

DIMENSI

Journal of Architecture and Built Environment



Published by:
The Institute for Research and Community Services
Petra Christian University, Surabaya-Indonesia

DECEMBER 2020

Vol. 47 No. 2

ISSN 0126-219X (Print)

ISSN 2338-7858 (Online)

DIMENSI – Journal of Architecture and Built Environment

Editor-in-Chief : Danny Santoso Mintorogo (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Vise Editor : Aris Budhiyanto (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Managing Editor : Elvina Sahanggrama Wijaya (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Copy editing Editor : Sumarno

Associate Editors

M.I. Aditjipto (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Liliyany S. Arifin (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Rully Damayanti (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Christina Eviutami Mediastika (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)
Eunike Kristi Julistiono (Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia)

International/National Reviewers & Affiliation

John Spencer Reynolds (University of Oregon, Eugene, USA)
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/john-reynolds-76b8496>
Florian Kossak (The University of Sheffield-Sheffield, UK)
<https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=8SID79QAAAAJ&hl=en>
Veronica I. Soebarto (Adelaide University, Australia)
<https://www.adelaide.edu.au/directory/veronica.soebarto>
Mohd. Hamdan Bin Ahmad (Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, Malaysia)
<https://scholar.google.com.my/citations?user=ChlutsAAAAJ&hl=en>
Johannes Widodo (National University of Singapore, Singapore)
<https://nus.academia.edu/JohannesWidodo/CurriculumVitae>
Mohd. Arif Kamal (Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India) <https://www.amu.ac.in/empcv/10067869.pdf>
Prasasto Satwiko (Atmajaya University Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
<https://mda.uajy.ac.id/prof-ir-prasasto-satwiko-m-b-sc-ph-d/>
Antariksa (University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia)
<https://www.scribd.com/document/326230015/CV-Antariksa-Sudikno-Rev00>
Mia Tedjosaputro (University of Nottingham, Ningbo, China)
<https://miatedjosaputro.com/>

Journal Scope

Journal of DIMENSI is devoted to the applications of architecture theory, sustainable built environment, architectural history, urban design and planning, as well as building structure.

Published

DIMENSI is a peer-reviewed journal and, published in July and December, by the Institute for Research and Community Services, Petra Christian University, Surabaya-Indonesia. The manuscript will be reviewed by two independent National or International reviewer boards who are in their expert field. We accept National and International original research articles which are free of charged at this moment.

First Published of DIMENSI: Jurnal Teknik Arsitektur was named from May 1980 till July 2008, then, the name has been changed to DIMENSI: Journal of Architecture and Built Environment since Vol. 36 No. 2 December 2008.

DIMENSI: journal of architecture and built environment are accessible full articles online at E-Journal <http://dimensi.petra.ac.id>. Indexed by Google Scholar and <http://doaj.org>.

Register: P – ISSN: 0216-219X.
E - ISSN: 2338-7858.

Reg. No. 799/B.23/1980 date May 7, 1980.
(OJS) Open Journal System.

Published by:

Institute of Research and Community Outreach, Petra Christian University,
Address : Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya 60236, Indonesia.
Phone : (031) 8494830/849040, extension 3139-3147. Fax: (031) 8436418, 8492562
E-mail : puslit@petra.ac.id.
Homepage : <http://dimensi.petra.ac.id>
National Accredited : 148/M/KPT/2020 (03-8-2020 s/d 03-8-2025) SINTA 2

Contents

Original Articles

- 55 **A Comparison of Heat Performance between Green Roofs on Concrete and Green Roofs on Corrugated Zinc**
Sri Yuliani, Gagoek Hardiman, Erni Setyowati
- 65 **Redesigning a negative space through CPTED in Susukino district, Sapporo, Japan**
Sylviana Putri Sunario Soegondo
- 71 **Urban Geometry: City Shape and Spatial Layout of 6 Indonesian Government Centers**
Muhammad Fajri Romdhoni, Mahbub Rashid
- 87 **The Dwellers' Attachment to Joglo Houses in Kotagede**
Deny Prastika Candra, Wara Indira Rukmi, Deni Agus Setyono
- 99 **Tri Hanakara as a Spatial Layout Persistence Concept of Baluwarti Settlement in Surakarta**
Tri Hartanto, Tony Atyanto Dharoko, Yoyok Wahyu Subroto

5990 Journals Indexed by SINTA

S1

S2

S3

S4

S5

S6



Journals Analyze

Search within S2 ...

