SJR	Scimago Journal & Count	ry Rank					Ente	r Journal Title, ISSN or Po	ublisher Name	Q
		Home Jour	nal Rankings	Country Rankings	Viz Tools	Help	About Us			
Jour	nal of Sustaina	ble Real Es	tate							
COUNTR	Y	SUBJECT AREA AND	CATEGORY	PUBLISHER			H-INDE	¢		
United S	itates Iniversities and research nstitutions in United States Media Ranking in United States	Environmental S Managemen Policy and La Nature and L Conservation Social Sciences Geography, F Developmen Urban Studie	cience t, Monitoring, aw andscape 1 Ylanning and t s	American Re	al Estate Socie	ty	3			
PUBLICA	TION TYPE	ISSN		COVERAGE			INFORM	IATION		
Journal	5	19498276, 194982	284	2018-2021			Homep How to R.Simo	age publish in this journal ns@csuohio.edu		

SCOPE

The Editors of The Journal of Sustainable Real Estate are dedicated to working with scholars in existing and emerging markets to produce high quality papers to expand knowledge in the field of sustainability and the built environment.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ Join the conversation about this journal







👉 Taylor₅ Frar	ncis Online	Access provided by Pe Universit	etra Christian Sy		💄 Log in	Register	
Home 🕨 All Journals 🕨	Journal of Sustainable Real Estate						
JOSRE	Journal of Sustaina	able Real Estate				Published on b the Americar	ehalf of 1 Real
$\langle \cdot \rangle$	🔓 An open access journal					Estate Soci	iety
	Examines how energy efficacy, gr design and technology contribute	een branding, source conse to sustainable real estate	rvation, envi	ironmental remediatio	on, urban	AR	ES
Renter.	Enter keywords, authors, DOI, O	RCID etc	This Jour	rnal 🗸 🗨		American Real Estate	Society
			Advanced s	search Citation search		i Society infor	mation
Publish with us		Explore			Sub	scribe	rence to
Submit an article 🗸	About this journal 🗸	Browse all articles & iss	ues 🗸		Alert	ts & RSS feed v	,
Home ► All Journals ► Publish with us Submit an article ~	 Journal of Sustainable Real Estate About this journal ~ 	Explore Browse all articles & iss	ues 🗸	Latest issue	Sub Aler	scribe ts & RSS feed	•
Ready to submit? progress	Start a new submission or continue a	submission in	io to submis	ssion site 🗗	Sample Urban Stu Journals	our dies	:
Journal overvi	ew				>> Sign in F to the latest	two volumes fo	ur access or 14 days
Aims and scop The <i>Journal of Sust</i> publishes research improved energy e environmental rer	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat a relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen nediation, urban design, technology, p	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat	Instructio tate Society eearch exami conservation ed topics fac	(ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the			
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental ren positive externaliti studies, finance, la 1005000 environmental	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat n relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl ies. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urba	Instructio	(ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines.			
Aims and scop	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced cart ise. JOSRE's research connects across a www.marketing, management, real estat is many the label second is memory Read full aim	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable of architecture, construction, e re, transportation, and urba ministic unstitution, and urba s and scope	Instructio tate Society earch exami conservatior ed topics fac urban form a conomics, ei n planning d	(ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines.			
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externaliti studies, finance, la 1000000 externaliti studies, finance, la	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat n relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl ies. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat or more the element of memory Read full aim	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable of architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urba translate useful theorem s and scope Access provided by Pet University	Instructio tate Society earch exami conservatior ed topics fac urban form a conomics, ei n planning d n planning d n planning d n conomics, ei n planning d	(ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. The society and	💄 Log in	Register) Cart
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy of environmental ren potential for impro positive externaliti studies, finance, la memer authorities Taylors France	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat n relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl ites. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat Read full aim cis Online to Sustainable Real Estate ▶ Journa	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es lit environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urbai remedie workt themselve on s and scope Access provided by Pet University I metrics	Instructio tate Society earch exami conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d mathematics ra Christian	(ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines.	Log in	Register	भू Cart
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externalit studies, finance, la trot DEC environmental trot DEC environmental trot DEC environmental ome ► All Journals ► J Journal of S	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl est, JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat seminary the eleber semicir a memory in the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir across a w, marketing, management, real estat semicir semicir the eleber semicir	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urbai s and scope Access provided by Pet University Imetrics	Instruction tate Society earch exami- conservation ed topics fac turban form a conomics, en n planning d no discrimination ra Christian	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. roi mostice and the second second ter keywords, authors, D	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan	Register This Journal ced search Citat	کے Cart ور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externalit studies, finance, la rocome ► All Journals ► J Journal of S ublish with us ublish with us	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl estates are associated as a second	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e te, transportation, and urbain s and scope Access provided by Pet University Imetrics te Explore Browse all articles & issue	Instruction tate Society earch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d ra Christian ra Christian Ent	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. minisciplines. minisciplines. ter keywords, authors, Di	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alerts	Register This Journal ced search Citat cribe s & RSS feed ~	کے Cart روزیاں Cart Lion search
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externalities studies, finance, la institute, finance, la institute, environmental studies, finance, la institute, environmental studies, finance, la positive externalities tudies, finance, la positive externalities tudies, finance, la studies, finance, la st	e Journal metrics > dinable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen nediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carfu- les. JOSRE's research connets across a w, marketing, management, real estat metric second state in the second state is second state in the second state in the second state is second state in the second state in the second state is second state in the s	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urbai s and scope Access provided by Pet University I metrics te Explore Browse all articles & issue	Instruction tate Society earch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d modulation ra Christian Ent	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. ter keywords, authors, D Latest issue	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alert:	Register This Journal ced search Citat cribe s & RSS feed ~	کے Cart on search
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental ren positive externaliti studies, finance, la tocome > All Journals > () S Journal of S ublish with us ubmit an article > art a new manuscript briesing or	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carl ies. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat w, marketing, management, real estat common the eleber second connects across a Read full aim cis Online ournal of Sustainable Real Estate ► Journa Sustainable Real Estate About this journal Journal metrics	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urba s and scope Access provided by Pet University Imetrics te Explore Browse all articles & issue	Instruction tate Society learch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d ra Christian Ent Ent	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. memory and ter keywords, authors, D Latest issue	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alert: Sample OU Economics, F Business & Ir	Register This Journal ced search Citat cribe s & RSS feed ~ inance, ndustry Journals	الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externaliti studies, finance, la inctres, and learnes Taylors France ome > All Journals > J Journal of S ublish with us ubmit an article > addy to submit? art a new manuscript bmission or ntinue a submission progress	e Journal metrics > dinable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen nediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carfu- les. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat metric state in the state of th	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urbai remedie menter them etc. s and scope Access provided by Pet University I metrics te Explore Browse all articles & issue	Instruction tate Society earch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d reaction of the reaction of the estate	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. reconcerchented ter keywords, authors, D	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alerts Sample ou Economics, F Business & II Subsiness & II Subsinest & II Subsinest & II Subsinest & II Subsinest & II Subsi	Image: product of the second seco	P Cart Q ion search
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externalit studies, finance, la tocome > All Journals > J Journal of S Journal of S tublish with us ubmit an article > art a new manuscript bmission or ntinue a submission progress Go to submission site C	e Journal metrics > ainable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen mediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced cart is. JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat . Read full aim	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilit environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable u architecture, construction, e te, transportation, and urba s and scope Access provided by Pet University Imetrics te Explore Browse all articles & issu Citation metrics 0.8 (2021) CiteScore (S 0.714 (2021) SNIP	Instruction tate Society learch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d ra Christian Ent es ~	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. consecute and ter keywords, authors, D	CI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alert: Sample ou Economics, F Business & Ir >> sign in herr to the latest two	Register This Journal ced search Citat cribe s & RSS feed ~ inance, industry Journals to start your access to start your access volumes for 14 day	الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله
Aims and scop The Journal of Sust publishes research improved energy e environmental rer positive externalit studies, finance, la inctres, and learne Taylors France ome > All Journals > J Journal of S ublish with us ubmit an article > addy to submit? art a new manuscript bmission or ntinue a submission progress Go to submission formation	e Journal metrics > dinable Real Estate (JOSRE) is a publicat in relevant to all stakeholders in the bu efficiency, environmental improvemen nediation, urban design, technology, p oved human productivity, reduced carf les.JOSRE's research connects across a w, marketing, management, real estat . Cis Online Cis Online Cis	Editorial board > ion of the American Real Es ilt environment. Applied res t inside buildings, resource roperty valuation, and relat bon footprint, more livable i architecture, construction, e e, transportation, and urbai remedie workt them etc. s and scope Access provided by Pet University I metrics te Explore Browse all articles & issu Citation metrics 0.8 (2021) CiteScore (S 0.714 (2021) SJR	Instruction tate Society earch exami- conservation ed topics fac urban form a conomics, en n planning d conomics, en n pla	ARES). JOSRE ining how n, cilitates the and related nvironmental lisciplines. The research and ter keywords, authors, D Latest issue	Log in OI, ORCID etc Advan Subs Alert: Sample ou Economics, F Business & Ir Business & Ir o the latest two	Pregister This Journal ced search Cital cribe s & RSS feed ∨ r inance, ndustry Journals robustry Journals to start your access volumes for 14 day	Image: Cart Image: Cart Image: Cart Image: Cart Image: Cart

Instructions for authors

Editorial policies

Editing services

➤ Editing services site I^A

About this journal

> Journal metrics

Aims & scope

Journal information

information

> Editorial board

News & call for papers

Understanding and using journal metrics

Journal metrics can be a useful tool for readers, as well as for authors who are deciding where to submit their next manuscript for publication. However, any one metric only tells a part of the story of a journal's quality and impact. Each metric has its limitations which means that it should never be considered in isolation, and metrics should be used to support and not replace qualitative review.

