

Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 06-Dec-2021 07:45 WIB
 ID: 1721430049
 Word Count: 3567
 Submitted: 1

epjb By Iwan Sahputra

Similarity Index
10%

Similarity by Source
 Internet Sources: 5%
 Publications: 11%
 Student Papers: 2%

1% match (publications)

[Jianhua Zhou, Donghua Li. "Improving the thermoelectric properties of graphene through zigzag graphene-graphyne nanoribbon heterostructures", The European Physical Journal B, 2021](#)

1% match (publications)

[Jian Guo, Jingjing Chen, Yongqiang Wang. "Temperature effect on mechanical response of c-plane monocrystalline gallium nitride in nanoindentation: A molecular dynamics study", Ceramics International, 2020](#)

1% match (Internet from 19-Oct-2021)

<https://www.science.gov/topicpages/m/molybdenum+90>

1% match (publications)

[Chen Qiu, Pengzhe Zhu, Fengzhou Fang, Dandan Yuan, Xuecen Shen. "Study of nanoindentation behavior of amorphous alloy using molecular dynamics", Applied Surface Science, 2014](#)

1% match (student papers from 02-Mar-2015)

[Submitted to Jacobs University, Bremen on 2015-03-02](#)

1% match (publications)

[Te-Hua Fang, Sheng-Rui Jian, Der-San Chuu. "Molecular Dynamics Analysis of Effects of Velocity and Loading on the Nanoindentation", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, 2002](#)

1% match (publications)

[A.J. Adams, W.G. Wolfer. "On the diffusion mechanisms of helium in nickel", Journal of Nuclear Materials, 1988](#)

1% match (publications)

[Ling, Z.. "A nanoindentation analysis of the effects of microstructure on elastic properties of Al₂₀/3/SiC composites", Composites Science and Technology, 200712](#)

1% match (publications)

[Daw, M.S.. "The embedded-atom method: a review of theory and applications", Materials Science Reports, 199303](#)

1% match (publications)

[Denis Saraev. "Atomistic simulation of nanoindentation into copper multilayers", Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering, 10/01/2005](#)

1% match (publications)

[Mahdi Bagheripoor, Robert Klassen. "The effect of crystal anisotropy and pre-existing defects on the incipient plasticity of FCC single crystals during nanoindentation", Mechanics of Materials, 2020](#)

1% match (publications)

["Atomistic Simulation of Materials", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 1989](#)

1% match (Internet from 10-Oct-2020)

<https://open.library.ubc.ca/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/24/items/1.0300162>

<https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/s10051-021-00253-1> THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL B Regular Article - Computational Methods Temperature and indenter radius effects on mechanical properties of copper during nanoindentation: a molecular dynamic simulation study Iwan Halim Sahputraa Industrial Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia Received 21 September 2021 / Accepted 16 November 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to EDP Sciences, SIF and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021 Abstract. Molecular dynamics simulations of nanoindentation have been performed using a spherical indenter that penetrates a surface of an FCC copper model. The effects of the indenter radius and temperature on the mechanical properties and deformation mechanisms are investigated. Several deformation mechanisms, including atomic structural changes, dislocations, and pile-up of atoms around the indenter, are observed depending on the indenter radius and temperature. Increasing the simulation temperature decreases the hardness and reduced modulus. The reduced modulus decreases with the decreasing of the indenter radius while the hardness does not change significantly. 1 Introduction Recently, copper has been used widely in micro-electronic applications. Some examples of its applications are lead frames, interconnection wires, and heat sinks. Considering their important role in the applications, besides the electrical and thermal properties, their mechanical properties are also important to be investigated. Their mechanical properties, such as hardness and stiffness, will influence their overall performance. Nanoindentation becomes a more popular

