

The open access *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (EES)* provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.

Latest published conferences

Vol 1145



Go

Conference archive

2020



Go

View forthcoming volumes accepted for publication.

If you would like more information regarding *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* please visit conferenceseries.iop.org, and if you are interested in publishing a proceedings with IOP Conference Series please visit our page for conference organizers.

Conference organizers can use our online form and we will get in touch with a quote and further details.

Most read

Latest articles

JOURNAL LINKS

[Journal home](#)

[Journal scope](#)

[Information for organizers](#)

[Information for authors](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Reprint services from Curran Associates](#)





**244th
Electrochemical
Society Meeting**

October 8 – 12, 2023
Gothenburg, Sweden

50 symposia in
electrochemistry &
solid state science

Abstract submission
deadline:

April 7, 2023

Read the
call for papers &
**submit your
abstract!**

JOURNAL INFORMATION

2008-present

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

doi: 10.1088/issn.1755-1315

Online ISSN: 1755-1315

Print ISSN: 1755-1307



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Structural Design Optimization Using Particle Swarm Optimization and Its Variants

To cite this article: D. Prayogo *et al* 2020 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **506** 012048

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



Table of contents

Volume 506

2020

◀ Previous issue Next issue ▶

Joint International Conference on Civil, Environmental, and Geo Engineering 2019 1-2 October 2019, Surabaya, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 07 May 2020

Published online: 10 June 2020

Open all abstracts

Preface

OPEN ACCESS 011001

Preface

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 011002

Peer review statement

+ Open abstract View article PDF

Environmental Engineering

OPEN ACCESS 012001

Evaluation of Step Feeding Strategy in One-Stage Simultaneous Partial Nitritation, Anammox and Denitrification (SPNAD) Process

L C Direstiyani, J Kim, T Kwon, S Jeong, Y Kim, J Yu and T Lee

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012002

A Comparative Study of Life Cycle Impact Assessment using Different Software Programs

A P Iswara, A U Farahdiba, E N Nadhifatin, F Pirade, G Andhikaputra, I Muflihah and R Boedisantoso

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012003

The treatment of Raw Water Sources of Drinking Water using Chitosan/Mg/Al-LDH Composites: Problem cases in Municipal Waterworks in Banjarmasin

C Irawan, M W Ramadhan, I F Nata and M D Putra

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012004

Formulation of Attributes for Decision Support System for Drinking Water Infrastructure Developments Priority

K A Putra and U Lasminto

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012005

Utilization of *Hermetia illucens* Larvae as A Bioconversion Agent to Reduce Organic Waste

N Fadhillah and A Y Bagastyo

+ Open abstract View article PDF

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

Civil Engineering

OPEN ACCESS 012039

Cost Overrun in Construction Projects in Indonesia

R Susanti and A Nurdiana

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012040

Assessing Risk on The Engineering Procurement Construction (EPC) Project from The Perspective of The Owner: A Case Study

A Nurdiana and R Susanti

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012041

Evaluation of Shear-Critical Reinforced Concrete Beam Blended with Fly Ash

M Mooy, A Tambusay, I Komara, W Sutrisno, Faimun and P Suprobo

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012042

Flexural Behaviour of a Reinforced Concrete Beam Blended with Fly ash as Supplementary Material

W N Oktaviani, A Tambusay, I Komara, W Sutrisno, F Faimun and P Suprobo

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012043

Effect of Chloride Ions on Concrete with Geopolymer Coatings in Coastal Area

S F Nastiti and J J Ekaputri

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012044

Enhancing the Ductility of a Reinforced Concrete Beam using Engineered Cementitious Composite

