Promoting Creative Tourism

Current Issues in Tourism Research

EDITED BY Ahmad Hudaiby Galih Kusumah, Cep Ubad Abdullah, Dewi Turgarini, Mamat Ruhimat, Oce Ridwanudin and Yeni Yuniawati





PROMOTING CREATIVE TOURISM: CURRENT ISSUES IN TOURISM RESEARCH

Por Contraction

Taylor & Francis Group http://taylorandfrancis.com

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 4TH INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR ON TOURISM (ISOT 2020), NOVEMBER 4–5, 2020, BANDUNG, INDONESIA

Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research

Editors

A.H.G. Kusumah, C.U. Abdullah, D. Turgarini, M. Ruhimat, O. Ridwanudin & Y.Yuniawati Universitas Pendidikan, Indonesia



CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business A BALKEMA BOOK

CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK

Typeset by MPS Limited, Chennai, India

All rights reserved. No part of this publication or the information contained herein may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, by photocopying, recording or otherwise, without written prior permission from the publisher.

Although all care is taken to ensure integrity and the quality of this publication and the information herein, no responsibility is assumed by the publishers nor the author for any damage to the property or persons as a result of operation or use of this publication and/or the information contained herein.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Applied for

Published by: CRC Press/Balkema Schipholweg 107C, 2316 XC Leiden, The Netherlands e-mail: Pub.NL@taylorandfrancis.com www.routledge.com – www.taylorandfrancis.com

ISBN: 978-0-367-55862-8 (Hbk) ISBN: 978-0-367-55864-2 (Pbk) ISBN: 978-1-003-09548-4 (eBook) DOI: 10.1201/9781003095484 https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003095484

Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research – Kusumah et al. (Eds) © 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-55862-8

Table of contents

Preface	XIII
Scientific Committee	XV
Organizing Committee Acknowledgements	XVII XIX
Acknowledgements	ЛІЛ
Community based tourism	
Border community perception of their local tourist attraction A. Khosihan, A.R. Pratama & P. Hindayani	3
Language style and local wisdom in The Travel Documentary Pesona Indonesia: Tondokku Kondosapata on TVRI as a medium for tourism promotion S. Hamidah, N.N. Afidah, I. Kurniawaty, H.T. Abdillah & R.H. Nugraha	9
Community education in developing edutourism values in Geopark Ciletuh D.S. Logayah, M. Ruhimat & R. Arrasyid	17
Building the character of community tourism village in the preservation of Culture Ngalaksa D.M. Nugraha, Supriyono & A. Gumelar	24
Development strategies for parenting tourism villages based on digital literacy N.N. Afidah, D.M. Nugraha, A. Gumelar, P. Hyangsewu & Y.A. Tantowi	28
Citizenship education in community development in Indonesia: Reflection of a community development Batik Tourism Village Katiah, A. Dahliyana, Supriyono & V.A. Hadian	35
Poverty alleviation in tourism destination: A new village-owned enterprise on the southern coast of West Java A.W. Handaru, U. Suhud & S. Mukhtar	41
Readiness and participation of local community for river-based tourism development in Sabak Awor, Muar, Johor S.N.A. Zuhairi, N.H.A. Rahman, S.A. Abas, S.S.M. Sawari, S.A.A. Latif & R.M. Wirakusuma	48
Local community's cultural attitudes towards support for tourism development and conservation in archaeological heritage of the Lenggong Valley S.A. Abas, M.A. Nur Afiqah, N.H.A. Rahman, S.S.M. Sawari & G.R. Nurazizah	56
Rural tourism in Jakarta (Ecotourism in Pesanggrahan Riverbank) E. Maryani, Amin, N. Supriatna & M. Ruhimat	64
Indonesia's spice route tourism N. Fathiraini, D.P. Novalita, Labibatussolihah & E. Fitriyani	71
Tarling art: History and tourism potential in Cirebon A. Mulyana & S. Sartika	77

S.P. Pandia, M.D. Kembara, A. Gumelar & H.T. Abdillah	82
Cultural tourism: Commercialization or preservation? E. Malihah, S. Komariah, N.F. Utami & E. Prakarsa	89
Destination management	
The crucial attributes for culinary tourism destination based on tourists' perception T. Abdullah, Gitasiswhara & R.S. Nugraha	95
Border tourism in Indonesia's outer islands: The case of Sebatik Island S.R.P. Wulung, A.K. Yuliawati & M.S.D. Hadian	102
Visitor satisfaction: The mediating role of crowding perception on environmental characteristics and other visitors' behavior N.A. Zidany, G.R. Nurazizah, F. Rahmafitria & M.H.Y. Johari	110
Culinary tourism planning and development: A case in Gebang Mekar Cirebon E. Fitriyani, I.I. Pratiwi & A. Suwandi	116
Tour guides' multilingualism in the city of Bandung, Indonesia: What does the Policy Say? <i>C.U. Abdullah & S.R.P. Wulung</i>	123
Tourist preferences of activities in the tourist village S. Marhanah & E. Sukriah	128
The influence of Bandung City image as a fashion city on tourist satisfaction <i>P. Supriatin, S. Marhanah & Rosita</i>	134
The influence of destination image on revisit intention in Olele Marine Park M.N. Della, N. Wildan & O. Sukirman	138
Topeng Pedalangan as a tourist attraction in Gunungkidul Regency Special Region of Yogyakarta Kuswarsantyo	146
Millennial volunteer tourist motivation in West Java Province, Indonesia D.D. Utami, I. Ramadhani, A.P. Ramdhani & N.T. Murtiani	152
Sacred tombs as attraction of tourism village R. Fedrina, Khrisnamurti, R. Darmawan & U. Suhud	159
Chinese tourists' perception on Bali Tour Package H. Utami, R. Darmawan, R. Wardhani & U. Suhud	166
Transformational leadership, perceived organizational support, and workplace spirituality on employee engagement of restaurant employees in Surabaya D.C. Widjaja, R.S.T. Putri & D.E. Febrianto	174
Destination personality of Labuan Bajo, Indonesia: Local and foreign tourists' perspectives C.G. Chandra & S. Thio	182
Development of tourist visitor management system in Tajur Kahuripan traditional tourism village A. Agoes & I.N. Agustiani	189

