CG FO dan Firm Value

by Mariana Eunike 2

Submission date: 07-Apr-2020 10:15PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1291926694

File name: Mariana_Ing_Malelak_Roy-310320-020420-rev-ing.docx (71.19K)

Word count: 2773

Character count: 15988

Corporate Governance, Family Ownership and Firm Value: Indonesia Evidence

Mariana Ing Malelak 1*, Christina Soehono1, and Christine Eunike2

Finance Program, Faculty of Business and Economics, Petra Christian University,

Jl. Siwalankerto No.121–131, Siwalankerto, Surabaya 60236 Indonesia

²International Finance, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics,

318 Wuchuan Rd, Shanghai, 200083, China

Abstract. The research objective to assess the influence of corporate governance and family ownership on firm value non-financial firms listed in Indonesia. The board and ownership structure were representing corporate governance characteristics. The board structure consists of commissioners, directors and independent commissioners, while the structure of ownership consists of institutional, public and managerial ownership. This research used data non-financial firms listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange period 2008 to 2018. Using purposive sampling as technique's to filter the samples and panel data analysis method. The results of research state that corporate governance (board and ownership structure) and family ownership simultaneously have a significant influence on firm value. Partially, independent commissioners, board of directors, public and institutional ownership have a significant influence on firm value. Meanwhile the board of commissioners, managerial and family ownership have no significant influence on firm value.

Keywords: Board structure, independent commissioners, institutional ownership, managerial ownership, public ownership, structure of ownership.

1 Introduction

A company is founded to achieve long-term goals, to maximize the wealth of shareholders. In the process of maximizing shareholder wealth, problems often arise called agency problems, which are problems that occur because of different interests between owners and managers. One of the methods used to minimize agency problems is implementing good corporate governance. Corporate governance is a system used by the board to direct, control and supervise the management of organizational resources effectively, efficiently, economically, and productively in order to achieve organizational goals [1].

In this study the variables used to assess corporate governance are board structure and ownership structures. Study on corporate generate [2], stated that size of the board, leverage and revenue have a significant effect on firm value while insider ownership have no significant effect on firm value. Heenetigala and Armstrong [3], found a positive influence on the implementation of corporate governance practices such as separate leadership, board

^{*} Corresponding author: mariana.ing@peter.petra.ac.id

committee and board composition with company performance (as measured by return on equity, return on assets and Tobin's Q). Thus, concluded that companies that implement a strategy of good corporate governance, tend to have the ability to produce higher financial performance and have higher firm value, which can be seen through an increase in the company's stock price in the long-term.

Besides corporate governance, ownership structure is one mechanism that can influence agency problems. Firms with lower concentrations of family ownership tend to have less conflict between agents and principals. The concentrated ownership structure will increase the management supervision therefore agency problems can be me 13 resolved or minimize agency problem [4]. In addition, Barontini and Caprio [5] stated that family control has a 13 itive influence on firm's values. Price water house Coopers (PwC) Indonesia [6], stated that a firm is referred to as a family firm if the founder who acquires the firm (or his family) has 25 % rights to the company through investment and there is at least 1 member of family in board (management 5 Andres [7] classifies family firms as firms with at least 25 % shares owned by a articular family or if less than 25 % of family members hold positions on the con 3 my's board of directors or board of commissioners. The prior 2 search, supported by the results of a survey conducted by PwC in November 224, found that more than 95 % of businesses in Indonesia are owned by families. 100 % have family members with senior executive positions in the company, 56 % have family members working in the company but not as senior executives, 53 % have only members who do not work in the company but have company shares and 17 % have family members who do not work in company or own company shares, but involved in other ways. Thus, mean that the involvement of family members has an important role in the family business.

Family ownership in Indonesia is generally a family business enterprise (FBE), a firm that is owned and managed by family members of the company's founders, both ownership and management are held by the family. Family firms eventually can be morph from family business enterprise (FBE) to family owned enterprise (FOE), which is a family-owned company but managed by professional executives from outside the family (managed by nonfamily member. The better management of a family firms, whether carried out by family member hemselves or professional executives who are not family members, has the same goal of increasing the value of the firm, the higher firm value is expected to increase the wealth of shareholders. Tobin's Q will use to measure the firm value [8].

