					als	o developed by scimago		STITUTIONS RANI
SJR Scimago Journal & Country Rar	k					Enter Journ	al Title, ISSN or Publisher	Name
	Home Jo	ournal Rankings	Country Ra	nkings Viz To	ols Hel	About Us		
÷								
Uncertain Supply Chain	Manag	jement						
COUNTRY	SUBJECT A	REA AND CATEGOR	Y	PUBLISHER		H-INDEX	r.	
							_	
Canada	Business	, Management a ng	and	Growing Scienc	e	19)	
Universities and research institutions in Canada	— Busin Mana	ess and Interna gement	tional					
Media Ranking in Canada	— Mana Syste	gement Informa ms	ation					
. «	- Strate	egy and Manage	ment					
	Decision — Mana	Sciences gement Science	e and					
	Opera — Statis	ations Research stics, Probability	and					
	Unce	rtainty						
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN			COVERAGE				
Journals	2291682	2, 22916830		2013-2021				
Quartiles								₩
Business and International Management								
Management Information Systems								
Management Science and Operations Research Statistics. Probability and Uncertainty								
Strategy and Management								
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 2021	_
SJR	☆ ⊞	Total Docume	ents		₩	Citations per document		☆ ⊞
0.6		150				3		
0.4		100					\sim	
0.2		50				2.4		7
0		0		_		18		
2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 20	20 2021	2013	2015 2	017 2019	2021	1.9		
						1.2		
🔵 Total Cites 🛛 🛑 Self-Cites	≫ ⊞	External Cites	s per Doc 🔵 Ci	tes per Doc	\\$ ⊞	0.6		
400	/	4				U.0		
200						0		
200		2				2013 2015	2017 2019	2021
0	_	0		-		Cites / Doc. (4 years)		
2013 2015 2017 2019	2021	2013	2015 20	17 2019	2021	 Cites / Doc. (3 years) Cites / Doc. (2 years) 		



The effect of amended order on firm resilience through supply chain coordination

 O 01 as Journal
 Suncertain Supply Chain Management

 Author Order : 3 of 4
 Creator : Siagian H.

 D 2022
 I 1 cited

The effect of perceived security, perceived ease of use, and perceived usefulness on consumer behavioral intention through trust in digital payment platform

 O q1 as Journal
 International Journal of Data and Network Science

 Author Order : 3 of 4
 Creator : Siagian H.

 C 2022
 I 4 cited

The role of top management commitment in enhancing competitive advantage: The mediating role of green innovation, supplier, and customer integration

 O Q1 as Journal
 Incertain Supply Chain Management

 Author Order : 3 of 3
 Creator : Siagian H.

 I 2022
 I 1 cited

Article Quartile		Research Outpu	ıt
0.3:4 0.2:1	21:10 onference		Other
	Scopus	GScholar	WOS
Article	Scopus 17	GScholar 94	wos 0
Article Citation	Scopus 17 69	GScholar 94 502	WOS 0 0
Article Citation Cited Document	Scopus 17 69 14	GScholar 94 502 60	WOS 0 0
Article Citation Cited Document H-Index	Scopus 17 69 14 4	65cholar 94 502 60 11	WOS 0 0

BEALL'S LIST OF POTENTIAL PREDATORY JOURNALS AND PUBLISHERS

	PUBLISHERS	STAN	IDALONE JOURNALS	VANITY	PRESS CONT	ACT OTHER
Search for	publishers (name	e or URL)				
Potential	predator	y scho	larly open-a	ccess p	ublishers	Useful pages
Instructions: firs webpage or in ti	t, find the journal he "About" section	's publishe I. Then sim	r – it is usually written ply enter the publisher	at the botto 's name or it	om of the journal's ts URL in the search	List of journals falsely claiming to be indexed by DOAJ
box above. If the All journals pub	e journal does not lished by a predat	: have a pu tory publis	blisher use the Standa her are potentially pred	lone Journal datory unles	s list. s stated otherwise.	DOAI: Journals added and removed
Original	list				GO TO UPDATE	Nonrecommended medical

This is an archived version of the Beall's list – a list of potential predatory publishers created by a librarian Jeffrey Beall. We will only update links and add notes to this list.

Nonrecommended medical periodicals

Retraction Watch

- 1088 Email Press
- 2425 Publishers
- The 5th Publisher
- ABC Journals
- A M Publishers
- Abhinav
- Academe Research Journals
- Academia Publishing
- Academia Research
- Academia Scholarly Journals (ASJ)
- Academic and Business Research Institute
- Academic and Scientific Publishing
- Academic Direct Publishing House
- Academic Journals
- Academic Journals and Research ACJAR
- Academic Journals Online (AJO)
- Academic Journals, Inc.
- Academic Knowledge and Research Publishing
- Academic Organization for Advancement of Strategic and International Studies (Academic OASIS)
-
- Academic Publications, Ltd.
- Academic Research in Science, Engineering, Art and Management (ARSEAM)
- Academic Research Journals
- Academic Research Publishers (ARPUB)
- Academic Research Publishing Agency
- Academic Research Publishing Group
- Academic Research Publishing House
- Academic Scholars Publishing House
- Academic Sciences
- Academic Star Publishing Company [also here]
- Academic Web Publishers (AWP)
- Academic World Education & Research Center
- AcademicDirect Publishing House
- Academicians' Research Center (ARC) (ARC Journals)
- Academics World
- Academy for Environment and Life Sciences
- Academy Journals
- The Academy of Business & Retail Management (ABRM)
- Academy of Business & Scientific Research (ABSR)
- Academy of IRMBR International Research in Management and Business Realities

Flaky Academic Journals Blog

List of scholarly publishing stings

Conferences

Questionable conferences [archive]

How to avoid predatory conferences

Flaky Academic Conferences Blog

Evaluating journals

- Journal Evaluation Tool
- JCR Master Journal List

DOAJ Journal Search

Think Check Submit

- Greenfield Advanced Research Publishing House
- Growing Science Publishing Company (note: this publisher's journals are in the DOAJ database, which means it's likely not predatory)
- GS Publishers
- GSB Life Sciences
- Gurpukur Research Institute (GPRI) (g-Science)
- Gyancity Research Lab Private Limited
- Halmac Research [Link dead as of 2016-05-23]
- Hans Publishers, Inc. (HansPub, 汉斯)
- Har Krishan Bhalla & Sons (HKB Publications)
- Heighten Science Publications (HSP)
- Helics Scientific Network (Helics Group)
- Hendun Research Access
- Henry Publishing Group
- Herald International Research Journals
- Herald Scholarly Open Access
- Herbert Open Access Journals (Herbert Publications)
- Hikari Ltd.
- Hilaris
- Hind Agri-Horticultural Society (HAHS ; HIND Institutes ; Research Journals)
- Horizon Journals
- Horizon Research Publishing
- Human and Sciences Publications (HumanPub)
- Human Resource Management Academic Research Society (HRMARS)
- i-Explore International Research Journals Consortium (IIRJC
- IAMURE Multidisciplinary Research
- ibai-publishing
- IBIMA Publishing
- ICGST
- iConcept Press Ltd.
- ICTACT Journal (note: this publisher's journals are indexed in DOAJ, so it is likely not a predatory publisher)
- Id Press / ID Design
- IERI & PRESS
- IGM Publication
- IIARD Publication Company (International Institute of Academic Research and Development (IIARD))
- iJARS Group
- Ijbsse.org
- ijManager Online Journal Management
- IJRCM
- IMED Research Publications
- iMedPharm



The primary aim of this publishing company is to perform fast and reliable process for contributors. Once a paper is accepted, our staffs work hard to provide online version of the papers as quickly as possible. All papers are assigned valid DOI number once they appear online just to make sure that the other people researchers cite them while no volume and numbers are still assigned to the papers. We believe this could help the existing knowledge grow faster; however, the actual publication of a paper with volume and number will not exceed more than 4 months.