. .

Projected destination image on Instagram amidst a pandemic: A visual content analysis of Indonesian National DMO W.N. Wan Noordin, V. Sukmayadi & R.M. Wirakusuma	196
Analysing the factors affecting the purchasing decision of Malaysian batik products U.H. Simin & N.H.A. Rahman	202
Indonesian mythology as touristic attractiveness: The story of the Queen of the Southern Sea of Java Island <i>M.V. Frolova, M.W. Rizkyanfi & N.S. Wulan</i>	209
The role of psychographic factors in predicting volunteer tourists' stage of readiness: A case of Australia U. Suhud, A.W. Handaru, M. Allan & B. Wiratama	216
Turkish destination image and attitude toward Turkish television drama U. Suhud, A.W. Handaru, M. Allan & B. Wiratama	223
Why do countries allow dark tourism? A review study S. Barua & E.D. Putra	230
Understanding the motivations and preference on ecotourism development: The case of Gunung Leuser National Park, Indonesia Amrullah, A. Rachmatullah, Nurbaeti, F. Asmaniati & S.P. Djati	238
Sequential exploratory mixed methods and scale development: Investigating transformational tourism readiness J.K. Sabharwal, S. Goh & K. Thirumaran	250
Economic benefits of selected resorts in Dasmariñas City, Cavite: Basis for a proposed economic strategy A.R.D. Movido, M.J.L. Tapawan, Q.A.E. Lucero & J.U. Tabuvo	259
Tourism development and the well-being of local people: Findings from Lembang, West Java, Indonesia E. Sukriah	272
The effect of individual and destination accessibility on willingness to visit: Nature-based tourism destination I. Wirajaya, F. Rahmafitria, G.R. Nurazizah & A. Jamin	278
Push and pull factors in visiting a remote nature-based destination R. Ameliana, L. Somantri, F. Rahmafitria & F.A. Karim	284
Can marine debris pollution cause the loss of tourism revenue in Indonesia? An empirical study <i>P. Hindayani, A. Khosihan & A.R. Pratama</i>	289
How risky is liveaboard diving in Indonesia? An empirical investigation on the divers' perceived risk and oceanic geomorphology R.M. Wirakusuma, M. Lück, H. Schänzel, M.A. Widiawaty, G.P. Pramulatsih, M. Dede & E. Dasipah	297
Challenges in sustainable design practices through the lenses of local event organizers <i>M. Intason</i>	305
Rural tourism: The state-of-the-art A.H.G. Kusumah	311

Hand sign method in playing <i>angklung</i> as tourists' involvement in creative tourism: A case study on <i>Saung Angklung Udjo</i> N. Riana & K. Fajri	316
Potential of domestic tourist loyalty in Indonesia: A spatial analysis A.R. Pratama, A. Khosihan & P. Hindayani	321
Tourism and education	
The tourism academic traveler A.H.G. Kusumah, Khrisnamurti & M. Kristanti	331
Competency development problems in tourism and hospitality students' internship in Indonesia Rosita	337
Integrative teaching materials for Indonesian Speakers of Other Languages based on Sundanese gastronomy text <i>M.W. Rizkyanfi, Syihabuddin, F.N. Utorodewo, V.S. Damaianti & D. Turgarini</i>	342
CIPP model: Curriculum evaluation of the Indonesian gastronomy courses W. Priantini, I. Abdulhak, D. Wahyudin & A.H.G. Kusumah	353
Situation analysis of tourism education in the city of Bandung A. Suwandi, E. Fitriyani, N. Fajria & S.R. P. Wulung	360
Analysis of online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic in tourism education A. Suwandi, E. Fitriyani & A. Gumelar	365
Development of a friendly character and working characters for tourism guide practices of SMK's students in Bali R. Munawar & M. Rahmat	369
Edutourism: Learning to be the Indonesian society R.W.A. Rozak, A. Kosasih, M.D. Kembara, N. Budiyanti & V.A. Hadian	375
Interculture language learning: Literacy level determines the development of tourist numbers in Indonesia? D. Hadianto, V.S. Damaianti, Y. Mulyati, A. Sastromiharjo & N. Budiyanti	383
CATC implementation to strengthen the industrial-based tourism competency of vocational schools D. Sunarja & O.D. Maharani	390
An exploratory study on Singapore Polytechnic Hospitality and Tourism students' perception toward the use of virtual learning environments (E-learning) <i>J.M. Pang</i>	397
The challenge in disruptive times in tourism education: Toward a redesigned curriculum for new normal from conventional to creative tourism <i>P.R.M. Tayko & Foedjiawati</i>	405
The suitability of TOEFL-ITP as a tourism industry employment requirement for Indonesian university graduates <i>G. Ginanjar & M.W. Rizkyanfi</i>	412
Tourism gastronomy	
Canna fettucine: Commodifying culinary Italian Indonesian S.S. Wachyuni, K. Wiweka & R.M. Wirakusuma	421