The research results of this study can present the evidence of the influence of corporate governance and family ownership on the firm's value of non-financial firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in the period 2008 to 2018. Second, the results of the study can be used to implement better corporate governance for non-financial firms that have family ownership in the firm. Besides, investors can used the information to take better investment decision and will open new horizons in research for researcher, especially the issues about corporate governance, family ownership and firm value.

2 Literature review

Agency theory discusses the relationship between shareholders as principals, managers and bondholders [9]. The principal (shareholders) entrusts the management to the managers, to fulfill the shareholders interest. Therefore, managers are responsible and must report their activities to shareholders. Managers and shareholders are often in conflict, managers are more likely to prioritize their personal interests rather than the interests of shareholders [10]. Pujiastuti [9] stated that agency conflicts can occur between (i) owners (shareholders) and managers, where managers tend to improve their own wealth. This is contrary to shareholders, where shareholders tend to want to increase profits through dividends and increase the company's stock price. (ii) Managers with debtholders, where managers prefer

retained dividends to be used as capital for company expansion, whereas debtholders prefer that retained dividends are used as funds to pay company debts. Debtholders worry if the profits used for company expansion do not match what is expected thus company debt cannot be paid. Agency conflicts can be reduced by controlling the behavior of managers. Controlling agency conflicts requires agency costs which can be expenses to monitor the managers, opportunity costs to earn profits due to limited 7 thority or differences in decisions between the principal and agents or managers [11]. Agency costs are costs borne by shareholders to prevent or minimize agency problems, if the agency problem decreases, the firm is expected to be able to focus on increasing the firm's value (or maximizing shareholder wealth).

2.1 Corporate governance

Corporate governance is a system where the firms is directed and controlled. Corporate governance is rules governing relation between all parties in the firms, such as stakeholders, management, employees, creditor, the government, and external stakeholders of the firms relating to their rights and obligations [12]. The important to implement corporate governance in the firms, because it is a system that regulates and controls the firm, thus enable firms to create value-added for all stakeholders [13]. There are two important things in this concept: i) the importance of shareholder right to obtain the correct information and in time, ii) mandatory for the firms to make disclosure to be accurate, timely, transparency for all informatio bout firm performance, ownership and stakeholders. Firms need to pay attention to 5 (five) principles of corporate governance: transparency, accountability, responsibility, independency, and fairness.

2.2 Family ownership

Research conducted by A5 dres [7] classified a family firm as a firm whose shares are at least 25 % owned by a certain family or if less than 25 % have family members who have positions on the board of directors or the board of commissioners of the firm. Some other studies use a percentage of 5 % as the number of shares that must be owned by the family [14]. While other research conducted by [15] classified a family firm if a family has a minimum of 33 % of the company's total shares. The majority family firms listed on Indonesian Stock Exchanges has the closely held ownership, therefore there are two groups of shareholders, controlling and minority shareholders, and sometimes the family firms listed on stock exchange, is one of the unit of a particular business group, which often occurs self-dealing problems are detrimental to minority shareholders. The agency problems also occur in companies belonging to state-owned enterprises, lack of transparency and good control systems (including enforcement) raises the opportunity for the agent (the official representing of the owner or management) to take decisions which tend to favor themselves.

The variables of corporate governance found in several variables are board of director, commissioner, and independent commissioner, also in public, managerial and institutional ownership. This research used fam 6 ownership as second independent variable separately from ownership structure to assess whether the presence of family members in the firm will affect the firm value. Therefore, we assumed that board and ownership structure included family ownership has a significant influence on firm value.