Uncertain Supply Chain Management is an open access journal, which provides instant access to the full text of research papers without any need for a subscription to the journal where the papers are published. Therefore, anyone has the opportunity to copy, use, redistribute, transmit/display the work publicly and to distribute derivative works, in any sort of digital form for any responsible purpose, subject to appropriate attribution of authorship. Authors who publish their articles may also maintain the copyright of their articles.

Uncertain Supply Chain Management applies the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to works we publish (read the human-readable summary or the full license legal code). Under this license, authors keep ownership of the copyright for their content, but permit anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute and/or copy the content as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is needed from the authors or the publishers. Appropriate attribution can be provided by simply citing the original article (e.g., Fereiduni, M., & Hamzehee, M. (2016). A P-robust model in humanitarian logistics in a non-neutral political environment. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 4*(4), 249-262. DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2016.5.003). For any reuse or redistribution of a work, users have to also make clear the license terms under which the work was published. This broad license was developed to facilitate free access to, and unrestricted reuse of, original works of all kinds. Applying this standard license to your own work will ensure that it is freely and openly available in perpetuity.

Uncertain Supply Chain management is indexed by Scopus and Scimago ranking .





DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.2.002

Keywords: Green supply chain management, Big data analytics, Environmental orientation



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*

Open Access Article

The influence of tangible resources and operational performance to promote financial performance of electronic industry Pages: 315-324

3. S10-524 Sasiwimon Wongwilai, Sarawut Putnuan, Ananya Banyongpisut, Watanyu Choopak, Chatchai Sutikasana, Kitichai Wongcharoensin, Metha Oungthong, Lamphai Trakoonsanti and Kittisak Jermsittiparsert PDF (360K)

Abstract: The objective of this study is to examine the role of tangible resources and operational performance (OP) in the financial performance (FP). This study examined the relationship between tangible resources, OP, supply chain, profitability and sustainable FP. Furthermore, this study examined the mediation effect of supply chain and profitability. Indonesian electronic companies were selected in the current study for data collection. Therefore, the population is grounded on the Indonesian electronic companies and data were collected from the employees of these companies. 600 questionnaires were used in this study for data collection. Modeling (SEM). Results of the study highlighted that resources are the major role in FP. Particularly, the tangible resources of the company are vital to enhance the performance in financial terms. Tangible resources have a positive effect on OP, supply chain and profitability. Furthermore, OP has a positive effect on supply chain and profitability which further increases the FP.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.2.001

Keywords: Electronic Companies, Tangible Resources, Operational Performance, Supply Chain, Profitability, Sustainable Financial Performance



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*

Open Access Article

4. Does electronic customer relationship management (E-CRM) affect service quality at private hospitals in Jordan? Pages: 325-332 Muhammad Turki Alshurideh 🔁 PDF (360K)

Abstract: The study's goal is to see how electronic customer relationship management affects the quality of service at private hospitals in Jordan. The dimensions of customer relationship management (CRM) represented by website design, website search, privacy, security, and service delivery on time, while the dimensions of quality of service include reliability, responsiveness, assurance, and empathy. It focused on private hospitals in Jordan. Data were primarily gathered through self-reported questionnaires created by Google Forms distributed to a purposive sample of inpatients via email. The statistical program AMOSv24 was used to test the study hypotheses. The results demonstrated that electronic customer relationship management had a positive impact on service quality. Considering the results, the researchers recommend improving the degree of its practice of managing electronic customer relations by reconsidering its websites in terms of focusing on the website design and its ease of use by patients and the possibility of searching the website.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.1.006

Keywords: Service Quality, Electronic Customer Relationship Management, Private hospitals, E-CRM, Jordan



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)

Open Access Article

Knowledge integration and entrepreneurial capabilities for sustainable competitive advantage through supply chain management

5. Pages: 333-344

Yul Maulini, Erna Maulina, Margo Purnomo and Muhamad Rizal 🔂 PDF (360K)

Abstract: Sustainable Competitive Advantage (SCA) is very much needed in the development of the business world. This study aims to determine the model of increasing the SCA variable with Entrepreneurial Capability (EC) and Knowledge Integration Capability (KIC) directly or through Supply Chain Management (SCM) variables indirectly so that the objectives of SCA in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) can be achieved effectively. The research method used is a quantitative method with a structural model type using the SmartPLS version 3.2 program. The population in this study were all 2,296 administrators and members of IPEMI West Java. The sampling method used is random sampling. Data collection techniques using questionnaires were addressed to 360 respondents and 344 respondents were properly collected. The results show that EC influenced SCA with a T statistics score of 3.971, EC for SCM was 4.858, KIC for EC was 13.874, KIC for SCA was 1.886, KIC against SCM was 7.876, and SCM against SCA was 7.796 and it can be concluded that KIC to SCA can be significant if it is through SCM.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.1.005

Keywords: Knowledge Integration Capability, Entrepreneurial Capability, Supply Chain Management, Sustainable Competitive Advantage



7

© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*

Open Access Article

Minimization of multiproduct fabrication cost featuring rework, commonality, external provider, and postponement Pages: 353-364

Peng-Cheng Sung, Chia-Ming Lai, Yunsen Wang and Yuan-Shyi P. Chiu 🔁 PDF (360K)

Abstract: This study presents a multiproduct fabrication cost-minimization model featuring external providers, commonality, rework, and postponement in the supply chain environment. Customers' requirements simultaneously emphasize quality, variety, and fast response time in current markets. To satisfy customer needs, most manufacturers in various industries (e.g., clothing, household goods, automotive, etc.) plan their multiproduct fabrication by incorporating a postponement strategy, rework process, and an outsourcing option. Motivated by the viewpoints above, this study offers a decision support system to address customers' external expectations while optimizing internal operating expenses and machine utilization. We propose a single-machine, two-stage delayed differentiation system under a rotation cycle policy. All needed common parts are made in stage one, and stage two fabricates different end products. An external provider is hired to supply partially needed common parts to shorten uptime. The defective items are inevitably produced in both stages. They are categorized and reworked to maintain the desired product quality. Finally, we derive an optimal cost-minimization rotation cycle for our model and use a numerical example to investigate the collective and individual influences of reworking, postponement, and outsourcing to external providers on the multiproduct fabrication solution for production planning in various modern industries.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.1.003

Keywords: Multiproduct fabrication, Supply chain, Commonality, External provider, Postponement



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)

Open Access Article

The role of sustainable HRM in supply chain, profitability and resource utilization Pages: 365-374

Phutthiwat Waiyawuththanapoom and Kittisak Jermsittiparsert 🔂 PDF (360K)

Abstract: The objective of this study was to examine the role of sustainable human resources management (HRM) in supply chain, profitability and resource utilization. The mediating role of operational accuracy was also examined. Finally, the relationship between sustainable HRM, operational accuracy, supply chain, profitability and resource utilization were also examined. The relationship was investigated among the cement manufacturing companies and cement manufacturing companies of Indonesian were selected for the purpose of this study. Finally, data were collected from the Indonesian companies and employees of these companies were the respondents of the study. Finally, 450 questionnaires were distributed among the cement manufacturing companies. The results of the study show that sustainable HRM has a major role to increase operational accuracy. Sustainable HRM practices had a positive effect on supply chain, profitability and resource utilization. Moreover, operational accuracy maintained a positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization among the cement manufacturing companies. Moreover, sustainable HRM showed positive role in operational accuracy and operational accuracy showed positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization among the cement manufacturing companies. Moreover, sustainable HRM showed positive role in operational accuracy and operational accuracy showed positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization accuracy and operational accuracy showed positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization accuracy showed positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization accuracy and operational accuracy showed positive role to enhance supply chain, profitability and resource utilization.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.1.002

Keywords: Cement industry, Sustainable HRM, Operational accuracy, Supply chain, profitability, Resource utilization