1 of 8

The Salapan Cinyusu (Nona Helix) as a "creativepreneurship" support model for gastronomy tourism in Bandung city D. Turgarini	429
The effect of gastronomic festival attributes on behavioral intention at Wisata Kuliner Tjeplak Purwakarta <i>Rr.M. Vania T., Gitasiswhara & Y. Yuniawati</i>	438
Culinary experience toward behavioral intention (Survey of consumer fusion food on street food in Bandung city) <i>M.R. Perdana, L.A. Wibowo & Gitasiswhara</i>	446
Implications of food delivery services for recognition of traditional foods by millennials in Bandung A. Sudono	453
Gastronomy tourism as a media to strengthen national identity R. Fitria, A. Supriatna, K.A. Hakam, S. Nurbayani & Warlim	457
Digitalization activities in gastronomy tourism D. Turgarini, I.I. Pratiwi & T.K. Priyambodo	464
Tape Kareueut Teh Bohay: Students' gastronomic tourism capital Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia Fahrudin, N.N. Afidah, F. Azis, S. Hamidah & M.W. Rizkyanfi	471
Gastronomy tourism development model on a tourist village C. Ningsih, D. Turgarini, I.I. Pratiwi & R. Fitrianty	476
Exploring the expectation of youth purchasing intention for street food as gastronomy tourism in Bangsaen, Thailand <i>P. Nakpathom, K. Chinnapha, P. Lakanavisid, M.R. Putra, A. Wongla, A. Kowarattanakul, N. Pangket, P. Thanuthep & S.H. Rui</i>	482
iDabao during Covid-19: Online-to-offline (O2O) food delivery service and the digitalization of Hawker (street) food during a crisis <i>E. Tan Hospitality management</i>	491
Re-examining sensory experience on highland nature-based resort rooms N.H.A. Rahman, R.M. Wirakusuma & E. Dasipah	503
Consumer's complaint behavior between Indonesian and non-Indonesian in the hotel Y. Machiko, Ivena, M. Kristanti & R. Jokom	509
Exploring factors influencing homestay operators to participate in the homestay program S. Haminuddin, S.S.M. Sawari & S.A. Abas	516
Analysing the tourist's e-satisfaction of hotel booking website towards online purchase intention in Malaysia <i>M.M. Jamil & N.H.A. Rahman</i>	522
Sharia-compliant hotel literacy: Profiling the potential Sharia-compliant hotel guest Y. Rahayu & J. Zuhriatusobah	527
Environment, food, or employee: Identifying factors in authentic dining experience influencing customer satisfaction <i>T. Abdullah, N. Latifah, H.P.D. Setiyorini & R.S. Nugraha</i>	534

	The effect of perceived authenticity on revisit intention of Sundanese restaurants Y. Yuniawati, T. Abdullah & A.S. Sonjaya	541
	The impact of dining experience towards revisit intention at Mujigae Resto, Bandung R. Andari, Gitasiswhara & D.A.T. Putri	547
	Legal and business sustainability of social enterprises restaurants N.B. Le, T. Andrianto & R. Kwong	554
	Restaurant selection of Thai Free Individual Traveler (FIT) by using conjoint analysis approach K. Pitchayadejanant, L. Dembinski, P. Seesavat, P. Yimsiri, S. Amonpon & R. Suprina	564
	Safety and crisis management	
	Covid-19 and Indonesian super-priority tourism destinations S.R.P. Wulung, Y. Yuniawati & R. Andari	575
	The influence of perceived risk and perceived value toward tourist satisfaction B. Waluya, O. Ridwanudin & Z.S. Zahirah	583
	Hospitality industry crisis: How to survive and recovery in the pandemic of COVID-19 E. Fitriyani, D.P. Novalita & Labibatussolihah	591
	From fantasy to reality: Attracting the premium tourists after COVID-19 A.R. Pratama, P. Hindayani & A. Khosihan	598
	Travel decision-making amid the pandemic G.R. Nurazizah & Darsiharjo	604
	Tourism industry standard operating procedure adaptation preparing Covid-19 new normal in Indonesia I.I. Pratiwi & A. Mahmudatussa'adah	609
	Assessing tourist motivation on Tionghoa halal food C. Ningsih & H. Taufiq A	616
	Revenge tourism: Trend or impact post-pandemic Covid-19? M.N.A. Abdullah	623
	Spiritual tourism: Study of the experience of fasting on Ramadan during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia E. Firdaus & M. Rahmat	628
/	The new era of tourism: Draw up tourism industry after the pandemic S. Nurbayani & F.N. Asyahidda	636
	Tourism and tourism crisis management in the COVID-19 pandemic time E. Edison & T. Kartika	641
	Differences in trust and risk-taking propensity for travelers from Indonesia A. Njo & F. Andreani	645
	The impact of travel constraints on travel intention F. Andreani & A. Njo	652
	The effect of COVID-19 outbreak to the destination choice and the intention to visit the destination among Thai tourists <i>M. Worrachananun & N. Srisuksai</i>	659
	The impacts of COVID-19 at Karangsong Mangrove Centre D.J. Prihadi, Z. Guanghai, Khrisnamurti & H. Nuraeni	664