We strongly recommend that you always use a number of metrics, alongside other qualitative factors such as a journal's aims & scope, its readership, and a review of past content published in the journal. In addition, a single article should always be assessed on its own merits and never based on the metrics of the journal it was published in.

For more details, please read the Author Services guide to understanding journal

Journal metrics in brief

Usage and acceptance rate data above are for the last full calendar year and are updated annually in February. Speed data is updated every six months, based on the prior six months. Citation metrics are updated annually mid-year. Please note that some journals do not display all of the following metrics (find out why).

 Usage: the total number of times articles in the journal were viewed by users of Taylor & Francis Online in the previous calendar year, rounded to the nearest thousand.

Citation Metrics

- Impact Factor*: the average number of citations received by articles published in the journal within a two-year window. Only journals in the Clarivate Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) or Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) have an Impact Factor.
- Impact Factor Best Quartile*: the journal's highest subject category ranking in the Journal Citation Reports. Q1 = 25% of journals with the highest Impact Factors.
- 5 Year Impact Factor*: the average number of citations received by articles in the journal within a five-year window.
- CiteScore (Scopus)†: the average number of citations received by articles in the journal over a four-year period.
- CiteScore Best Quartile†: the journal's highest CiteScore ranking in a Scopus subject category. Q1 = 25% of journals with the highest CiteScores.
- **SNIP (Source Normalized Impact per Paper):** the number of citations per paper in the journal, divided by citation potential in the field.

• SJR (Scimago Journal Rank): Average number of (weighted) citations in one year, divided by the number of articles published in the journal in the previous three years.

Speed/acceptance

- From submission to first decision: the average (median) number of days for a manuscript submitted to the journal to receive a first decision. Based on manuscripts receiving a first decision in the last six months.
- From submission to first post-review decision: the average (median) number of days for a manuscript submitted to the journal to receive a first decision if it is sent out for peer review. Based on manuscripts receiving a post-review first decision in the last six months.
- From acceptance to online publication: the average (median) number of days from acceptance of a manuscript to online publication of the Version of Record. Based on articles published in the last six months.
 - Acceptance rate: articles accepted for publication by the journal in the previous calendar year as percentage of all papers receiving a final decision.

For more details on the data above, please read the Author Services guide to understanding journal metrics.

*Copyright: Journal Citation Reports®, Clarivate Analytics †Copyright: CiteScore™, Scopus

Browse journals by subject			Back to top 👩
Area Studies	Economics, Finance, Business &	Health and Social Care	Physical Sciences
Arts	Industry	Humanities	Politics & International Relations
Behavioral Sciences	Education	Information Science	Social Sciences

🖨 Journal of S	希 Journal of Sustainable Real Estate			RCID etc Thi	is Journal 🗸 🗸
Publish with us Submit an article 🗙	About this journal y	Explore Browse all articles & issues ~	🛢 Latest issue	Advanced sea Subscribe Alerts & RS	rch Citation search
Ready to submit?	T1' 11				<u>ب ک</u> ے

Start a new manuscript submission or continue a submission in progress

Go to submission site 🗹

Submission information

> > Instructions for authors

> Editorial policies

Editorial board

Senior Editor

Julia Freybote - Portland State University, USA

Managing Editor

Amelia S. Caldwell - Cleveland State University, USA

Associate-Editors

Prashant Das - Indian Institute of Management, India Hilde Remøy - Delft University of Technology, Netherlands Spenser J. Robinson - Central Michigan University, USA

Built Environment ournals en in here to start your a Editing services

Editing services	
site 🗹	

Founder and Emeritus Editor Normal G. Miller - University of San Diego

About this journal

Journal metrics

> Aims & scope

Journal

> Society

papers

information

> Editorial board

> News & call for

information

Academic

Kwame Addae-Dapaah, University College-London, UK Minu Agarwal, CEPT University, India Kola Akinsomi, University of Witwatersrand, South Africa Ramya Aroul, University of Texas Arlington, US Sam Azasu, University of Witwatersrand, South Africa Danny Ben- Shahar, Tel Aviv University, Israel Sven Bienert, University of Regensburg, Germany Sofia V. Dermisi, University of Washington, US Franz Fuerst, University of Cambridge, US Jeremy Gabe, University of San Diego, US Andrew Mueller, University of Denver, US Andrew Mueller, University of Denver, US Samantha Organ, UWE Bristol, UK Gary Pivo, University of Arizona, US Jesse Saginor, Florida Atlantic University, US Andrew Sanderford, University of Virginia McIntire, US Bing Wang, Harvard University, US

Industry

Grant Austin, American Valuation, US Harry Bergmann, U.S. Department of Energy, US Alison Caplan, CBRE, US Dan Cashdan, Jones Lang LaSalle, US Judith Drouilles, Courdesse & Associés, Switzerland Andy Florence, CoStar Group, US Charles Leitner III, Greenprint Foundation and RREEF, US

Elaine Worzala, George Washington University

Cliff Lipscomb, Greenfield Advisors LLC, US David Lorenz, Lorenz Property Advisors, US Asieh Mansour, The Townsend Group LLC, US Scott Muldavin, The Muldavin Company, US Tilda Mwai, Estate Intel, Dubai Neil Shah - RICS, US Jay Spivey - CoStar Group, US Cathy Turner - New Buildings Institute, US Jerry Yudelson - Green Building and Sustainability Consultant, US

Browse journals by subject			Back to top 🔗	
Area Studies	Economics, Finance, Business &	Health and Social Care	Physical Sciences	
Arts	Industry	Humanities	Politics & International Relations	
Behavioral Sciences	Education	Information Science	Social Sciences	

Normal G. Miller



Journal of Sustainable Real Estate, Volume 13, Issue 1 (2021)

	Volume 13, 2021	Vol 12, 2020	Vol 11, 2019	See all volumes and issues
il ANS Renne	< Issue	>		
Browse this journal	•			
	General Contractions 🕒 Download Citations 🖪 Download Citations	ad PDFs 🛛 🖉 Downlo	ad issue	
List of issues			Browse by section (All)	JE Display order (Default)
Article Real Estate of Real Est Jon Olaf Olauss Pages: 1-11 Published online Abstract Full	e Price Formation: Energy Pe ate Agents > en, Are Oust & Jan Tore Solstad e: 08 Dec 2021 Text References PDF (892 KB) EP	rformance (UB)	Certificates and the Role	e 931 Views 0 CrossRef citations 2 Altmetric
Article The Value Neighborh Anjelita Cadena Pages: 12-29 Published online Abstract Full	of "Green" in Resale Residen ood Value Quintile, Homeste & Thomas A. Thomson e: 15 Dec 2021 Text References PDF (2041 KB) El	tial Real Es ead Status a PUB	ate: Premium by nd Year >	820 Views 2 CrossRef citations 0 Altmetric
Article Segmentin Interest Gr J. C. Martel Pages: 30-47 Published online Abstract Full	g the Construction Industry: oups in a Low Salience Polic : 10 Jan 2022 Text References PDF (1496 KB) Ef	A Quantita y Setting > PUB	tive Study of Business	722 Views 0 CrossRef citations 0 Altmetric
Article Willingnes Anastasia Njo, C Pages: 48-63 Published online Abstract Full	s to Pay for Green Apartmen iabriella Valentina & Sautma Ronni Basan : 21 Mar 2022 Text References PDF (1654 KB) EF	ts in Suraba ª PUB	ya, Indonesia >	903 Views 3 CrossRef citations 0 Altmetric







Journal of Sustainable Real Estate

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rsre20

Willingness to Pay for Green Apartments in Surabaya, Indonesia

Anastasia Njo, Gabriella Valentina & Sautma Ronni Basana

To cite this article: Anastasia Njo, Gabriella Valentina & Sautma Ronni Basana (2021) Willingness to Pay for Green Apartments in Surabaya, Indonesia, Journal of Sustainable Real Estate, 13:1, 48-63, DOI: <u>10.1080/19498276.2022.2036427</u>

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19498276.2022.2036427</u>

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



0

Published online: 21 Mar 2022.

(
l	<u></u>

Submit your article to this journal 🖸

Article views: 903



View related articles 🖸

🕨 View Crossmark data 🗹



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles

ARES Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Willingness to Pay for Green Apartments in Surabaya, Indonesia

Anastasia Njo^a, Gabriella Valentina^b 🕞 and Sautma Ronni Basana^a

^aFinance and Investment Program, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia; ^bProgram of Master Management, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The lack of green building and the public's awareness of the environment is an issue in marketing green apartments in Surabaya, Indonesia. Limited knowledge on green buildings contributes to individuals avoiding risks of purchasing or investing in green apartments. Hence, this study aims to test the effects of green attributes, indoor air quality, accessibility, land attributes, and environmental awareness toward willingness to pay (WTP) for green apartments in Surabaya. This study gathers primary data through the distribution of guestionnaires to 390 respondents on green apartments in Surabaya. The data analysis technique used is PLS-SEM. The results showed that green attributes, indoor air quality, land attributes, and environmental awareness significantly influences WTP. Seventy-nine percent of the respondents are willing to pay to own a green apartment for 15% more of the total purchase price, or \$670-\$6,700. In line with the finding, governments, educational institutions, and property-sector stakeholders need to work together to develop net zero buildings as well as raising green building literacy in the general public to get them to care more for the environment. In a tropical and developing country, the development of green apartments should be adjusted to provide positive benefits to the environment and other sustainable developments.