Advance Search

Search results for : "Dimensi" with Sinta Score S2

Page 1 of 1 | Total Records : 2

No	Journal Name	Impact	H5-Index	Citations (5 Years)	H-Index	Citations
1	<p>Civil Engineering Dimension Universitas Kristen Petra ISSN : 1979570x PISSN : 1979570x</p> <p>Engineering </p>	1.47	26	3480	33	5476
2	<p>DIMENSI: Journal of Architecture and Built Environment Universitas Kristen Petra ISSN : 23387858 PISSN : 23387858</p> <p>Engineering </p>	0.31	18	1620	21	2158

Page 1 of 1 | Total Records : 2

REDESIGNING A NEGATIVE SPACE THROUGH CPTED IN SUSUKINO DISTRICT, SAPPORO, JAPAN

Sylviana Putri Sunario Soegondo

Department of Architecture, Petra Christian University
Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya, INDONESIA
Email: sylviana@petra.ac.id

ABSTRACT

As an impact of prostitution site, criminality is triggered by a negative space; however, prostitution site in Japan is a part of the city. Susukino in Sapporo, for example, plays a role as a tourist attraction as well as a prostitution site. This research aims to figure out how the architectural design in Susukino influences criminality prevention as an effect of prostitution sites. Survey and interview were conducted to observe zonation in Susukino and to define a negative space as a problem of the district. Later, six aspects: 1) Defensible space, 2) Territoriality, 3) Surveillance, 4) Lighting, 5) Landscaping, and 6) Physical security; based on CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environment Design) theory were applied as a basis to improve the quality of the street in the negative space by purifying the landmark and activating the street by adding positive functions.

Keywords: Negative Space; prostitution; tourism; CPTED.

INTRODUCTION

Different from other eastern cultures, prostitution in Japan is legally allowed, and it is accustomed to tourism. Susukino in Sapporo is one of the examples. As one of the biggest red-light districts in the most northern of Japan, Brothels at Susukino are counted as the supporting services for sexual demand during the post-war recession (Bloomberg, 2013). Showing by the survey conducted by BOJ (Bank of Japan), From 63 stores, the number of brothels rose to 264, while the number of restaurants in the district declined by 14% between 1989 and 2008 (Bloomberg, 2013). This makes Susukino become an interesting place as an entertainment district both locally and globally. This district is not only satisfying the users but also bolstering up the economy as a tourism site. Besides, the existence of prostitution in the district brings a dilemma because it attracts gangsters then ended with criminality.

Through site observation, interviewing the inhabitants, identifying the land use, and categorizing the area; this research aim is to figure out the problem of the district and the solution on how the architectural design in Susukino prevent criminality based on Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED).

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

In accordance with the term, CPTED is managing safety through designing the environment. Proper design and effective use of the built

environment are required to reduce the incidence and concern of crime (Lim, Tow Fok, 2003). Indeed, this successively results in enhancements within the quality of life (Lim, 2003).

To get it completely how CPTED is utilized, one must look at its components and the reasoning behind them. Although wrongdoing avoidance through the plan is itself moderately modern, its person components are common security strategies. The uniqueness and fruitfulness of CPTED stem from the way in which these strategies are coordinates with, and connected to, the building design process (Gardner, 1981) : 1) Defensible space (Providing maximum control by design characteristics to create defined areas), 2) Territoriality (A sense of place ownership), 3) Surveillance (A high degree of visual control), 4) Lighting (Enhancing natural surveillance and reducing fear), 5) Landscaping (Marking the transition between zones), 6) Physical Security (Making penetration more difficult and time-consuming).

METHODOLOGY

In order to discover the problem at the Susukino district, an observation was conducted. Then, came up with 'negative space' as the reason why the district is unsafe. Afterward, a deep investigation focussing on land use obtained zoning maps. Each zoning map, later, was compared based on the interview's and survey's results to determine 'the most unsafe district'. Lastly, few recommendations based on CPTED were applied to designs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


Negative Space





Deep inside the most entertaining district in Sapporo, Susukino area showed another face in between buildings and its entertainment facilities. “In most cases, it was reported that most of the non – surveillance spaces have high tendencies for negative functions”, as stated in the paper entitled “The Enhancement of spaces in between buildings as urban recreation development”. This statement along with the author’s observation, a negative space in Susukino was identified by three criteria: 1) a long aisle between two buildings which have an extreme proportion between building’s height and distance; 2) a space having negative functions; 3) a space with minimum lighting. Those characteristics implied ‘not visible space’ and indicated ‘unsafe space’.