Impacts of COVID-19 on national security in Indonesia and the alternative of national policy solutions A.M. Fawzi, A.T. Nugraha & A.G. Subakti	669
Covid-19, technology and tourism: The future of virtual tour? T. Andrianto, A.H.G. Kusumah, N.A. Md Rashid, A.G. Buja & M.A. Arshad	675
Virtual tour as one of education tourism solutions in Covid-19 pandemic R. Khaerani	680
Estimation of short-term economic effect in Geopark Ciletuh-Palabuhanratu tourism due to the coronavirus outbreak <i>P. Hindayani, A.R. Pratama, A. Khosihan & Z. Anna</i>	684
Tourism marketing	
The effect of tourist satisfaction in the relationship between experiential marketing and revisit intention in Dusun Bambu, Indonesia <i>R. Khaerani, T. Kartika & B. Basri</i>	695
Virtual public sphere: The overview of instagram users in responding to the instagram posts of tourist destinations in Covid-19 pandemic A. Khosihan, P. Hindayani & A.R. Pratama	701
Visual ethnography: Tourists' perception of Bandung's destination image O. Ridwanudin, Y. Yuniawati & V. Gaffar	708
What makes visitors come again to food festivals? An analysis of a direct influence of culinary festival attributes <i>T. Abdullah, N.E. Novianti, R. Andari & R.S. Nugraha</i>	713
Women's mountaineering tourism on Instagram: The paradox between gender equality, identity, and objectification A. Mecca	721
Image of 10 prioritized tourism destinations and its influence on eWOM among tourism students T.A. Patria, H. Ulinnuha, Y. Maulana, J. Denver & J. Tanika	728
Nation brand culture tourism to improve the nation image Wilodati, S. Komariah & N.F. Utami	736
The role of social media in Generation Z travel decision-making process Khrisnamurti, R. Fedrina, U. Suhud & D.J. Prihadi	741
The influence of halal tourism destination attributes on tourist satisfaction in Bandung <i>N. Wildan, M.N. Della & O. Sukirman</i>	748
mGuiding (Mobile Guiding) – using a Mobile GIS app for guiding Geopark Ciletuh Palabuhanratu, Indonesia R. Arrasyid, Darsiharjo, M. Ruhimat, D.S. Logayah, R. Ridwana & H.R.M. Isya	756
Virtual tour: Tourism opportunities in the new normal era Labibatussolihah, D.P. Novalita, N. Fathiraini & E. Fitriyani	763
The effect of internet marketing and electronic word of mouth of Sundanese gastronomy tourism on tourist visit motivation to Bandung D. Valentina, D. Turgarini & I.I. Pratiwi	771
Author index	777

4 of 8



Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research – Kusumah et al. (Eds) © 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-55862-8

Preface

For the past two decades, creative tourism has been a perennial discussion among researchers, scientists, practitioners, and other related parties within the context of tourism worldwide. As creative tourism offers visitors the opportunity to develop their creative potential through active participation in courses and learning experiences which are characteristic of the holiday destination where they are undertaken, it attracts a variety of tourism sectors to take part.

To this relation, this conference aims to invite academics and professionals in tourism-related fields to share their research and experiences in discussing the current issues in tourism research to promote creative tourism. This macro topic of discussion is then broken down into such important sub-themes as sustainable tourism; ICT and tourism; tourism marketing; halal and sharia tourism; destination management; politics, social phenomena, and humanities in tourism; medical and health tourism; community-based tourism; meeting, incentive, convention, and exhibition; restaurant management and operation; corporate social responsibility (CSR); disruptive innovation in tourism; urban and rural tourism planning and development; marine tourism; tourism and education; tourism, economics, and finance; recreation and sport tourism; culture and indigenous tourism; tourism gastronomy; heritage tourism; film-induced tourism; tourism planning and policy; supply chain management; hospitality management; safety and crisis management; tourism geography; infrastructure and transportation in tourism development; and community resilience and social capital in tourism.

Finally, it is hoped that the conference, as reflected in the variety of papers would allow academics, researchers, as well as practitioners to continue being engaged in the process of redefining creative and sustainable tourism. As tourism is an important part in a nation's development, we need to be constantly involved in the process of reconceptualizing it.

mas@pett

Editorial Team



Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research – Kusumah et al. (Eds) © 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-55862-8

Scientific Committee

International Advisory Board

- 1. Dr. Eunice Tan (Murdoch Uni Singapore, Singapore)
- 2. Dr. Christina Lam (SIT)
- 3. Dr. Walanchalee Wattana (Mahidol Univ)
- Dr. Eka Putra (Uni Korea) Sol International Hospitality Management (SIHOM), Sol International School (SIS), Woosong University
- 5. Hera Oktadiana Ph.D (JCU)
- 6. Yong-Jae Choi, Ph.D (Hankuk University of Foreign Studies)
- Prof. Neil Carr (University of Otago)
- 8. Dr. Craig Lee (University of Otago)
- 9. Dr. Manisa Piuchan (Chiang Mai University)
- Dr. Pipatpong Fakfare (School of Humanities and Tourism Management, Bangkok University, Thailand)
- 11. Dr. Jiwon Seo (Ewha Woman's University, Seoul, South Korea)
- 12. Dr. Luk Kiano (Community College of City University Hong Kong, Hongkong)
- 13. Dr. Ryan Smith (San Francisco State University, USA)
- 14. Prof. Dr. Elly Malihah M.Si (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 15. Prof. Dr. Darsiharjo M.S (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 16. Dr. Caria Ningsih (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 17. Dr. Erry Sukriah (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 18. Usep Suhud Ph.D (Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia)
- 19. Dr. Myrza Rahmanita (STP Trisakti, Indonesia)
- 20. Dr. Liga Suryadana (STP Enhaii, Indonesia)
- 21. Dr. Any Ariani Noor S.Pd., M.Sc (Polban, Indonesia)
- 22. Dr. Marceilla Hidayat BA.Honns M.M (Polban, Indonesia)

