

ijoem4

by Saarce Hatane

Submission date: 04-Mar-2021 05:55AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1523549233

File name: icd_earning_forecast_edit_revision_4.edited.docx (81.78K)

Word count: 9699

Character count: 57414

Voluntary Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Earnings Forecast in IMT-GT Countries' Pharmaceuticals Sector

42

Abstract

Purpose - This study examines the role of intellectual capital disclosure (ICD) in earnings forecasting by analysts in the pharmaceutical industry in emerging countries, particularly in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. This study also examines the role of each component of the ICD on analysts' forecasts, which consists of errors of forecasted earnings, the standard deviation of forecasted earnings, and analyst

27

Design/methodology/approach - Panel data analysis is conducted using a sample of 17 companies from pharmaceutical industries in IMT-GT, which are listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX), Malaysia Stock Exchange (MYX), and Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) from 2010 to 2017. Primary data is obtained from Bloomberg and Annual report, where they are being analyzed to measure the intellectual capital disclosure & gather the control variables.

Findings - The results indicate that the three components of Intellectual Capital Disclosure (ICD), namely Human capital disclosure (HCD), structural capital disclosure (SCD), and relational capital disclosure (RCO), significantly influence analysts' consensus recommendations and analysts' earnings forecast errors. However, the findings show a significant positive influence of relational capital disclosure (RCO) on analysts' earnings forecast error. In contrast, human capital disclosure (HCD) and structural capital disclosure (SCD) have an insignificant impact.

Practical implications - Transparency in disclosing activities related to external parties is essential for the pharmaceutical industry. It is found that relational capital disclosure is the only ICD indicator that can strengthen analysts' profit predictions. Transparency about company activities in maintaining customer satisfaction and activities related to strategic alliances with other organizations are two critical things that can help the accuracy of earnings forecasting from analysts in pharmaceutical companies.

Originality/value - This study contributes to ICD-related research by discussing the financial analysts' response to the voluntary disclosure in the pharmaceutical industry, particularly in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. The selected observation period is whole years, starting one year after the public financial crisis. The results showed that the disclosure of IC is not an existing thing for financial analysts in forecasting current earnings. Financial analysts are more interested in errors than the previous years' estimates.

Keywords Intellectual Capital Disclosure, Earnings Forecast, Pharmaceuticals Industry, IMT-GT

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

A major financial and economic crisis, also known as the Global Financial Crisis, has happened in one of the economic giants, the United States, in 2007-2009. This unfortunate event was due to high-risk loan problem provided by US Banks to borrowers with poor credit history for a mortgage (Annunzio & Beltratti, 2010). As a result, many banks and investors experienced a significant loss, causing them to stop taking a risk, thereby making the credit market freezing. Since then, the decreasing confidence in the credit market led to a decline in economic growth, and many countries entered a recession from the second half of 2008 (Crotty, 2009).

The recession in developed countries had also been delivering a damaging impact on the emerging countries, including countries in South-East Asia. The emerging countries needed to stabilize their economies, firstly, they do not have excess funds for investment. The decline in loans and investments was a primary reason the emerging countries were impacted severely, considering their high dependency on loans for development assistance (Hidayat, 2010). Companies might not bear the high cost of voluntary disclosure during financial crisis periods due to reduced profitability and competitive costs. Thus, companies will diminish voluntary

disclosure after the financial crisis (Haj & Ghazzal, 2012). However, voluntary disclosure in the pharmaceutical sector is relatively needed to increase the competitive advantages, particularly in high research and development activities (Gray & Skogvold, 2004).

68

Indonesia (Malaysia, Thailand – Growth Triangle (IMT-GT) relationship) is chosen to represent the condition of emerging countries' economies in the particular study, as it is believed to be the pioneer in developing a bilateral agreement between countries in ASEAN. Also, the robustness growth of "IMT-GT" might positively influence all ASEAN members (Awanis, 2010). The pharmaceuticals are such an existing industry to be discussed due to the high valuation of intangible capital, including patents creation, the capitalization of R&D, and advertising (Purcell, 2010).

The problem is that intellectual capital disclosure has possessed high voluntary disclosure levels. From disclosing intellectual capital tends to be voluntary. Therefore, companies have the option to disclose or not to disclose it (Vatav et al., 2011). However, the problem can be solved if the company realizes the advantages of disclosing its intellectual capital in the published annual reports. According to Karim (2017), disclosing intellectual capital helps companies reduce information asymmetry between firms and provide stock price. Besides, intellectual capital disclosure could also improve transparency in the company's management due to more intangible asset disclosures than tangible assets (Hataie et al., 2018). Therefore, it provides a chance for stakeholders, including investors, to evaluate the company's prospects and the firm's value. It explains the study's objective, which is to verify whether intellectual capital disclosure impacts the earnings forecast that the analysts had on a firm.

There are several streams of articles that explicitly discuss the impact of disclosure on earnings forecasting. Most of them discuss corporate disclosure in general, and some of them specifically discuss corporate social disclosure. Therefore, this study gives additional value to research topics of intellectual capital disclosure and earnings forecasting. In this study, the analysts' earnings forecast is measured using three measurements: average analysts' consensus recommendation, earnings forecast dispersion, and earnings forecast error in listed pharmaceutical firms in the IMT-GT countries. This study finds that the intellectual capital disclosure components have mixed results regarding the analysts' earnings forecast. Human capital disclosure (HCD), structural capital disclosure (SCD), and relational capital disclosure (PCD) significantly influence average analysts' consensus recommendation and analysts' earnings forecast dispersion. However, relational capital disclosure (PCD) shows a significant negative influence towards analysts' earnings forecast error. In contrast, human capital disclosure (HCD) and structural capital disclosure (SCD) have an insignificant influence. The significant negative relationship between PCD and earnings forecast error means that the more disclosure made by the company, the lesser the error would be in the earnings forecast made by analysts for firms. Besides, the insignificant result in average analysts' consensus recommendation and earnings forecast dispersion recommendation might be caused by the fact that analysts in pharmaceuticals in IMT-GT still consider more financial performance aspects rather than non-financial.

The rest of this study is structured as follows: literature review and development of hypotheses in Section 2, Section 3 presents the data and research methodology adopted in this study, results, discussion, and practical implications are presented in Section 4 and finally closed by concluding remarks and limitations and suggestions for future research in Section 5.

2. Literature review and hypothesis

2.1 Intellectual Capital Disclosure

Intellectual Capital Disclosure (ICD) is a report intended to convey the information needed by the information users who do not have the authority to report completion to meet their needs for information about intellectual capital (Adeyemi, 2011). Also, Intellectual capital disclosure can be approved as additional information (Yuliana, 2018) because these disclosures add complete information to company reports (Bruggen, 2009). Ong & Gersh (2017) also said that this disclosure could reduce information asymmetry by becoming a communication tool between companies and their stakeholders. Disclosure of intellectual capital can also increase investment trust because more information is available to the public (Bruggen, 2009). Furthermore, Ham & Lim (2017) and intellectual capital disclosure is involved in intellectual market (Ham, 2010). The reason is that capital market players look for market performance indicators that standard is to be accepted among companies, even though they often disagree in some characteristics. These capital market players do not pay attention to the statistical method to intellectual capital performance indicators and the legal position in the intellectual capital report. (Sulistiawati, 2022) suggest that analysis of ICD disclosure in the annual report should provide insight into intellectual capital performance functions, particularly those related to capital market valuation. Because every information disclosed in the annual report aims to firm performance of its firm value, the information presented must provide the best visualization for investors and analysts to use the information to estimate the company's value creation.

Andrews & Gerstina (2016), Bontis (2010), Al-Jalali & Jofan (2011), Refren (2011), Zambon (2015), Gunne et al. (2013) states that in general intellectual capital is categorized in three part, human capital, structural capital, and relational capital. Human capital is often referred to as employee competence (Hyberg et al., 2016) and is often associated with individuals (Teong, 2005). Nowadays, companies are encouraged to pay more attention to their employees' ability and quality to react to the industry's transformation (Tanjung et al., 2018). Human capital is the intelligence, skills, and expertise of human resource that provide more value to the company (Goris, 2011). Human capital is a total of competencies, skills, innovation, behavior, commitment, wisdom, and experience of the company's workforce (Ghaeta et al., 2017).

