production planning was integrated with workforce planning. These researchers formulated a bi-objective mathematical model with the objectives of reducing total costs and maximizing customer satisfaction. They also presented two meta-heuristic algorithms, namely multi-objective genetic algorithm and multi-objective invasive weed optimization algorithm for solving the model. The results showed the high efficiency of these solution methods.

Glawar *et al.* (2018) proposed an integrated model of preventive maintenance and production planning. In this model, flexibility and production quality are considered in the planning process. The objective of this model is to reduce all production and maintenance costs. The results of this study showed that combining these two areas of decision-making can be very effective in reducing and controlling costs.

In another study by Alimian *et al.* (2020), they discussed the impact of cell formation on production and maintenance planning and proposed an integrated production and maintenance planning approach, which makes use of dynamic cells and group scheduling. In their mathematical model, these researchers formulated the breakdown of the machines as a rate. After modeling, optimization was performed with GAMS software. The results showed that designing a suitable structure for production cells can greatly affect the breakdown of machines and their maintenance.

Bensmain *et al.* (2019) introduced a new model for preventive maintenance planning with the impact of maintenance equipment and poor production performance taken into account. In this model, product life costs are fully considered as an objective function. An improved genetic algorithm was used to optimize this mathematical model, the results of which showed the high efficiency of the solution method.

Wang *et al.* (2020) tried to integrate a condition-based preventive maintenance method with production planning. In this study, breakdowns and customer demand

were considered to be stochastic. A combination of optimization-simulation and genetic algorithms was used to solve this problem. The results showed the superiority of the proposed method over similar methods.

Samimi and Sydow (2020) studied human resource management and maintenance in project-based organizations. In this study, first, previous works in the field of human resource management were reviewed. Then the effect of this management on maintenance in project-based organizations was studied. The results showed that human resource problems can affect the performance of the organization in various aspects.

After reviewing the previous studies in this field, it was observed that the most important gap in the literature is the integration of production planning, maintenance, and labor scheduling. In reality, these three areas are interrelated and can have a profound impact on the overall performance of a production unit. Thus, the main contribution of this study to the literature is the development of a novel mathematical model for the integration of production planning, maintenance, and labor scheduling. The second innovation of this study is the use of a meta-heuristic algorithm called the ant colony algorithm, which has never been used in previous studies in this field.

2. METHODOLOGY

In this study, the labor scheduling aspect of the problem is defined as follows. Consider a factory with S machines (or departments). The goal is to determine a schedule for people to operate these machines during I days of the planning horizon. Each day consists of J shifts with a specified number of hours. The number of workers is K , which is known.

Each machine has a specific breakdown rate and needs to receive maintenance on each shift. The machines will have different production rates before and after the maintenance depending on their breakdown rate and the extent of preventive maintenance performed. Naturally, carrying out preventive maintenance will increase the production rate of the machines.

For a person to be assigned to a machine, he must have a certain level of experience in operating that machine. Also, each shift must have at least a certain number of workers. In addition, labor scheduling is subject to several conditions, which are described below:

- Work shifts are predefined.
- If a person works a night shift, he is not allowed to work the following morning shift
- Each person can take a leave for a maximum of 2 consecutive days. (non-consecutive leave is allowed)
- If a person works the morning and afternoon shifts, he must not work the night shift.

- Performing tasks repeatedly increases the experience of the person, improving his work rate.
- Over time, fatigue affects a person's performance, reducing his work rate.

In the proposed mathematical model, the output of each machine depends on three factors: experience of the operator, breakdown rate of the machine, and the machine utilization rate. Since these factors change with conditions, all three of them (breakdown rate, utilization rate, and operator experience) are considered to be fuzzy.

The goal is to provide a work schedule that, while meeting the above conditions, yields the highest production output with a minimum number of workers. Before presenting the proposed mathematical model, we first describe the indices, sets, parameters, and variables used in the model.