M A Bastian, A Tambusay, I Komara, W Sutrisno, D Irawan and P. Suprobo

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012045

Application of Metaheuristic Algorithms in Truss Structure Sizing Optimization

D. Prayogo, K. Harsono, K. E. Prasetyo, F. T. Wong and D. Tjandra

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012046

The Effect of Adding Minerals on Plastic Aggregate to Lightweight Concrete

K A Wiswamitra, S M Dewi, M A Choiron and A Wibowo

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012047

Reliability-based Design with Size and Shape Optimization of Truss Structure Using Symbiotic Organisms Search

D. Prayogo, G. Gaby, B. H. Wijaya and F.T. Wong

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS 012048

Structural Design Optimization Using Particle Swarm Optimization and Its Variants

D. Prayogo, Sebastian, W. Hauwing, F.T. Wong and D. Tjandra

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012049
The Empirical Prediction of The Critical Area of Road Embankment Landslide Using Limit Equilibrium Method
P T K Sari and Y Lastiasih
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)
-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012050
Mapping of Sea Surface Temperature and its Correlation with Salinity Concentration in The Coastal Beach of Bangkalan Madura District
S Zainab, N Handajani and H Wibisana
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

Geophysical Engineering

-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012051
Estimation of Shear Wave Velocity Using Horizontal to Vertical Spectrum Ratio (HVSr) Inversion to Identify Faults in Pacitan
A Haryono, Sungkono, M A Caesardi, B J Santosa, F Syaifuddin and A Widodo
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)
-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012052
Groundwater Hydrogeochemistry in Bukit Raya and Tenayan Raya, Pekanbaru, Indonesia
F Rafi, M Karuniasa and A Assegaf
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)
-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012053
Seismic Site Effect Mapping Based on Natural Frequency Using Microtremor Method in Sidoarjo District
A Widodo, J P G N Rochman, A Perdana and F Syaifuddin
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)
-
- OPEN ACCESS** 012054
Active Fault Delineation Using Magnetotelluric Data in The Western Region of East Java
W Lestari, A Widodo, D D Warnana, F Syaifuddin, J P G N Rochman, A Zarkasyi and N S Setiawan
[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

JOURNAL LINKS

[Journal home](#)

[Information for organizers](#)

[Information for authors](#)

[Search for published proceedings](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Reprint services from Curran Associates](#)



Open Access

Submit Your Research

The official journal of the European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology

karger.com

OPEN

① X

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

COUNTRY

United Kingdom



Universities and research
institutions in United Kingdom

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Earth and Planetary Sciences
Earth and Planetary Sciences (miscellaneous)
Environmental Science
Environmental Science (miscellaneous)

PUBLISHER

IOP
Publishing
Ltd.

H-INDEX

26



PUBLICATION TYPE

Conferences and Proceedings

ISSN

17551307, 17551315

COVERAGE

2010-
2020

INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)

[How to
publish in
this journal](#)

[ees@ioppubl
ishing.org](mailto:ees@ioppublishing.org)



SCOPE

The open access IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (EES) provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.


[Join the conversation about this journal](#)

Submit Your Manuscript With Us


Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience.

Hindawi


SJR



Total Documents





Total Cites Self-Cites



Citations per document

External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc






% International Collaboration






Citable documents

Non-citable documents



Cited documents

Uncited documents



← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:


```
<a href="https://www.scimag
```



SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new free tool**.

Get it



Submit Your Manuscript With Us

Our Journals are Peer-Reviewed & Open Access. Publish With Us & Reach a Wider Audience.

Hindawi



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

A

Alharia Dinata

7 months ago

reply



Melanie Ortiz 7 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Alharia,
thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you contact Scopus support:
https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/
Best Regards, SCImago Team

V **Vani** 7 months ago

good evening, whether this journal is Q4 or Q2 ?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 7 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Vani,
Thank you for contacting us.
As said below, we calculate the SJR data for all the publication's types, but the Quartile's data are only calculated for Journals and Book Series.
Best regards, SCImago Team

F **FEROSKHAN M** 1 year ago

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science - Volume 573 is not available in Scopus.
But later volumes are available. May I know when will they publish in Scopus?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Sir/Madam,
thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you contact Scopus support:
https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/
Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Natt** 1 year ago