Structure Capital is also often referred to as internal capital (Teong, 2005) or organizational capital (Obaidat et al., 2017). Structural Capital is the company's ability to face external and internal challenges (Gogan, 2015). Structural Capital comprises infrastructure, information system, procedure, and company's culture (Gogan, 2015), connection, policy, and other abilities possessed by the company, which will be developed to meet the market's demand (Zangasemahat, 2020). Structural Capital is believed to be something that the firm possesses (Tanjung et al., 2018).

Relational Capital is also often called and referred to as external capital or relation capital (Hatchem, 2015), which is related to parties' relationships outside the organization, along with loyalty, market share, and level of trust (Zengermeier, 2006). Boritz (2010) says that relational capital is capital regarding corporate relationships with stakeholders outside the company, such as customers, distribution channels, business collaboration, franchise agreements, and other external parties' activities.

Four studies propose that intellectual capital disclosure failure could worsen the information asymmetry, resulting in the company's misvaluation (Lee et al., 2014). It may lead to higher capital costs (Berlye et al., 2016) since the mis-evaluation of assets value written on the balance sheet related to the intellectual capital (Guthrie, 2014). As a result, it may cause the firm's stocks to be less liquid (Brooke & Farnan, 2011). On the other side, intellectual capital disclosure can provide hierarchical information for the investors relating to uncertainty in firm's profitability, and help calculate firm value accurately (Hilary et al., 2018). Investors have an interest in the earnings forecast of the company. They want to get the security for their investment by attempting the factual and reliable information about the company, including the intangible assets disclosure (Shahmoradian, 2011) and the recommendation from the financial analyst about the earnings forecasting method, forecasting future income growth is believed to be the primary key factor in prioritizing long-term share investing mechanisms (Farran et al., 2012).

Most researcher on intellectual capital disclosure were using the annual report as the media. This study adopted the items used by Yan (2017) and Cabrera (2017) to measure the intellectual capital disclosure variable. Disclosure categories and formats are highly dependent on the level of impression from management. Considering that the qualitative disclosure format is better than not disclosing, this study only used the number of items disclosed without distinguishing the quantitative and qualitative categories in the form of disclosure. This study also did not check intellectual capital information on the website, as Cabrera (2017) did. The consideration is that the disclosure on the website can only be measured in the most recent year. This study carried out observations over several years and three countries with different languages, so the relevant source for use is the annual report. Therefore, the two journal' extraction results are 50 disclosure items consisting of 21 HCD items, 24 SCI items, and 25 RCI items. It gives 1 to each point if the statement item is shown in the annual report and zero if not. It is suggested as follows and applies to all the three indicators of intellectual capital disclosure.

$$ICD = \frac{\sum X}{M}$$

(11)

Explanation:

ICD = Company's Intellectual Capital Disclosure

X = Disclosed criterion value (value is 1 if disclosed, value is 0 if not disclosed)

M = The maximum quantity of items that the company should disclose

2.2 Earnings Forecast

The progress theory supports the forecasting of the firm's earnings' time by the securities analysts. Progress theory indicates the efficacy of predicting future events, such as future profitability, using past or present event factors. The analysts use two approaches when analyzing the company, which is through technical or fundamental analysis. Technical analysts usually start with a chart, and they believe that the company's stock price already incorporates all the relevant information (Ariyadani, 2013). Contrary, fundamental analysts try to regulate the company's valuation by acknowledging its profit or loss statement, financial position statement, and statement of cash flows. The stock price does not accurately reflect all the possible information (Ekanes et al., 2013). In addition to the available financial information that both analysts type base their result, they also use access qualitative information from the managers. Prior studies have advised that analysts can build more precise progress properties than a statistical model merely based on a few quantitative information and access to qualitative information (Brown, 2002).

Earnings Forecast is the financial analysts' estimate for a company's quarterly or annual earnings per share (EPS) (Brachman, 2018). A financial analyst is a professional individual or firm in evaluating the financial and investment information, mainly selling, buying, or holding securities. The financial analysts research the economic condition to determine the most accurate stock recommendations in various business sectors for society to invest in (Sriat, 2018).

In this study, the earnings forecast is acting as the dependent variable and is divided into three properties: earnings forecast error, earnings forecast dispersion, and average analysts' consensus recommendation (Mantol et al., 2016).

The Forecast Error (F_ERROR) is calculated as the absolute result of the difference between actual earnings per share (EPS) and the forecasted EPS divided by the actual EPS (Jones, 2007). Due to the integral use of earnings estimates, most financial analysts tend to overestimate earnings leading to biased and error information. Financial practitioners often rely on the validity of past analysts' forecast information to predict the future result, which consistently contributes more to the earnings forecast error (Myrskog & Wright, 2008).

$$F_{error} = \left| \frac{Actual\ EPS - Forecasted\ EPS}{Actual\ EPS} \right|$$

Forecast dispersion (F_DISP) is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of EPS forecasts between analysts by the absolute result of actual EPS (Simpson, 2011). Even though the consensus estimates are the primary data mostly adopted by the market participants, it loses its relevancy regarding the forecasts' distribution. For instance, earnings forecast dispersion is often perceived as an indicator of leading behaviour between the analysts (Ho, 2011).

$$F_{loss} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\text{Forecasted EPS} - \text{Actual EPS})^2}}{\text{Actual EPS}}$$

15

The average consensus recommendation (AV_CONS_REC) is measured through accumulation the analysts' suggestions into a number scale from 3 (buy), 2 (hold), to 1 (sell), and the average is being taken (Mastouf et al., 2016). The analysts' earnings forecast is often gathered to generate average analyst consensus estimates. It would then be heavily relied on by the public investors to determine their stock investment choice (Onine and Liu, 2017).

2.3 Control Variables

The control variable is a variable that is stable in highlighting the relationship between the independent and dependent variables (Yam, 2016). This study's control variables are the lagged year, analyst coverage, firm size, profitability, and growth opportunities.

A lagged year of forecast dispersion (F_DISP), forecast error (F_ERROR), and average analysts consensus recommendation (AV_CONS_REC) of the current year are being utilized as effect-controlling on next year forecast dispersion, forecast error, and average analysts consensus recommendation. Analyst Coverage is defined as the number of analysts examining the following firm (Simpson, 2010). The previous study has shown that higher analyst coverage also indicated greater competition among analysts, causing analysts to perform extensive research for the firm and deliver a more accurate forecasting result (Higgins, 2010). According to prior research (Zhang, 2010), analysts' earnings forecast is more subjective for a firm with losses than a firm with profits. For control, a control variable that gives one of the companies shows negative EPS and otherwise zero for firm loss (Higgins, 2013). Firm Size is the ratio of the market value of equity on the total asset. Prior studies advocate that analysts make a better forecast for larger firms (Hope, 2000). Firm Profitability is also controlled for explaining the analysts' distraction to these strong characters. (Simpson, 2010). Firm Profitability is measured through the firm's return on assets (ROA). Growth Opportunities is also controlled for explaining behavioral explanation on analysts to these company characteristics (Simpson, 2010). For Growth Opportunities, it is derived from dividing the equity market value by the book value.

2.4 Hypotheses Development

The previous studies have advised that securities analysts have put so much dependency on the companies' disclosed information to calculate their future income (Higgins, 2010). Besides, analysts will care about their forecast accuracy as it is believed by the crucial dimension that sets off their quality (Lambert, 2004), value their reputation (Jackson, 2014), and is strongly related to the likelihood of promotion (Christyberg & Healy, 2011). Thus, if the voluntary disclosure serves relevant information and data for the firm's prospects and wealth creation ability, the analysts' forecast accuracy will be enhanced with more intellectual capital disclosure (Günther et al., 2015). As Moursem et al. (2004) studied, intellectual capital disclosure can be used as an

internal management, but to communicate the private externally, which is a knowledge-based strategy. The progresser theory indicates that the analysts can construct more accurate proposals than a statistical model based on a few quantitative information and have access to qualitative information. Hence, it is believed that voluntary disclosure has a negative association toward the error in analysts' income forecasts. The more the disclosure is, the lesser the error in the analyst's earnings forecast. This notion is further supported by Chen & Lyson (2010) that researched non-financial information used by the sell-side financial analysts. They argued that the sell-side analysts who used non-financial information have better earnings forecast accuracy. Besides, research conducted in Taiwan's high-tech industries had proof that firm-specific disclosures on intellectual capital have a negative association with the error of firm revenue forecast (Hsu & Chang, 2011). Drake (2012) stated that a firm non-financial report's publication could reduce analysts' earnings forecast error. Some prior studies argued that the firm's voluntary disclosure negatively impacts the analysts' error of earnings forecast, however, some researchers also believe that the diverging information quality possessed by voluntary disclosure could exert an erroneous impact on the accuracy of analysts' earnings forecast. It means that the voluntary disclosure may reduce the error in the analyst's earnings forecast (Leng, 2016). Besides, Jones (2007) discovered a restricted effect on the research development and disclosure on the precision negatively impacts behavior based on the discussion and analysis, the study first hypothesis is:

H1(a): Human capital disclosure has a negative association with the error in analysts' earnings forecast.