8 of 8



Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research – Kusumah et al. (Eds) © 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-55862-8

Organizing Committee

Chair

Dr. AH. Galihkusumah, MM (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)

Co-Chair

- 1. Prof. Dr. Elly Malihah, M.Si (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 2. Prof. Dr. Darsiharjo. MS (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 3. Dr. Erry Sukriah, M.SE (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 4. Dr. Caria Ningsih (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)
- 5. Oce Ridwanudin, M.M (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)

Speakers

- 1. Professor Bob McKercher (The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong)
- 2. Professor Iis Tussyadiah (University of Surrey, United Kingdom)
- 3. Dr. Dewi Turgarini, MM.Par (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)

Co-Host

- 1. Khrisnamurti (Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia)
- 2. Nova Riana (Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Pariwisata Yapari, Indonesia)
- 3. Rina Suprina (Trisakti School of Tourism, Indonesia)
- 4. Sienny Thio (Petra Christian University, Indonesia)

Hasel



Promoting Creative Tourism: Current Issues in Tourism Research – Kusumah et al. (Eds) © 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-0-367-55862-8

Acknowledgements

The committee would like to express gratitude to all who have been involved in the conference. Our highest appreciation goes to the Rector of Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia for his constant support. The same thankfulness also goes to the Vice Rector of Research, Partnership and Business for his insight that helps the committee to execute the conference.

The committee would also like to thank to the members, reviewers, as well as publication team who have collaborated together to ensure the production of both the conference and the proceeding. Our special thanks is also dedicated to the team from CRC, Routledge who provide a space for the research from our participants to be published and disseminated further.

Finally, our gratitude also goes to all participants who have made our conference successful.

The Conference Committee

The impact of travel constraints on travel intention

F, Andreani

Hotel Management Program, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia

A, Njo

Finance Program, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT: Rapid mass transportation has enabled people to travel from one place to another easily. However, tourists have some constraints that affect their intention to travel, like interpersonal constraints (interaction factors or the relationship between individual' characteristics), intrapersonal constraints (individual psychological states and leisure preferences) and structural constraints (intervening factors between leisure preference and participation). The study is to find the impacts of the trilogy of constraints on travel intention. Factor regression analysis is used to analyze data of 159 respondents. The results of the study show interpersonal constraints have positive but insignificant impact on travel intention; while intrapersonal and structural constraints have negative and significant impacts on travel intention. Thus, it is very useful for tourism and travel industries to accommodate tour packages to minimize the constraints.

Keywords: interpersonal constraints, intrapersonal constraints, structural constraints, travel intention

1 INTRODUCTION

Rapid mass transportation has enabled people to travel from one place to another easily. A lot of people travel from one destination to others. Based on governmental data (Statistik Wisatawan Nusantara 2018, 2019), 43.3% out of 100% domestic tourists travel for visiting families/ relatives or friends and 42.9 % for leisure; and the rest are for pilgrimage (4.6%), shopping (2.4%), healthcare (1.8%), business (1.5%), training (0.7%), Meetings Incentives Conferences Exhibitions/ MICE (0.5%), sports (0.3%0 and others (2%). By the end of 2019, domestic tourist movements reached 275 million trips, lower than the number of domestic tourist trips in 2018 which had reached 303.4 million trips. This is due to airline prices that were still high enough (Zuhriyah, 2019). In addition, the number of foreign tourists coming to Indonesia in January 2020 increased by 5.85 percent compared to the number of visits in January 2019. However, when compared to December 2019, the number of foreign tourist visits in January 2020 has decreased by 7.62 percent ("Jumlah kunjungan wisman ke Indonesia Januari 2020 mencapai 1.27 juta kunjungan", 2020).

Travelling has become one of the entertaining activities to do. By travelling, tourists learn a lot of from the new surroundings, like culture and nature in the proposed destination. Apart from that, tourists can also enjoy themselves, relax and move out from their day-to-day activities. However, tourists have different constraints that may affect their intention to travel.

Constraints refers to conditions that may hinder tourists to participate in leisure activities. These include lack of time and information, financial conditions, transportation, and others.

Crawford and Godbey (1987) proposed a trilogy of travel constraints, namely interpersonal constraints, intrapersonal constraints and structural constraints. First, interpersonal constraints have something to do with interpersonal interaction or the relationship between individual' characteristics, for example spouse companionship that may affect joint preference for specific leisure activities. Second, intrapersonal constraints involve individual psychological states and leisure preferences, for example stress, anxiety, religiosity and the like. Third, structural constraints refer to intervening factors between leisure preference and participation, for example family life-cycled stage, financial resources, season, climate, availability of opportunity, and others.