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*



The role of supply chain visibility, supply chain flexibility, supplier development on business performance of logistics companies

18. 🔲 Pages: 463-470

Faurani Santi Singagerda, Achmad Tito Fauzan and Andi Desfiandi 🗖 PDF (360K)

Abstract: This study aims to determine the effect of supply chain visibility on business performance, to determine the effect of supply chain flexibility on business performance and to determine the effect of supplier development on business performance. The sample of respondents in this study were 120 respondents from logistics companies in Tangerang. Type of research is quantitative research. The data analysis technique used structural equation modeling and SmartPLS for analyzing data. The data collection method in this study was carried out using a survey method, namely by distributing online questionnaires to respondents in the form of questions. The data collection method in this study is simple random sampling. The results of the analysis that have been carried out concluded that Inventory Control, Supply Chain Flexibility, Supply Chain Visibility, Supplier Development had positive and significant influence on business performance.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.12.005

Keywords: Supply chain visibility, Supply chain flexibility, Supplier development, Business performance



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*



19. Human resource competencies, supply chain management, and financial performance Pages: 471-476

Candra Wahyu Hidayat, Sulistyo, Sri Wilujeng, Yustina Chrismardani and Wahab 💆 PDF (360K)

Abstract: This research was conducted on SMEs in Indonesia, by prioritizing the local wisdom of the Indonesian nation as a tropical, agrarian country, with SMEs owners who process fruits and vegetables into snacks as respondents. The study involved 243 respondents, to determine the partial and simultaneous influence of human resource competences and supply chain management on financial performance. The reason for doing this research is because some of the research that has been done by previous researchers still discusses this on corporate and not on SMEs. However, market conditions have changed. SMEs need to be managed professionally, by minimizing expenses to maximize revenue, taking into account risk, resource capabilities, in flexible ways as well as low-cost. The results showed that there was a significant influence on the financial performance of SMEs with the presence of human resource competences and supply chain management either partially or simultaneously.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.12.004

Keywords: Human resource competencies, Supply chain management, Financial performance, SMEs



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. *Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)*

Open Access Article

The role of top management commitment in enhancing competitive advantage: The mediating role of green innovation, supplier, and customer

20. integration Pages: 477-494

Hotlan Siagian, Zeplin Jiwa Husada Tarigan and Sautma Ronni Basana 🖆 PDF (360K)

Abstract: Recently, environmental protection is emerging as global warming is becoming an increasing issue of concern worldwide. In addition, the world society has shifted its preference from the conventional product to the environmental-friendly product. But, on the contrary, the manufacturing industry management is still in doubt whether the green practices benefit the company, given that it requires a lot of capital investment and culture change. This study examines the impact of top management commitment on competitive advantage with the mediating role of supplier integration, customer integration, and green innovation. The data collection used a questionnaire designed with a five-point Likert scale to measure the objective opinion of the respondents against the item statement by choosing the predetermined measurement scale starting with 1: strongly disagree up to 5: strongly agree. Questionnaires were created in Google Form link and distributed to 600 respondents via email, WhatsApp groups, and Facebook. This survey received 285 responses (response rate 47.50%) considered valid for further analysis. Furthermore, the data were analyzed using the partial least square (PLS) technique using SmartPLS software version 3.0. The result revealed that top management commitment directly influences supplier integration, green innovation, customer integration. Top management commitment did not directly affect competitive advantage. Instead, competitive advantages were affected by supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. Similarly, green innovation was supported by supplier integration and customer integration. In addition, top management commitment indirectly affects competitive advantage through supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. This result implies that top management commitment plays a vital role and has multiple effects in enhancing competitive advantage through establishing strategy and policies. This result provides a practical contribution on how the manufacturing companies could improve competitive advantage and at the same time concerns the environmental protection through green innovation. This study could also enrich and extend the acceptance of the recent research in the context of the manufacturing industry. Finally, this work has some limitations, particularly regarding the population and the variables involved. Further studies on the current topic are suggested to apply the variables such as digital and technology capability as these issues are currently in the growing stage. Future research is also suggested to cover a broader population such as the service and health industry.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.12.003

Keywords: Top management commitment, Supplier integration, Green innovation, Customer integration, Competitive advantage



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)



21. The effect of digital supply chain on organizational performance: An empirical study in Malaysia manufacturing industry Pages: 495-510 Khai Loon Lee, Nurul Ain Najiha Azmi, Jalal Rajeh Hanaysha, Haitham M. Alzoubi and Muhammad Turki Alshurideh DPF (360K)

Abstract: Nowadays, global technologies, especially digital things, have become an important tool for businesses to maintain feasible partnerships and build a great value connection with other companies. New digital technologies that are emerging every day are on their way to affect nearly all business processes and activities. This study investigates the effect of the digital supply chain on the supply chain and organization performance in the Malaysia manufacturing industry. This paper also further assesses the mediating effect of supply chain performance in the relationship between the digital supply chain and the organizational performance in the Malaysia manufacturing industry. The objectives are achieved via quantitative research design. The researchers emailed the online survey questionnaire to 1160 manufacturing companies listed in the Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers (FMM) directory via stratified sampling technique and received 63 responses. The data was analyzed by using the Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). Three hypotheses are not supported and seven hypotheses are supported, which includes all the hypotheses of moderating effect. The manufacturing companies in Malaysia can consider adopting the DSC in the business process to remain reliable in the competitive market by providing good supply chain performance and best organizational performance as a whole. The implication of the study is given to academics and practitioners, specifically manufacturing companies. The limitations and the recommendation for future study have been highlighted.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.12.002

Keywords: Digital Supply Chain, Digitalization, Supply Chain Management, Technology Implementation, Supply Chain Performance, Organizational Performance, Malaysia Manufacturing Industry



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)



The role of human resource management and supply chain process in sustainable business performance Pages: 517-526

23. Phutthiwat Waiyawuththanapoom, Sotarat Thammaboosadee, Pimploi Tirastittam, Kittisak Jermsittiparsert, Chalat Wongsanguan, Patsara Sirikamonsin and Wissawa Aunyawong 🔁 PDF (360K)

Abstract: The objective of this study is to examine the role of HRM and supply chain to promote business performance (BP) sustainability in Thai textile firms. Meditating role of employee skills and raw material is examined. Therefore, the relationship between HRM, supply chain, employee skills, raw material and BP sustainability was examined. Population of the study is textile firms of Thailand. Employees of these textile firms were selected as respondents. 300 questionnaires were distributed among the textile firms of Thailand. Results of the study shows the positive role of HRM in BP sustainability. Better practices of HRM have the ability to promote BP sustainability. HRM has a positive role in employee skills development which further enhances BP sustainability. Moreover, supply chains in textile firms also play a major role to promote BP sustainability. Better supply chain increases the availability of raw material which causes BP sustainability.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.11.011

Keywords: Human Resource Management, Supply Chain, Employee Skills, Raw Material, Business Performance, Sustainability



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)

Open Access Article

The relationship between passion, planning, goal setting, target achievement and sustainable supply chain in food industry Pages: 527-536

24. 📕 Anchalee Hiranphaet, Tommanee Suksai, Karnnapat Chumkad, Ratchaneewan Sujarit, Pongtep Phudeich, Sirion Son-ong, Ronnakorn Vaiyavuth, Kittisak Jermsittiparsert and Rungkarn Siliboon 🔁 PDF (360K)

Abstract: Food industry has vital importance globally in which supply chain sustainability plays a key role. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the role of passion, planning, goal setting and target achievement in a sustainable supply chain. This study examined the relationship between passion, planning, goal setting, target achievement and sustainable supply chain among the food industry of Indonesia. Questionnaire survey was preferred, and 500 questionnaires were used for data collection. Findings of the study concluded that passion has a positive role in target achievement and an insignificant effect on sustainable supply chain. Planning has a positive effect on target achievement and sustainable supply chain. Moreover, goal setting has a positive role in target achievement and no role in supply chain. Furthermore, target achievement has a significant mediating role. Finally, the relationship between passion, planning, goal setting, target achievement and sustainable supply chain has valuable contributions to the literature.