method to study the mechanical properties of the material, especially for micro and nano-scale application. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulation has been used intensively along with the experiment, to investigate further the deformation mechanism in microscale during nanoindentation. Saraev and Miller [1] investigated the deformation mechanism of multi-layered copper thin film during the nanoindentation test. They found that the gliding [of nucleated dislocation loops and the slip in the grain boundaries are the main deformation mechanisms in such multi-layered polycrystals](#). Liang et al. [2] studied the deformation behaviour in the three different crystallographic orientations of copper under nanoindentation. They found a yielding platform attributed to the effective resistance to dislocation locks in the load-displacement curve of copper oriented (001). While in copper oriented (111) and (110) more mobile dislocation structures are found as typical characteristic causes of load drops in the load-displacement curves. Fang et al. [3] investigated the effect of velocity and loading on the nanoindentation of the copper thin film. They found [that both Young's modulus and hardness increase up to a critical value of indentation velocity and decrease after that value](#). Young's modulus decreases, but hardness increases as the indentation load increases. In the micro- and nano-scale application of copper material, surrounding temperature and contact area to other components are also important factors that can influence the mechanical properties. Zhao et al. [4] presented [temperature-dependent mechanical properties of single-layer molybdenum disulphide obtained using MD nanoindentation simulations. The Young's modulus, maximum load stress, and maximum loading strain decrease with increasing temperature from 4.2 to 500 K](#). Guo et al. [5] reported the effect of temperature [on the nanoscale deformation behaviour and mechanical properties of c-plane monocrystalline gallium nitride under nanoindentation](#). It was found [that both the hardness and Young's modulus decreased as the temperature increased](#). Similar results were also found by Fang et al. [6], however, their estimated elastic moduli and hardness are much higher than the prior experiments. In this paper, the effects of the indenter radius as a representative of the contact area and simulation [temperature on the mechanical properties and deformation mechanisms of copper during nanoindentation](#) are evaluated and reported.

2 Methods

The copper sample used in the simulation contains 6806 atoms and the dimension is $148.215 \times 148.215 \times 3.615$ Å. Table 1 Elastic constants for Cu Method C11 (GPa) C12 (GPa) C44 (GPa) EAM-Adams [7] 168.1 123.7 78.8 Experiment [10] 168.4 121.4 75.4 Fig. 1 Displacement versus time set up to control the indenter movement \dot{z} . The [boundary conditions in x- and z-directions are set to be periodic and infinite length in these directions](#). In y-direction, a shrink-wrapped boundary condition is set up to simulate a free surface where the indentation tip is located. Some atoms at the bottom in the y-direction are held fixed during indentation. The EAM potential for Cu from Adams et al. [7] is used in this simulation. [The embedding functions are determined empirically by fitting to several measured parameters, namely the equilibrium lattice constant, sublimation energy, and bulk modulus, elastic constants, and vacancy formation energy](#) [8]. The advantage of the EAM potential model is it [combines the computational simplicity needed for a large model with a physical picture that includes many-atom effects and metallic bonding](#) [9]. The elastic constants of Cu calculated using this potential are in good agreement compared to experimental values [10] as shown in Table 1. Nanoindentation is performed using a spherical indenter into a [010] surface of the FCC copper sample. The velocity of the indenter is set up to be 0.15 Å/ps, and the displacement is controlled following the displacement versus time pattern given in Fig.1. After reaching the maximum deformation, the indenter is held still for a moment before unloading started. This is usually done in the nanoindentation test to let the instrument and specimen stabilise and also to measure creep within the specimen [11]. Fang et al. [3] have shown in the MD simulations of nanoindentation, [both Young's modulus and hardness increase up to a critical value of indentation velocity and decrease after that value](#). Imran et al. [12] presented the increase of hardness with indentation velocity from 10 to 200 m/s. In the other MD simulations of nanoindentation, Goel et al. [13] indicated [that Young's modulus does change significantly in the range of indentation velocity between 5 and 100 m/s](#). In this work, the indentation velocity is set to be a constant parameter, to investigate the effect of temperature and indenter radius. The first part of the simulation is done using five different radii that are 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 in lattice units at temperature 25 K. Lattice unit means that the unit is given in the unit cell size, which in this simulation is 3.615 Å. Before indentation, the sample is relaxed at the desired temperature. The second part of the simulation is done using indenter radius ten lattice units with five different temperatures that are 5 K, 25 K, 45 K, 65 K, and 85 K. Common neighbour analysis (CNA) [14] is performed during the simulation to study the change in the crystal structure. Using this analysis technique, various local crystal structures, especially FCC, HCP, BCC, and icosahedral, can be distinguished. Simulation is done using a classical molecular dynamics program called LAMMPS [15] and an atomistic visualisation program OVITO [16] is used to visualise the simulation result. The calculation and analysis procedures are done following the technique proposed by Oliver and Pharr [17]. After performing the nanoindentation simulation, the initial unloading stiffness (S) is calculated. The relationship between load and depth from unloading data for stiffness calculation is described using a [simple power law: \$P = A\(h - h_f\)^m\$](#) , (1) [where \$A\$ and \$m\$ are constants and \$h_f\$ is the residual depth after the unloading process](#). The curve fitting method used is nonlinear least squares with the trust-region algorithm. The displacement of the surface at the contact the MATLAB program ver. 7.10.0. The initial slope, or perimeter, h_s , is calculated by: stiffness (S), is calculated first by differentiating that equation analytically and then calculating the derivative at the peak load and displacement: $h_s = \epsilon P_{max} / S$ (3) $\epsilon S = dh = mA(h - h_f) dP^{m-1}$. (2) The value of used in this analysis is 0.75, S is calculated from Eq. (3), and P_{max} is from data. Fig. 4 Load versus indentation depth with various temperatures in K (a) and indenter radius in lattice units (b). Note. For $R = 10$ lattice units and $T = 85$ K: (*) at time = 78 ps and depth = 11.7 Å, (**) at time = 80 ps and depth = 12 Å, (***) at time = 82 ps and depth 12 Å The contact depth, h_c , is determined by: $h_c = h_{max} - h_s$. (4) The projected contact area of spherical indenter tip at peak load is computed using the following equation: $A = 2\pi r_i h_c$, (5) where r_i is the radius of the indenter. The reduced modulus, E_r , is calculated by: $\sqrt{nS} E_r = 2 \sqrt{A}$. (6) And the hardness of the specimen is calculated by: $H = P_{max} / A$, (7) [where \$P_{max}\$ is the peak indentation load and \$A\$ is the projected area of the hardness impression](#). The reduced modulus (E_r) is also calculated using Hertz elastic contact model [18] as: $P = 4 E_r h^3 / 2r_i^2$, (8) where P is the load, r_i is the indenter radius, and h is the indentation depth. The reduced modulus value is obtained from the values for indentation depth (h) of 1 Å and 2 Å.