Indices and sets

- I : Set of days in the planning horizon
- i : Index of days ($i \in I$)
- J : Set of work shifts
- j, j' : Index of work shifts ($j, j' \in J$)
- K : Set of workers
- k, k' : Index of workers ($k, k' \in K$)
- S : Set of machines (departments)
- s : Index of machines ($s \in S$)

Parameters

- M_{ijs} : Minimum number of workers required in shift j from day i to work on machine s
- LI_{ks} : Minimum experience of worker k for working on machine s (in terms of output), which is considered to be a fuzzy number
- KI_s : Maximum efficiency of machine s under ideal conditions (in terms of output)
- LE_s : Utilization rate of machine s , which is considered to be a fuzzy number.
- LF_s : Breakdown rate of machine s , which is considered to be a fuzzy number
- FG_{js} : Production rate of machine s during shift j before maintenance
- Bt_j : Duration of maintenance in shift j
- RG_{js} : Production rate of machine s during shift j after maintenance
- λ : Coefficient of increased breakdown during work
- μ : Coefficient of decreased breakdown during rest
- δ_j : Duration of shift j
- U : Maximum working days of a worker in the planning horizon
- L : Minimum working days of a worker in the planning horizon
- n : Number of days in the planning horizon

n' : Maximum number of night shifts for each worker

t : Maximum number of consecutive working days for each worker

Variables

X_{ijks} : A binary variable showing whether worker k works on machine s on shift j of day i .

Q_{ijks} : Output of worker k working on machine s on shift j of day i

$$\text{Min } Z = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{X_{ijks}}{FG_{js} Q_{ijks}} \quad (1)$$

$$FG_{js} = 1 - e^{-\lambda \delta_j} \quad \forall j \in J, s \in S \quad (2)$$

$$RG_{js} = FG_{js} e^{-\mu b_j} \quad \forall j \in J, s \in S \quad (3)$$

$$FG_{j+1s} = RG_{js} + (1 - RG_{js})(1 - e^{-\lambda \delta_{j+1}}) \quad \forall j \in J, s \in S \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{ijks} = LI_{ks} + KI_s \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-1}{LE_s} X_{ijks}\right) \right] \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{LF_s} X_{ijks}\right) \quad \forall i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, s \in S \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} X_{ijks} \geq M_{ijs} \quad \forall i \in I, j \in J, s \in S \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{s \in S} X_{ijks} + Y_{ik} = 1 \quad \forall i \in I, k \in K \quad (7)$$

$$L \leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{s \in S} X_{ijks} \leq U \quad \forall k \in K \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} X_{ijks} + X_{(i+1)j'ks} \leq 1 \quad \forall i \in I : i < n, j = 3, j' = 1, k \in K \quad (9)$$

$$Y_{ik} + \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{s \in S} X_{(i+1)jks} + Y_{(i+2)k} \leq 2 \quad \forall i \in I : i \leq n-2, k \in K \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} X_{ijks} + \sum_{s \in S} X_{(i+1)jks} + \sum_{s \in S} X_{(i+2)jks} \leq Y_{(i+3)k} + 2 \quad \forall k \in K, j = 3, i \in I : i \leq n-3 \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{s \in S} X_{ijks} \leq n' \quad j = 3, \forall k \in K \quad (12)$$

Equation 1 is the objective function of the mathe-

matical model, which minimizes the labor employed divided by the production efficiency. This objective function tries to draw the best possible output from the machines for the given production rate, breakdown rate, and worker experience. Equation 2 computes the production output in terms of the exponential function of shift time and breakdown rate. Equation 3 gives the production output after maintenance, which decreases exponentially and depends on the duration of maintenance. Equation 4 computes the production output in the next shift. This output is affected by the breakdown rate in the previous shift, which increases exponentially. Equation 5 calculates the production output of each worker on each machine in terms of breakdown rate, utilization rate, and worker experience. Equations 2 to 5 have been derived from previous studies on labor scheduling based on fatigue and learning rates.