H1(b): Structural capital/disclosure has a negative association with the error of analysts' earnings forecast.

H1(c): Relational capital disclosure has a negative association with the error in analysts' earnings forecast.

The analysts' earnings forecast dispersion is the dispute between the analysts regarding the firm's earnings per share (EPS) (Athanassiou and Kallmeyer, 2005). Indeed, the difference in information will lead to scattered in the analysts' earnings forecast. Each individual will have entry to diverse private data compared to the available one in public. While the information asymmetry exists between these individuals, increased voluntary disclosure is believed to reduce their forecast dispersion due to access to similar information sources (Gunter et al., 2015). The stakeholder theory also supports this notion that disclosing intellectual capital could reduce information asymmetry and encourage stakeholders' rights. Besides, a study in firm specific disclosure provides evidence that voluntary disclosure of non-financial assets has a negative connection to the dispersion data in earnings forecast analysis (Hsu & Chang, 2011). However, the diverse analysts' forecasting models' differences might cause higher dispersion in the earnings forecast. Each individual has a unique forecasting model, even if the same public and private information are available. Hence, an increased voluntary disclosure could contribute to the increased dispersion of earnings forecast, as each analyst could get different findings from the same information. Therefore, while analysts' forecasting models vary, the increased voluntary disclosure is positively related to the analysts' earnings forecast dispersion (Gunter et al., 2015). Moreover, Athanassiou and Kallmeyer (2005) argued that a firm's stock with high earnings forecast dispersion tend to possess low returns relative to low forecast dispersion. Although there are contradicting arguments, we are likely to believe that the negative association is logical. Therefore, based on the above discussion and analysis, the second hypothesis of this study is:

H2(a): Human capital disclosure has a negative association with the dispersion of earnings forecasts analysis.

H2(b): Structural capital disclosure has a negative association with the dispersion in earnings forecasts analysis.

H2(c): Relational capital disclosure has a negative association with the dispersion in earnings forecasts analysis.

The analysts' mean recommendation agreement is the analysts' combined estimates in public companies' earnings (Majumdar, 2013). In other words, the analysts provide the data for the company's earnings per share (EPS) and revenue based on the quarter or annual period analysis. The average analysts' consensus recommendation refers to their perspectives on the companies' related stocks. The analysts' argument would be like the following: buy, hold, or sell. Therefore, stocks with a large average consensus recommendation are perceived as the stocks with the best consensus suggestion. In contrast, stocks with small average consensus recommendations are considered the stocks with the least helpful consensus suggestion (Kim et al., 2017). The signaling theory suggests that the company's positive signals through disclosures (i.e., non-financial disclosure) could increase investors' confidence and consensus in analysts' stocks recommendations.

Furthermore, as Mourouze et al. (2004) mentioned, intellectual capital disclosure as part of non-financial disclosure becomes an essential tool in today's organizations to deliver a positive signal to the public. It is believed ICD can cover the limitation of financial statements by providing information about how intellectual resources create future value. Therefore, the increased voluntary disclosure could decrease the information asymmetry level, which could boost the possibility of analysts' most attractive consensus suggestions. It is because more disclosure means a reduction in the firms' earnings uncertainty. Decreased uncertainty will lead to more agreement on the company's stock recommendation. Therefore, the disclosure of intellectual capital has a positive association with the feasibility of the analysts' latest consensus recommendation (Gatherer et al., 2016). Based on the above discussion and analysis, the third hypothesis of this analysis is:

H3(a): Human capital disclosure has a positive association with the feasibility of the most pleasing consensus recommendation.

H3(b): Structural capital disclosure has a positive association with the feasibility of the most pleasing consensus recommendation.

H3(c): Relational capital disclosure has a positive association with the feasibility of the most pleasing consensus recommendation.

36

3. Research methodology

3.1 Sample

To achieve the study's aim, panel data regression that uses crossed time series data by utilizing Gretl software is done by collecting secondary data, testing the hypothesis, and identifying correlation. The sample time involve listed pharmaceuticals companies in MY-ST countries listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange, Malaysia Stock Exchange, and Thailand's Stock Exchanges from 2010 until 2017. The pharmaceuticals sector in MY-ST are chosen because they have a high valuation of intangibles, particularly in the research and development activities, and represent the economic condition in emerging countries under cooperation

development with IMT-GT financial impact of the global financial crisis.⁶ This study uses all secondary data sufficiently provided by annual reports, Bloomberg, and other reliable sources.

Table 1 The sample observation.

Criteria	No. of Observation
Total of listed pharmaceutical companies in IMT-GT within 2010-2017	(3)
Companies with the incomplete annual report within the period in Indonesia	(0)
Companies with an incomplete annual report within the period in Malaysia	(0)
2 Companies with an incomplete annual report within the period in Thailand	(2)
Total companies as the population	(5)
Total planned observation period (in years)	8
Trimmed period (2) to the existence of a lagged variable	(1)
Total observation period (in years)	7
The total sample used in this research ⁷ (12.5%)	110

Table 1 shows that the sample observation, which suits the criteria of this research, is 110 800-year observations from 2010 until 2016.²

3.2 Measures

Dependent variable. This measuring the analysts' earnings forecast divided into three variables consists of earnings forecast dispersion, earnings forecast error, and average analyst consensus recommendation. The following year Forecast dispersion (F_DISP_{t+1}) will be measured through the standard deviation of the coded EPS from analysts, divided by the absolute actual EPS year (Gompers, 2010). The following year Forecast error (F_ERROR_{t+1}) is calculated as the absolute result from the difference between actual earnings per share (EPS) and the forecasted EPS and then divided by the actual EPS value (Jorion, 2007). The following year Average consensus recommendation (ACR_REC_{t+1}) will be measured through accumulating the existing analyst's suggestions into a value from 1 (buy), 2 (hold), to 3 (sell), and the average is being taken (Mastrol et al., 2010).⁴⁸

Independent variable. Intellectual capital disclosure is divided into three variables consist of human capital disclosure (HCD), structural capital disclosure (SCD), and intellectual capital disclosure (ICD). All three components have the same formula. The difference would be in the maximum number of items to be disclosed in the ICD index list. The formula is explained below:³

Intellectual Capital Disclosure = Items disclosed in the annual report / maximum number of disclosure items that should be disclosed and finally multiply by 100%.

Control variables. This study's control variable is the lagged year, analysts' coverage, firm size, profitability, and growth opportunities. A lagged year of forecast dispersion (F_DISP_{t-1}), forecast error (F_ERROR_{t-1}), and average analyst consensus recommendation (ACR_REC_{t-1}) of the current year will be utilized as effect-making of next year forecast dispersion, forecast error, and average analyst consensus recommendation. Analyst Coverage (AN_COV) is defined as the number of analysts examining the following firm (Gompers, 2010). Firm Loss will be measured through a controlling variable that gives 1 for companies with negative EPS and 0 (zero) for the positive EPS (Haggler, 2013). Firm Size is derived from the division between the market

equity value to the total assets (Hope, 2003). Firm profitability is measured through the firm's return-on assets (ROA). Growth Opportunity will be derived from the market value of equity divided by the book value

Table 1 Variable lists and sources of the data.