KEYWORDS

Willingness to pay; green apartment; green attributes; indoor air quality; environmental awareness; accessibility; land attributes

Introduction

The growth of property has increased the global energy consumption, where buildings consume 40% of the global energy consumption, with residential properties, mainly apartments, accounting for 22%, and commercial property by 18%. It is predicted that by 2040, buildings will contribute to 80% of the global energy consumption (Ramadhiani, 2017). The phenomenon mentioned above created the term green building as a solution to the environmental issues as the result of excessive energy consumption and air pollution (Retzlaff, 2009). Green buildings are buildings which are designed, constructed, or operated to reduce or remove the negative impact and create a positive impact towards the climate and nature. They preserve precious natural resources and improve quality of life (WGBC, 2021).

Apartments are high-rise buildings that consume twice as much energy compared to low-rise buildings (University College London, 2017). Energy issues and environmental damage caused by buildings urge governments to issue policies or regulations, such as the Regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Housing No. 02/PRT/M/2015 on Green Buildings (Peraturan Menteri Pekerjaan Umum dan Perumahan Rakyat No. 02/PRT/M/2015 tentang Bangunan Gedung Hijau). This regulation encourages several developers in Surabaya to apply the concept of green building, namely Ciputra Group that built the Green Hill housing complex, and Grand Sungkono Lagoon Surabaya by PT. PP Properti Tbk. that carries green building concepts a smart home technology system. through Developers of green buildings have to consider the demand of the market as the concept is still foreign to the public. One of the deciding factors of successful green building development is the consideration of the user's expectations and preferences (White & Gatersleben, 2011). This preference can be measured by the consumers' willingness to pay

CONTACT Anastasia Njo 🔯 anas@petra.ac.id 🝙 Finance and Investment Program, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia. © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(WTP) for green buildings. WTP is a measurement of the consumer's willingness to pay in order to consume a product or service (Horowitz & McConnell, 2003).

Hu et al. (2014) show that respondents are more willing to pay for green apartments for the accessibility and land attributes factors rather than the green attributes. In contrast, regarding the perception and willingness to pay, hotel users in India are not willing to pay for green attributes products due to the lack of knowledge about the products (Agarwal & Kasliwal, 2017). The study on urban sustainability by Heyman and Ståhle (2013) states that the accessibility factor does not have any significant influence. Iman et al. (2012) find that willingness to pay is not affected by land attributes and accessibility in residential property buyers in Malaysia. Moreover, Mandell and Wilhelmsson (2011) state that families in Sweden that have a high environmental awareness are more willing to pay for a housing complex with a green concept. Factors of indoor air quality and green attributes affect WTP for green home in the people in Malaysia, but not on the factor of environmental awareness (Shafiei et al., 2013). Indoor air quality is an important factor and significant to predict the WTP for a healthy house attribute in Canada (Spetic et al., 2005; Simons et al., 2014).

Based on previous studies mentioned above, this study is focused on the factors of green attributes, indoor air quality, accessibility, land attributes, and environmental awareness to measure consumers' or investors' willingness to pay for green apartments in Surabaya. Green attributes are green features that a building owns to achieve efficiency and energy conservation, water conservation, as well as sustainable materials sourcing and cycle. Moreover, accessibility take into account the access from the building to facilities, as well as public transports to reduce carbon emissions from transportation. Landscape and green areas in the building that reduce carbon emission is part of the land attributes, while the user's consideration of the environmental impact from the building is part of environmental awareness.

Surabaya is the second largest city in Indonesia, after its capital Jakarta, with a significant growth in property. The concept of green apartments is considered to be a new thing in Surabaya, so purchases on green apartments are viewed as risky by consumers with a risk-averse behavior. Risk is the uncertainty and consequence of a certain activity and purpose (Sotic & Rajic, 2015) and it can affect the willingness to pay. Risk aversion identification can be done by testing the consumer's rejection of green apartments that poses the risk of consumers having to pay more compared to conventional apartments (Holt & Laury, 2002). Farsi (2010) on the study of willingness to pay for an efficient energy system in 264 apartments for rent in Switzerland found that risk aversion behavior plays a role. Consumers have risk-averse behavior because of the uncertain benefits from investing in an energy-efficient system, which then affects the willingness to pay for it.

Studies on green apartments in Indonesia are still limited, and the uncertainty of information on green feature benefits, such as energy conservation, comfort, and others, encourage consumers and investors to exhibit a greater level of risk aversion in owning a green apartment compared to conventional apartment. The aim of this study is to explore the effects of green attributes, indoor air guality, accessibility, land attributes, and environmental awareness toward the willingness to pay for green apartments in Surabaya as well as the role of risk aversion as the moderating variable. The result of this study is expected to be beneficial for the government and property sector practitioners to better understand green buildings. This study may also significantly contribute to the Green Building Council Indonesia.

Literature Review

Utility Theory

Utility is a condition where a property gives benefits, advantages, satisfaction, and pleasure to avoid loss, dissatisfaction, damage, and sorrow to individuals or a community (Bentham, 1789). This theory is then developed by Peet and Hartwick (2015) who stated that a property has a utility when the property is able to provide the maximum satisfaction to consumers. Utility theory focuses on the preferences or advantages of an individual. On the practical level, utility theory is used to gauge the consumers' demands of a product or service (Fishburn, 1968). This theory is used by Lovreglio (2016) who stated that an individual will purchase a product that can maximize their gain, where a positive utility counts as a satisfaction, and a negative utility counts as a loss from the result of consuming said product or service. Satisfaction is measured by the preferences or choices of an individual towards his willingness to pay. This concept is developed by Danneberg and Estola (2018) who stated that consumers' marginal utility is challenging to be measured as there is no tool to directly gauge the level of utility. Hence, willingness to pay is used as a measurement tool through a survey of consumers.

Willingness to Pay

Willingness to pay (WTP) is the consumers' ability to purchase a product or service (Horowitz & McConnell, 2003). Pramastiwi et al. (2011) described WTP as the highest price a consumer is able to pay for a product or service. The concept is used by Biswas and Roy (2016), stating that WTP is an individual's maximum ability to pay for a service or consume a product. Mandel and Wilhelmsson (2011) measured the WTP of consumers with a utility function, which is the function of benefits and satisfaction of consumers when consuming a product or service. Utility gained by the consumer is reflected in the price he is willing to pay for the product or service. The method used to measure WTP is contingent valuation, which is the gathering of data done through individual preferences survey, frequently known as stated preference (Abelson, 1996). Baker and Ruting (2014) state that this survey method is used to estimate the highest value that a consumer will pay for a product. Stated preference is measured by a conjoint analysis survey technique which is the conjoint rating, where respondents' are asked to assess alternatives offered using a rating scale (e.g. 1 to 10). The questionnaire format used is an open-ended elicitation format, which are open-ended questions given directly to respondents about their maximum number or value they are willing to pay for a product or service. This method causes no early data bias as it offers no initial value.

Green Building

Green Building Council Indonesia (GBCI 2019) declared green buildings as new buildings planned and executed to be, or existing buildings, operated with an attention to ecosystems or environmental factors. Several features that deem a building "green" are the efficient use of energy, water, and other resources; the use of renewable energy (solar energy); stages of pollution and waste reduction, and possibilities of reuse and recycle; good indoor air quality; use of materials that are non-toxic, ethical, and sustainable; incorporating the environment in the design, construction, and operation; consideration of the residents' quality of life in the design, construction; adapting the design to changing environments (WGBC, 2021).

In Indonesia, Green Building Council Indonesia (GBCI) issues a Greenship which is arranged together by the government, academics, industry professionals, and other organizations. Six criteria of green buildings in the Greenship rating system are proper land use, efficiency and conservation of energy, conservation of water, material source and cycle, indoor health and comfort, and building environment management. Based on the six criteria above, five main elements of green buildings can be drawn, which are:

- Green Attributes, a green feature a building has to achieve efficiency and energy conservation, water conservation, and sustainable material source and cycle (GBCI, 2019; Hu et al., 2014)
- Indoor Air Quality, an aspect in a building to achieve health and comfort indoors to create a better indoor air quality for the user (GBCI, 2019; Simons et al., 2014)
- Accessibility, which relates to the availability of access and transportation around the building to support reducing carbon emissions, such as the availability of public transport, bike user facility, and community accessibility (GBCI, 2019; Hu et al., 2014)
- Land Attributes, criteria of proper land use, where buildings meet the surrounding site conditions such as green base area, site selection, and landscape accessibility (GBCI, 2019; Hu et al., 2014).
- Environmental Awareness, an awareness of the environment that encourages individuals to develop green buildings further, including having sustainable building management (GBCI, 2019; Mandell & Wilhelmsson, 2011).

Risk Aversion

Risk aversion, according to Hofstede and Bond (1984), is an individual's behavior that rejects a product or service as the result of feeling threatened by the uncertainty of the result of purchasing a product or service (Mao, 2010). Risk aversion is also an individual's behavior of rejecting an uncertain investment result to avoid the incompatible result of investment with the expected result (Hagin, 2004; Priyadharshini & Muthusamy, 2015). The risk-averse group tends to avoid risks or makes decisions involving the smallest risks and is even willing to pay more to get the option with the smallest risk. An individual with a high-risk aversion tends to reject new things such as buying a new product from a lesser-known brand unless he has heard others good experience in buying said product (Bao et al., 2003), as quoted in the journal of Quintal et al. (2010). Individuals in the risk-averse group also tend to gather more information on products and services they are interested in using to avoid risks. They tend to do transactions with well-known brands or sellers to avoid any risk in the future.