I would like to know the quartile of this journal. Why isn't it showing on the website?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

Dear Natt,
Thank you for contacting us. We calculate the SJR data for all the publication's types, but the Quartile's data are only calculated for Journals and Book Series.
Best regards, SCImago Team

N **Nurgustaana** 2 years ago

Dear SCImago Team!
I want to know previous quartiles of journal (for 2018 and 2019 years). I have tried find information about a quartile, but discovered just SJR for 2018. Could you please provide information about it?
Yours sincerely, Nurgustaana

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

Dear Nurgustaana,
Thank you for contacting us. We calculate the SJR data for all the publication types, but the Quartile data are only calculated for Journal type's publications. Best regards,
SCImago Team

M **Mora** 2 years ago

hello, how to search one of journal who publised by IOP, because when i find it by the title, they are not able in scimagojr but the publisher is available in here, thank you for the respond it means a lot

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

Dear Mora,

thank you for contacting us. Could you provide us the Title of the journal? We remember that SCImago Journal & Country Rank shows all the information have been provided by Scopus. If you didn't localize the journal in the search engine, it means that Scopus / Elsevier has not provided us the corresponding data.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

D **Dr. Yousif** 2 years ago

Dear Sir,

I have published a paper in Earth and Environmental Science Journal (only myself, single author) I am trying to withdraw it after 28 days of publishing online, is it possible? Could you please tell me

the procedure of withdrawing a paper?

Thank you,

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Yousif,

thank you for contacting us.

We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.

Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply. Best Regards, SCImago Team

A

Agustinus Kastanya 2 years ago

need information about renking of the Journal on Scopus

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Agustinus, thank you very much for your comment, unfortunately we cannot help you with your request. We suggest you to consult the Scopus database directly. Remember that the SJR is a static image of a database (Scopus) which is changing every day. Best regards, SCImago Team

M

Mahipal 2 years ago

Dear Admin,

How could our journal include in your IOP?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Mahipal,

thank you for contacting us.

We suggest you to contact the IOP's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.

If you would like to make an application to Scopus, please contact them to help you with this issue here: <https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus/content/content-policy-and-selection>

<http://suggestor.step.scopus.com/suggestTitle/step1.cfm>

Best Regards, SCImago Team

M **Mursalin** 2 years ago

Dear SCImago Team

My name is mursalin from Jambi City, Indonesia. I have published my article titled The Effect of Temperature on MDAG Purification Using Creaming Demulsification Technique at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, Volume 309, conference 1 and could be accessed at: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/309/1/012068>. But why until now it does not appear into Google Scholar and my account.

Please help me to resolve the issue. Thank you for your kindness. I am waiting for good news from you.

Sincerely,
Mursalin

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Mursalin,

Thank you for contacting us. Unfortunately, we can not help you with your request. Maybe other users can help you. Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Танзиля Созаева** 2 years ago

Доброго времени суток! Интересует информация по квинтилю журнала (издания)

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear user, thank you very much for your request. You can consult that information in SJR website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

S **syaiful** 3 years ago

I am very interested to send my paper to this conference

best regards

syaiful

reply



Elena Corera 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear user, in the link below you will find the information corresponding to the author's instructions of this journal. Best regards, SCImago Team

<https://publishingsupport.iopscience.iop.org/author-guidelines-for-conference-proceedings/>

Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)



I'm not a robot

reCAPTCHA
[Privacy](#) - [Terms](#)

Submit

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2020. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS

Horatio (Satire 1, 1, 106)

Structural Design Optimization Using Particle Swarm Optimization and Its Variants

D. Prayogo^{1*}, Sebastian^{1*}, W. Hauwing¹, F.T. Wong¹, Tjandra, D.¹

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Petra Christian University, Jalan Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya 60236, Indonesia