Variables	Definitions
Human Capital Disclosure (HCD)	Human capital items disclosed by the company
Structural Capital Disclosure (SCD)	Structural capital items disclosed by the company
Relational Capital Disclosure (RCD)	Relational capital items disclosed by the company
Forecast Error (F_ERROR _{t+1})	Next year's absolute value of the difference between actual and forecasted EPS divided by actual EPS
Forecast Dispersion (F_DISP _{t+1})	Next year's standard deviation of forecasted EPS
Average Analysts' Consensus Recommendation (AvCONS_REC _{t+1})	Next year of average analyst's suggestion aggregation
Lagged Forecast Error (F_ERROR)	Current year's absolute value of the difference between actual and forecasted EPS divided by actual EPS
Lagged Forecast Dispersion (F_DISP)	Current year's standard deviation of forecasted EPS
Lagged Average Analysts' Consensus Recommendation (AvCONS_REC)	Current year of average analyst's suggestion aggregation
Analysts Coverage (AN_COV)	The number of analysts examining the following firm
Firm Loss (LOSS)	A controlling variable that gives one for companies with negative Earnings and zero for those who do not
Firm Size (SIZE)	The market value of equity over the total asset of the firm
Firm Profitability (PROF)	The net income over the total asset of the firm (ROA)
Firm Growth Opportunity (GR_OFF)	The market-to-book value of equity ratio

3.1 Model

This study will first explore whether the three intellectual capital disclosures (ICD) components affect analysts' earnings forecast properties. A detailed examination is conducted to see the correlation between the characteristics and disclosures. Regression models are formulated as follows:

$$F_ERROR_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 HCD_{i,t} + \beta_2 SCD_{i,t} + \beta_3 RCD_{i,t} + \beta_4 F_ERROR_{i,t} + \beta_5 AN_COV_{i,t} + \beta_6 LOSS_{i,t} + \beta_7 SIZE_{i,t} + \beta_8 PROF_{i,t} + \beta_9 GR_OFF_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t} \quad (4)$$

$$F_DISP_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 HCD_{i,t} + \beta_2 SCD_{i,t} + \beta_3 RCD_{i,t} + \beta_4 F_DISP_{i,t} + \beta_5 AN_COV_{i,t} + \beta_6 LOSS_{i,t} + \beta_7 SIZE_{i,t} + \beta_8 PROF_{i,t} + \beta_9 GR_OFF_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t} \quad (5)$$

$$AvCONS_REC_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 HCD_{i,t} + \beta_2 SCD_{i,t} + \beta_3 RCD_{i,t} + \beta_4 AvCONS_REC_{i,t} + \beta_5 AN_COV_{i,t} + \beta_6 LOSS_{i,t} + \beta_7 SIZE_{i,t} + \beta_8 PROF_{i,t} + \beta_9 GR_OFF_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t} \quad (6)$$

- 3.1.1
- F_ERROR_{t+1} = Error in earnings forecast analysis for year t+1
 - F_DISP_{t+1} = Dispersion in earnings forecast analysis for year t+1
 - AvCONS_REC_{t+1} = The mean of consensus suggestions from analysts for year t+1
 - F_ERROR_t = Error in earnings forecast analysis for year t
 - F_DISP_t = Dispersion in earnings forecast analysis for year t
 - AvCONS_REC_t = The mean of consensus suggestions from analysts for year t

HCA	= Human Capital Disclosure for year t
SCD	= Structural Capital Disclosure for year t
RCO	= Relational Capital Disclosure for year t
AN_COV	= Analyst coverage for year t
LOSS	= Company size for year t
SIZE	= Company size for year t
PROF	= Company profitability for year t
OP_OPP	= Company growth opportunities for year t
ϵ	= the residual
β_0	= constant of the linear regression
$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6$	= regression coefficient of each variable

t denoted periods

Validity and Reliability

Assessing the classical assumption test in the regression model is crucial. The reliability of variables must be conducted by examining the collinearity variance inflation factor (VIF) values. A multicollinearity test is performed to examine the linear correlation between independent variables and the regression model. Besides, a high correlation between the independent variables will cause a disturbance that threatens data reliability (Okinaka, 2012). VIFs must be less than 10 in a more relaxed criterion (Woodridge, 2012). As pictured below in Table IV, all variables have passed the multicollinearity.

Table III Collinearity Test

Full Collinearity VIFs	HCA	SCD	RCO	F_EMP OWN	F_OPP	AN_COV RBC	LOSS CV	SIZE	PROF	OP_OPP	
Model 1	1.272	1.741	1.623	1.738	—	—	1.272	2.9	2.42	3.021	1.239
Model 2	1.35	1.741	1.627	—	1.058	—	1.375	2.945	2.423	3.024	1.322
Model 3	1.348	1.749	1.7	—	—	1.449	3.069	2.912	2.425	3.026	1.325

Source: Author's compilation

Heteroskedasticity is another situation when the variances of errors are not the same with all observations (Woodridge, 2012). Heteroskedasticity is might become a problem in a study. When the p-value is less than 5%, the regression is the model contains heteroskedasticity. If there is a heteroskedasticity issue, the weighted least square must be conducted to overcome the problem. As shown below in Table IV, the first and second regression models have a heteroskedasticity issue.

Table IV Heteroskedasticity Test

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
p-value	0.000051 (Hetero)	0.000007 (Hetero)	0.925 (Homo)

Source: Author's compilation

Panel Diagnostics is used to determine the regression model used in this research. Panel Diagnostics yield Fixed Effect estimator and Hausman Test. The panel diagnostics results are shown in Table V. From the table below, the output indicates that the fixed-effect is suitable for the model. However, the previous test shows that the

regression models have a heteroscedasticity issue. It means that a fixed effect cannot be used. Therefore, to overcome heteroscedasticity, this study applied the Weighted Least Squares model (WLS).

Table 5: Y Panel Test

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
F-test Statistic	0.0000000	0.0471	0.0000
	OLS	Fixed effect	Fixed effect
Brausch-Pagan test	0.0000000	0.143387	0.001951
	OLS	OLS	OLS
Hausman test	6.02149e-005	3.00409e-005	1.16044e-005
	Fixed effect	Fixed effect	Fixed effect
Conclusion	OLS	Fixed effect	Fixed effect

Source: Author's compilation

6 Research Results and Analysis

6.1 Sample Descriptive

Table VI provides each variable's descriptive statistics, including the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation values.

Table VI Descriptive statistics

Variable	Mean	Min	Max	Standard Deviation
HCD	0.51	0.19	0.95	0.15
SCD	0.41	0.21	0.75	0.14
ROD	0.79	0.44	0.95	0.19
ICD	0.60	0.37	0.85	0.19
F_ERROR	0.00	0	14.98	1.01
F_ERROR+1	0.00	0	14.98	1.00
F_DISP	2.87	0.00	93.60	11.17
F_DISP+1	3.29	0.10	93.60	11.07
AWCONS_REC	0.02	0.00	3.01	1.29
AWCONS_REC+1	1.04	0.00	3.00	1.30
AN_COV	1.82	0.00	27.00	4.82
LOSS	0.09	0.00	3.00	0.37
SIZE	1.09	0.59	11.80	1.09
PROF	4.10	-10.39	45.36	8.79
GR_DPP	4.12	4.48	184.35	17.30

Source: Author's compilation

In Table VI, the HCD as an independent variable shows an average value of 0.51 and a standard deviation of 0.15. It means that the average disclosure for human capital items in pharmaceutical companies in 2017-2021 is 51%, which is slightly above 50%. A standard deviation of 15% means that the annual reports' HCD data do not disperse much. A low standard deviation indicates that most of the data numbers are very close to the

average. The minimum value of 0.19 is obtained from TSPC Indonesia in 2010, the maximum value of 0.96 is obtained from INAP Indonesia in 2015.

In comparison, SCC has an average value of 0.37 and a standard deviation of 0.11. As much as HCD, the average value in SCC is relatively higher, above 50%, and indicates that the pharmaceutical companies in IMT-GT have disclosed as much as 37% on average for the structural capital items, such as the company's vision, mission, R&D, ethical codes in their annual reports. The minimum value is 0.21 belongs to AHEALTH Malaysia in 2010 and 2011, respectively, and the maximum value is 0.75 belongs to HSC Indonesia in the year 2014-2016. Besides, as the last independent disclosure variable, RCD has an average value of 0.70 and a standard deviation of 0.13. The average disclosure for relational capital items by pharmaceutical companies in IMT-GT is the highest compared to HCD and SCC, 70% on average. The minimum value of 0.43 is derived from PFPA, Indonesia in the year 2010 and 2011 consecutively.