DOI: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.11.010

Keywords: Passion, Planning, Goal Setting, Target Achievement, Sustainable Supply Chain, Food Industry



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)

Open Access Article

Investigating the impact of benefits and challenges of IOT adoption on supply chain performance and organizational performance: An empirical 25 study in Malaysia Pages: 537-550

Khai Loon Lee, Puteri Nurhazira Romzi, Jalal Rajeh Hanaysha, Haitham M. Alzoubi and Muhammad Alshurideh 🗾 PDF (360K)

Abstract: In Malaysia, manufacturing industry is a major contributor to the economic advancement. As a result, cutting-edge technology like the internet of things (IoT) is projected to have a significant impact on business operations and supply chain management (SCM). However, research into the influence of IoT deployment on supply chains and organizational performance is relatively sparse. Therefore, this study is to determine the relationship between benefits and challenges of IoT adoption and organizational performance. Furthermore, this study looks into the mediating role of supply chain performance in the relationship between IoT adoption benefits and challenges and organizational performance. The population of this study is comprised of 3019 manufacturing companies in Malaysia, while the minimum sample size needed is 43 manufacturing companies.1160 complete set of survey questionnaire were distributed through email and 63 responses received representing five per cent of response rate. Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) is used to assess all of the study's hypotheses. The results of this paper support six out of the seven hypotheses tested. In conclusion, the manufacturing industry in Malaysia needs to be exposed more to the benefits of IoT rather than keep discussing its challenges. This study can be a guideline to the manufacturing companies in decision making for IoT adoption. The limitations and recommendation for future study is highlighted.

DOI: 10.5267/i.uscm.2021.11.009

Keywords: Internet of Things, Organizational performance, Supply chain performance, Malaysia manufacturing industry



© 2010-2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the license. Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY)



Contents lists available at GrowingScience

Uncertain Supply Chain Management

homepage: www.GrowingScience.com/uscm

The role of top management commitment in enhancing competitive advantage: The mediating role of green innovation, supplier, and customer integration

Hotlan Siagian^a, Zeplin Jiwa Husada Tarigan^{a*} and Sautma Ronni Basana^a

^aMaster Management, Petra Christian University, Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received October 1, 2021 Received in revised format October 25, 2021 Accepted December 6 2021 Available online December 6 2021 Keywords: Top management commitment

Supplier integration Green innovation Customer integration Competitive advantage

Recently, environmental protection is emerging as global warming is becoming an increasing issue of concern worldwide. In addition, the world society has shifted its preference from the conventional product to the environmental-friendly product. But, on the contrary, the manufacturing industry management is still in doubt whether the green practices benefit the company, given that it requires a lot of capital investment and culture change. This study examines the impact of top management commitment on competitive advantage with the mediating role of supplier integration, customer integration, and green innovation. The data collection used a questionnaire designed with a five-point Likert scale to measure the objective opinion of the respondents against the item statement by choosing the predetermined measurement scale starting with 1: strongly disagree up to 5: strongly agree. Questionnaires were created in Google Form link and distributed to 600 respondents via email, WhatsApp groups, and Facebook. This survey received 285 responses (response rate 47.50%) considered valid for further analysis. Furthermore, the data were analyzed using the partial least square (PLS) technique using SmartPLS software version 3.0. The result revealed that top management commitment directly influences supplier integration, green innovation, customer integration. Top management commitment did not directly affect competitive advantage. Instead, competitive advantages were affected by supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. Similarly, green innovation was supported by supplier integration and customer integration. In addition, top management commitment indirectly affects competitive advantage through supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. This result implies that top management commitment plays a vital role and has multiple effects in enhancing competitive advantage through establishing strategy and policies. This result provides a practical contribution on how the manufacturing companies could improve competitive advantage and at the same time concerns the environmental protection through green innovation. This study could also enrich and extend the acceptance of the recent research in the context of the manufacturing industry. Finally, this work has some limitations, particularly regarding the population and the variables involved. Further studies on the current topic are suggested to apply the variables such as digital and technology capability as these issues are currently in the growing stage. Future research is also suggested to cover a broader population such as the service and health industry.

© 2022 Growing Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Today, there has been a growing global concern for environmental preservation and the adoption of a green approach in numerous areas, such as green innovation. The Paris Climate Change accord took effect last November 2016; concerns about green practices such as green innovation have emerged and become the global requirement for the company to go global as proof that they had implemented an environmental protection management system. The adoption of the green * Corresponding author E-mail address: <u>zeplin@petra.ac.id</u> (Z. J. H. Tarigan)

© 2022 Growing Science Ltd. All rights reserved. doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.12.003

concept, also called friendly- environment concerns profound climate change worldwide. In addition, there has been a prerequisite that any company going to the global market should be committed to environmental protection by practicing the ISO standard on the environmental protection management system proved by ISO 14000 certification. This certification obligated the company to adopt the environmental protection system by adopting eco-product design and eco-process. The pressure from Global warming and Market requirements has also pushed the company to adopt the green concept of friendlyenvironment such as green innovation. Green innovation adoption has also been fuelled by government regulations, external stakeholder pressures, and cost savings. Many countries, such as Indonesia, pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and have passed the Environmental Protection Law and Policy. However, the law's and policy's implementation has not gone as planned. Moreover, practitioners have different understandings on this issue, particularly in terms of the benefit for the company from this green adoption because it necessitates investment and new management philosophy, especially in manufacturing industries. At the same time, the scholarship has conducted various studies to define the green innovation concept and its impact on company performance and competitive advantage. As a result, a different definition of green innovation has also emerged. A bibliometric analysis study on green innovation has indicated the extended studies on the definition of green innovation, its antecedents, and its outcomes for the company's benefit (Albort-Morant et al., 2017). This study showed that extant studies have various definitions, its antecedent as the key driver of green innovation, and the outcome benefit for the company. But those definitions have a goal in common: green innovation is destined to develop or create a product or process that does not harm the environment. Looking at the antecedent key driver of the green innovation, (Albort-Morant et al., 2017) has summarized several antecedent variables such as green supply chain, customer pressure, information technology, and organization top management commitment. However, relatively little literature is concerned with the relationship of top management commitment as an antecedent of green innovation and competitive advantage as the outcome. On the outcome side of the green innovation, researchers indicated the outcome of green innovation such as financial performance, green environment performance, customer capital, and competitive advantage. Compared with other outcomes, competitive advantage is relatively fewer than others. Therefore, this study concerns extending the research regarding the top management commitment as the antecedent, and competitive advantage as the outcome. As a short review, studies undertaken so far have postulated that green innovation could help companies gain a competitive advantage and improve performance (Díaz-García et al., 2015). At the same time, many businesses are pursuing green innovation in response to government environmental policies and the international environmental paradigm (Chen et al., 2006). Furthermore, organizations that adopt green innovation would benefit from a higher price from customers (Yildiz Çankaya & Sezen, 2018).

On the other hand, green innovation implementation requires other parties such as suppliers and customers, which enables and supports the implementation of green innovation. Green innovation adoption necessitates collaboration with suppliers to ensure that all raw material or components requirements comply with the green orientation (Tarigan et al., 2021b). Collaboration or integration with suppliers allows both parties to use the friendly-environmental raw material on the product and prevent any hazardous waste and high energy consumption during the production process. Supplier integration allows the organization and supplier to work together through information sharing and shared choices, allowing for green innovation adoption (Shou et al., 2018). Studies have also indicated that collaboration with suppliers necessitates a longterm agreement between top management and a clear commitment. According to (Lockström et al., 2010), senior management commitment is critical in maintaining supplier relationships. Green innovation also necessitates collaboration with demand-side or downstream supply-chain partners such as distributors and customers. Customer integration is defined as how a corporation engages with its customers to improve product specification and enable joint planning such as product design (Wong et al., 2011). Establishing a collaboration with its major customer could easily communicate the idea and the future product design. In addition, the customer could provide an insight to the company concerning the product specification expected by the customer. In addition, the dedication of top management is critical in building customer integration (Tarigan et al., 2020a). The above discussion has revealed that green innovation has emerged as a requirement today and in the future in the business environment and social life. However, green innovation requires a new policy within the organization and support from suppliers and customers. Besides new policies, resources allocation, a new procedure, and new technology or system are needed. Therefore, top management commitment is required to succeed in green innovation, supplier integration, and customer integration.