Equation 6 ensures that each machine on each shift has the required number of workers. Equation 7 states that in each shift, each worker is either working or on leave. Equation 8 states that each worker must work between the minimum and maximum number of days allowed. Equation 9 states that workers who work a night shift ($j = 3$) are not allowed to work the next morning shift ($j = 1$). Equation 10 states that a worker can be on leave for a maximum of two consecutive days. Equation 11 states that if a worker works a morning shift and the immediately following afternoon shift, he cannot work the night shift. Equation 12 states that each worker can work a maximum of n' night shifts.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As explained in the model description, the model has three core parameters: utilization rate, breakdown rate, and minimum worker experience. However, there is no specific rule for initializing these parameters and this initialization will be done based on the opinion of experts on human resources. Since people tend to have diverging opinions on such matters, it is necessary to consider the uncertainty involved in these parameters. In this study, the uncertainty in utilization rate, breakdown rate, and minimum worker experience is modeled using the triangular fuzzy uncertainty method.

Suppose $\tilde{a} = (a^l, a, a^u)$ and $\tilde{b} = (b^l, b, b^u)$ are two triangular fuzzy numbers. It is said that $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^l \leq b^l$ and $a \leq b$.

An r -cut of the fuzzy number \tilde{a} is $a_r = \{x \in \Omega \mid \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) \geq r\}$. Since $\mu_{\tilde{a}}$ is semi-continuous, r -cut has a bounded and finite value in the form of $a_r = [f_a^{-1}(r), g_a^{-1}(r)]$.

The expected value of the fuzzy value \tilde{a} , denoted by $EV(\tilde{a})$, is equal to the midpoint of the expected distance, which is calculated as follows:

$$EV(\tilde{a}) = \frac{E_1^a + E_2^a}{2} \quad (13)$$

For the fuzzy numbers \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} , the result of arithmetic operation $\tilde{a} \times \tilde{b}$, according to the Lotfzadeh expansion, is a fuzzy set with the following membership function:

$$\mu_{\tilde{a} \times \tilde{b}}(z) = \sup \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{a}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{b}}(y) \} \quad (14)$$

Dubois and Prade obtained the following equation for an r-cut:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[f_{\lambda a + \gamma b}^{-1}(r), g_{\lambda a + \gamma b}^{-1}(r) \right] \\ & = \left[\lambda f_a^{-1} + \gamma f_b^{-1}, \lambda g_a^{-1} + \gamma g_b^{-1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} are fuzzy numbers and λ and γ are real non-negative numbers.

From equations (13), (14), and (15), it easily follows that:

$$EI(\lambda \tilde{a} + \gamma \tilde{b}) = \lambda EI(\tilde{a}) + \gamma EI(\tilde{b}) \quad (16)$$

In this study, the three model parameters that have a degree of uncertainty are considered non-deterministic and defined in the form of triangular fuzzy numbers. Hence, the parameters utilization rate, breakdown rate, and minimum worker experience are formulated as follows.

$$\tilde{LI}_{ks} = (LI_{ks}^1, LI_{ks}^2, LI_{ks}^3) \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{LE}_s = (LE_s^1, LE_s^2, LE_s^3) \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{LF}_s = (LF_s^1, LF_s^2, LF_s^3) \quad (19)$$

To modify the mathematical model for fuzzy conditions, we use the fuzzy cut described in Section 4-1. As explained in that section, a constraint with fuzzy parameters based on Equation 16 is used for this purpose. In the mathematical model, Equation 5 contains fuzzy parameters. Therefore, this equation is rewritten into Equation 20. Other model constraints remain unchanged. It should be noted that in Equation 20, α is a control parameter between 0 and 1, which is usually set to 0.5.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ijks} = & \alpha \left(\frac{LI_{ks}^1 + LI_{ks}^2}{2} \right) + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{LI_{ks}^2 + LI_{ks}^3}{2} \right) \\ & + KI_{ks} \left[1 - \exp \left(\frac{-1}{\left(\frac{LE_s^1 + LE_s^2}{2} \right) + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{LE_s^2 + LE_s^3}{2} \right)} \right) X_{ijks} \right] \\ & \times \exp \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{LF_s^1 + LF_s^2}{2} \right) + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{LF_s^2 + LF_s^3}{2} \right)} X_{ijks} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$\forall i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, s \in S$

The purpose of validation is to determine whether the model produces correct and reliable outputs. In this study, this evaluation was done by applying the model on a real case in Marun Petrochemical Company with the following specifications.