On the other hand, the maximum value of 0.86 belongs to INAP, and MEPS in Indonesia, for 2015 and S&I in Thailand for 2015 and 2016. The maximum value even reached up to 80%, which is the highest than any other disclosure items made in the market and relational capital. Also, ICD, as the accumulative independent disclosure variable, has an average value of 0.60 and standard deviation of 0.10. The minimum value of 0.37 is obtained from one company in Malaysia, CCMBIO, in 2010. In contrast, the maximum value of 0.82 is detected only in one company in Indonesia, INAP, when 2015.

4.2 Hypothesis and Research Result

Table VII Panel regression result summary

Independent variables	Dependent Variables		
	F_EFFICI1	F_DISP1	ACCONE_REC1
Constant	0.05987	0.5847	0.9468
HCD	0.0160	-0.1400	0.0948
SCC	0.4619	-0.4410	1.1168
RCD	-0.2030*	-0.0592	0.0800
F_ERROR	0.2541***	-	-
F_DISP	-	0.0116***	-
ACCONE_REC	-	-	0.3118***
AN_COV	-0.0090	-0.0021	0.0463*
LOSS	0.8245	5.6362***	-0.1610
SIZE	0.0146	-0.1034	-0.0749
PROF	-0.0060*	-0.0022	0.0014
_DPP	0.0052	-0.0256	-0.0011
Adjusted R-Square	0.0211	0.2408	0.3503

Note: statistical significance at the following levels: *** = 1% (highly significant), ** = 5% (significant), * = 10% (weakly significant)

Source: Author's compilation

Each hypothesis is divided into 0, which are a, b, and c. A represents disclosure in human capital assets, b represents disclosure in structural capital assets, and c represents disclosure in relational capital assets.

For hypothesis one, according to the regression results, as shown in Table VII, the relational capital disclosure has a partial negative association with the analysts' earnings forecast error. This negative relation is mainly supported by the relational disclosure component (p-value = 0.0001) at the 10 percent statistical significance level. Simultaneously, other items such as human and structural are not associated with the error in the firm's earnings forecast. Thus, hypothesis 1(a) and 1(b) are rejected while hypothesis 1(c) is accepted. The result of hypothesis 1(c) is consistent with prior studies that believe these disclosure components negatively affect analysts' earnings forecast error (Orens & Lybaert, 2010; Han & Chang, 2011; Dhaliwal, 2012). It is also in line with the grounded theory that states analysts can compose more accurate proposals than a statistical model based on a few quantitative information and access qualitative information. The more intellectual capital items are disclosed, the lesser the error in the analysts' earnings forecast as the comprehensive information, both financial and nonfinancial, is readily available in the annual report.

For hypothesis two, according to the regression results, as illustrated in Table VI, the three components of the intellectual capital disclosure are statistically insignificant on the dispersion in an earnings forecast analysis. Thus, hypotheses 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c) are rejected. The result is contrary to the prior studies that believe these disclosure components negatively affect analysts' earnings forecast dispersion (Christensen et al., 2000; Han & Chang, 2011; Gardner et al., 2016). The finding contradicts the stakeholder theory that disclosing intellectual capital could reduce information asymmetry and encourage stakeholders' rights.

For hypothesis three, based on the regression results, the three indicators of the intellectual capital disclosure are statistically insignificant to the mean of consensus suggestions between the analysts. This finding is inconsistent with previous studies that believe these disclosure components negatively affect average analysts' consensus recommendation (Gardner et al., 2016). The results are also not parallel with the signaling theory that suggests that the company's positive signals through disclosures (i.e., non-financial disclosure) could help increase investors' confidence and consensus in analysts' stock recommendation.

In the first regression model results (Table VII), the outputs depict that the earnings forecast error of the current year, as the control variable, possesses a significant positive association with the firm forecasted revenue error of the subsequent year. It is parallel with studies from Jones (2007) and Simpson (2010). The firm's size and the firm's revenue forecasted error also include a positively significant association. This result is in the same line as previous studies (Ho & Wang, 2005; Dhaliwal, 2012) and suggests that analysts' earnings forecast error has more tendency and not too accurate for companies with issues than profits. Moreover, the results show that the relationship between firm growth opportunities and firm revenue forecasted error is positively significant. Higher overreaction among analysts will lead to a higher probability of error in the analysts' earnings forecast.

The second regression model in Table VI also depicts that the earnings forecast dispersion of the current year and control for these effects next year significantly impact the earnings forecast dispersion in the subsequent year. It is following the research from Jones (2007) and Simpson (2010). The relationship between the firm's size variable and earnings forecast dispersion is positively and statistically associated.

For the third regression model, it shows that the average consensus suggestions of the analysts in the recent year, as the control variable, has a significant positive effect on the average consensus suggestions of the analysts in the subsequent year, which is similar with studies from Jones (2017) and Simpson (2010). Moreover, the relationship between the firm's analyst's coverage variable and average consensus suggestions among the analysts is also positively associated (Simpson, 2010).

5. Managerial Implications

Most intellectual capital disclosures components and analysts' earnings forecasts have an significant relationship. Intellectual capital disclosure is a voluntary disclosure by the company to convey the information needed by the information users who do not have the authority to report compilation to meet their needs for information about intellectual capital. Toward the analysts, these kinds of information could be incorporated when forecasting the firm's earnings and could increase accuracy due to lower forecast error and dispersion. However, for the intellectual capital disclosure, only relational capital disclosure has a significant negative influence toward the earnings forecast error, while human capital and structural capital do not. The intellectual capital disclosure also appears significant toward the earnings forecast dispersion and average analyst's consensus recommendation in this study.

Through this study, the investors may better understand the company's voluntary disclosure and factors that influence analysts' earnings forecast. Therefore, this study is expected to provide useful information for investment decision-making and avoid information asymmetry in the company. While intellectual capital disclosure can give related information to the investors of the company's reliability of profit in the on-going period, financial analysts' forecast on firm earnings is a valuable tool for analyzing the earnings probability in their stock investment option.

This study indicates that the more disclosure of relational capital, the more accurate the analyst's profit forecasting. It implies that analysts in the pharmaceutical industry emphasize information in management reports relating to external parties, such as buyers, investors, the public, and other stakeholders. Producing and selling pharmaceutical products is not only physical products but also information about these products because buyers of pharmaceutical products hope to obtain health and improve quality of life. Pharmaceutical products are products with a slow sales life cycle and are closely related to technological developments. Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand are developing countries that have initiatives in new drug discovery skills. Pharmaceutical companies in developing countries generally establish strategic alliances to gain reengineering skills to have the ability to independently develop new products in producing bulk drugs and ultimately be able to produce active pharmaceutical ingredients. Pharmaceutical company transparency in disclosing its activities with consumers, partners, and other stakeholders signals that it can generate profits and maintain its business sustainability. The quantity and quality of this external relationship information help analysts produce more accurate profit predictions; therefore, investors can make more profitable investment decisions.

8. Conclusion and limitation

This paper aims to examine further the association of intellectual capital disclosure using content analysis tool and the analysts' earnings forecast for 117 annual reports of pharmaceutical companies listed in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand - Growth Triangle (IMT-GT). The period is from 2013-2017. Intellectual capital disclosure (ICD) is being examined in detail based on three components, which are human capital disclosure (HCD), structural capital disclosure (SCD), and relational capital disclosure (RCD). The main earnings forecast analysis follows are average analysts' consensus recommendation, earnings forecast dispersion, and earnings forecast error.

Eventually, several characteristics, such as RCD, are significantly associated with earnings forecast error, as presented in H1 (a). However, some other elements, such as HCD and SCD, are not significant with the earnings forecast error properties. The figures of 0.58 for HCD and 0.57 for SCD are relatively lower than relational capital, which has an average of 70% disclosure in the annual reports of pharmaceutical companies in IMT-GT countries. The minimum disclosure reaches 41% and 21% for disclosure in human capital and structural capital. This is why it means that the human capital disclosure and structural capital disclosure of pharmaceutical companies in IMT-GT countries cannot explain the analysts' earnings forecast error, making an insignificant relationship.