*Corresponding author email: prayogo@petra.ac.id ; m21416037@john.petra.ac.id

Abstract. Structural design optimization has become an extremely challenging and more complex task for most real-world practical applications. A huge number of design variables and complex constraints have contributed to the complexity and nonlinearity of the problems. Mathematical programming and gradient-based search algorithms cannot be used to solve nonlinear problems. Thus, researchers have extensively conducted many experimental studies to address the growing complexity of these problems. Metaheuristic algorithms, which typically use nature as a source inspiration, have been developed over past decades. As one of the widely used algorithms, particle swarm optimization (PSO) has been studied and expanded to deal with many complex problems. Particle swarm optimization and its variants have great accuracy in finding the best solution while maintaining its fast convergence behavior. This study aims to investigate PSO and its variants to solve a set of complex structural optimization problems. Several complex benchmark studies of design problem were provided to study the performance of PSO, linearly decreasing inertia weight PSO and bare bones PSO. The results support the potential use of PSO and its variants as an alternative approach to solving structural design optimization problems.

Keywords: optimization, engineering, algorithm, convergence

1. Introduction

Optimization has been used for minimizing building structure costs in the world of structural engineering. Engineers are challenged to solve many calculations during the process of designing, which is time-consuming. Each calculation problem has its own constraints, variables, and parameters that are usually complicated to be solved manually [1]. Designing aims to obtain the best result to get the minimum weight design while meeting certain code requirements, which can be achieved with optimization [8].

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a metaheuristic calculation method that aims to find the most optimum answer or objective from a case that has different parameters and constraints. This algorithm imitates living organisms; it mimics groups such as a flock of birds or a fish of school searching for food. When a bird finds its own best location, the pack eventually agrees with a global best location [2].

Although PSO has been proven to solve many types of problems, the optimum answer depends on the parameter that is set in the beginning [2]. This paper, therefore, discusses two modified PSO algorithms: Linearly Decreasing Inertia Weight PSO (LDW-PSO) [3] and Bare Bones PSO (BB-PSO) [5]. Also, this paper compares three types of PSO in order to find the most reliable and the fastest type



to obtain the most convergent answer. This study includes three examples of engineering design problems with different constraints and parameters.

2. Particle Swarm Optimization

Particle swarm optimization imitates the movement of a living organism as a particle that can find its own best solution following its own best location. Each time an organism finds its own best location, the whole population immediately finds a global best location. This global best location has the most optimum answer from all personal best solutions to get a global solution.

Our calculations start by defining parameters such as inertia weight parameter (w), the cognitive factor parameter (c_1), and the social factor parameter (c_2). Then, the location for each particle is generated randomly with defined upper bound and lower bound. Each particle later starts to search for the answer with a velocity (equation 1). For each iteration, the best location is updated using equation 1, 2.

$$v_i = w \times v_i + rand(0,1) \times c_1 \times (pbest(x_i) - x_i) + rand(0,1) \times c_2 \times (gbest(x_i) - x_i) \quad (1)$$

$$x_i = x_i + v_i \quad (2)$$

Table 1. Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm.

Algorithm 1

1. Initialize PSO parameters
2. Initialize a population of random particles (solutions)
3. Evaluate the objective value of each particle
4. Determine initial pbest X and gbest X
5. **while** termination criteria are not satisfied **do**
6. **for** each particle **do**
7. Update the velocity for the particle
8. Update the new location for the particle
9. Determine the objective value for the particle in its new location
10. Update pbest X and pbest F if required
11. **end for**
12. Update gbest X and pbest F if required
13. **end while**

3. Linearly Decreasing Inertia Weight Particles Swarm Optimization

Linearly decreasing inertia weight-PSO differs from the standard PSO. This modified PSO can linearly decrease its inertia weight (w) when each iteration finishes [3]. When the value of w is high, the ability to find global search increases. On the other hand, when the value of w decreases the ability to find local search increases [6]. The functions of velocity and location update are the same as in the original PSO, but the inertia weight is updated using Equation 3.

$$w_i = w_1 - (w_1 - w_2)(iter)/(maxiter) \quad (3)$$

where w_1 and w_2 are the initial and end value of inertia weight, respectively, iter is the number of iterations, and max iter is the maximum number of iterations.