Ten workers are working in an active production unit. The goal is to schedule the work for a 7 day period with 3 shifts each day. The minimum and maximum number of shifts per worker is 3 and 15 respectively. In each shift, at least 2 people must work in each department. Each shift is 8 hours long. The maximum efficiency of the machine in each department is 80%. The maintenance time in each shift is 30 minutes. The coefficients of increased breakdown and decreased breakdown are 20% and 10% respectively. The parameters worker experience, utilization rate, and breakdown rate for different machines have been given random values Table 2. For each parameter, this random value has been generated using a continuous uniform distribution with the lower bound (L) and upper bound (U) given in Table 1. Also, given the fuzzy nature of the three parameters, three values have been specified for each parameter. These three values are the lower bound, mode, and upper bound of the fuzzy number, respectively.

After entering this information in GAMS and running the optimization model, the outputs shown in Figure 1 were obtained.

As can be seen, GAMS displayed the message “proven optimal solution”, which indicates that the obtained solution is the global optimum. Therefore, the mathematical model and its constraints have created a logical feasibility space, which shows that the optimal solution of the problem can be obtained.

To better illustrate the output, the optimal solution is presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

To present the results in these tables, workers were numbered from 1 to 10. The values given in these tables are the number of the worker who must work on a certain machine on a certain shift of a certain day. Table 2, for exam-

Table 1. Value range of parameters of the mathematical model

Fuzzy parameter	Symbol	Lower bound (L)	Upper Bound (U)
Minimum worker experience	LI ¹	2	3
	LI ²	3	4
	LI ³	4	5
Utilization rate	LE ¹	30%	35%
	LE ²	35%	40%
	LE ³	40%	45%
Breakdown rate	LF ¹	20%	30%
	LF ²	30%	40%
	LF ³	40%	50%


```

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution:          97.431648      (23802 iterations, 804 nodes)
Final Solve:          97.431648      (0 iterations)

Best possible:         97.431648
Absolute gap:          0.000000
Relative gap:          0.000000

--- Restarting execution
--- Gams code.gms(76) 0 Mb
--- Reading solution for model sc
*** Status: Normal completion
--- Job Gams code.gms Stop 09/20/19 19:39:55 elapsed 0:00:07.713

```

Figure 1. Output of GAMS for the validation problem.

ple, shows that in the first shift of Day 1, workers No. 3, 7, and 10 must work on machine 1 (in department 1).

Table 2. Labor schedule of machine 1 (department 1) in each shift of each day in the planning horizon

Days/Shifts	Shift 1	Shift 2	Shift 3
Day 1	3, 7, 10	5, 6	5, 8
Day 2	6, 7	5, 6	5, 7
Day 3	6, 9	5, 6	7, 10
Day 4	5, 6	7, 10	5, 6
Day 5	7, 8	5, 6	5, 7
Day 6	2, 6	5, 6	7, 10
Day 7	5, 6	5, 6, 7	3, 7

Table 3. Labor schedule of machine 2 (department 2) in each shift of each day in the planning horizon

Days/Shifts	Shift 1	Shift 2	Shift 3
Day 1	1, 4, 9, 10	1, 4, 9, 10	3, 9
Day 2	4, 10	3, 4, 9, 10	3, 9
Day 3	4, 5	3, 9	4, 10
Day 4	1, 3	3, 4, 9, 10	4, 9
Day 5	3, 10	3, 4, 9, 10	1, 10
Day 6	3, 4	3, 9	4, 10
Day 7	1, 9	1, 4, 10	4, 10

Table 4. Labor schedule of machine 3 (department 3) in each shift of each day in the planning horizon

Days/Shifts	Shift 1	Shift 2	Shift 3
Day 1	2, 8	2, 8	3, 4
Day 2	1, 8	2, 8	1, 2
Day 3	7, 8	1, 2	1, 8
Day 4	2, 7	1, 8	2, 8
Day 5	1, 4	2, 8	2, 6
Day 6	1, 8	2, 7	1, 8
Day 7	2, 7	2, 8	2, 8

The numbers given in each cell of Tables 2 to 4 represent the workers who are scheduled to work in the relevant department on the specified shift of the specified day. The presented results show that the output of the mathematical model satisfies all defined constraints, which demonstrates the validity of the formulated model.