ICD delivers the same insignificant results when associated with the dispersion in the earnings forecast and average analysts' consensus suggestion. Besides, this may suggest that the analysts for pharmaceutical companies in the IMT-GT countries might still consider their analysis based on financial information in the annual report rather than non-financial information (i.e., intellectual capital) determine their earning forecasting result. Although profitability as the financial control variable used in this study is significant, the alpha metric of profitability, which is the return on assets (ROA), could not be justified not to explain the financial information used for observation between the analysts. Besides, analysts might use other financial metrics to see the dispersion in their earnings forecast and recommend favourable stocks for a particular company. It can be leverage, return on equity (ROE), current ratio, and other metrics not covered in this study.

This research contributes to previous studies related to Intellectual Capital (IC), especially in the pharmaceutical sector that has not been put sufficient spotlight, though having high intellectual capital assets, in the IMT-GT countries soon after the global financial crisis happened. This research used several years for observation, thus contributing to previous research that used only a single year in the S&P 500 (Makoul et al., 2016). It can be seen from the highest number of relational capital disclosure compared to other ICD components, the results of this study suggest that pharmaceutical companies are very concerned about their relationships with external parties. Pharmaceutical products are products that are closely related to human health and quality of life. The accuracy of profit forecasting from analysts in the pharmaceutical industry is influenced by the size of the company's relational capital disclosure. The trust of consumers and society in general and partnerships with other organizations are positive signals for pharmaceutical companies' profitability.

Finally, the findings in this study are subject to certain limitations, which can be a reference for improving future research. This study covers a limited time, which is seven years from 2010 to 2017, the observed period after the global financial crisis happened. Future studies might want to study for a more extended period, which might lead to different results. This study observed the pharmaceutical industry in HMT-GT countries categorized as industries with high intellectual capital assets. Therefore, it is possible to earn different results if the study observed other sectors. Several IC measurement methods can be carried out by further research, such as using the word count method, tone, and disclosure through the company's website. These recommendations can enrich the research results in this area of intellectual capital.

References

- Abdullah, D. F., & Sofian, S. (2010). "The relationship between intellectual capital and corporate performance", *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 307-341.
- Alayash, I. (2011). "The relation of intellectual capital disclosure strategies and market value in two political settings", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 319-328.
- Almujferat, H. I. (2013). "An investigation of the role of technical analysis in Kuwait", *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 43-64.
- Andriana, T., & Samaha, T. (2016). "Do all elements of intellectual capital matter for organizational performance? Evidence from Russian context", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 307-312.
- Antonakakis, G., & Kalaypak, M. (2003). "Analyst forecast dispersion and future stock return volatility", *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, Vol. 42 No. 10, pp. 67-78.
- Avianto, E. R. (2016). "Distortion of capacity in inter-regional trade of HMT-GT: study issues on four selected provinces in Sumatra, Indonesia", *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 315-324.
- Battista, S., Ingstrup, E., & Isenhardt, Z. (2013). "Strategy of stock valuation by fundamental analysis", *UTM Journal of Economics*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 43-54.
- Bothe, R. (2010). "Intellectual capital and business performance in the pharmaceutical sector of Jordan", *Management Decision*, Vol. 48 No. 1, pp. 109-121.
- Brown, J., & Rehan, K. (2011). "Off-balance sheet R&D assets and market liquidity", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 97-120.
- Brothman, M. T. (2018). "Analysts' GAAP earnings forecasts and their implications for accounting research", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 66 No. 1, pp. 49-88.
- Brown, P. (2002). "Analyst's dividend forecasts", *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 371-391.
- Bruggen, A. (2009). "Determinants of intellectual capital disclosure: evidence from Australia", *Journal of Management Decision*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 233-245.
- Burn, P. S. (2003). "The relevance of intellectual capital disclosure: a paradox?", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 45-58.

- Baik, P. H., Nisnam, C., Gomesen, P., and Mourilan, J. (2006). "Disclosure of information on Intellectual capital in Danish IPO prospectuses". *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 713-732.
- Bujana, I. M., Liang, H. O., Mantal-Tousuisek, A. K. (2010). "Impact of the economic recession on the pharmaceutical sector". Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/abstracts/174116a> on August 15, 2019.
- Celada, M. d. (2017). "Competitiveness and disclosure of intellectual capital: an empirical research in Portuguese banks". *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 400-509.
- Cheng, H. Y., & Warab, F. (2017). "The quality of sustainability reports and corporate financial performance: evidence from Brazilian listed companies". *Journal of Sustainability Reporting*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 1-9.
- Grady, J. (2009). "Structural causes of the global financial crisis: a critical assessment of the new financial architecture". *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 563-582.
- Chahal, D. S. (2012). "Nonfinancial disclosure and analyst forecast accuracy: International evidence on corporate social responsibility disclosure". *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 87 No. 1, pp. 723-759.
- Feldman, R., Larzel, J., & Zhang, Y. (2012). "Analyst earnings forecast, recommendation, and target price revisions". *The Journal of Portfolio Management Spring*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 120-132.
- Ghosh, D. (2012). "The effect of positive and negative financial and nonfinancial performance measure on analysts' recommendations". *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 47-64.
- Gogan, L. M. (2015). "Structural capital - a proposed measurement model". *Procedia Economics and Finance*, Vol. 23, pp. 1139-1146.
- Gryp, S. J., & Skogvick, K. (2004). "Voluntary disclosures of quoted pharmaceutical companies in Sweden and the UK: the development over the period 1984-89". *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 787-805.
- Gryteberg, B., & Healy, P. M. (2011). "What drives sell-side analyst compensation at high-stakes investment". *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 48 No. 4, pp. 989-1000.
- Gu, F., & Wang, W. (2006). "Triangle issues, information complexity, and analysts' earnings forecast". *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 33 No. 9/10, pp. 1670-1702.
- Günther, F. S. (2013). "The relationship between recognized triangle issues and voluntary intellectual capital disclosure". *Journal of Applied Accounting*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 240-264.
- Guthrie, J., Nocer, F., & Dunne, J. (2012). "Reflections and projections: A decade of intellectual capital accounting research". *The British Accounting Review*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 60-82.
- Haj, A. A., & Ghazali, N. A. (2012). "The influence of the financial crisis on corporate voluntary disclosure: some Malaysian evidence". *International Journal of Disclosure and Compliance*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 101-125.
- Haslam, M. J. (2010). "Effect of intellectual capital on organizational performance". *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 211, pp. 207-214.
- Hayani, S. B., Rendiwan, D., & Tanjung, J. (2018). "Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Audit Components in Non-dominantly Income". *ANU Scientific Management Journal*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 104-140.

- Higgins, H. (2013). "Can securities analysts forecast intangible firms' earnings?" *International Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 155-174.
- Hogg, G. (2003). "Disclosure practices, enforcement of accounting standards, and analysts' forecast accuracy: An international study", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 41 No. 2, pp. 235-272.
- Hsu, W.-H., & Cheng, Y. L. (2011). "Intellectual capital and analyst forecast: evidence from the high-tech industry in Taiwan", *Taylor & Francis Journals*, Vol. 21 No. 10, pp. 1125-1143.
- Hu, C. (2015). "The measurement of analysts' earnings forecast uncertainty", *Journal of Modern Economy*, Vol. 8, pp. 429-435.
- Iannuzzi, E., & Sberio, M. (2016). "Global financial crisis: causes and perspectives", *Euroforum Journal of Business*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 275-297.
- Ivissa, P., & Liu, T. (2017). "A simple explanation for the dispersion anomaly", *Journal of Accounting and Business Research*, pp. 1-34.
- Jackson, A. H. (2001). "Trade generation, reputation, and sell-side analysts", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 873-718.
- Jones, D. A. (2007). "Voluntary disclosure in R&D-intensive industries", *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 489-522.
- Kim, H. S., Park, J., and Park, Y. W. (2017). "Differential informativeness of analyst reports by investor types: evidence from the Korean stock market", *Managerial Finance*, Vol. 43 No. 5, pp. 587-604.
- Lambert, A. R. (2004). "Discussion of analysts' treatment of non-recurring items in street earnings and the function", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 38 No. 1/2, pp. 205-222.
- Lee, B., Rensh, B., & Scragg, T. (2012). "R&D reporting biases and their consequences", *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 22 No. 40, pp. 977-1026.
- Makris, A., Amir, W. B., & Zepher, D. (2016). "Voluntary disclosure of intangibles and analysts' earnings forecasts and recommendations", *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 421-434.
- Moydokus, A. (2013). "A study of analyst forecast reliability in Australia", *Journal of Applied Research in Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 30-48.
- Nairava, V. (2018). "Intellectual Capital Disclosure by the Indian corporate sector", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 19(2), 275-282.
- Nejman, J., Miao, Guo, P., and Nan, B. (2006). "Reporting on intellectual capital: who, what and how?", *Accounting Business Economics*, 8(1), 49-64.
- Nyberg, M., & Winge, W. (2006). "Analysts' earnings forecast accuracy and activity: a literature analysis", *Journal of Business & Economic Research*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 89-96.
- Nyberg, Wright, A. & Potosi. (2010). "10 Years of Human Capital Research: Assessing What We Know, Exploring Where We Go", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 25, pp. 207-255.
- Obeidat, B. Y., Tarhini, A., Masa'deh, R., & Aqad, N. O. (2017). "The impact of intellectual capital on innovation via the mediating role of knowledge management: A structural equation modeling approach", *International Journal of Knowledge Management Studies*, Vol. 8 No. 3-4, pp. 87-98.