Many studies have been taken using the trilogy model to study tourist travelling behavior in different parts of the world. However, there is a little study about travel constraints in relations with travel intention of Indonesian tourists to proposed destinations. So in this study the writers would like to find out the impacts of the three dimensions of travel contraints on travel intention of Indonesian tourists. This study could help managers to accommodate tourists' packages and necessities when tourists are travelling either by themselves or with others.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Travel constraints

Constraints are some conditions that may hinder one's freedom, desires and participation. So, travel constraints include factors or barriers that affect individuals or tourist to participate in leisure activities, either locally or internationally. The trilogy of travel constraints, originally conceptualized by Crawford and Godbey (1987) and further developed by Crawford, Jackson, & Godbey (1991), have made significant contributions to further studies.

The model involves three dimensions of interpersonal, constraints: intrapersonal, and structural constraints (Crawford & Godbey, 1987; occur when 1991). Interpersonal constraints individuals have no one to travel with. Thus, it may prevent them to participate in leisure activities as there is no friend, spouse/ mate, or family members to take part with. Intrapersonal constraints refers to individual psychological states or conditions that affect them to participate in the activities of interest. Individuals experience this constraints due to lack of interest, stress, anxiety, depression and religiosity. This kind of constraints are not relatively stable and may change across life stages depending on individual maturity. Structural constraints represent intervening factors between the leisure as preferences and participation. These include lack of time, money, opportunity, climate, information and (Walker & Virden, 2005; Nyaupane & access Andereck, 2007).

The indicators of interpersonal constraints in this study includes no one to travel with, family and friends not interested, not fun to travel alone. Intrapersonal constraints connsists of indicators like: traveling is risky, not interested at activities in the intended destination and not interested to travel in the intended destination. Then, for the indicators of structural constraints are no money to travel, no time to travel, no sufficient information to travel, unfavourable weather in the intended destination and insufficient transportation intended in the destination.

2.2 Travel intention

Behavioral intention reflects individual planned future behaviors. It includes individual positive statements, product or service purchase and even recommendations about product or service being purchased to others. One of these intentions, in leisure and tourism, is the intention to travel or visit a destination (Nunkoo & Ramkissoon, 2010). Travel intention is a perceived likelihood of tourists to visit a particular destination in a specific period of time (Ahn, Ekinci, & Li, 2013)

Jang, Bai, and Hu's (2009) study toward senior travellers in Taiwan suggested that travel intention

represents a mental process that leads to travel motivation and transformed into behavior. The behavior to travel is also affected by tourists' attitudes and preferences; and these include travel options like destinations, travel modes and patterns, frequency, companions, duration, and budget (Beerli & Martin, 2004).

In addition, Wu (2015) stated that individual behavior to travel is also affected by rational as well as effective product evaluation. Rational evaluation involves the needs which can be fulfilled by the features or environments in the destination; whilst, effective evaluation represents emotions which develop feelings about the destination (Prayag & Ryan, 2012). The indicators of travel intention in this study involves being aware of the intended destination, interested in visiting the intended destination and wanting to visit the intended *destination*.

2.3 *The relationship between travel constraints and travel intention*

The trilogy of travel constraints has been adopted by many studies in tourism and leisure. A study towards under-graduate students to join cruise tourism indicates that travel constraints are taken as one of variables that may influence individual or tourist decision in join cruises. The results of the study show that travel constraints have negatively influenced tourists travel intention (Hung & Petrick, 2012)

Age, income and life stage have significant effect on travel intention (Kattiyapornpong & Miller, 2009). Some demographic attributes within structural constraints, like place attributes, lack of time, and lack of money have emerged that prevent tourists to travel. That is why some strategies are needed to overcome the constraints (Nyaupane & Andereck, 2007).

Another research finding shows that four determinants shaping the Portuguese south-eastern residents' traveling decisions are travel companion (interpersonal constraints), motivations (intrapersonal constraints), time and money (structural constraints). Travel companion is the strong determinant for travel decision as individuals dislike traveling alone and decide to join a trip only if they have someone to participate with (Silva & Correia, 2008).

Moreover, Khan, Chelliah, and Ahmed's study (2019) to Malaysian university students intending to visit India indicates that among three dimensions of travel constraints, interpersonal and intrapersonal constraints have influenced travel intention negatively and significantly; whilst, structural constraints have negative and insignificant impact on travel intention. The findings suggest that tourists having high interpersonal and intrapersonal constraints demonstrated low intention to visit India. While, the insignificant impact of structural constraints on travel intention can be explained by the respondents' profile in this research who are mostly young and educated. So, it's easy for these young respondents to find a lot of information of the proposed destinations, especially climate, travel modes, and places to visit via internet. These were partly similar to the results of previous study (Hung & Petrick, 2012) in which vital information about places to visit, climate, modes of transportation, and the like is not a problem for young travellers as this kind of information can be easily searched in the internet.

Based on the previous findings, the writers propose further hypotheses:

- H1 : There is a negative impact of interpersonal constraints (InterC) on travel intention (TI).
- H2 : There is a negative impact of intrapersonal constraints (IntraC) on travel intention (TI).
- H3 : There is a negative impact of structural constraints (SC) on travel intention (TI).