3 Data and methodology

3.1 Data

We collected report from audited financial report of non-financial firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange start from 2008 to 2018. We used non-financial firms, due to the different implication of the regulation between financial and non-financial firms. The purposive sampling filter the samples, based on criteria:

- (i). Registered as a public firms on Indonesian Stock Exchange since 2008 to 2018 (never had a delisting)
- (ii). Publish an audited annual report regularly
- (iii). Presents the information about board and ownership structure
- (iv). Firm should has directors or affiliated commissioners

3.2 Research model

We used a panel data analysis, because used the 11 years as data period and 30 firms as samples, this method used to assess the influence of corporate governance (board and ownership structure) a 4 family ownership on firm value, with the model:

```
FV_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 BCom_{i,t} + \beta_2 BDir_{i,t} + \beta_3 ICom_{i,t} + \beta_4 IOwn_{i,t} + \beta_5 MOwn_{i,t} + \beta_6 POwn_{i,t} + \beta_7 FOwn_{i,t} \epsilon_{i,t}. (1)
```

Where:

BCom = the number of the commissioner

BDir = the number of the director

ICom = the number of the independent commissioner

IOwn = % shares owned by institutions

MOwn = % shares owned by the director

POwn = % shares owned by public

FOwn = % shares owned by family member

 $FV_{1,t}$ = firm value *i* at time *t*

 β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , β_4 , β_5 , β_6 , β_7 = coefficient regression of each firm.

 $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ = error term of firm i at time t

Firm Value (FV) which is measured by market capitalization, with formula:

12= (EMV + D) / (EBV + D)

(2)

Q = firm value

EMV = equity market value = closing price x number of shares outstanding

EBV = equity book value D = book value of debt

BCom = the sum of the members of the board of commissioners

BDir = the sum of the board directors

ICom = the sum of the independent commissioners involved in firm IOwn = % shares owned by institutions, both government and private

institution

MOwn = % shares owned by the firm's management

POwn = % shares owned by the public

1

Corporate governance was represented by the board and ownership structure, measured by: family members who have positions on the board of directors or the board of commissioners in the firms (which is measured by: Number of directors or affiliated commissioners / total commissioners and directors).

4 Results

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

	FV	BCom	BDir	ICom	IOwn	MOwn POwnnn	FO
Mean	0.9686	4.7620	4.8733	2.7613	0.7877	0.0412 0.2684	0.2940
Maximum	3.8200	15.000	13.000	6.0000	0.9860	0.6720 0.9847	0.5712
Minimum	0.0720	0.0000	3.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000 0.0760	0.0812
Std. Dev.	0.7033	3.1254	1.7103	1.1045	0.1106	0.0436 0.0859	0.7571
Observations	330	330	330	330	330	330 330	330

Table 1. Showed, from 4 330 observations, the authors get the average firm value 96.86 %, indicates that the book value of the firm's assets is almost the same as the firm's market value. In 2008 to 2018, the average number of directors and commissioner are around four to five persons, and the average number of independent commissioner is around two to three persons. The largest average value of ownership structure is in institutional ownership 78.77 %, then family ownership 29.40 %, followed by public ownership 26.84 % and managerial ownership 4.12 %.

4.1 Regression results

This results of balance panel data regression with 330 observations.

Table 2. Balance Panel Data Regression Results

	9			
Variables	Coefficient	Std. Error	Prob.	\mathbb{R}^2
С	0.2019	0.1295	0.0083	0.7982
BC BD IC IO MO	0.0025	0.0074	0.8287	
PO	-0.0315	0.0055	0.0366*	Adjust R2
	0.0690	0.0125	*00000	0.7218
	0.2138	0.1270	0.0355*	
	-0.4716	0.3445	0.0569	
	0.5291	0.1385	*8000.0	
FO	0.8121	0.1457	0.3520	
F Statistics	10.4646			
Prob (F-Stat)	0.0000			

Table 2. Showed, from results, partially, only four variables from board and ownership structure, set as independent commissioner, number of director, public and institutional ownership have a significant influence on firm value, overall corporate governance and family ownership have a significant effect on firm value. Have Adj-R2 72.18 %, means that 72.18 % firm value can be explained by the corporate governance and family ownership, mean while the 27.82 % is explained by the other variables.

The increasing in board size tend to decrease firm value, the large number of directors can burden the firm costs, for example, a higher salary cost, if the firm does not earn a high income, then it certainly will reduce the profits of the firm, therefore in the long term can reduce the firm value, while increasing in independent tend to increase firm value, therefore independent commissioner has a positive significant effect on firm value, can by explained that the existence of an independent commissioner is expected to help supervise the management's (directors) actions to act in accordance with the interests of the principal, thus in the long-term if directors act matched with the principal, thus it can increase the firm value.