Land Use Identification and Classification used On Cpted

Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design is a method of how architectural design prevents criminality. There are six aspects in CPTED (Gardner, 1981): 1) Defensible space, 2) Territoriality, 3) Surveillance, 4) Lighting, 5) Landscaping, 6) Physical Security.

CPTED was applied as the basic to spot and classify the area based on its safety level by observation and interview. There were eleven criteria on observation and interview: 1) function, 2) visitors, 3) working time, (4) robbery, 5) drunkard, 6) police patrol, 7) lighting, 8) accessibility, 9) atmosphere/ situation, 10) transparent elements on façade, 11) proportion of building height and distance. (Figure 1).

Zone Area	CPTED Criteria	Aspects of Observation and Interview	Results
<p>Blue Zone</p>  <p>PROSTITUTION AREA</p> <p>CONVENIENT STORE, DWELLING/MID-RISE</p>	[D][La][T]	Function	Dwelling, Mid-Highrise Apartment
	[D][T]	Visitors	Woman 70%; Men 30%
	[S]	Working Time	18.00 – 4.00; There are activities at night → some restaurants and stores
	[T][L]	Robbery	No
	[T]	Drunkard	No
	[S]	Police Patrol	No
	[L]	Lighting	Yes; Store’s lighting (Major) and three street lighting (Minor) in between two buildings; Main street → street lighting (Major)
	[D]	Accessibility	Main street and space between two buildings (few)
	[T][La]	Atmosphere/ Situation	Quiet; not scary
	[S][P]	Transparent Elements on Façade	70% of the façade is transparent
	[D][L][La]	The Proportion of Building Height and Distance	Main street: 40m height and 15m wide A space between two buildings: 36m height and 1,8m wide

<p style="text-align: center;">Red Zone</p>  	[D][La][T]	Function	Prostitution, Bar, Restaurant, Hotel, Karaoke, Game Center, Parking
	[D][T]	Visitors	Women 50%; Men 50%
	[S]	Working Time	17.00 – 4.00; few activities from morning to afternoon; this area is alive at night
	[T][L]	Robbery	No
	[T]	Drunkard	Yes; Many
	[S]	Police Patrol	Yes; Rare
	[L]	Lighting	Many; Majority from the building
	[D]	Accessibility	Main street and secondary street; not many spaces between two buildings; some of them are used as culinary street
	[T][La]	Atmosphere/Situation	Crowded; not scary
	[S][P]	Transparent Elements on Façade	99% façade is transparent, especially in the 1 st and 2 nd floor
[D][L][La]	The Proportion of Building Height and Distance	Main street: 48m height and 24m wide Secondary street: 40m height and 15m wide	
<p style="text-align: center;">Yellow Zone</p>  	[D][La][T]	Function	Temple, Office, Dwelling, Very Few restaurants
	[D][T]	Visitors	Women 50%; Men 50%
	[S]	Working Time	8.00 – 17.00; Fewer activities at night. This area is mostly office → alive in the morning until evening
	[T][L]	Robbery	No
	[T]	Drunkard	No
	[S]	Police Patrol	No
	[L]	Lighting	Less light but not spotlight; street lights; a lot of shadow area
	[D]	Accessibility	Main street and secondary street lights; a lot of shadow area
	[T][La]	Atmosphere/Situation	Not crowded; not scary
	[S][P]	Transparent Elements on Façade	70% of the façade is transparent
[D][L][La]	The Proportion of Building Height and Distance	Main street: 48m height and 24m wide Secondary street: 40m height and 15m wide	


 <p>Green Zone</p> <p>POSITIVE FUNCTIONS</p> <p>PROSTITUTION AREA</p>	[D][La][T]	Function	Prostitution: Love hotel, Bar, Karaoke
	[D][T]	Visitors	Young people (17 – 19 years old)
	[S]	Working Time	17.00 – Late night; No activities in this area, but few restaurants in the main street open before 17.00
	[T][L]	Robbery	No
	[T]	Drunkard	Yes, Especially women
	[S]	Police Patrol	Yes, Some
	[L]	Lighting	Very limited and spotlight; neon colour; a lot of shadows. The majority from billboard; minority from the street light
	[D]	Accessibility	Secondary street; no space between two buildings but there are many intersections/ways to access
	[T][La]	Atmosphere/ Situation	Only crowded in front of the street (there are bars and karaoke), but inside the street is quiet (activities are concentrated inside the building)
	[S][P]	Transparent Elements on Façade	Less than 40% of the façade is transparent
[D][L][La]	The Proportion of Building Height and Distance	Secondary street: 12m height and 12m wide	
Legend:			
[D]efensible space – accessibility access physically (lock doors and fences) and symbolically (pattern and material differentiated the zones (Gardner, 1981)			
[T]erritoriality – Individual’s perception of and their ownership of the environment (Gardner, 1981)			
[S]urveillance – Informal (the intensity of visual connection) and formal (security patrols and electronic monitoring) visual control (Gardner, 1981)			
[L]ighting – strengthening natural surveillance and creating a sense of territoriality: by avoiding bright spots and shadows, by creating a bright and cheerful environment, and by lighting up the criminal without spotlighting the victim (Gardner, 1981)			
[La]ndscaping – maintaining corridors to be opened visually, displaying zonal differentiation, and generating a sense of ownership by an attractive environment (Gardner, 1981)			
[P]hysical Security – Having more difficult and time-consuming penetration (Gardner, 1981)			