3 Result and discussion

3.1 Deformation analysis

The load (P) versus indentation depth (h) of nanoindentation on copper using an indenter radius of 10 lattice units

(i.e., 36.15 Å) [at a temperature of 5 K](#) is pre-sented in Fig. 2. [The first](#) BCC structure formed during the indentation is observed at the depth of 6.6 Å as shown in Fig. 3a-left. At around this depth, the load curve is steady as indicated at point 'A' in Fig. 2. The von Mises stress in the region where the structural changes happen and its nearby is higher than in another region, as can be seen in Fig. 3a-right. Several atoms right beneath the indenter have lower von Mises stress. The high-stress region develops symmetrically about the y-axis at 45° angle from the indentation point. The region area containing atoms having BCC structure continues to grow until the maximum displacement (12 Å) of the indenter. After the indenter reaches the maximum depth at 80 ps and is kept at that position for 5 ps, the load decreases and indicates relaxation behaviour. During this period, atoms having HCP structure are observed at 84 ps, as presented in Fig. 3b-left, which is corresponding to the point 'B' in Fig. 2. Dislocation is started to be nucleated at the boundary of the region having HCP structure and unknown structure and then transmitted along the [110] direction. The von Mises stress contour is still symmetric about the y-axis as presented in Fig. 3b-right. At the depth around 8 Å corresponding to the point 'C' in Fig. 2, the load is steady, then followed by a sudden drop of the load. This is related to Fig. 3c-left, the region previously having HCP structure changes to having BCC structure. The atoms having unknown structure and dislocations move along [110] direction. In addition to that, it can be seen the atoms with unknown Fig. 5 Temperature and indentation radius effects on the deformation mechanisms. Green dots = atoms having BCC structure, blue dots = atoms having HCP structure, red dots = atoms having unknown structure. Only atoms having non-FCC structure are shown for clarity structure have higher von Mises stress as presented in Fig. 3c-right. After the sudden drop, the load then decreases smoothly with a similar shape to the loading process. When the indenter is completely removed from the sample, as presented in Fig. 2, small plastic deformation is observed. From Fig. 5 (R = 10 lattice units, T = 5 K, Time = 165 ps), which will be discussed further in the next section, it can be seen at the end of the simulation some atoms having unknown structure are still observed.