Willingness to Pay for Green Apartment and Risk Aversion as Moderating Variable

The construction of green apartments cost more than conventional apartments as a result of the materials and technology used. Green apartments are sold with a higher price tag compared to nongreen apartments as a price positioning strategy by the developers (Lasalle, 2019). Therefore, the existence of green apartments needs extra consideration from the consumers to be willing to pay more for the property. The study of Hu et al. (2014) in Nanjing on three social-economy classes based on domicile considers factors of accessibility, land attributes, and green attributes toward WTP for green apartments using the Stated Preference method. The results showed that accessibility, land attributes, and green attributes proved to be significant, where the increase of willingness to pay caused by accessibility and land attributes is larger than the increase caused by green attributes. Tan (2011) conducted a study on WTP for houses in a sustainable environment in 299 households in Kuala

Lumpur and Selangor using land attributes, accessibility, environment, and structural factors. Users are willing to pay 8–31.42% higher to live in a sustainable environment, proving that land attributes and accessibility factors significantly influence WTP. Conversely, Agarwal and Kasliwal (2017) showed the perception of hotel users in India where they were not willing to pay for green attributes as the result of their doubt in the product, as well as Heyman and Ståhle (2013) who showed accessibility was not a significant factor to WTP.

Iman et al. (2012) used conjoint analysis method on land attributes, accessibility, property type, surrounding facilities, design, surrounding environment, price, promotions, and developer's reputation on residential property buyers' preferences in Malaysia, and proved that users' willingness to pay (WTP) is affected by property type, while developer's reputation, accessibility, and land attributes are not significant towards WTP. Site selection in land attributes results in a higher apartment cost. Households with a higher income chose a bigger property rather than accessibility, as most respondents are already married and have their own method of transport. Mandel and Wilhelmsson (2011) studied 618 families in Sweden regarding WTP for sustainable housing by considering factors such as house attributes, environment, and environmental awareness, where households with high environmental awareness are willing to pay 2-4% more. On the contrary, 817 respondents in Malaysia with an average environmental awareness shows a WTP of less than 5% in buying a green home (Shafiei et al., 2013). Simons et al (2014) studied office renters in 17 rented offices regarding the demand and WTP for green features in offices, where the highest WTP is caused by indoor air quality and access to natural lighting. On the other hand, Chau et al. (2010) showed that the people in Hongkong were not willing to pay for indoor air quality factor, reduction of noise level, landscape expansion, and water conservations. Respondents desired energy conservation more because it gives a direct personal gain, while other variables are beneficial for the environment. Likewise, a study in Mumbai finds that green buildings that have a LEED rating are more likely to be motivated to achieve energy efficiency rather than environmental benefits (Verma et al., 2020). Previous studies focused more on proving the green building variables that affect WTP; therefore, this study is developed by including the risk profile which is risk aversion, as an individual's psychological consideration in making decisions. Farsi (2010) studied risk aversion and willingness to pay for an efficient energy system in 264 rented apartments in Switzerland using stated preference method. Risk aversion weakens the WTP for an energy-efficient system. Ignoring risk aversion, apartment users were willing to pay 8.50% more for an energy-efficient system, but risk aversion behavior suppressed WTP to 4.70% for insulation system, and 3.2% for an improved façade. Based on the descriptions above, this study focuses on the effects of green attributes, accessibility, land attributes (Agarwal & Kasliwal, 2017; Hu et al., 2014;), indoor air quality (Chau et al., 2010; Simons et al., 2014), and environmental awareness (Mandell ጲ Wilhelmsson, 2011; Shafiei et al., 2013) toward the willingness to pay for a green apartment in Surabaya with risk aversion (Farsi, 2010) as a moderating variable.

Hypothesis Development

There are 10 hypotheses using the framework of thought in Figure 1, which are:

H₁: Green attributes significantly influences willingness to pay.

H₂: Indoor air quality significantly influences willingness to pay.

H₃: Accessibility significantly influences willingness to pay.

 H_4 : Land attributes significantly influences willingness to pay.

H₅: Environmental awareness significantly influences willingness to pay.

H₆: Green attributes significantly influences willingness to pay moderated by risk aversion.

 H_7 : Indoor air quality significantly influences willingness to pay moderated by risk aversion.

 H_8 : Accessibility significantly influences willingness to pay moderated by risk aversion.

H₉: Land attributes significantly influence willingness to pay moderated by risk aversion.

 H_{10} : Environmental awareness significantly influences willingness to pay moderated by risk aversion.



Figure 1. Framework.

Research Method

Data were gathered through questionnaires using the stated preference method. The questionnaires consisted of three parts. The first part was designed to gather respondent's background information, including whether or not having an experience of owning an apartment unit with green features, purpose of purchase, knowledge on green buildings, occupation, and other personal data. In the second part, respondents are asked to rate measurement items on Green Apartment and Risk Aversion. In the third part, respondents are asked to measure their willingness to pay. All items are measured using a 5-point Likert scale (Ekanayake & Ofori, 2004) to avoid ambiguous results. Table 1 shows various 5point Likert scales adopted from the questionnaire on certain questions. Table 2 shows the details of the endogenous and exogenous variables as well as the moderating variables in the hypothesis test.

The population of this study is the general public who live in Surabaya, and samples were gathered using purposive sampling where the respondents

Table 1. Five-point Likert scales used in the questionnaire.

Variable	Assessment scores
Green Attributes (GA)	1 = not important
Indoor Air Quality (IQ)	2 = less important
Accessibility (AC)	3 = neutral
Land Attributes (LA)	4 = important
Environmental Awareness (EA)	5 = very important
Willingness to Pay for Green Apartment (WTP)	1 = strongly disagree
Risk Aversion (RA)	2 = disagree
	3 = neutral
	4 = agree
	5 = strongly agree

Variable	Definition	Code	Measurement items
Endogenous Variable:			
Willingness to Pay (η)	The willingness of individuals to support, recommend, and expend a certain amount	WTP1 WTP2	Willingness to purchase a green apartment in Surabaya Willingness to pay for a higher price for green
	of cash to obtain a green apartment.		apartment features
		WTP3	Willingness to recommend others to buy green apartments
F V · 11		WTP4	Willingness to support the development of green apartments
Exogenous Variable:	Green products that are used in the	CA1	Lice of anergy caving lamps
Green Attributes (GA)	anartment to function officiently and	GAD	Use of motion concors
	save energy.	GA2 GA3	Use of windows capable of controlling the entry of
	save energy.	0,15	natural light
		GA4	Use of windows capable of controlling the entry of natural outdoors air
		GA5	Use of building utilities with automated systems
		GA6	Use of alternative water source that has been processed into clean water
		GA7	Use of air conditioner without chloro fluoro carbon
		GA8	Use of environment-friendly material
		GA9	Use of roofs partially covered by plants
Indoor Air Quality (IQ)	The air quality in the apartment with the use	IQ1	Have carbon dioxide gas sensor to control air ventilation
	of green products for health and comfort.	IQ2	Use of paint with low volatile organic compounds level
		IQ3	Have building design that gives a wide landscape view
		IQ4	Use of lighting that is comfortable for the residents' eyes
		IQ5	Have tools able to control stable room temperature and humidity
		IQ6	Use of sound insulation to keep the noise in the room
Accessibility (AC)	The availability of public transportation,	AC1	Located within 1500m from public facilities
	facilities, and accessibility to support	AC2	Pedestrian access to main and secondary roads
	reducing carbon emissions.	AC3	Safe and secure access free from vehicles
		AC4	Bus stop or public transport station within 300m from the
		ACT	gate of the main building
		ACS	Provide shulle bus for residents
Land Attributor (LA)	The accurate utilization of land to create a		Flovide Dicycle parking lot
	areen environment		Complete urban structures
	green environment.	1 4 3	Plants that shade the main circulation of nedestrians
			Located within the residential area
Environmental Awareness	The environmental awareness that	FA1	Have environmental awareness
(FA)	encourages individuals to support	FA2	Use the kitchen waste treatment system at current residence
(2))	green apartments	FA3	Use energy-saving lamps at current residence
	green aparenentsi	EA4	Sort trash at current residence
Moderating variable:			
Risk Aversion	The behavior of individuals that tend to	RA1	Careful in trying new products
(RA)	avoid risks caused by the uncertainty of	RA2	Gather information regarding green apartments
	the result of purchasing a		before purchasing
	green apartment.	RA3	Prefer purchasing conventional apartments
		RA4	Have no risky alternatives
		RA5	Purchase a green apartment when able to predict the result of the decision

Table 2. Endogenous variable, exogenous variables, and moderating variable.