Figure 1. Research Model

3 RESEARCH METHOD

Online questionnaires were distributed via google forms from March, 12 to April 25, 2020. A fivepoint Likert scales were used in the questionnaire ranging from 1) strongly disagree, 2) disagree, 3) neutral, 4) agree, and 5) strongly agree. The first part of the questionnaire consists of respondents' demographic data, such as age, gender, education, occupation, and the like. The second part includes 14 items related to travel constraints and intention. The criteria of the respondents are those who have ever travelled at least once within the last one year since March 2020, either by themselves or with others.

The google forms were spread via WhatsApp and LINE groups; but only 159 respondents returned their responses. Due to pandemic Covid-19, it was very difficult to get more respondents as many people didn't do any activities outdoor. Then, data were processed with using descriptive, classic assumption test and regression analysis.

All 14 items in the questionnaires are valid as their correlation significances are 0.00 (less than 0.05). In addition, the values of Cronbach Alpha for interpersonal constraints is 0.319 (low), intrapersonal constraints is 0.560 (moderate), structural constraints is 0.716 (sufficient), and travel intention is 0.906 (perfect). These data have also fulfilled classic assumption tests like normality, heteroscedasticity and multicollinearity tests.

4 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Findings

Most of 83 respondents (52.2%) have ever traveled alone and the rest 76 respondents (47.8 %) have traveled with others. As much as 85.5% respondents have traveled solo once up to twice within the last year; and 47.8 % respondents mostly have traveled with others, especially with their families (63.5%), friends (31.4%). They mostly traveled by plane (81.1%) and car (13.2%). The places they visited were Java, Bali, and Asian countries (Singapore, Malaysia, Hongkong, Japan, Thailand, Korean, China, Taiwan), USA, Europe and Australia. Their purposes to travel are to enjoy nature and culinary as well as for fun and leisure, like going to theme parks and shopping.

Furthermore, the mean values of travel constraints can be seen in the following table.

Table 1 The results of mean values

	Mean	St Dev
Interpersonal constraints		
No one to travel with	1.89	1.059
Family and friends not interested	1.88	1.052
Not fun to travel alone	3.33	1.395
Average mean	2.37	
Intrapersonal constraints		
traveling is risky	3.48	1.321
not interested at activities in the in-	2.02	0.971
tended destination	2.02	0.971
not interested to travel in the intended	1.87	0.994
destination	1.07	0.994
Average mean	2.46	
Structural constraints		
no money to travel	2.46	1.184
no time to travel	2.78	1.154
no sufficient information to travel	2.28	1.171
unfavourable weather in the intended	2.47	1.030
destination	2.47	1.030
insufficient transportation in the in-	2.18	0.986
tended destination	2.10	0.980
Average mean	2.43	
Travel intention		
aware of the intended destination	4.28	0.888
interested at visiting the intended des-	4.35	0.886
tination	4.55	0.880
wanting to visit the intended destina-	4.38	0.832
tion	4.30	0.032
Average mean	4.34	

The average mean of interpersonal constraints is 2.37. It shows that the respondents do not think that having no one to travel with, having no family or friends interested in traveling, and traveling alone become their constraints. The respondents still want to travel, no matter by themselves or with others. The average mean of intrapersonal constraints is 2.46. This also indicates that the respondents do not feel that this becomes their barriers to travel. Even though traveling is risky, but they are still interested in the activities as well as visiting the intended des-

tination. Moreover, the average mean of structural constraints is 2.43. This represents that respondents do not agree that money, time, sufficient information about climate and transportation in the intended destination become their hindrance. Nowadays people can find any information via internet easily. The average mean of travel intention is 4.34. This shows that respondents are aware, interested and willing to visit the intended destination.

In addition, the value of R is 0.467 showing that the relation among independent variables (interpersonal, intrapersonal, and structural constraints) with dependent variable (travel intention) is good enough. The value of adjusted R square is 0.203 meaning that the three independent variables has influenced travel intention as much as 20.3 %, whereas the rest is influenced by other variables that are not examined in this study.

The regression model is also considered fit as its significance value is 0.000 and F count is higher than F table (14.830 > 2.610). The results of regression analysis can be seen in the following table:

Table 2. The results of regression analysis

Model	Unstandarized	t	Sig.
	Coefficient		
Constant	-4.118	0.000	1.000
Interpersonal constraints	0.121	1.474	0.143
Intrapersonal constraints	-0.372	-4.560	0.000
Structural constraints	-0.217	-2.495	0.014

So, the multiple regression equation is as follows: TI = -4.118 + 0.121InterC - 0.372IntraC - 0.217SC

This equation indicates that the higher constraints the respondents encounter, the less intention they have to visit the intended destination or vice versa.

4.2 Discussions

The results in table 2 show that interpersonal constraints have positive impact (coefficient 0.121) on travel intention insignificantly (sig. 0.143 > 0.05). Thus, the first hypothesis is not supported. The result is not in line with the previous studies. This is due to the fact that most respondents in this study are solo travelers (52.2%), so they do not worry too much if they have no one or friends to travel with. Or even when their families are not interested to travel. They use to travel alone and still have fun. The mean value of interpersonal constraints also indicates that these are not their barriers to travel. With or without anyone or friends and families. the respondents still want to travel and still enjoy themselves.

Furthermore, intrapersonal constraints have negative impact (coefficient -0.372) on travel intention significantly (sig. 0.000). Therefore, the second hypothesis is supported. The respondents realized that traveling is risky. So, the less interests the respondents have in participating in the activities in the intended destination, the higher possibilities they are reluctant to travel. This result provided further support of earlier studies by Silva and Correia (2008) and Khan, et al. (2019) showing that tourists who have high intrapersonal constraints have low intention to visit some destinations.