Based on the above discussion and the review of the sextant studies, this study observed that further empirical research is still required to enrich the previous studies focusing on the green innovation concerning the antecedent driver, which is top management commitment the outcome corresponding to the competitive advantage. For this purpose, his study creates a new model involving five constructs to examine the effect of top management commitment in enhancing the competitive advantage through the mediation of supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. The novelty of this study is the new model, which examines the relationship of top management commitment, green innovation, supplier integration, customer integration, and competitive advantage simultaneously. The findings of this study are expected to provide insights useful for the manager in enhancing the competitive edge while considering green environmental sustainability. This study, therefore, raised two main streams of research goals: examining the extended acceptance of the direct relationship between two constructs, examining the mediating role of the supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration in the relationship between top management commitment and competitive advantage.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 deals with the literature review and hypotheses development, while Section 3 discusses the methodology, explaining the population, sampling, and data analysis technique. The analysis and result are described in section 4, which deals with the statistical result to assess the measurement and inner model. Section 5 follows with the discussion, which interprets the result of the analysis. Finally, Section 6 deals with the conclusion withdrawal based on the outcome and discussion.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Green innovation

Previously, innovation was defined as generating value through improved efficiency, productivity, and performance. In contrast, green innovation creates value by paying attention to the environment as expected by customers, industries, and companies through product and process innovation (Albort-Morant et al., 2017) (Charmondusit et al., 2016). Green innovation could be performed physically through product improvement or non-physical innovation through process improvement using technology that improves efficiency, pollution prevention, waste recycling, environmentally friendly product design, environmentally friendly packaging, and environmental management (Chen et al., 2006). According to (Bhardwaj 2016); (Wong 2012), there are two dimensions of green innovation: green product innovation; and green process innovation. Green product innovation is achieved with innovative design ideas, producing new environmentally friendly products better than conventional innovation. Green innovation is driven by implementing environmental regulations to meet customers' expectations about ecological concerns (Bekk et al., 2015). Green process innovation is related to innovation in products and or processes that do not harm the environment in energy savings, prevention of environmental pollution, waste recycling, and not producing toxic materials (Chen et al., 2006). The level of implementation of environmental sustainability has become a necessity today. In addition to being regulated by every country, concern for environmental sustainability has also become part of customer demand for environmentally friendly products and processes. Among the various definitions, (Franceschini et al., 2016) defined green innovation as using new or upgraded processes, technologies, systems, and products to mitigate or eliminate harmful environmental effects. According to Xie et al. (2019), green innovation is divided into green process and green product innovation. The traditional approach to product development aims to maximize profit (Thomé & Scavarda, 2015). Recent research shows that green innovation is oriented towards product and process innovation that does not damage the environment (Chen et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2020). In some studies, green innovation is articulated as creating or improving processes, technologies, systems, and products to avoid negative environmental impacts with different approaches (Beise & Rennings, 2005; Kemp & Oltra, 2011; Rennings, 2000). In comparison, the latest research has evolved where the concept of green innovation is oriented to innovation with the latest technology with a wider scope. (Adams et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020) suggested the green innovation be defined as environmentally friendly system management in response to environmental regulations. Barbieri & Santos, (2020) found that SMEs ' eco-friendly business model can contribute to green innovation via a life cycle assessment approach.

Green innovation from various studies provides the same fundamentals, applying the latest technologies in product development and processes for environmentally friendly and community-friendly practices. Green innovation reduces harmful substances resulting from the production process, and the use of the products produced (Amores-Salvadó et al., 2014; Dangelico & Pujari, 2010a; Xie et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2018) has discovered the importance of a green innovation approach through the process and product innovation in a bibliometric study. Research by Chen et al. (2006) defines the green process as process innovation associated with energy saving, pollution prevention, waste recycling, and non-toxic waste. At the same time, product innovation is related to energy-efficient product innovation, pollution prevention, waste recycling, non-toxic products, or green product design. Green process innovation (Govindan et al., 2015) emphasizes linkfriendly production and logistic processes. Meanwhile, (Lopes Santos et al., 2019) emphasized process innovation as an effort to reduce emissions of gas, water waste, and solid materials. Furtherly, (Xie et al., 2019) highlight innovative products, including eco-designing, eco-packaging, and energy efficiency improvement (Amores-Salvadó et al., 2014). Similarly, (Kammerer 2009) defined green product innovation as reducing environmental impacts throughout the product life cycle (e.g., recyclable and biodegradable packaging after production). This study assesses the green process innovation using research by (Roh et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2006, Ekins 2010; Amores-Salvadó et al., 2014). There are four indicators: (GPR1) the company effectively reduces the emission of hazardous substances or waste, (GPR2) the company recycles waste and emission, and re-used it, (GPR3) the company reduces the consumption of water, electricity, coal, or oil, (GPR4) the company minimizes the use of raw materials. While green product innovation adopting research by (Chen et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2019) which consists of (GPD1), the products innovation reduces pollutants or hazardous materials within the production process (GPD3) the product innovation leads to the recycling of waste, water, and materials. (GPD4) The product innovation leads to a reduction in energy use within the production process.

2.2 Top Management Commitment

Top management commitment (TMC) is a term that describes how top management participates in and supports the company's strategy and operations. In this situation, senior management sets and communicates the company's vision and goals, involves and participates in the company's management, allocates resources and time to the company's management,

empowers and encourages staff, and monitors operations to meet goals (Amoako-Gyampah et al., 2018; Caroline et al., 2016; Niehoff et al., 2016; Tzempelikos, 2015). Top management is also defined as a person or group of people who have the authority to supervise and control management, create vision and goals, develop rules and policies, allocate resources, and carry out initiatives (Lewis et al., 2007). The Operation Manager or higher, the Head of Quality and Engineering, and human resources are all part of the operational level of senior management. This study looks at five aspects of top management commitment: communicating the firm's vision and goals (TMC1), involving and participating in operations (TMC2), empowering employees (TMC3), allocating resources (TMC4), and monitoring the actions (TMC5).

2.3 Supplier integration

Close coordination and cooperation between the company and its suppliers exemplify supplier integration (Das et al., 2006; Flynn et al., 2010; Tarigan et al., 2021b). A long-term agreement or partnership via a contract, such as a vendor-managed inventory agreement, could be used to realize the type of integration (Wong et al., 2020). Supplier integration necessitates formal communication and information sharing between parties to achieve the same goal and strategy (Shou et al., 2018). Previous research has revealed that the measurement of supplier integration varies. In terms of information sharing (SI1), collaborative decision making (SI3), and system interconnection (SI4), this study used five indicators (Flynn et al., 2010; Kim & Lee, 2010; Shou et al., 2018).

2.4 Customer integration

Customer integration refers to the extent to which a corporation engages with its customers to improve visibility and enable joint planning (Wong et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2020). Customers can also submit feedback that is used to introduce new products and determine whether to limit or extend product diversity (Tarigan et al., 2021b). Furthermore, Esmaeilian et al. (2016) discuss emerging methods for collecting and storing customers' inputs based on "Big Data" and other IT tools to support decision-making. This study uses five indicators for customer integration (Wong et al., 2011) for customer integration: have a higher level of information sharing with the major customer about market information (CI1), share information to major customers to anticipate demand (CI3), Our customers provide information to us in the procurement and production processes (CI4), Our customers are involved in our product development processes (CI5).