Source: adopted from (Hu et al., 2014; Latumahina & Njo, 2014; Mandell & Wilhelmsson, 2011; Rohrmann, 2005; Simons et al., 2014).

are consumers or investors that intend to purchase or invest in apartments, or that have purchased an apartment or a green apartment. Consumers are rational individuals while investors are irrational on their decision-making (Njo et al., 2017). Distribution was done online and offline through apartment or house launching events in Surabaya, and meeting property brokers who have a list of prospective property buyers. After data were gathered through the questionnaires, analysis was carried out in two phases: (1) descriptive analysis using cross tabulation to see the frequency data distribution on respondents' demography toward the purpose and property product, particularly green apartments; (2) testing the hypothesis using PLS-SEM to predict the model and develop the theory. The main function of the graphic modeling of the varians-based structural equation uses the path modeling method, represented by the following equation:

$$\eta = \gamma_{GA}GA + \gamma_{IQ}IQ + \gamma_{AC}AC + \gamma_{LA}LA + \gamma_{EA}EA + \gamma_{RGA}GA * RA + \gamma_{RIQ}IQ * RA + \gamma_{RAC}AC * RA + \gamma_{RLA}LA * RA + \gamma_{REA}EA * RA + \varsigma$$

note:

- η : Endogenous variable, WTP Willingness to Pay
- γ : Coefficient influence of exogenous variables to endogenous variables
- GA : Green Attributes
- IQ : Indoor Air Quality
- AC : Accessibility
- LA : Land Attributes
- EA : Environmental Awareness
- RA : Risk Aversion
- ς : error model

PLS-SEM is able to handle small sample sizes and non-normal data. Measurement and structural model is first determined, followed by the evaluation of reliability and validity of measurement items in the measurement model. To validate the construct, it is vital to evaluate the measurement model as a basis to evaluate, represent, and measure the relationship hypothesized in the structural model, so that the eligibility of the measurement model is verified for path analysis. Reliability measures how well the measurement construct on a multi-item scale reflects accurate scores of the construct relative to error. To evaluate consistent reliability of the measurement item that represents and measures each construct internally, composite reliability score and alpha Cronbach coefficient is used. The composite reliability score and the alpha Cronbach coefficient should be 0.70 (Hair et al., 2019) or higher (Nunnally, 1978). The reliability assessment is then followed by validity test that includes convergent validity and discriminant validity of the constructs. The convergent validity is considered satisfactory if each of the measurement item has a loading factor of 0.50 or higher, as well as an AVE (average variance extracted) of each construct of 0.50 or higher. AVE is the grand mean value of the squared load of a set of measurement items that is equivalent to the communality of a construct. Discriminant validity is used to test how different each construct is from one another. Two techniques are used in the discriminant validity assessment. The first is according to the criteria of Fornell and Larcker (1981) that the variance shared with its measurement item is higher compared to with other construct. In this condition, the AVE of each construct should be more than the highest squared correlation with other construct. The second is the cross-loading assessment of measurement items by verifying the discriminant validity. The loading of each measurement item on each of its construct should be greater than the cross loading on other constructs. After verifying the reliability and validity of the measurement models, the path coefficient significance has to be estimated to test the hypothesis in the structural model using the bootstrapping technique. Bootstrapping is useful in predicting the statistic distribution of any kind of distribution. This study uses 5000 of bootstrap subsamples, and the same number of cases as the number of respondents (which is 390). The use of such a large number of bootstrap subsample is vital to ensure the stability of the result. The critical tvalue for a two-sided test is 1.65 (significance level = 10%), 1.96 (significance level = 5%) and 2.58 (significance level = 1%) (Hair et al., 2017).

Analysis and Discussion

The result of the questionnaire distribution was 417 respondents, 27 of which were not used as they did not meet the sample criteria, resulting in a final sample of 390 respondents. The respondents' characteristics are presented in the cross tabulation table to see the respondents' frequency distribution data according to the purpose of the purchase in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that respondents are more interested in buying apartments for investment purposes (58.205%) instead of residence purposes (41.794%). Males (67.841%) are more interested in making investments compared to females (32.158%). The largest number of respondents who intended to make investments are those in the range of 21–30 years old, married, with the highest education level of a Bachelor's Degree. Green apartment investors are mostly self-employed (46.696%) with the majority having a gross income of \$400-\$1,000. There are 83.846% of respondents who have an understanding of green buildings. Both male and female respondents having the understanding are between 21-30 years old (46.177%) and 60.856% has the highest education level of a Bachelor's Degree.

Table 4 shows the distribution of respondents' demography data frequency according to the WTP for green apartments in percentage, in which

	Purchas	se Purpose	Green Building	Comprehension	
Respondents' Characteristics	Investment	Consumption	No	Yes	Total
Gender					
Female	73	68	32	109	141
Male	154	95	31	218	249
Age					
21–30	85	87	21	151	172
31–40	68	53	23	98	121
41–50	38	15	6	47	53
51–60	36	8	13	31	44
Marital Status					
Unmarried	54	85	-	_	139
Married	143	63	-	_	206
Divorced	21	5	-	_	26
Widowed	9	10	-	_	19
Highest Level of Education					
High School	19	10	6	23	29
Diploma	15	12	5	22	27
Bachelor	124	117	42	199	241
Master/Doctoral	69	24	10	83	93
Occupation					
Self-Employed	106	26	21	111	132
Private/Government Employee	55	116	31	140	171
Professionals	64	17	11	70	81
Others	2	4	0	6	6
Gross Income per Month (US\$)					
< 399	8	11	4	15	19
400–1,000	121	129	40	210	250
1,001–2,000	78	23	15	86	101
2,001–3,000	20	0	4	16	20
Total	227	163	63	327	390

Table 3. Respondents' characteristics towards purchase intent.

Professionals are educators, law experts, doctors, notaries, lawyers.

40.769% of the respondents are willing to pay \leq 5% more of the total price for a green apartment in Surabaya. These respondents are within the range of 21–30 years old, have the highest education level of Diploma and a Bachelor's Degree, work as private or government employees, with incomes of \leq \$399 and \$400-\$1,000 per month. Respondents with no apartment ownership, have no understanding of green building, but have consumption motives, are willing to pay less than 5% for a green apartment.

Table 5 shows the distribution of respondents' demography data frequency according to the WTP for green apartments in US\$, in which that the majority (58.718%) of respondents are willing to pay \$670-\$6,700, in the range of 21–30 and 31–40 years old, with the purpose of consumption (as a residential unit).

Table 6 shows the respondents' perception on each indicator of the variable of green apartment. The respondents' perceptions are shown in the form of mean value on each of the indicators that are used to measure the endogenous and exogenous variables. A higher mean value expresses the respondents' perception to lean to statements of strongly agree or very important, while a lower mean value expresses the respondents' perception to lean to statements of strongly disagree or unimportant.

Prior to the hypothesis test, model measurement is done as shown in Tables 7–8. Convergent validity shows a loading factor of above 0.70, which is higher than 0.50, so there is no need to delete the item. Should there be an item that does not qualify and needs to be deleted, the analysis is then re-run, and the procedure will be repeated until a reliable and valid measurement model is acquired. This study uses a reflective measurement item because the construct caused that item. Reflective measurement item is highly correlative, interchangeable, and can be eliminated without changing the meaning of the construct (Hair et al., 2017). Measurement items with a small loading factor can be deleted as their contribution to the explanatory power of the model is insignificant, making the measurement item bias (Nunnally, 1978). The coefficient of Cronbach alpha and the composite reliability score is above 0.70, showing that the internal reliability consistency of the measurement item is acceptable. All loading factors and AVE is above 0.50, proving the convergent validity of the construct. AVE of

56 🕢 A. NJO ET AL.

Table 4. Respondents' characteristic toward willingness to pay in percentage (%).

		Per	centage of Willingness	to Pay		
Respondents' Characteristics	≤5%	6% - 15%	16% - 25%	26% - 35%	>35%	Total
Gender						
Female	64	55	20	2	0	141
Male	95	94	49	10	1	249
Age						
21–30	95	60	14	3	0	172
31–40	47	50	24	0	0	121
41–50	11	26	15	1	0	53
51–60	6	13	16	8	1	44
Highest Level of Education						
High School	9	10	8	2	0	29
Diploma	13	10	4	0	0	27
Bachelor	125	84	24	8	0	241
Master/Doctoral	12	45	33	2	1	93
Occupation						
Self-Employed	29	64	31	8	0	132
Private/Government Employee	118	45	7	1	0	171
Professionals	8	38	31	3	1	81
Others	4	2	0	0	0	6
Gross Income per Month (US\$)						
<399	16	2	0	1	0	19
	130	94	23	3	0	250
1,001–2,000	12	49	35	5	0	101
2,001–3,000	1	4	11	3	1	20
Green Apartment Ownership						
Does not Own an Apartment	132	77	27	4	0	240
Does not Own a Green Apartment	13	36	15	4	0	68
Owns a Green Apartment	14	36	27	4	1	82
Understanding of Green Building						
No	33	19	8	3	0	63
Yes	126	130	61	9	1	327
Purpose of Purchase						
As an Investment Unit	55	102	58	11	1	227
As a Residential Unit	104	47	11	1	0	163
Total	159	149	69	12	1	390

higher than 0.50 shows that the construct is capable of explaining more than 50% of variants in the measurement item. Table 8 shows that there are no cross-loading issues, as every measurement item has the highest load on the appropriate construct. This result shows that the measurement model is reliable and valid for the structural path modeling.

Hypothesis test based on the path coefficients value through bootstrapping is listed in Table 9 as per Figure 2. This hypothesis is accepted if the critical t-values for a two-tailed test were 1.65 (significance level = 10%), 1.96 (significance level = 5%) and 2.58 (significance level = 1%). The test results showed that H₁ (green attributes) and H₅ (environmental awareness) directly influence WTP in significance of 5% (t-value > 1.96), H₂ (indoor air quality) and H₅ (land attributes) directly influence WTP in significance of 1% (t-value > 2.58), while H₃ (accessibility) does not significantly influence WTP. The test results, if risk aversion is moderating, show that H₈ (accessibility) directly influences WTP in significance of 5% (t-value > 1.96) and H₉ (land attributes) directly influences WTP in significance of 1% (t-value

> 2.58). The final testing of structural inner model that shows the influences of each variable on the WTP for green apartment (Figure 2) is the value of R-square (0.758). That means the accuracy of the prediction that satisfies the variables can explain willingness to pay by 75.8%. R-square calculation results can be used to calculate Q-square by 0.819, where Q-square value greater than zero means the endogenous variables and moderating variables have a good prediction level towards willingness to pay for green apartments in Surabaya.