3.2 Effect of temperature and indenter radius

Temperature does not make much change on the shape of the load-depth curve as presented in Fig. 4a. Lower temperature slightly shifts the curve up since lower temperature reduces the mobility of the atoms and makes them more difficult to be deformed. A comparison of the deformation mechanisms for the simulations with temperatures of 5 K and 85 K is shown in Fig. 5 with an indenter radius of 10 lattice units. In this figure, only atoms having non-FCC structure are shown for clarity. Atoms located at the top and bottom layers of the simulation box have unknown structure because of a non-periodic boundary condition applied to this direction. At the simulation with a temperature of 85 K, atoms having HCP structure are observed at an earlier time (at 80 ps) than at the simulation with a temperature of 5 K (at 84 ps). The region having unknown structure are recovered back to have FCC structure more quickly in the simulation with a temperature of 85 K than in the simulation with a temperature of 5 K. This shows the effect of temperature on the deformation behaviour, structural change happens easier at a higher temperature Fig. 6 Temperature effect on the hardness (a) and reduced modulus (b) Fig. 7 Indenter radius effect on the hardness (a) and reduced modulus (b) Figure 4b shows the effect of the indenter radius variation on the load-depth curve. The loading and unloading curves of the simulations using an indenter radius larger than 10 lattice units are almost on top of each other. At the simulations using an indenter radius larger than 10 lattice units, the load drop when the indenter stops after reaching the maximum depth, is less than in the simulation using a smaller indenter radius. The simulation using an indenter radius of 5 lattice units shows the decreasing of the load when the depth increases during the loading process after the depth of about 9 Å, as presented in Fig. 4b. During the load decreasing process, the dislocation movement is observed along the [100], [110], and [110] directions. This load decreasing behaviour is similar to the strain-softening phenomenon observed by Champion et al. [19], Haouaoui et al. [20], and Li et al. [21] in tensile and compressive tests for copper nanocrystalline or ultrafine-grained with grain sizes of 50–400 nm. Nanoindentation using a smaller indenter radius (especially with a radius of 5 lattice units) shows plastic behaviour, while using a larger indenter radius tends to show elastic behaviour. As shown in Figs. 4b and 5 (R = 5 lattice units, Time = 165 ps), using an indenter with radius 5 lattice units, after the indentation is completely removed from the sample, small deformation is still observed. A significant pile-up of atoms around the indenter is observed during the indentation process even after the indenter has been completely removed from the sample (depth = 0 and time = 165 ps). Simulation using the largest indenter radius i.e., 25 lattice units, does not show a pile of atoms and the contour of the surface is completely recovered at the end of the simulation as shown in Fig. 5 (R = 25 lattice units, Time = 165 ps). The effect of temperature on the hardness and reduced modulus is shown in Fig. 6a, b. It can be seen in Fig. 6a, decreasing the temperature causes the hardness to increase. Krashchenko and Oksametnaya [22] using rigid rectangular pyramid sapphire indenter showed that the decreasing of the hardness of pure copper as the temperature testing increases from 290 to 1170 K. The similar trend also found by Huang et al. [23], the hardness decreases from 4.4 to 0.8 GPa as the temperature increases from 83 to 333 K. Our predicted hardness at 85 K is close to these experimental values. Reduced modulus calculated using the Hertz model with 1 Å depth is closer to the value calculated using Oliver and Pharr (OP) model compared to the value calculated using the Hertz model with 2 Å depth as shown in Fig. 6b. The reduced modulus shows the same behaviour as the hardness, it decreases as the temperature increases. Lebedev et al. [24] experimentally found Young's modulus for copper of approximately 116–126 GPa at the range of temperature of 473 K and 293 K. Our predicted modulus calculated using the OP model is close to these experimental values. Using the smallest radius of indenter (5 lattice units) produces a lower hardness value than the larger one (10 lattice units) as shown in Fig. 7a. But then the hardness values decrease along with the increase of the indenter radius. However, the values, except for the indenter radius of 10 lattice units, are not significantly different. Qiu et al. [25] also showed that [different indenter radius almost has no effect on the hardness of the material](#) in the MD nanoindentation simulation amorphous alloy. They used [various radii of indenter of 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, and 4.5 nm](#). Increasing indenter radius makes the reduced modulus decreases as presented in Fig. 7b. This is related to the change in the atomic structure during the indentation. In Fig. 5, the simulation using an indenter radius of 5 lattice units shows fewer atoms undergo a structural change from FCC to BCC compared to the simulation using an indenter radius of 25 lattice units at the same temperature. Furthermore, there is no change from FCC structure to HCP structure is observed during the nanoindentation using an indenter with 5 lattice units radius. Fang et al. [3] using MD simulation showed that Young's modulus decrease as the penetration depth increases. A larger indenter radius means deeper penetration depth of the indenter; therefore, the modulus is reduced.