2.5 Competitive advantage

Competitive advantage has several definitions in various scientific articles. Competitive advantage is a value creation strategy that has never existed, and competitors did not do it before (Singh et al., 2018a). Another definition states that competitive advantage is the ability to achieve at least financial advantage compared to competitors in the same business sector (Hili et al., 2017). According to Sigalas et al. (2013), the company gained the capability of competing by using internal forces to take advantage of external opportunities, illuminate threats, and fix internal weaknesses. Companies with various valuable resources, difficult to replicate and organizations that can increase the company's excellence (Barney & Clark, 2007). The firm's performance depends on its capabilities to manage the unique resources (Singh et al., 2018b). These resources should change according to the firms' environmental factors and overall strategy (Akter et al., 2020; Schilke et al., 2017). Furthermore, another study indicated that competitive advantage is the ability of an organization to defend its position over the competitor, which is measured in the form of price/cost, quality, delivery, and flexibility (Li et al., 2006). In addition, Koufteros et al., (2005) describe a research framework for competitive capabilities and define the following five dimensions: competitive pricing, premium pricing, value-to-customer quality, dependable delivery, and product innovation. This study adopted the competitive advantage measurement by Li et al. (2006) that competitive advantage is assessed using five indicators: organization is capable of competing against a major competitor based on low price/cost (CAD1), the organization is capable of offering product quality and performance that creates higher value for customers (CAD2), the organization is capable of providing on-time the type and volume of product required by the customer(s) (CAD3), the organization is capable of introducing innovative new products and features in the marketplace (CAD4), an organization is capable of introducing new products to market faster than major competitors (CAD5).

3. Hypotheses Development

3.1 Top management commitment and supplier integration

When it comes to working with suppliers, top management engagement is essential. The company's leadership decides to engage with suppliers. Because suppliers and companies agree to long-term agreements, implementers cannot integrate with suppliers. Instead, management must do so. Furthermore, the study suggests that top management commitment influences green purchasing, necessitating collaboration with 239 electronic companies in China (Yen & Yen, 2012). Green purchasing is one example of supplier-customer partnership. According to research conducted in China's automotive industry, senior management commitment is critical in sustaining positive relationships with suppliers (Lockström et al., 2010). According to a study conducted in Indonesia's manufacturing business, senior management commitment has a role in setting a purchasing

strategy in partnership with suppliers (Tarigan et al., 2020a). Hypotheses based on the reasoning mentioned above can be expressed as follows:

H1: Top management commitment affects supplier integration.

3.2 Top management commitment and green innovation

The implementation of green innovation requires policies from the top management because it requires investment and new business strategies of the company. A survey on certified 181 exporting manufacturing companies in Turkey found that top management commitment positively and significantly increases the green process innovation (Burki et al., 2019). Research conducted by El-Kassar & Singh, (2017) in 215 respondents working in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region and Gulf-Cooperation Countries (GCC) shows that management commitment influences the implementation of green innovation. (Burki et al., 2019) researching 181 manufacturer-customer relationships in Turkey found that under management commitment is indispensable in the performance of green innovation in terms of process innovation. Another study at 59 3-star hotels in Surabaya, Indonesia, showed that top management commitment affects green performance, where green performance includes the implementation of green innovation in products and processes (Tarigan et al., 2020b). A USA study of 206 companies showed that top management commitment positively influences the implementation of green innovation in companies (Kitsis & Chen, 2021). Research at automotive manufacturing companies in India shows that top management commitment increases the success of green products innovation. Based on the Porter Hypothesis, Hu et al. (2017) found that companies achieve results only by innovating products and processes with pressure from companies. In a similar vein, the emphasized that green innovation is triggered by institutional pushes and pulls while technological pushes can also manifest (Hu et al., 2017; Horbach et al., 2012; Horbach et al., 2012). Therefore, a firm can accomplish green innovation as an environmentally exceptional performance when it has proacted in response to environmental uncertainty and knowledge externalities. This argument leads to the first hypothesis:

H₂: Top management commitment affects green innovation.

Customer integration could be in customer relationship management, and senior management commitment encourages it (Wong et al., 2020). According to Rafiki et al. (2019), top management also supports customer relationship management. Furthermore, Ivandianto & Tarigan (2020) discovered that top management commitment influences customer relationship management in an Indonesian manufacturing business. The following hypothesis is made based on the previous description.

H₃: Top management commitment influences customer integration.

3.3 Top management commitment and competitive advantage

Top management commitment has an essential role in determining company policy. The top management has a responsibility to assess the company's strategy and policies. Concerning the company's strategy, management also decided to allocate the resources needed by the company following the company's procedure and policies in running the business and facing competition (Tarigan et al., 2021b). Therefore, the commitment to peak management is crucial in increasing the competitive advantage of companies. The study conducted on 49 manufacturing companies in Indonesia showed that top management commitment is fundamental in determining the success of companies in building competitive advantage (Tarigan et al., 2020a). Therefore, top management commitment directly or indirectly can increase the competitive advantage of manufacturing companies. Another study states that strategic leadership has a significant impact in building a sustainable competitive advantage (Mahdi & Almsafir, 2014). This research was conducted by surveying 44 private universities in Iraq. Based on the above research findings, the following hypothesis is determined:

H₄: Top management commitment affects competitive advantage.

3.4 Supplier integration and green innovation

Studies conducted on 176 manufacturing companies in China showed that integration with suppliers is needed to apply green innovation in products and processes (Du et al., 2018). In addition, research by Wu (2013) in 211 companies in information technology concluded that integration with suppliers is essential in supporting green innovation in products and processes. Furthermore, based on the questionnaire data from manufacturing companies in three developed economic zones in China, the implementation of the green innovation requires internal cross-department integration and integration with external supply chain partners such as suppliers and customers (Sun & Sun, 2021). Therefore, this argument proposes the fifth hypothesis:

H₅: Supplier integration affects green innovation.

The study by Burki et al. (2019) on the exporting manufacturing companies suggested that customer cooperation positively affects green process innovation. Another survey by Du et al. (2018) also showed that customer integration supports the implementation of green innovation in a study of 176 manufacturing companies in China. Research in Taiwan on 211 companies manufacturing information technology concluded that integration with suppliers is essential in implementing green innovation in products and processes (Wu, 2013). The questionnaire data from manufacturing companies in three developed economic zones in China shows that the implementation of green innovation is in dire need of support from external parties such as suppliers and customers (Sun & Sun, 2021). The above explanation formulated the following hypothesis:

H₆: Customer integration affects green innovation.

3.6 Supplier integration and competitive advantage

A study in the global sector industry shows that supplier integration, such as information sharing between buyers and sellers, allows companies to build competitive advantages such as more efficient processes in the competition (Vanpoucke et al., 2014). Research on 400 managers at manufacturing companies in Malaysia shows that supply chain integration among them is integration with suppliers has an impact on its competitive advantage (Sukati et al., 2012). Other studies show that integration with suppliers increases competitive advantage in better quality, delivery reliability, process flexibility, and customer service at 139 manufacturing companies in China (Feng et al., 2010). The suppliers help these companies to improve product quality, reduce product development costs, accelerate the NPD speed and offer valuable insights on the design of the new products. RBV and KBV also suggest supplier involvement can help a firm sustain its competitive advantage in many ways. First, supplier involvement strengthens the partnerships that help improve the quality of materials. Second, supplier involvement can provide value in cost management. A survey on 135 manufacturing companies domiciled in East Java, Indonesia, revealed that strategic partnership with suppliers followed by a proper purchasing strategy enhanced the firm performance (Tarigan & Siagian, 2021). As purchasing spends more than 50% of the sales dollars, reducing cost through effective management of the cost of inputs to production has great potential (Carr & Pearson, 2002). For example, a company urgently needed specific components in short supply, but it managed to obtain them from a company through its guanxi network. Guanxi relationships are viewed as more reliable than written contracts in China because historically, the unreliable Chinese legal system made it difficult to uphold agreements (Leung et al., 2005). Research by Tarigan et al. (2021a) concluded that supply chain integration, such as suppliers, will improve. The study was conducted on 456 practitioners in the manufacturing industry in Indonesia. The above arguments suggest the following hypotheses:

H7: Supplier integration affects competitive advantage.