Discussion

The purchase of a green apartment made by both consumers and investors shows WTP for green attributes, indoor air quality, land attributes, and environmental awareness as it benefits them. These benefits are viewed as a positive utility (Lovreglio, 2016) that leads to the increase of willingness to pay. The presence of green attributes is considered essential because it provides a tangible benefit for the residents, which is in line with the research of

	Willingness to Pay in US\$								
Respondents' Characteristic	<670	670 – 6,700	6,701–13,333	13,334–20,000	20,001–26,667	>26,668	Tota		
Gender									
Female	1	94	39	7	0	0	141		
Male	1	135	71	30	10	2	249		
Age									
21–30	2	128	37	4	0	1	172		
31–40	0	72	33	16	0	0	121		
41–50	0	21	24	8	0	0	53		
51–60	0	8	16	9	10	1	44		
Highest Level of Education									
High School	0	15	7	6	1	0	29		
Diploma	0	18	7	1	1	0	27		
Bachelor	2	170	51	14	3	1	241		
Master/Doctoral	0	26	45	16	5	1	93		
Occupation									
Self-Employed	1	54	53	18	5	1	132		
Private/Government Employee	1	144	22	4	0	0	171		
Professionals	0	25	35	15	5	1	81		
Others	0	6	0	0	0	0	6		
Gross Income per Month (US\$)									
≤ 399	2	17	0	0	0	0	19		
400–1,000	0	182	59	9	0	0	250		
1,001–2,000	0	29	44	23	4	1	101		
2,001-3,000	0	1	7	5	6	1	20		
Green Apartment Ownership									
Does not Own an Apartment	1	176	46	15	2	0	240		
Does not Own a Green Apartment	1	27	28	11	1	0	68		
Owns a Green Apartment	0	26	36	11	7	2	82		
Understands Green Building									
No	0	45	13	5	0	0	63		
Yes	2	184	97	32	10	2	327		
Purpose of Purchase									
As an Investment Unit	2	97	85	32	9	2	227		
As a Residential Unit	0	132	25	5	1	0	163		
Total	2	229	110	37	10	2	390		

Table 5. Respondents' characteristic toward willingness to pay in US\$.

Iman et al. (2012), such as motion sensor and sound insulation, to reduce electricity bill, maintain the performance of electronic appliances, and avoid the risk of short electrical current (Putra, 2020). The benefit of indoor air quality is the usage of paint with a low level of volatile organic compounds, which gives a direct positive impact on the health and comfort of the residence (the United States Environmental Protection Agency) (EPA, 2021). Additionally, land attributes are also considered to be beneficial, although they might not be directly felt by the residents. Indoor gardens and urban structures are also considered to be important in a green apartment for the benefits they give, such as more open space that improves the living quality of the community, cooler indoor air temperature, better air quality, and brings a certain level of comfort to the residents' lives through a complete city infrastructure. In other words, respondents get both environmental and community benefits. Other researches about willingness to pay done in Nanjing, Malaysia, and the United States also showed that green attributes, indoor air quality, and

land attributes significantly influence willingness to pay (Hu et al., 2014; Shafiei et al., 2013; Simons et al., 2014; Tan, 2011). Consumers and investors who have an environmental awareness want the development of green buildings with sustainable management of the building environment in their residence in the form of kitchen waste treatment system, use of energy-saving lamps, and waste sorting. The high number of environmental awareness encourages an increased willingness to pay (Fishburn, 1968; Stigler, 1950) which is in line with the research on sustainable housing in Sweden (Mandell & Wilhelmsson, 2011).

Heyman and Ståhle (2013) and Iman et al. (2012) also found that accessibility was not significant to willingness to pay for urban sustainability research and Malaysian residential properties. An increase of willingness to pay occurs when a consumer gains benefits (Stigler, 1950). Accessibility, on the other hand, is considered not beneficial and therefore has no significant influence on willingness to pay for a green apartment. This is because most prospective buyers have private transportation in the form of

Table 6. Descriptive Analysis of Endogenous and Exogenous Variables.

Indicator	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Willingness to Pay (WTP)				
Willingness to purchase a green apartment in Surabaya	3.356	1.152	1	5
Willingness to pay for a higher price for green apartment features	2.831	1.458	1	5
Willingness to recommend others to buy green apartments	3.044	1.344	1	5
Willingness to support the development of green apartments	3.392	1.300	1	5
Green Attributes (GA)				
Use of energy-saving lamps	4.087	0.588	1	5
Use of motion sensors	4.121	0.564	2	5
Use of windows capable of controlling the entry of natural light	3.777	0.635	1	5
Use of windows capable of controlling the entry of natural outdoors air	3.846	0.601	1	5
Use of building utilities with automated systems	4.036	0.594	1	5
Use of alternative water source that has been processed into clean water	3.790	0.632	1	5
Use of air conditioner without chloro fluoro carbon	3.974	0.584	1	5
Use of environment-friendly material	3.892	0.542	1	5
Use of roofs partially covered by plants	3.869	0.561	1	5
Indoor Air Quality (IQ)				
Have carbon dioxide gas sensor to control air ventilation	4.059	0.517	1	5
Use of paint with low volatile organic compounds level	4.256	0.643	1	5
Have building design that gives a wide landscape view	3.918	0.679	1	5
Use of lighting that is comfortable for the residents' eyes	3.949	0.600	1	5
Have tools able to control stable room temperature and humidity	3.910	0.599	1	5
Use of sound insulation to keep the noise in the room	4.062	0.583	1	5
Accessibility (AC)				
Located within 1500m from public facilities	4.187	0.489	2	5
Pedestrian access to main and secondary roads	3.982	0.532	2	5
Safe and secure access free from vehicles	3.897	0.538	2	5
Bus stop or public transport station within 300m from the gate of the main building	3.833	0.549	2	5
Provide shuttle bus for residents	3.797	0.528	2	5
Provide bicycle parking lot	3.785	0.528	2	5
Land Attributes (LA)				
Gardens inside the building	4.146	0.438	3	5
Complete urban structures	4.146	0.503	2	5
Plants that shade the main circulation of pedestrians	3.885	0.522	2	5
Located within the residential area	3.836	0.409	2	5
Environmental Awareness (EA)				
Have environmental awareness	3.679	0.420	2	5
Use the kitchen waste treatment system at current residence	3.546	0.496	2	5
Use energy-saving lamps at current residence	3.695	0.520	2	5
Sort trash at current residence	3.410	0.508	2	5
Risk Aversion (RA)	51110	01500	-	5
Careful in trying new products	2,777	1,172	1	5
Gather information regarding green apartments before purchasing	3 126	1 433	1	5
Prefer purchasing conventional apartments	2,726	1.349	1	5
Have no risky alternatives	2.751	1.319	1	5
Purchase a green apartment when able to predict the result of the decision	2 908	1 3 2 1	1	5
randiase a green apartment when able to predict the result of the decision	2.700	1.321	1	

cars or motorcycles and do not want to pay more for access and transportation, bike parking, and public facilities situated within 1500 meters of the building. Furthermore, accessibility gives more benefits to the environment by reducing carbon emissions, so consumers and investors are not willing to pay more since they prefer attributes that provide direct benefits to residents (Iman et al., 2012). External factors such as socio-economic factors, clean public transport, air quality, and community culture are also a contributing factor. According to the socio-economic factor, consumers and investors with the gross income of \$400-\$1,000 belong to the upper-middle socio-economic class, and therefore, rarely use public transport. Additionally, the poor hygiene of public transport, the air quality of Surabaya which tends to be hot and dusty, as well as the lack of a culture of walking, cycling, or using public transport cause consumers to not want to pay more for accessibility. In the long term, accessibility needs to be improved by providing energysaving public transportations, limiting certain routes so that high-pollution vehicles cannot cross them, providing pedestrian walks and bicycle lanes, as well as parks and greening programs in several spots in Surabaya that are gradually carried out right now. Hopefully, WTP can increase through these activities.

Risk aversion has a significant influence on the relationship between accessibility with willingness to pay and land attributes with willingness to pay. Consumers and investors with higher risk aversion

Variable	Measurement item code	Factor loading	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	AVE
GA	GA 1 <- GA	0,707	0.879	0.902	0.507
	GA 2 <- GA	0,718			
	GA 3 <- GA	0,710			
	GA 4 < -GA	0,708			
	GA 5 <- GA	0,707			
	GA 6 < -GA	0,707			
	GA 7 <- GA	0,703			
	GA 8 <- GA	0,733			
	GA 9 <- GA	0,713			
IQ	IQ 1 <- IQ	0,712	0.825	0.872	0.532
	IQ 2 <- IQ	0,745			
	IQ 3 <- IQ	0,740			
	IQ 4 <- IQ	0,754			
	IQ 5 <- IQ	0,704			
	IQ 6 <- IQ	0,720			
AC	AC 1 <- AC	0,727	0.817	0.865	0.516
	AC 2 <- AC	0,708			
	AC 3 <- AC	0,733			
	AC 4 $<$ - AC	0,724			
	AC 5 <- AC	0,702			
	AC 6 <- AC	0,716			
LA	LA 1 <- LA	0,776	0.730	0.830	0.551
	LA 2 <- LA	0,716			
	LA 3 <- LA	0,703			
	LA 4 $<$ - LA	0,771			
EA	EA 1 <- EA	0,724	0.713	0.822	0.536
	EA 2 <- EA	0,717			
	EA 3 <- EA	0,723			
	EA 4 $<$ - EA	0,763			
RA	$RA \ 1 < -RA$	0,911	0.920	0.940	0.758
	RA 2 <- RA	0,843			
	RA 3 <- RA	0,841			
	RA 4 <- RA	0,887			
	RA 5 <- RA	0,869			
WTP	WTP 1 $<$ - WTP	0,932	0.947	0.962	0.862
	WTP 2 $<$ - WTP	0,928			
	WTP 3 $<$ - WTP	0,925			
	WTP 4 $<$ - WTP	0,928			
GA	GA * RA <- GA*RA	0,929	1.000	1.000	1.000
IQ	IQ * RA <- IQ*RA	0,955	1.000	1.000	1.000
AC	AC $*$ RA $<$ - AC $*$ RA	0,988	1.000	1.000	1.000
LA	LA $*$ RA <- LA $*$ RA	0,967	1.000	1.000	1.000
EA	EA * RA <- EA*RA	1,023	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table 7. Measurement model evaluation.