Table 2. Linearly Decreasing Inertia Weight Particles Swarm Optimization Algorithm.

Algorithm 2	
1.	Initialize PSO parameters
2.	Initialize a population of random particles (solutions)
3.	Evaluate the objective value of each particle
4.	Determine initial pbest X and gbest X
5.	while termination criteria are not satisfied do
6.	Update inertia weight
7.	for each particle do
8.	Update the velocity for the particle
9.	Update the new location for the particle
10.	Determine the objective value for the particle in its new location
11.	Update pbest X and pbest F if required
12.	end for
13.	Update gbest X and pbest F if required
14.	end while

4. Bare Bones Particles Swarm Optimization

Different from the abovementioned types of PSO, Bare Bones PSO ignores all parameters and does not need to use velocity to find a new location. Bare Bones PSO mainly uses Gaussian distribution. The new location is updated based on the location, which is the mean between the personal best solution and the global best solution. The formula is shown in Equations 4, 5, and 6.

$$\mu = \frac{pbest + gbest}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma = |pbest - gbest| \quad (5)$$

$$x(i+1) = \begin{cases} N(\mu, \sigma) & \text{if } (\omega > 0.5) \\ pbest & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Table 3. Bare Bones Particles Swarm Optimization Algorithm

Algorithm	
1	Initialize PSO parameters
2	Initialize a population of random particles (solutions)
3	Evaluate the objective value of each particle
4	Determine initial pbest X and gbest X
5	while termination criteria are not satisfied do
6	for each particle do
7	Determine the objective value for the particle in its new location
8	Update pbest X and pbest F if required
9	end for
10	Update gbest X and pbest F if required
11	end while

5. Test Problem and Results of Particles Swarm Optimization

This section presents three cases that were solved using three types of PSO. Each case addresses an engineering design problem, which has different constraints and parameters.

5.1. Case 1-A three-bar truss design

This case involves a 3-bar planar truss structure, as shown in Figure 1 [7]. The weight of the structure minimizes subject to stress constraint on each bar element. The objective function of this case is to find the optimal value of cross-sectional areas (A_1, A_2). The function of this case is given in Equations 7-10.




Figure 1. Three-bar truss.

$$\text{Minimize: } f(A_1, A_2) = (2\sqrt{2}A_1 + A_2) \times l \quad (7)$$

Subject to

$$g_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}A_1 + A_2}{\sqrt{2}A_1^2 + 2A_1A_2} P - \sigma \leq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$g_2 = \frac{A_2}{\sqrt{2}A_1^2 + 2A_1A_2} P - \sigma \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$g_3 = \frac{1}{A_1 + \sqrt{2}A_2} P - \sigma \leq 0 \quad (10)$$

Where

$$0 \leq A_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq A_2 \leq 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} l &= 100 \text{ cm,} \\ P &= 2 \text{ KN/cm}^2 \\ \sigma &= 2 \text{ KN/cm}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Table 4 shows the statistical result for the best objective value by the three methods. Table 5 compares the results obtained by the three methods and the algorithm used in a previous study. The previous study used another algorithm called Cuckoo Search (CS) [4]. As it is seen, not only the BB-PSO obtained the best result, the results obtained by the other types of PSO were better than those of the previous study that used a different algorithm. Figure 2 shows the convergence behavior of each method.


Table 4. Statistical result for Case 1.