Fig. 1. The existing conditions of the connection street between green and red areas, prepared by the author

There were two solutive designs in order to improve the quality of the street. The first solution was purifying the landmark of the green zone (king XHMU and karaoke EL-CASA) by demolishing the billboards, changing the lighting ambiance from neon colour to vivid colour such as in the red zone, using the building façade as lighting, and creating a light-up pedestrian walkway (Figure 2.). These were important to create a new brand image of the street from a lifeless and dangerous ambiance into a bright and cheerful environment. As stated by Robert A. Gardner, having a pleasing environment would develop a sense of ownership which would increase the territoriality aspect. Moreover, using the façade as lighting would change the domination of bright spots and shadows to be diffuse lighting.



Fig. 2. A perspective of the main face of the green zone, prepared by the author

The second solution was triggered by the new finding along the street. There was a gap between the existing functions (bars, love hotels, discotheques) and the music hall (ZEPP). The idea was to activate the street by adding positive functions related to young people (17+ years old) because the visitors were mostly in that range. Restaurants, food stalls, cafés, game centres, reading corners, and the like were the proposed functions (Figure 3.). Besides, the space gap was a parking lot. Then, the idea was to cover the outer line, which is attached to the street, by restaurants, food stalls, and the like (Figure 4.). This method would be able to remain both functions: parking lot and added functions.




Fig. 3. An illustration of a connection passing through the space gap, prepared by the author




Fig. 4. An illustration of how to add the positive facilities into the space gap, prepared by the author

Moreover, the proposal also concerned on the surveillance and ownership development. As shown in figure 5, the first-floor areas were open visually by a 90% transparent façade. This made the street ambiance livelier and increasing visual connections. The addition of street lightings was expected to boost the lively nuance.



Fig. 5. A perspective of a connection space near the space gap, prepared by the author

CONCLUSION

As the most unsafe area in Susukino district, redesigning a negative space there by penetrating the red zone to the green zone must pay attention to six existing conditions: billboard, street landmark, pedestrian walkway, street length, function and facilities, and lighting, which represented defensible space, territoriality, surveillance, lighting, landscaping, and physical security in CPTED theory. Later, those six existing conditions triggered the author to come up with seven solutions to bring a safer and livelier ambiance to the green zone: 1) demolishing the billboards, 2) changing the lighting ambiance from neon colour to vivid colour such as in the red zone, 3) using the building façade as lighting, 4) creating a light-up pedestrian walkway, 5) using the façade as lighting, 5) activating the street by adding positive functions related to young people, 6) covering all first-floor areas by 90% transparent façade, and 7) adding street lighting.

REFERENCES

- Bloomberg. (2013). Sapporo Sex Shops Count: BOJ poll. *Business*.
- Gardner, R. A. (1981). *Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design*. Retrieved May 28, 2018
- Lim, T. F. (2003). *Crime Prevention Through Environment Design Guidebook*. Singapore: National Crime Prevention Council.
- Merry, S. E. (1981). *DEFENSIBLE SPACE UNDEFENDED: Social Factors in Crime Control Through Environmental Design*. Sage Publication.
- Newman, O. (2007). *Architectural design for crime prevention*. Michigan: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice.

Peters, L. (2014). *Color Science Reveals Which Shades Are Perceived As Sexy, Sad, Calm, and Confident*. Retrieved from Bustle: <https://www.bustle.com/articles/22110-color-science-reveals-which-shades-are-perceived-as-sexy-sad-calm-and-confident>.

Samadi, Z. H. (2008). The Enhancement of Spaces in Between Buildings Asurban Recreation Development. *ECER Regional Conference 2008*, 1-13. Kelantan. Retrieved from <https://www.academia.edu/>.