WTP: Willingness to Pay; GA: Green Attributes; IQ: Indoor Air Quality; AC: Accessibility; LA: Land Attributes; EA: Environmental Awareness; RA: Risk Aversion.

will result in a lower willingness to pay for accessibility factors and land attributes, as accessibility and land attributes may not directly benefit the residents. Lack of information on consumer benefits and experiences related to accessibility and land attributes causes consumers and investors with high risk-aversion to be more likely to reject the product (Bao et al., 2003). Farsi (2010) also stated that there is a significant influence of risk aversion moderation where risk aversion significantly reduces the willingness to pay for an efficient energy system. Consumers with high risk-aversion tend to reject a new product unless they know the pleasant experiences of others when purchasing the product (Bao et al., 2003). They are very careful about trying new products and they prefer to collect information on green apartments before purchasing

the product. Ideally in the future, developers in Surabaya will be able to implement Net Zero Building by optimizing building designs to reduce energy consumption per year to be as low as possible through renewable energy system. Indonesia as a wet tropical country, has the benefit of having two seasons, so that buildings can be designed to prioritize sunlight and fresh air circulation indoors. Costa et al. (2018) emphasize that sustainable building is a challenge because infrastructure costs have historically been higher than development in developed countries. Therefore, green apartment prices can be adjusted to be "friendly" in regards of the construction costs, which will in turn affect willingness to pay. Developers also need to pay attention to consumers' and investors' worry of the uncertainty of green

Table 8. Cross loading of measurement items.

		AC		EA		GA		IQ *		LA		
	AC	RA	EA	RA	GA	RA	IQ	RA	LA	RA	RA	WTP
AC * RA	0.240	1.000	0.027	0.352	0.049	0.381	0.021	0.243	0.150	0.501	0.132	-0.099
AC 1	0.727	0.148	0.275	0.014	0.313	0.014	0.206	-0.039	0.405	0.054	-0.092	0.161
AC 2	0.708	0.175	0.233	-0.022	0.260	0.024	0.250	0.020	0.345	0.123	-0.130	0.173
AC 3	0.733	0.222	0.290	0.052	0.315	0.038	0.242	0.023	0.315	0.170	-0.139	0.200
AC 4	0.724	0.150	0.270	0.023	0.279	0.015	0.251	0.015	0.372	0.118	-0.151	0.230
AC 5	0.702	0.170	0.224	-0.005	0.216	0.106	0.147	0.034	0.329	0.158	-0.056	0.078
AC 6	0.716	0.169	0.221	0.029	0.272	0.066	0.236	0.046	0.290	0.054	-0.133	0.184
EA * RA	0.026	0.352	0.019	1.000	0.092	0.337	0.001	0.184	0.028	0.349	0.100	-0.028
EA 1	0.237	0.026	0.724	0.026	0.307	0.095	0.162	-0.048	0.305	0.000	-0.176	0.257
EA 2	0.312	0.042	0.717	-0.016	0.318	0.074	0.200	0.013	0.256	0.027	-0.288	0.313
EA 3	0.237	-0.002	0.723	-0.015	0.286	0.054	0.169	-0.016	0.301	0.055	-0.191	0.307
EA 4	0.249	0.012	0.763	0.072	0.256	0.080	0.195	0.054	0.281	-0.004	-0.204	0.263
GA * RA	0.052	0.381	0.102	0.337	0.327	1.000	0.120	0.435	0.051	0.324	0.127	-0.050
GA 1	0.270	-0.026	0.272	0.032	0.707	0.209	0.321	0.078	0.192	-0.021	-0.139	0.235
GA 2	0.210	0.093	0.249	0.053	0.718	0.294	0.333	0.135	0.225	0.025	-0.211	0.272
GA 3	0.312	0.019	0.254	0.049	0.710	0.139	0.309	0.081	0.260	0.015	-0.193	0.290
GA 4	0.273	0.075	0.251	0.128	0.708	0.290	0.335	0.140	0.243	0.094	-0.186	0.271
GA 5	0.274	0.044	0.293	0.045	0.707	0.250	0.347	0.040	0.238	0.049	-0.169	0.286
GA 6	0.296	0.013	0.245	0.044	0.707	0.234	0.265	0.062	0.306	0.052	-0.213	0.301
GA 7	0.319	0.030	0.329	0.069	0.703	0.271	0.364	0.113	0.257	0.045	-0.159	0.260
GA 8	0.273	0.019	0.335	0.083	0.733	0.203	0.378	0.088	0.278	0.043	-0.267	0.342
GA 9	0.283	0.043	0.326	0.084	0.713	0.222	0.299	0.018	0.287	0.003	-0.195	0.289
IO * RA	0.022	0.243	0.001	0.184	0.116	0.435	0.444	1.000	-0.024	0.162	0.050	0.027
IQ 1	0.285	0.019	0.185	-0.030	0.366	0.102	0.712	0.249	0.199	0.005	-0.158	0.244
IQ 2	0.280	0.062	0.189	0.019	0.326	0.104	0.745	0.361	0.175	-0.051	-0.209	0.263
10 3	0.188	0.015	0.199	-0.024	0.347	0.069	0.740	0.355	0.146	-0.006	-0.212	0.325
10 4	0.209	-0.006	0.191	0.003	0.358	0.057	0.754	0.300	0.243	-0.015	-0.161	0.284
10 5	0.299	0.059	0.190	0.049	0.314	0.146	0.704	0.382	0.195	0.059	-0.102	0.208
10 6	0.177	-0.046	0.136	0.003	0.301	0.066	0.720	0.300	0.133	-0.084	-0.145	0.253
LA * RA	0.153	0.501	0.029	0.349	0.049	0.324	-0.024	0.162	0.227	1.000	0.157	-0.018
LA 1	0.360	0.091	0.287	0.096	0.258	-0.017	0.133	-0.071	0.776	0.145	-0.154	0.272
LA 2	0.351	0.116	0.284	-0.008	0.287	0.050	0.226	0.006	0.716	0.196	-0.119	0.251
LA 3	0.371	0.094	0.300	0.005	0.290	0.048	0.177	0.016	0.703	0.160	-0.079	0.188
LA 4	0.344	0.142	0.293	-0.019	0.244	0.076	0.203	-0.010	0.771	0.175	-0.114	0.263
RA 1	-0.148	0.098	-0.239	0.134	-0.208	0.112	-0.223	0.002	-0.096	0.157	0.911	-0.713
RA 2	-0.225	0.069	-0.308	0.105	-0.249	0.145	-0.222	0.048	-0.180	0.109	0.843	-0.735
RA 3	-0.115	0.130	-0.240	0.059	-0.284	0.087	-0.152	0.087	-0.153	0.115	0.841	-0.732
RA 4	-0.135	0.126	-0.251	0.077	-0.236	0.052	-0.202	0.029	-0.141	0.147	0.887	-0.727
RA 5	-0.132	0.152	-0.253	0.061	-0.216	0.156	-0.205	0.049	-0.125	0.156	0.869	-0.692
WTP 1	0.227	-0.124	0.380	-0.035	0.344	-0.063	0.355	0.040	0.323	-0.034	-0.760	0.932
WTP 2	0.234	-0.093	0.363	-0.029	0.387	-0.046	0.302	-0.002	0.272	-0.028	-0.817	0.928
WTP 3	0.266	-0.089	0.358	-0.013	0.401	-0.047	0.358	0.040	0.343	-0.002	-0.757	0.925
WTP 4	0.221	-0.061	0.358	-0.026	0.357	-0.032	0.349	0.024	0.298	-0.003	-0.738	0.928
	0.221	0.001	0.000	0.020	0.007	0.002	0.0 //	0.021	0.270		050	

WTP: Willingness to Pay; GA: Green Attributes; IQ: Indoor Air Quality; AC: Accessibility; LA: Land Attributes; EA: Environmental Awareness; RA: Risk Aversion.

Table 9. Structural model evaluation.

Hypothetical path	Path coefficient	t-value	p-value	Interpretation
Without moderation:				
$H_1: GA \rightarrow WTP$	0.083	2.361 ^a	0.019	Supported
$H_2: IQ \rightarrow WTP$	0.116	2.685 ^b	0.007	Supported
$H_3: AC \rightarrow WTP$	-0.028	0.920	0.358	Not supported
H_4 : LA -> WTP	0.129	4.221 ^b	0.000	Supported
$H_5: EA \rightarrow WTP$	0.070	2.227 ^a	0.026	Supported
With moderation:				
$H_6: GA^*RA \rightarrow WTP$	-0.035	0.904	0.366	Not supported
$H_7: IQ^*RA \rightarrow WTP$	0.018	0.393	0.694	Not supported
$H_8: AC^*RA \rightarrow WTP$	-0.079	2.233 ^a	0.026	Supported
H_9 : LA*RA -> WTP	-0.109	3.572 ^b	0.000	Supported
H_{10} : EA*RA -> WTP	0.033	1.127	0.260	Not supported

WTP: Willingness to Pay; GA: Green Attributes; IQ: Indoor Air Quality; AC: Accessibility; LA: Land Attributes; EA: Environmental Awareness; RA: Risk Aversion.

^asignificant at 5% (1.96); ^bsignificant at 1% (2.58).

products, as those with risk-averse profile tend to reduce their willingness to pay for green apartments that will not benefit them directly.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The questionnaire survey showed that 83.846% of respondents understand green building, where 38.532% are willing to pay \leq 5% and 39.755% are willing to pay 6%-15% to get a green apartment from the total purchase price. Factors that affect WTP are green attributes, indoor air quality, land attributes, and environmental awareness. However, the role of risk aversion as the moderating variable is proven in the connection between accessibility and land attributes towards willingness to pay for green apartments. Risk-aversion profile on consumers or investors decrease willingness to pay for green apartments.