	Best	Avg	Worst	SD	Time (sec)
PSO	263.8959	263.9829	264.7531	0.187233	0.166044
LDW-PSO	263.8959	266.4239	282.8427	6.550067	0.159809

	Best	Avg	Worst	SD	Time (sec)
BB-PSO	263.8959	263.8985	263.9198	0.004515	0.085384


Table 5. Comparison of optimization result for Case 1.

	<i>PSO</i>	<i>LDW-PSO</i>	<i>BB-PSO</i>	Gandomi <i>et al.</i> [4]
A_1	0.79494	0.78789	0.78902	0.78867
A_2	0.39080	0.41046	0.40727	0.40902
$g1$	-0.22099	-0.20478	-0.09537	-0.00029
$g2$	-1.26311	-1.25670	-1.16958	-0.26853
$g3$	-0.95788	-0.94808	-0.92579	-0.73176
f	263.92410	263.89640	263.89593	263.9716

**Figure 2.** Convergence behavior for Case 1 for each algorithm.

5.2. Case 2-Tubular column design

Figure 3 shows a tubular column that receives an axial load (P) of 2500 kg [8]. The column material has a yield stress (σ_y) of 500 kg/cm², a modulus of elasticity (E) of 0.85E-06 kg/cm², and a density (ρ) of 0.0025 kg/cm³. The length (L) of the column is 250 cm. This case is aimed to find the minimum cost of the column (f) that includes material and construction cost which taken as Equation 11. The constraint and the optimization function are given in Equations 11-17.

**Figure 3.** The tubular column

$$\text{Minimize: } f(d, t) = 9.8dt + 2d \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Boundary conditions: } 2 \leq d \leq 14, \quad 0.2 \leq t \leq 0.8$$

Subject to:

$$g_1 = \frac{P}{\pi dt \sigma_y} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (12)$$

$$g_2 = \frac{8PL^2}{\pi^3 E d t (d^2 + t^2)} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (13)$$

$$g_3 = \frac{2.0}{d} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (14)$$

$$g_4 = \frac{d}{14} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (15)$$

$$g_5 = \frac{0.2}{t} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (16)$$

$$g_6 = \frac{t}{0.8} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (17)$$


Table 6 represents the statistical result for the best objective value by the three methods and the algorithm used in the previous study. Table 7 compares the results obtained by the three methods and the algorithm used in the previous study. The results obtained by PSO and its variants were also better than those of the previous study [4] like in Case 1. Figure 4 shows the convergence behavior of each algorithm.

Table 6. Statistical result for Case 2.

	Best	Avg	Worst	SD	Time (sec)
PSO	26.4995	26.4995	26.4995	4.28E-11	0.168175
LDW-PSO	26.4995	26.8337	31.5127	1.271885	0.164555
BB-PSO	26.4995	26.4995	26.4995	3.79E-08	0.082097

Table 7. Comparison of optimization result for Case 2.

	PSO	LDW-PSO	BB-PSO	Gandomi <i>et al.</i> [4]
<i>d</i>	5.45116	5.45116	5.45116	5.45139
<i>t</i>	0.29196	0.29196	0.29196	0.29196
<i>g1</i>	- 3.33066e- 16	- 2.22045e- 16	- 1.80071e- 09	-0.0241
<i>g2</i>	0	- 2.22045e- 16	- 2.15574e- 10	-0.1095
<i>g3</i>	-0.63310	-0.63310	-0.63310	-0.6331
<i>g4</i>	-0.61063	-0.61063	-0.61063	-0.6106
<i>g5</i>	-0.31499	-0.31499	-0.31499	-0.3150
<i>g6</i>	-0.63504	-0.63504	-0.63504	-0.6351
<i>f</i>	26.49950	26.49950	26.49950	26.53217

**Figure 4.** Convergence behavior for Case 2 for each algorithm.

5.3. Case 3-Tension/Compression Spring

Figure 5 shows a spring design with three variables, which are wire diameter (x_1), mean coil diameter (x_2), and the number of active coils (x_3). The objective of this case is to find the minimum tension/compression spring weight. The function and constraint are defined in Equations 18-22.