In addition to this, structural constraints have negatif impact (coefficient -0.217) on travel intention significantly (sig. 0.014). So, the third hypothesis is supported. The respondents who have enough time, money, sufficient information as well as favourable climate and transportation in destinations will have higher intention to travel rather than those who haven't had such information. The higher structural constraints the tourists have, the lower intention they want to travel. This result is also in line with previous studies by Nyaupane and Andereck (2007) as well as Hung and Petrick (2012) demonstrating that the less structural constraints the tourists have, the more intention they have to travel.

Among those three constraints, structural constraints have biggest impact on travel intention. Traveling involves well planned actions especially those related with financial support and quality time. Tourist having enough financial support is not enough, if they do not want to spare some time to enjoy themselves. Even, when they have already had both money and time, it's not enough. They should have sufficient information, weather or climate as well as transportation in the intended destination in order to have fun.

5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Among three hypotheses in this study, only two (the second and third hypotheses) are supported; whilst, the first hypothesis is not supported. Thus, it may provide some feedbacks for tour operators to provide complete and detailed information and pictures in their marketing tools regarding the weather or climate and activities the tourists can participate in. So, they can prepare what clothes to wear to do suitable activities in the intended destination. Besides that, it is also important to provide complete modes of transportation in the destination. By doing so, the tourists can have complete picture to anticipate any emergencies that might appear. For those traveling in groups, it would be advisable to have some predeparture briefing with all participants to enable them to have questions and answers with tour operators. This also enhances all participant to get to know each other well.

The limitation of this study relies on the values of Cronbach Alpha for interpersonal and intrapersonal constraints which are low to moderate, it is suggested to have more samples for further research. Apart from that some other variables (like travel motivation, financial literacy and perceived risk) can be employed.

6 REFERENCES

- Ahn, T., Ekinci, Y., & Li, G. 2013. Self-congruence, functional congruence, and destination choice. *Journal of Business Research*, 66, 719-723.
- Beerli, A., & Martin, J. 2004. Tourists' characteristics and the perceived image of tourist destination: A quantitative analysis—a case study of Lanzarote, Spain. *Tourism Management*, 25(5), 623-636.
- Crawford, D., & Godbey, G. 1987. Reconceptualizing Barriers to Family Leisure. *Leisure Sciences*, 9(2), 119-127. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/01490408709512151
- Crawford, D., Jackson, E. L., & Godbey, G. 1991. A hierarchical model of leisure constraints. *Leisure Sciences*, *13*(4), 309-320. doi:doi.org/10.1080/01490409109513147
- Hung, K., & Petrick, J. 2012. Testing the effects of congruity, travel constraints, and self-efficacy on travel intentions: An alternative decision-making model. *Tourism Management*, 33, 855-867. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2011.09.007
- Jang, S., Bai, B., & Hu, C et al. 2009. Affect, travel motivation and travel intention: A senior market. *Journal of Hospitality* & *Tourism Research*, 33, 51-73.
- Jumlah kunjungan wisman ke Indonesia Januari 2020 mencapai 1.27 juta kunjungan. Badan Pusat Statistik. Retrieved Mei 10, 2020, from https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2020/03/02/1712/jumla h-kunjungan-wisman-ke-indonesia-januari-2020-mencapai-1-27-juta-kunjungan-.html
- Kattiyapornpong, U., & Miller, K. 2009. Socio-demographic constraints to travel behavior. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 3(1), 81-94. doi:DOI 10.1108/17506180910940360
- Khan, M., Chelliah, S., & Ahmed, S. 2019. Intention to visit India among potential travellers: Role of travel motivation, perceived travel risks, and travel constraints. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 19(3), 351–367. doi:10.1177/1467358417751025
- Nunkoo, R., & Ramkissoon, H. 2010. Gendered Theory of planned behavior and residents' support for tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism, 13*, 525-540.
- Nyaupane, G., & Andereck, K. 2007. Understanding travel constraints: Application and extension of a leisure constraints model. *Journal of Travel Research*, 20(40), 1-7. doi:10.1177/0047287507308325
- Prayag, G., & Ryan, C. 2012. Antecedents of tourists' loyalty to Mauritius: The role and influence of destination image, plave attachment, personal involvement, and satisfaction. *Journal of Travel research*, 51, 342-356.
- Silva, O., & Correia, A. 2008. Facilitators and constraints in leisure travel participation: The case of the southeast of Portugal. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 2(1), 25-43.
- Statistik Wisatawan Nusantara 2018. 2019. Badan Pusat Statistik. Retrieved May 10, 2020, from https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2019/07/02/5249c2b645 e21291b51dfc1a/statistik-wisatawan-nusantara-2018.html
- Walker, G., & Virden, R. 2005. Constraints on outdoor recreation. (E. Jackson, Ed.) Constraints to Leisure, 201-219.
- Wu, C. 2015. Foreign tourists' intentions in visiting leisure farms. (Elsevier, Ed.) *Journal of Business Research*, 68(4), 757-762.
- Zuhriyah, D. 2019. Kemenpar Bidik 275 Juta Pergerakan Wisatawan Nusantara Tahun Ini. bisnis.com. Retrieved from

https://ekonomi.bisnis.com/read/20190910/12/1146568/ke menpar-bidik-275-juta-pergerakan-wisatawan-nusantaratahun-ini