- Cross, R. & Lydaert, N. (2010). "Determinants of sell-side financial analysts' use of non-financial information". *Journal of Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 39-53.
- Rahman, W. D. (2011). "Intellectual capital performance and its impact on corporate performance: an empirical evidence from Malaysian sector of Pakistan". *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, Vol. 1 No. 3, pp. 9-18.
- Rusael, M. (2016). "The valuation of pharmaceutical companies". *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 484-509.
- Soni, S., Bhargava, Z., & Vasudevan, G. (2016). "Intangible investments and the cost of equity capital." *The International Journal of Finance*, Vol. 76 No. 2, pp. 2090-2112.
- Shahrestani, M. (2011). "The responsiveness of earnings forecasts, sales forecasts, and cash flow forecasts to stock price forecasts of internet companies." *International Business & Economic Research Journal*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 41-48.
- Simpson, A. (2010). "Analysts' use of nonfinancial information disclosures". *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 249-280.
- Small, R. (2014). *The effect of analysts on the market response to earnings announcements*. University of Iowa, 1-66.
- Tanjung, J., Lutfaluh, S., Harefa, E. E., & Widjaja, D. C. (2019). "The Impacts of Intellectual Capital on Financial Performance: An Evidence From Indonesian Manufacturing Industry". *Indonesian Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 3 No. 1.
- Tsang, C. Y. (2005). "Intellectual capital and corporate value in an emerging economy: empirical study of Taiwanese manufacturers". *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 167-201.
- Valeri, A., Taylor, D., & Ahmed, K. (2011). "The value relevance of intellectual capital disclosures". *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 407-429.
- Vandromer, A., Tuzarocki, M., & W. J. R. Cobb, B. (2003). "Corporate Financial Disclosure Practices and Financial Analyst Forecast Ability Across Three European Countries". *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 249-279.
- Woodruffe, J. (2012). *Introducing economics: a modern approach (5th ed.)*. CGA, Cengage Learning.
- Yan, X. (2017). "Corporate governance and intellectual capital disclosures in CEEC companies". *Market Business Review International*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 3-21.
- York, R. (2018). "Control variables and causal inference: a question of balance". *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, Vol. 21 No. 8, pp. 975-984.
- Zarifian, S. (2015). "Intellectual capital and innovation: A guideline for future research". *Journal of Innovation Economics & Management*, Vol. 2 No. 17, pp. 13-28.
- Zenguaenichat, A. (2009). "The role of structural capital in competitive intelligence". *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 109 No. 2, pp. 250-260.

Appendix

13

Appendix 1. The Items of Human Capital Disclosure

HUMAN CAPITAL (HC)

HC 1 Professional Skill	Qualified Employee	Number of employees per position level (1) Number of employees per job class range (2) Number of employees per job level (3)
	Continuum Training	Number of training hours (4) Training Topics (5) Number of training participants (6)
	Success	Number of new employees (7) Number of promoted employees (8) Number of non-promoted employees (9) Number of smart employees (10)
HC 2 Social Competence	Social/Cultural Activities	Social/cultural activities in the company (11) Social/cultural activities with the community (12)
HC 3 Motivation	Promotions and Incentives	Number of promoted employees (regional, national and international) (13) Incentives and bonus for employees (14) Incentives plan to attract employees (15) Employee's salary increase (16) Employee's satisfaction and attachment (17)
	Stability	Job rotation level (18) Employee's absence level (19) Average employee's age (20) Employee's distribution based on average age (21)

Appendix 2. The Items of Structural Capital Disclosure

		STRUCTURAL CAPITAL (SC)
SC 1 Corporate Culture	Mission and Strategic Objective	Vision, Mission, Strategic Direction (1) Organization's Functional Structure (2) A key practice used in the company (3) Company ethical codes & Declaration of mission or principles (4)
	Operational Objective	Company's management policies (5) Company's performance evaluation system (6) Company's performance indicators (7)
	Development and Assignment	Research and development expertise (8) Existing project on research-development (9)
	Recognition	Formal Recognition in the company and description of the content of the received diploma (10)
SC 2 Internal Cooperation and Transference of Knowledge	Team Work and Cooperation Between Departments	Company's Market share (11) Number of projects (12) Employee's flexibility in working (13) Plans for cooperation management & development of leadership (14) Internal activity to share knowledge (15)
	Sharing of tacit Knowledge	Programs for internal mobility and working flexibility (16)
	Internal/Systems of Communication and Control	Internal communication media (17) External communication media (18) Anti-trust and internal control (19)
SC 3 Technologies of Information and Expert Knowledge	Process and Partnership with Other Companies	Information technology and system (20) Information technology development and communication (21)
	Quality Evaluation	Certification and its impact related (22) Internal evaluation methods and results for goods/services (23) Results of internal evaluation of production (24)

Appendix 3. The Items of Relational Capital Disclosure

RELATIONAL CAPITAL (RC)		
RC 1 Customer Relations	<i>Availability and Clarity of Report</i>	Total branches and location of the branch (1)
		Online Customer service tools (2)
		Other customer service tools (3)
	<i>Group Relations</i>	Online products/services for clients (4)
		The countries' location of company group (5)
		Development offered by companies in a group of companies (6)
	<i>Client Satisfaction</i>	Strategic alliances for the group (7)
		Benefit of clients (8)
		Information about systems for making suggestions and complaints (9)
RC 2 Relations with Business	<i>Future-Oriented Information</i>	Measurement of customer satisfaction (10)
		Company growth (11)
		Problems in the future (12)
RC 3 Relations with Other Stakeholders	<i>Actions on Social and Environmental Issues</i>	Company's supplier network (13)
		Company's distributor network (14)
		Company's organizational connections (15)
<i>Partnerships and Collaboration with Other Organizations</i>	Social responsibility activities and human rights promotion (16)	
	Commitment to green/ethical contracting (17)	
	Description of the company's cooperative relations (18)	
<i>Other Stakeholders</i>	Items of the partnership developed or will be developed (19)	
	Identification of stakeholders (20)	
		Items of communication and company relationships (21)

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

16%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	doi.org Internet Source	3%
2	Saarce Elsy Hatane, Stellania Supangat, Josua Tarigan, Ferry Jie. "Does internal corporate governance mechanism control firm risk? Evidence from Indonesia's three high-risk sectors", Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 2019 Publication	2%
3	www.emeraldinsight.com Internet Source	2%
4	www.majcafe.com Internet Source	1%
5	Saarce Elsy Hatane, Felicia Nathania, Jocelyn Lamuel, Fenny Darusman, Devie. "Intellectual Capital Disclosures and Corporate Governance in Gaining the Firms' Non-Discretionary Profits and Market Value in ASEAN-5", Organizations and Markets in Emerging Economies, 2020	1%

6

Devie Devie, Lovina Pristya Liman, Josua Tarigan, Ferry Jie. "Corporate social responsibility, financial performance and risk in Indonesian natural resources industry", Social Responsibility Journal, 2018

Publication

1 %

7

sfm.finance.nsysu.edu.tw

Internet Source

1 %

8

lup.lub.lu.se

Internet Source

1 %

9

www.digilib.uns.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

10

archive.org

Internet Source

<1 %

11

r-libre.telug.ca

Internet Source

<1 %

12

Pascale Lapointe-Antunes, Denis Cormier, Michel Magnan, Sophie Gay-Angers. "On the Relationship between Voluntary Disclosure, Earnings Smoothing and the Value-Relevance of Earnings: The Case of Switzerland", European Accounting Review, 2006

Publication

<1 %

13

theses.dur.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

14

zl.elsevier.es

Internet Source

<1 %

15

Pan, Lee-Hsien, Chien-Ting Lin, Shih-Cheng Lee, and Kung-Cheng Ho. "Information ratings and capital structure", *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 2015.