4 Conclusion

Molecular dynamic simulations of nanoindentation on copper have been performed using different indenter radius and at different temperatures. Deformation mechanisms, including structural changes, dislocations, and pile-up of atoms around the indenter, are observed depending on

the indenter radius and temper- ature. Increasing the temperature decreases the hard- ness and reduced modulus. Hardness does not change significantly with the reduction of indenter radius. The reduced modulus decreases with the decreasing of indenter radius. The predicted Young's modulus and hardness are close to previous measurements. [Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. \[Authors' comment: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reason- able request.\]](#) [References](#)

1. Denis Saraev, Ronald E. Miller, Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 13, 1089 (2005)
2. H. Liang, C.H. Woo, H. Huang, A.H.W. Ngan, T.X. Yu, Science 6, 105 (2004)
3. Te-Hua. FANG, Sheng-Rui. JIAN, Der-San. CHUU, pn. J. Appl. Phys. 41, L 1328 (2002)
4. Junhua Zhao, Jin-Wu. Jiang, Timon Rabczuk, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 231913 (2013)
5. Jian Guo, Jingjing Chen, Yongqiang Wang, Ceram. Int. 46, 12686 (2020)
6. Te-Hua. Fang, Cheng-I. Weng, Jee-Gong. Chang, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 357, 7 (2003)
7. J.B. Adams, S.M. Foiles, W.G. Wolfer, J. Mater. Res. 4, 102 (1989)
8. S.M. Foiles, M.I. Baskes, M.S. Daw, Phys. Rev. B 33, 7983 (1986)
9. M.S. Daw et al., Mater. Sci. Rep. 9, 251 (1993)
10. T. Zhu, J. Li, K.J. Van Vliet, S. Ogata, S. Yip, S. Suresh, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 52, 691 (2004)
11. Anthony C. Fischer-Cripps, Nanoindentation Testing (Springer, New York, 2011)
12. Muhammad Imran et al., Chin. Phys. B 21, 116201 (2012)
13. Saurav Goel et al., J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 47, 275304 (2014)
14. Daniel Faken, Hannes Jónsson, Comput. Mater. Sci. 2, 279 (1995)
15. S.J. Plimpton, J. Comput. Phys. 117, 1 (1995)
16. A. Stukowski, Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 18, 015012 (2010)
17. W.C. Oliver, G.M. Pharr, J. Mater. Res. 7, 1564 (1992)
18. K.L. Johnson, Contact Mechanics (Cambridge Univer- sity Press, Cambridge, 1985)
19. Yannick Champion, Cyril Langlois, Sandrine Guérin- Mailly, Patrick Langlois, Jean-Louis. Bonnentien, Mar- tin J. Hÿtch, Science 300, 310 (2003)
20. M. Haouaoui, I. Karaman, H.J. Maier, K.T. Hartwig, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 35 A, 2935 (2004)
21. Y.J. Li, W. Blum, F. Breutingger, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 387–389, 585 (2004)
22. V.P. Krashchenko, O.B. Oksametnaya, Strength Mater. 16, 209 (1984)
23. Z. Huang, L.Y. Gu, J.R. Weertman, Scripta Mater. 37, 1071 (1997)
24. A.B. Lebedev, Yu.A. Burenkov, A.E. Romanov, V.I. Kopylov, V.P. Filonenko, V.G. Gryaznov, Mater. Sci. Eng., A 203, 165 (1995)
25. Chen Qiu, Pengzhe Zhu, Fengzhou Fang, Dandan Yuan, Xuecen Shen, Appl. Surf. Sci. 305, 101 (2014)

Eur. Phys. J. B (2021)94:237 237 [Page 2 of 7 Eur. Phys. J. B \(2021\)94:237](#) Eur. Phys. J. B (2021)94:237 Page 3 of 7 237 237 [Page 4 of 7 Eur. Phys. J. B \(2021\)94:237](#) [Eur. Phys. J. B \(2021\)94:237](#) [Page 5 of 7 237 237](#) [Page 6 of 7 Eur. Phys. J. B \(2021\)94:237](#) [Eur. Phys. J. B \(2021\)94:237](#) [Page 7 of 7 237 123 123 123 123 123 123](#)