3.7 Green innovation and competitive advantage

A survey of 215 respondents working in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) and Gulf-Cooperation Countries, GCC showed that green product and process innovation improved manufacturing companies' performance and competitive advantage (El-Kassar & Singh, 2017). Another study by Sellittoa et al. (2020) on 245 furniture industrial companies located in Southern Brazil indicated that green innovation improved the company's competitive advantage. Concern for environmental impact produces unique products and creates international market opportunities, especially in markets that care about ecological sustainability and improves corporate performance and business turnover in the long term (Boehe & Barin Cruz, 2010). The company will increase its competitive advantage through green innovation over its competitors (Lu et al., 2016; Sellitto et al., 2020). Innovation must create value that has an improved impact on productivity, higher margins, high profits, several values to stakeholders, higher market share, better company image, improved performance in the environmental aspect, and ultimately improve the competitiveness of the company (Bornschlegl et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2012; Tu & Wu, 2021). Organizations are willing to divest on green innovation because it creates new markets and the advantage of competing with a new image as an environmentally friendly company (Chen et al., 2006; Wong, 2012). Furthermore, according to various studies, organizations that achieve green innovation would be able to demand a higher price from customers (Yildiz Çankaya & Sezen, 2018), improve their corporate image (Chen, 2007), and obtain a competitive edge (Xie et al., 2019). Research on 388 manufacturing companies in the field of equipment in China shows that green innovation increases the competitiveness of equipment manufacturing (Zameer et al., 2020). Another study on 327 manufacturing firms of different industry sectors in Taiwan showed that green innovation affects the competitive advantage of manufacturing companies (Wang, 2019). A study in 219 chemical product manufacturers in Jordan showed that green product innovation increases the company's competitive advantage (Al-Abdallah & Al-Salim, 2021). Furthermore, the study highlighted that both firms also started to adapt to an environmentally friendly regime through green production (Dangelico & Pujari, 2010b). A research in the manufacturing industry in Indonesia suggested that innovation is becoming an essential strategy in sustaining the business performance (Siagian et al., 2021). In addition, Li & Du, (2021) have verified the relationship between corporate profitability and green innovation combined with processes and products through data envelopment analysis (DEA). Based on this discussion, the second hypothesis is formulated:

H₈: Green innovation influences competitive advantage.

3.8 Customer integration with a competitive advantage

Similar research by Feng et al. (2010) in 139 manufacturing companies in China also shows that integration with customers impacts competitive advantages in better quality, reliable delivery, and better customer service. Impact of customer involvement on competitive advantage the customers' role in the products and services innovation, engineering design, and production of products and services is increasingly crucial and dynamic. In the dynamic environment, customers are suggested to be integrated into value creation for absorbing their knowledge to sustain competitive advantage (Füller & Matzler, 2007). Customers are contributing to the process of marketing, consumption, and delivery of products and services (Dong et al., 2008). A study by Luteberget (2005) addresses the customer roles and found that customer involvement in improving the process flexibility and product quality. Fang et al. (2008) also address that customer involvement affects new product value creation by improving the effectiveness of the new product development process. This study was empirically tested by collecting primary data from 188 manufacturers across different industries. From what has been discussed above, we summarize the ideas as follows:

H₉: Customer integration affects competitive advantage.

4. Indirect hypothesis development

In addition to the formulation of direct hypotheses, the study also proposed indirect hypotheses to test whether intervening variables play a role in mediating the direct relationship between two variables.

4.1 The influence of top management commitment on competitive advantage through supplier integration

The principle used to form indirect hypothesis is based on the causal relationship between two variables. Previously, top management commitment has been formulated directly affecting supplier integration following research (Lockström et al., 2010; Tarigan et al., 2020a; Yen & Yen, 2012). Then, previous discussions have also formulated that supplier integration affects increasing competitive advantage (Feng et al., 2010; Sukati et al., 2012; Vanpoucke et al., 2014). Integration with suppliers requires a strong commitment from management since the relationship needs a relocation of the company resources, new policy, and strategy, which could only be realized with the agreement from top management of the organization. Furthermore, integration with suppliers will provide products to customers such as quality, cost, product diversity, and speed of delivery that ultimately the company has an advantage over competitors. Based on the logic and arguments above, it can be proposed the tenth hypothesis, namely:

H₁₀: Top management commitment affects competitive advantage through supplier integration.

4.2 The relationship of top management commitment, green innovation, and competitive advantage

Green innovation is an approach by manufacturing companies to provide products based on the customer's needs and, at the same time, take into account the rising green issues. The green issues are avoiding any harmful effect of the product usage or process on the environment. For example, the product development does not use the material resulting in hazardous or poisonous material. The process does not result in toxic waste, which harms the environment. Green innovation needs top management commitment since it changes the policy and allocation of resources, even the renewal of production capability such as upgrading technology. As has been advised above, the top management commitment determines the success of green innovation implementation (Burki et al., 2019; El-Kassar & Singh, 2017; Tarigan et al., 2020b; Kitsis & Chen, 2021; Hu et al., 2017).

Furthermore, green innovation has a positive impact on the competitive advantage. Green innovation implementation adopts green environmental issues either on product development or production. On the other side, the customer is currently demanding that the product and process of manufacturing satisfy the environmental protection regulation. Therefore, it implies that the manufacturing that meets the environment protection requirement will receive appreciation from the public and buy the product from the company. This premise is also supported by previous studies that green innovation improve the competitive advantage of the company (Boehe & Barin Cruz, 2010; Bornschlegl et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2012; Tu & Wu, 2021; Wong, 2012). Hence, based on the above argument, the following hypothesis is formulated.

H₁₁: Top management commitment influences the competitive advantage through green innovation.

4.3 The relationship of top management commitment, customer integration, and competitive advantage

As discussed above, the previous studies concluded that top management commitment influences customer integration (Ivandianto & Tarigan, 2020; Rafiki et al., 2019). On the other hand, customer integration affects and improves the competitive advantage as suggested by (Dong et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2010; Füller & Matzler, 2007; Luteberget, 2005). The two relationships imply that top management commitment is essential in involving the customer in the design or production

process, so the company product and production process comply with customer needs and wants. Furthermore, the customer will prefer the product designed and produced based on their criteria, and they will buy the company's product in the long-term horizon. Based on this argument and direct relationship as depicted above, this research formulates the following hypothesis

H12: Top management commitment enhances competitive advantage through supplier integration and green innovation.

Furthermore, top management has a role in setting the policies of the organization, such as the decision to intensify the involvement of the customer in providing feedback to the organization about the need and requirements of the customer. Previous researchers have revealed that top management commitment plays an essential role in directing the organization to involve the customer in the decision-making process (Ivandianto & Tarigan, 2020; Rafiki et al., 2019). Moreover, customer integration influences the competitive advantage. The feedback from the customer is essential to tailor the design of the product satisfying the customer need as having been proved by various studies (Feng et al., 2010; Füller & Matzler, 2007; Luteberget, 2005). Following the above argument and relationship, the hypothesis is determined.

H13: Top management commitment indirectly improves competitive advantage through customer integration.