This section presents the numerical results related to the evaluation of the performance of the ant colony optimization algorithm (ACO) in solving the formulated model. This performance evaluation is performed in terms of two criteria: solution quality and solution speed. Solution quality was measured in terms of the difference between the objective function value produced by ACO and the one obtained from GAMS. To examine solution speed, we compared the time it takes for these methods to produce the optimal solution. To evaluate the performance of ACO algorithms in solving the problem, this algorithm was coded in MATLAB and 10 problem instances of different sizes were produced. The specifications of these problems are given in Table 5. Other parameters were generated based on the information given in Section 5-1. More information about these problems is provided in Table 6.

Next, the results of the exact solution of the generat-

Table 5. Information of generated problem instances

Problem No.	I	J	K	S
PR1	10	2	10	5
PR2	15	2	10	10
PR3	20	2	20	15
PR4	40	2	20	20
PR5	60	2	30	30
PR6	80	3	30	40
PR7	100	3	40	50
PR8	150	3	40	60
PR9	200	3	50	70
PR10	300	3	50	80

Table 6. Comparison of the solution of problem instances with GAMS and ant colony optimization algorithm (ACO)

Problem No.	Exact solution with GAMS		Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)		GAP(%)
	Objective function value	Solution time	Objective function value	Solution time	
PR1	8593.00	2.7	8561.12	18.19	0.003710
PR2	12678.00	10.6	11659.90	21.60	0.08030
PR3	22540.00	27.3	13384.57	34.90	0.40619
PR4	39765.00	49.3	32517.38	49.70	0.18226
PR5	66038.00	103.5	59674.57	58.90	0.09636
PR6	103957.00	485.1	85634.37	79.60	0.17625
PR7	259760.00	1672.2	237113.04	110.90	0.08718
PR8	546375.00	2953.9	540826.92	129.70	0.01015
PR9	896485.00	3600.00	858857.50	154.60	0.04197
PR10	1065720.00	3600.00	1005238.01	188.10	0.05675
Average	302191.10	1250.46	285346.74	83.82	0.11411

ed problem instances with GAMS were compared with the results of ACO.

Since it may take a very long time for GAMS to solve large-scale problems, we set a time limit of 3600 seconds or 1 hour for reaching a solution. In cases where GAMS could not produce the optimum solution after 1 hour, the solution process was terminated and one of the produced feasible solutions (not necessarily the optimal one) was chosen as the output. Table 6 compares the results obtained from GAMS with those produced by ACO.

As can be seen, while GAMS could not find the optimal solution of the last three problems in less than 1 hour, ACO managed to produce better solutions for these problems in a much shorter time.

The solution speed curves of both methods are drawn in Figure 2.

As the above diagram shows, for the exact solution with GAMS, solution time has increased exponentially with the size of the problem. For ACO, however, solution time has remained very short despite the increasing size of the problem.

The diagram of Figure 3 illustrates the objective function values obtained through the exact solution (GAMS) and metaheuristic solution (ACO) of the model.

In Figure 3, it can be seen that there is not much difference between the qualities of solutions produced by the two methods. Overall, the solutions obtained from ACO are only 0.05% different from the exact solutions of GAMS, which demonstrates the good performance of this algorithm in finding the optimal solution of the formulated problem.

In general, ACO performed very well in terms of so-




Figure 2. Comparison of the solution speed of ACO and GAMS.




Figure 3. Comparison of objective function values obtained from GAMS and ACO.

lution speed as well as solution accuracy, and can therefore be considered a suitable method for optimizing this problem.

4. CONCLUSION

This study presented a new mathematical model for production planning, maintenance, and labor scheduling. The presented model makes use of a new objective function, which divides the labor force by the production output. Basically, this mathematical model seeks to reduce the labor employed while also increasing the production output. In this model, the parameters related to labor and maintenance are expressed as fuzzy numbers. The fuzzy cut method was used to convert the fuzzy model into its non-fuzzy form. The ant colony optimization algorithm (ACO) was used to solve this mathematical model. The numerical results showed the good performance of this algorithm in terms of solution quality as well as solution speed. The results obtained in the model validation process and when solving numerical problem instances showed that the approach taken in this study, i.e. combining different domains of decision making in production units, can yield good strategies to enhance production planning, maintenance, and labor scheduling all together. To expand on this research, it is recommended to use a robust optimization approach to deal with uncertainty, and also try other meta-heuristic methods such as the firefly algorithm or the grey wolf optimization algorithm.