$$\text{Minimize: } f(X) = (x_3 + 2)x_2x_1^2 \quad (18)$$

Subject to:

$$g_1(X) = 1 - \frac{x_2^2x_3}{71785x_1^4} \leq 0 \quad (19)$$

$$g_2(X) = \frac{4x_2^2 - x_1x_2}{12566x_2x_1^3 - x_1^4} + \frac{1}{5108x_1^2} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (20)$$

$$g_3(X) = 1 - \frac{140.45x_3}{x_2^2 x_3} \leq 0 \quad (21)$$

$$g_4(X) = \frac{x_2 + x_1}{1.5} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (22)$$

With boundary conditions: $0.05 \leq x_1 \leq 2$, $0.25 \leq x_2 \leq 1.3$, $2 \leq x_3 \leq 15$.




Figure 5. Tension/compression spring design problem.

Table 8 represents the statistical result for the best objective value by the three methods. Table 9 compares the results obtained by the three methods. Figure 6 shows the convergence behavior of each algorithm.

Table 8. Statistical result for Case 3.

	Best	Avg	Worst	SD	Time (sec)
PSO	0.004895	0.00467	0.00574	0.000418	0.1689
LDW-PSO	0.004869	0.00510	0.00574	0.000391	0.1773
BB-PSO	0.004869	0.00487	0.00488	2.57E-06	0.0881

Table 9. Comparison of optimization result for Case 3.

	<i>PSO</i>	<i>LDW-PSO</i>	<i>BB-PSO</i>
x_1	0.05000	0.05000	0.05000
x_2	0.37389	0.37443	0.37401
x_3	3.20934	3.20012	3.20744
$g1$	0.99972	0.99972	0.99972
$g2$	-0.82619	-0.82619	-0.82619
$g3$	-56179	-56179	-56179
$g4$	-0.93333	-0.93333	-0.93333
f	0.004895	0.004869	0.004869




Figure 6. Convergence behavior for Case 3 for each algorithm.

6. Conclusion

This paper compared the results of three problem cases that were optimized with three different types of PSO. The results showed that, with the same number of iterations for each case and each PSO, BB-PSO had the fastest calculation time and always gave the best result. Meanwhile, the standard PSO did not give the best results. The BB-PSO algorithm also had the smallest standard deviation, which means that each iteration had a more stable result and faster to obtain convergence in the results. Since the normal PSO had the smallest standard deviation, the performance of the algorithm would still depend on the cases and the parameter of each case.

References

- [1] Cheng M-Y, Prayogo D, Wu Y-W, and Lukito M M 2016 *Autom. Constr.* **69** pp 21-33
- [2] Kennedy J and Eberhart R 1995 *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Neural Networks (Perth)* (New York: IEEE) pp 1942-8
- [3] Xin J, Chen G and Hai Y 2009 *Joint Conf. on Computational Sciences and Optimization, CSO (Sanya)* (New York: IEEE) pp 505-8
- [4] Gandomi A H, Yang X-S, and Alavi A H 2013 *Engineering With Computers* **29** 245-245
- [5] Guo J and Sato Y 2017 *Int. J. Networked Distrib. Comput.* **5** 143
- [6] Shi Y and Eberhart R 1998 *IEEE World Cong. On Computational Intelligence Evolutionary Computation Proc. (Anchorage)* (New York: IEEE) pp 69-73
- [7] Nowcki H 1974 *Computer Applications in the Automation of Shipyard Operation and Ship Design ed Y Fujita et al* (New York: Elsevier) pp 327-38
- [8] Rao S S 1996 *Engineering Optimization: Theory and Practice* 3rd edn (Chichester: John Wiley & Sons)
- [9] Hare W, Nutini J and Tesfamariam S 2013 *Adv. Eng. Softw.* **59** 19-28