Publication

<1 %

16

Dongqin Zhu, Zhengying Luo. "Notice of Retraction: Bank credit rationing, entrepreneur's heterogeneity and credit financing for small and medium-sized enterprises", 2011 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Management Science and Electronic Commerce (AIMSEC), 2011

Publication

<1 %

17

Maria do Rosário Meireles Ferreira Cabrita, Maria de Lurdes Ribeiro da Silva, Ana Maria Gomes Rodrigues, María del Pilar Muñoz Dueñas et al. "Competitiveness and disclosure of intellectual capital: an empirical research in Portuguese banks", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 2017

Publication

<1 %

18

Amitava Mondal, Chiranjit Ghosh. "Effect of intellectual capital disclosure on cost of equity capital: a study on Indian companies", *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 2020

<1 %

- | | | |
|----|--|------|
| 19 | idosi.org
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 20 | Submitted to Universiteit van Amsterdam
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 21 | www.tandfonline.com
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 22 | Paul-Valentin Ngobo, Jean-François Casta, Olivier Ramond. "Is customer satisfaction a relevant metric for financial analysts?", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 2011
Publication | <1 % |
| 23 | Abdifatah Ahmed Haji, Nazli Anum Mohd Ghazali. "The influence of the financial crisis on corporate voluntary disclosure: Some Malaysian evidence", International Journal of Disclosure and Governance, 2011
Publication | <1 % |
| 24 | Leonardo Becchetti, Rocco Ciciretti, Alessandro Giovannelli. "Corporate social responsibility and earnings forecasting unbiasedness", Journal of Banking & Finance, 2013
Publication | <1 % |
| 25 | Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman
Student Paper | <1 % |
-

26	Garcia-Meca, E.. "Influences on financial analyst forecast errors: A meta-analysis", International Business Review, 200602 Publication	<1 %
27	ro.ecu.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
28	Submitted to University of Warwick Student Paper	<1 %
29	www.repositorio.jesuita.org.br Internet Source	<1 %
30	"Handbook of Financial Econometrics and Statistics", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2015 Publication	<1 %
31	Natasja Steenkamp, Jill Hooks. "Does including pictorial disclosure of intellectual capital resources make a difference?", Pacific Accounting Review, 2011 Publication	<1 %
32	"Management, Valuation, and Risk for Human Capital and Human Assets", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication	<1 %
33	ro.uow.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
34	www.na-businesspress.com Internet Source	<1 %

<1 %

35

Grantley Taylor, Grant Richardson, Greg Tower, Phil Hancock. "The determinants of reserves disclosure in the extractive industries: evidence from Australian firms", *Accounting & Finance*, 2012

Publication

<1 %

36

Ari Kuncara Widagdo, Susela Devi Suppiah. "Determinants of compliance with audit committee rules: Evidence from Indonesia", *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 2014

Publication

<1 %

37

Magdi El-Bannany. "Global financial crisis and the intellectual capital performance of UAE banks", *Journal of Human Resource Costing & Accounting*, 2012

Publication

<1 %

38

Mensah, Y.M.. "The long-term payoff from increased corporate disclosures", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 200303/04

Publication

<1 %

39

"Innovative Management and Firm Performance", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014

Publication

<1 %

40	Jan Mouritsen, Per Nikolaj Bukh. "Intellectual Capital", Wiley, 2015 Publication	<1 %
41	Submitted to Holmesglen Institute of TAFE Student Paper	<1 %
42	Syed Abidur Rahman, Golam Mostafa Khan, Salem AlAbri, Seyedeh Khadijeh Taghizadeh. "The role of intellectual capital on entrepreneurial opportunity recognition among SMEs in the Sultanate of Oman", Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2021 Publication	<1 %
43	Sarishma Sharma, Khushdeep Dharni. "Intellectual capital disclosures in an emerging economy: status and trends", Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2017 Publication	<1 %
44	Hesham Albarrak, Sherif El-Halaby. "AAOIFI governance standards: Sharia disclosure and financial performance for Islamic banks", Journal of Governance and Regulation, 2019 Publication	<1 %
45	vuir.vu.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
46	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1 %

47	<p>BORIS GROYSBERG. "What Drives Sell-Side Analyst Compensation at High-Status Investment Banks? : analyst compensation at high-status banks", Journal of Accounting Research, 09/2011</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1 %
48	<p>repository.petra.ac.id</p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1 %
49	<p>Habib_Uz_Zaman Khan, Mohobbot Ali. "An empirical investigation and users' perceptions on intellectual capital reporting in banks", Journal of Human Resource Costing & Accounting, 2010</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1 %
50	<p>coek.info</p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1 %
51	<p>Erna Setiany, Djoko Suhardjanto. "Disclosure, Information Asymmetry and the Cost of Equity Capital: Evidence from Indonesia", Emerald, 2021</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1 %
52	<p>mafiadoc.com</p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1 %
53	<p>moam.info</p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1 %
54	<p>ses.library.usyd.edu.au</p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1 %

<1 %

55

garuda.ristekbrin.go.id

Internet Source

<1 %

56

Inès Kateb. "The Determinants of Intellectual Capital Disclosure: Evidence from French stock exchange", International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, 2015

Publication

<1 %

57

www.repository.rmutt.ac.th

Internet Source

<1 %

58

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

<1 %

59

Submitted to South Bank University

Student Paper

<1 %

60

América Alvarez Dominguez. "The impact of human resource disclosure on corporate image", Journal of Human Resource Costing & Accounting, 2011

Publication

<1 %

61

Muhammad Khalique, Khushbakht Hina, T. Ramayah, Jamal Abdul Nassir bin Shaari. "Intellectual capital in tourism SMEs in Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan", Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2020

Publication

<1 %

62	www.abacademies.org Internet Source	<1 %
63	Tanja Klettke. "New Determinants of Analysts' Earnings Forecast Accuracy", Springer Nature, 2014 Publication	<1 %
64	etheses.lse.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
65	Hung-Yuan Richard Lu, Jennifer Wu Tucker. "Nonearnings Corporate Guidance", Financial Management, 2012 Publication	<1 %
66	Frank Schiemann, Kai Richter, Thomas Günther. "The relationship between recognised intangible assets and voluntary intellectual capital disclosure", Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 2015 Publication	<1 %
67	Francisca Castilla-Polo, Consuelo Ruiz-Rodríguez. "Content analysis within intangible assets disclosure: a structured literature review", Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2017 Publication	<1 %
68	www.postel.go.id Internet Source	<1 %
69	ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %

70

Pei-Chi Kelly Hsiao, Martin Kelly. "Investment considerations and impressions of integrated reporting", Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 2018

Publication

<1 %

71

Hsihui Chang, Ruey-Dang Chang, Chun-Ju Fang. "The effects of information transparency on analysts& forecasts: evidence from the information disclosure and transparency ratings system in Taiwan", Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics, 2013

Publication

<1 %

72

Jan Mouritsen, Per Nikolaj Bukh, Bernard Marr. "Reporting on intellectual capital: why, what and how?", Measuring Business Excellence, 2004

Publication

<1 %

73

Jacobus Widiatmoko, Maria Goreti Kentris Indarti, Imang Dapit Pamungkas, Collins G. Ntim. "Corporate governance on intellectual capital disclosure and market capitalization", Cogent Business & Management, 2020

Publication

<1 %

Exclude bibliography On