This study benefits green apartment developers that they may pay more attention to green features



Figure 2. Bootstrap PLS diagram output.

that can be enjoyed directly by the consumers or investors. In the long term, the role of educational institution needs to be increased by giving education on the importance of preserving the environment and supporting green buildings and green environment programs since childhood, and practiced in daily life. The government's part is to create policies to control and direct developers to develop green apartments to reach Net Zero Healthy (NZH). This study is restricted from the limited data of green building in Surabaya in particular, and Indonesia in general, thus needs improvement to support decarbonization efforts in the building sector. Therefore, future studies can improve on the availability of green apartment reinvestment with a sample of consumers or investors who have purchased green apartments and

consideration of return of investment according to the investor's risk profile.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

ORCID

Gabriella Valentina D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3745-7245

References

Abelson, P. (1996). Project appraisal and valuation of the environment: General principle and six case-studies in developing countries. Palgrave MacMillan. https://doi.org/10. 1057/9780230374744 62 🕢 A. NJO ET AL.

- Agarwal, S., & Kasliwal, N. (2017). Going green: A study on consumer perception and willingness to pay towards green attributes of hotels. *International Journal of Emerging Research in Management and Technology*, 6(10), 16–28. https://doi.org/10.23956/ijermt.v6i10.63
- Baker, R., & Ruting, B. (2014). Environmental policy analysis: A guide to non-market valuation. *Productivity Commission Staff Working Paper*. Australia.
- Bao, Y., Zou, K. Z., & Su, C. (2003). Face consciousness and risk aversion: Do they affect consumer decision-making? *Psychology and Marketing*, 20(8), 733–755. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/mar.10094
- Bentham, J. (1789). An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation. Clarendon Press.
- Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2016). A study of consumers' willingness to pay for green products. *Journal of Advanced Management Science*, 4(3), 211–215.
- Chau, C. K., Tse, M. S., & Chung, K. Y. (2010). A choice experiment to estimate the effect of green experience on preferences and willingness-to-pay for green building attributes. *Building and Environment*, 45(11), 2553–2561. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2010.05.017
- Costa, O., Fuerst, F., Robinson, S. J., & Mendes-Da-Silva, W. (2018). Green label signals in an emerging real estate market. A case study of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *184*, 660–670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro. 2018.02.281
- Danneberg, A. A., & Estola, M. (2018). Willingness to pay in the theory of consumer. *Hyperion International Journal of Econophysics and New Economy*, 11(1), 49–70.
- Ekanayake, L. L., & Ofori, G. (2004). Building waste assessment score: Design-based tool. *Building and Environment*, *39*(7), 851–861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.01. 007
- EPA. (2021). 4 13). Indoor Air Quality (IAQ): Addressing indoor environmental concerns during remodelling. United States Environmental Protection Agency: https://www.epa.gov/ indoor-air-quality-iaq/addressing-indoor-environmentalconcerns-during-remodeling
- Farsi, M. (2010). Risk aversion and willingness to pay for energy efficient systems in rental apartments. *Energy Policy*, 38(6), 3078–3088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol. 2010.01.048
- Fishburn, P. C. (1968). Utility theory. *Management Science*, 14(5), 335–378. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.14.5.335
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(1), 39–50. https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312
- GBCI (2019). *GREENSHIP*. Retrieved December 16, 2019, from Green Building Council Indonesia: https://gbcindonesia. org/greenship
- Hagin, R. L. (2004). Investment management: Portfolio diversification, risk, and timing-fact and fiction. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis*. Cengage Learning, EMEA.

- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A* primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM.) (2nd ed.) SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Heyman, A. V., & Ståhle, A. (2013). Willingness to pay for urban sustainability. In Y. O. Kim, H. T. Park, & K. W. Seo (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ninth International Space Syntax Symposium* (pp. 30–43). Sejong University.
- Hofstede, G., & Bond, M. H. (1984). Hofstede's culture dimensions: An independent validation using rokeach's value survey. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *15*(4), 417–433. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002184015004003
- Holt, C. A., & Laury, S. K. (2002). Risk aversion and incentive effects. *American Economic Review*, *92*(5), 1644–1655. https://doi.org/10.1257/000282802762024700
- Horowitz, J. K., & McConnell, K. E. (2003). Willingness to accept, willingness to pay and the income effect. *Journal* of Economic Behavior and Organization, 51(4), 537–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681(02)00216-0
- Hu, H., Geertman, S., & Hooimeijer, P. (2014). The willingness to pay for green apartments: The case of Nanjing, China. *Urban Studies*, *51*(16), 3459–3478. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013516686
- Iman, A. H., Pieng, F. Y., & Gan, C. (2012). A conjoint analysis of buyers' preferences for residential property. *International Real Estate Review*, 15(1), 73–105.
- Lasalle, J. (2019). Asia Pacific property digest 2nd quarter-market research and report. Retrieved January 20, 2020, from Asia Pacific Property Digest 2nd Quarter-Market Research and Report: http://www.joneslanglasallesites.com
- Latumahina, G., & Njo, A. (2014). Kesediaan untuk membayar pada green residential. *FINESTA*, 2(1), 82–86.
- Lovreglio, R. (2016). Modelling decision-making in fire evacuation based on random utility theory [Dissertation].
 [Doctoral Program in Environmental and Territorial Safety and Control]. Politecnico di Bari.
- Mandell, S., & Wilhelmsson, M. (2011). Willingness to pay for sustainable housing. *Journal of Housing Research*, 20(1), 35–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/10835547.2011.12092034
- Mao, D. (2010). A study of consumer trust in internet shopping and the moderationg effect of risk aversion in mainland China. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2(3), 1–60.
- Njo, A., Made-Narsa, I., Irwanto, A. (2017). Dual process of consumption and investment motives in residential market Indonesia. Proceeding of 22nd International Conference on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate [CRIOCM], 20-23 Nov 2017 550–556.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric theory. McGraw-Hill.
- Peet, R., & Hartwick, E. (2015). *Theories of development: Contentions, arguments, alternatives*. Guilford Publications.
- Pramastiwi, F. E., Irham, A. S. & Jamhari , (2011). Willingness to pay coffee farmers to environmental rehabilitation. *Journal Economic Development*, *12*(2), 187–199.
- Priyadharshini, J., & Muthusamy, S. (2015). A survey on investors' favourite investment avenues in Salem. *International Journal of Commerce (IJC)*, 2(2), 87–92. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3067523

- Putra, R. P. (2020). March 1). "Gak cuma menghemat pengeluaran, ini 5 manfaat kalau kamu hemat listrik". IDN TIMES: https://www.idntimes.com/business/finance/rivandi-pranandita-putra/manfaat-kalau-kamu-hemat-listrik-c1c2/full
- Quintal, V. A., Lee, J. A., & Soutar, G. N. (2010). Tourists' information search: The differential impact of risk and uncertainty avoidance. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 12(4), 321–333. https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.753
- Ramadhiani, A. (2017). Sektor gedung habiskan 40 persen energi global. December 29, 2019, from Kompas: https:// properti.kompas.com/read/2017/04/05/230000221/sektor. gedung.habiskan.40.persen.energi.global
- Retzlaff, R. C. (2009). Green buildings and building assessment system: A new area of interest for planners. *Journal of Planning Literature*, *24*(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0885412209349589
- Rohrmann, B. (2005). *Risk attitude scales: Concepts, questionnaires, utilizations*. Project Report, University of Melbourne, Australia, Department of Psychology, Melbourne. http:// www.rohrmannresearch.net
- Shafiei, M. W., Samari, M., & Ghodrati, N. (2013). Strategic approach to green home development in Malaysia-the perspective of potential green home buyers. *Life Science Journal*, *10*(1), 3213–3224.
- Simons, R. A., Lee, E., Robinson, S., & Kern, A. (2014). Demand for green buildings: Office tenants' willingness to pay for green features. *Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs*, 38, 423–452. https://engagedscholarship.csuohio. edu/urban_facpub/1247

- Sotic, A., & Rajic, R. (2015). The review of the definition of risk. Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management: A Publication of the International Institute for Applied Knowledge Management, 3(3), 17–26.
- Spetic, W., Kozak, R., & Cohen, D. (2005). Willingness to pay and preferences for healthy home attributes in Canada. *Forest Products Journal*, *55*(10), 19–24.
- Stigler, G. J. (1950). The development of utility theory. *Journal of Political Economy*, *58*(4), 307–327. https://doi. org/10.1086/256962
- Tan, T. H. (2011). Measuring the willingness to pay for houses in a sustainable neighborhood. *The International Journal of Environmental, Cultural, Economic, and Social Sustainability: Annual Review*, 7, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.18848/1832-2077/CGP/v07i01/54854
- University College London (2017). *High-rise buildings much more energy intensive than low-rise*. https://phys.org/news/2017-06-high-rise-energy-intensive-low-rise.html
- Verma, S., Mandal, S. N., Robinson, S., & Bajaj, D. (2020). Diffusion patterns and drivers of higher-rated green buildings in the Mumbai region, India: A developing economy perspective. Intelligent Buildings International, 1–21. https:// doi.org/10.1080/17508975.2020.1803787
- WGBC (2021). What is green building? World Green Building Council: https://www.worldgbc.org/what-green-building
- White, E., & Gatersleben, B. (2011). Greenery on residential buildings: Does it affect preferences and perceptions of beauty? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *31*(1), 89–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.11.002