REFERENCES

- Aliakbari, M. (2017), Numerical investigation of heat transfer of nanofluids in a channel under the influence of porous Area, *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, **9**(IS), 1175-1188.
- Alimian, M., Ghezavati, V., and Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R. (2020), New integration of preventive maintenance and production planning with cell formation and group scheduling for dynamic cellular manufacturing systems, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, **56**, 341-358.
- Alimian, M., Saidi-Mehrabad, M., and Jabbarzadeh, A. (2019), A robust integrated production and preventive maintenance planning model for multi-state systems with uncertain demand and common cause failures, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, **50**, 263-277.
- Amouzad Mahdiraji, E. and Yousefi Talouki, A. (2021), Voltage stability of wind turbines equipped with DFIG based on PID-based control method, *Journal of Chemical Reviews*, **3**(1), 40-49.
- Bensmain, Y., Dahane, M., Bennekrouf, M., and Sari, Z. (2019), Preventive remanufacturing planning of production equipment under operational and imperfect maintenance constraints: A hybrid genetic algorithm based approach, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, **185**, 546-566.
- Berrichi, A., Amodeo, L., Yalaoui, F., Châtelet, E., and Mezghiche, M. (2009), Bi-objective optimization algorithms for joint production and maintenance scheduling: Application to the parallel machine problem, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, **20**(4), 389.
- Ekin, T. (2018), Integrated maintenance and production planning with endogenous uncertain yield, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, **179**, 52-61.
- Ettaye, G., El Barkany, A., and El Khalfi, A. (2017), Modeling and optimization a production/maintenance integrated planning, *In International Journal of Engineering Research in Africa*, **28**, 169-181.
- Glawar, R., Karner, M., Nemeth, T., Matyas, K., and Sihni, W. (2018), An approach for the integration of antic-

- ipative maintenance strategies within a production planning and control model, *Procedia CIRP*, **67**, 46-51.
- Goli, A., Tirkolaee, E. B., Malmir, B., Bian, G. B., and Sangaiah, A. K. (2019), A multi-objective invasive weed optimization algorithm for robust aggregate production planning under uncertain seasonal demand, *Computing*, **101**(6), 499-529.
- Liao, G. L. (2013), Joint production and maintenance strategy for economic production quantity model with imperfect production processes, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, **24**(6), 1229-1240.
- Mirzanti, I. R., Sinaga, E. E. M., and Soekarno S. (2019), Determinant factors in digital start-up valuation for agro seed funding, *International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology*, **15**(4), 338-357.
- Nasiri, H. R. and Ahmadi Daryakenari, F. (2021), Simulation and optimization of the urban energy system based on the combination of technologies and energy production and distribution network, *Journal of Engineering in Industrial Research*, **2**(3), 129-148.
- Nourelfath, M., Nahas, N., and Ben-Daya, M. (2016), Integrated preventive maintenance and production decisions for imperfect processes, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, **148**, 21-31.
- Samimi, E. and Sydow, J. (2020), Human resource management in project-based organizations: Revisiting the permanency assumption, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, **32**(1), 49-43.
- Sharma, G. and Sharma, S. B. (2021), Synthetic imipatinol analogues as potential cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors: A preliminary study, *J. Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, **1**(2), 66-75.
- Wang, L., Lu, Z., and Ren, Y. (2020), Integrated production planning and condition-based maintenance considering uncertain demand and random failures, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, **234**(1-2), 310-323.
- Yalaoui, A., Chaabi, K., and Yalaoui, F. (2014), Integrated production planning and preventive maintenance in deteriorating production systems, *Information Sciences*, **278**, 841-861.

Dr. Roy Setiawan is currently working as Assistant professor at Department of Management, Universitas Kristen Petra, Surabaya, Indonesia. He holds his PhD in Management from Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia. His expertise are in Human Resources, Leadership, and Management.