Two variables: institut that and public in ownership structure has a positive significant influence on firm value. Although the public ownership have a small percentage in the ownership structure but have a significant effect to increase firm value.

5 Conclusions

The research results showed thay independent com 10 sioner, board of director, public and institutional ownership has significant influence on non-financial firm value 9 isted in Indonesia Stock Exchange period 2008 to 2018, while the managerial, family ownership and board of commissioner have no significant influence on firm value. Therefore the firm has to determine the proportion of board structure especially for the number of (1) director, independent commissioner, and (3) ownership structure such as public and institutional ownership, firm need to properly consider the decisions related to increasing or decreasing the size of director or the decision to change the percentage of ownership (public and institutional), because every decision will give different effect on firm value. The research limitations are: (i) consider to use of a 11 Indonesian firm listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange. (ii) consider to use moderating variables, example government regulation, the condition of macro economy, to assess the influence of corporate governance on firm value

References

- C.-P. Yeh, K.-M. Wang, K.-C. Chai, Expert Systems with Applications, 37,6:4671–4679(2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.12.041
- Z. Isshaq, G.A. Bokpin, and O.J. Mensah, Journal of Risk Finance, 10,5:488–499(2009). https://doi.org/10.1108/15265940911001394
- K. Heenetigala, and A.F. Armstrong. 2012. Financial Markets & Corporate Governance Conference (2012). p. 17. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1971927
- S. Purkayastha, R. Veliyath, R. George, Journal of Business Research, 98:50–64. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.01.024
- R. Barontini, L. Caprio, European Financial Management, 12:689–723(2005). https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2006.00273.x
- PwC Indonesia. Survey Bisnis Keluarga 2014 Indonesia. [2014 Indonesian Family Business Survey], https://www.pwc.com/id/en/publications/assets/indonesia-report-family-business-survey-2014.pdf (2014).
- C. Andres, Family ownership as the optimal organizational structure? EFA 2006 Zurich Meetings, SSRN Electronic Journal (2007). p. 38. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.903710
- P. Thavikulwat, Simulation & Gaming, 35,2:242–269(2004). https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1046878104263545
- T. Pujiastuti, Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan, 2,12:183–197(2008). [in Bahasa Indonesia]. https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/108689-ID-none.pdf
- M. Nakabayashi Institutions and Money, 61:189–212(2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2019.03.003
- V. Blanco-Mazagatos, Journal of Family Business Strategy, 7,3:167–177(2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2016.07.003
- I. Ciftci, E. Tatoglu, G. Wood, M. Demirbag, S. Zaim, International Business Review, 28,1:90–103(2019).. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2018.08.004
- M.S. Nazir, T. Afza, Future Business Journal, 4,1:139–156(2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbj.2018.03.001
- D. Miller, I.L. Breton-Miller, R.H. Lester, A.A. Cannella, Journal of Corporate Finance, 13:829–858(2007). https://asu.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/are-family-firms-really-superior-performers
- E. Barth, T. Gulbrandsen, P. Schone, Journal of Corporate Finance, 11,1–2:107–127 (2005). https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0929119904000252

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT						
SIMILA	5% ARITY INDEX	8% INTERNET SOURCES	8% PUBLICATIONS	12% STUDENT PAPERS			
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES						
1	www.gjbs			5%			
2	Submitte Edwards Student Paper	d to Southern Illi ville	nois University	1%			
3		d to Universitas iversity of Suraba		/a The 1 %			
Submitted to Brunel University Student Paper							
5	Submitte Manager Student Paper	d to School of Bu	usiness and	1%			
6	Valeriano Sanchez-Famoso, Amaia Maseda, Txomin Iturralde. "Family involvement in top management team: Impact on relationships between internal social capital and innovation", Journal of Management & Organization, 2016 Publication						
7	Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman Student Paper						
8	8 anzdoc.com Internet Source						
9	"State-of-the-Art Theories and Empirical Evidence", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 Publication						