As shown previously, top management commitment determines the level of customer involvement in implementing the process or product innovation, which hypothesizes that top management commitment influences customer integration (Ivandianto & Tarigan, 2020; Rafiki et al., 2019). Furthermore, the involvement of the customer in product and process design will enable the organization to perform the green-based innovation (Burki et al., 2019; Du et al., 2018; Sun & Sun, 2021; Wu, 2013). This relationship, in the end, will enhance the competitive advantage of the company as suggested by (Boehe & Barin Cruz, 2010; Bornschlegl et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2012; El-Kassar & Singh, 2017; Tu & Wu, 2021). Based on these relationships, this research postulates the following hypothesis.

H₁₄: *Top management commitment improves the competitive advantage through the mediating role of customer integration and green innovation.*

The research framework based on the above literature review and the relationship between constructs is depicted in Fig. 1.



Note. T.MC: top management commitment, S.INT: Supplier integration, G.INN: green innovation, C.INT: customer integration, C.ADV: competitive advantage. The Figure did not indicate the indirect relationships (H10-H14)

Fig. 1. Research Framework and related hypotheses

5. Methodology

5.1 Population and sample

This research is a causal relationship with cross-sectional data design to examine the causal relationship between research variables. This study has surveyed 600 practitioners from March 2021until August 2021 in various manufacturing companies around Indonesia. The data collection used a questionnaire designed to measure the objective opinion of the respondents against the item statement by choosing the predetermined measurement scale starting with 1: strongly disagree up to 5: strongly agree. Questionnaires were created in Google Form link and distributed to respondents via email, WhatsApp groups, and Facebook. This study received 305 from 600 respondents, and after validation against the completeness, respondent position, and industrial sector, 285 responses (response rate 47.50%) were considered valid for further analysis. Furthermore, the data were analyzed using the partial least square (PLS) technique using SmartPLS software version 3.0.

5.2 Measurement Item

As described in the Literature Review section, this study involves five constructs to create the research model addressing top management commitment, green innovation, supplier integration, customer integration, and competitive advantage. Each construct is assessed using predetermined items defined in the literature review section. There are 26 items used to measure those constructs with the following composition. Top management commitment is measured using five items, supplier integration with four items, green innovation with eight items, customer integration with four items, and competitive advantage with five items.

6. Analysis Result

6.1 Descriptive analysis

Based on the collected data, the respondent profile is presented in Table 1 in regards to the industry sector, experience, position, and function where the respondents are in charge.

Table 1

Respondent Profile			
Profile	Frequency	Percentage (%)	
Industry Sector			
Basic and Chemical	110	38.60 %	
Food and Beverage	62	21.75 %	
Textile	54	18.95 %	
Automotive	33	11.57 %	
Home appliances	26	9.13 %	
Position			
Director	23	8%	
General Manager	71	25%	
Manager	137	48%	
Supervisor	54	19%	
Experience			
1 to 2 years	14	4.92%	
3 to 5 years	57	20%	
6 to 8 years	114	40%	
9 to 10 years	34	11.92%	
More than 10 years	66	23,16%	
Function/Department			
Purchasing	66	23.16%	
Production	110	38.59%	
Engineering	27	9.47%	
Marketing	52	18.25%	
R & D	31	10.53%	

Respondents' origin is from various manufacturing sectors, which implies that respondents represent most of the manufacturing industry. In addition, the respondent's working experience indicated that all respondents have various working experiences from 1 up to more than10 years. This finding showed that the respondents are eligible as they have more than enough experience and understand its decision-making, strategy, and operation. Besides, Table 1 also demonstrated the distribution of the function at which the respondent is in charge. All respondents are working on departments related to the research model, such as purchasing, production, marketing, engineering, and R & D.

6.2 Measurement Validity and Reliability

The first step is to evaluate the measurement model to ensure that the indicators are valid and reliable. The value of each item's factor loadings is used to determine convergent validity, as shown in Table 1. When the factor loading value is more than 0.50, the indicator is considered valid for convergent validity. Table 1 demonstrates that all indications are legitimate because all factor loading is greater than 0.50. Besides, Table 1 demonstrated the mean score value and standard deviation of each indicator score. As described before, the indicator is measured using a five-point Likert scale, and the result indicated that all indicators score between 3.260 and 4.428. This result shows that all indicators scored between medium and high score values. The respondents perceived that the manufacturing companies in Indonesia are concerned about adopting the research construct to enhance the competitive advantage. The standard deviation value between 0.661 and 1.124. This result indicates that the respondents' perception varies between medium to high value. This finding proved that the manufacturing companies in Indonesia are not at a different level in adopting the research constructs in their business practices. Table 2 also lists the VIF value to assess the multicollinearity between indicators. The acceptance cut-off value is four, and the result in Table 2 showed that all values are less than four except for item GPD3 with a VIF value of 4.428 and GPR3 with a VIF value of 4.414. However, since the value is very close to four, these two items are considered acceptable.

Construct/Item	Factor Loading	Item score (Mean)	Item reliability (SD)	VIF
Top Management Commitment				
TMC1	0.734	4.344	0.721	1.834
TMC2	0.870	4.453	0.661	2.634
TMC3	0.720	4.382	0.719	1.675
TMC4	0.819	4.396	0.740	2.084
TMC5	0.806	4.323	0.851	1.745
Green Innovation				
GPD1	0.860	3.811	0.753	3.545
GPD2	0.848	3.740	0.796	3.752
GPD3	0.871	4.074	0.794	4.248
GPD4	0.785	4.011	0.866	2.782
GPR1	0.656	4.277	1.124	2.026
GPR2	0.729	4.253	1.027	2.105
GPR3	0.871	4.084	0.828	4.414
GPR4	0.797	4.193	0.908	2.913
Supplier Integration				
SIP1	0.895	4.277	0.779	3.071
SIP2	0.844	4.253	0.758	2.455
SIP3	0.852	4.084	0.906	2.050
SIP4	0.767	4.193	0.755	1.551
Customer Integration				
CIP1	0.735	3.884	0.990	1.498
CIP2	0.879	4.102	0.825	2.175
CIP3	0.609	4.088	0.912	1.249
CIP4	0.830	4.428	0.670	1.872
Competitive Advantage				
CAD1	0.866	4.263	0.823	2.712
CAD2	0.817	4.056	0.881	2.080
CAD3	0.791	4.025	0.818	1.862
CAD4	0.712	4.168	0.874	1.623
CAD5	0.718	3.260	1.212	1.373

The indicators are also examined against discriminant validity using the Forner and Larcker criterion, in addition to convergent validity. The indicator is considered valid when the square root of AVE for each indicator is greater than the correlation between the concepts (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). Table 3 demonstrated the analysis result of the Forner-Larcker criterion and reliability as well in terms of Composite Reliability (C/R), Cronbach Alpha (C/A), Average variance evaluation (AVE). As shown, the square roots of AVE (written in bold) are greater than the correlation between constructs (not in bold), which implies that the discriminant validity of the indicators exists. Furthermore, the indicators for each construct are considered reliable when the value of C/R, C/A exceeds 0.70 and exceeds 0.50 for AVE values. Based on Table 3, the measurement indicators are all considered valid in terms of discriminant validity and reliability.

Table 3

Reliability and Forner-Larcker Criterion

Construct	C/R	C/A	AVE	А	В	С	D	Е
Competitive advantage (A)	0.887	0.841	0.613	0.783				
Customer integration (B)	0.851	0.765	0.593	0.548	0.770			
Green innovation (C)	0.936	0.922	0.648	0.490	0.440	0.805		
Supplier integration (D)	0.906	0.861	0.706	0.540	0.660	0.426	0.840	
Top management commitment (E)	0.893	0.853	0.627	0.375	0.504	0.393	0.459	0.792

As the measurement model is considered valid and reliable, further analysis of the inner model can proceed. The next examination is to test the internal model with attention to explanatory power and predictive relevance. Explanatory power (R^2) , which indicates the power of a variable independent, describes a dependent variable. The value of R^2 is from 0 to 1. The closer the value to 1, the better the ability of the independent variable to explain the dependent variable. In addition, predictive relevance demonstrates the research model's ability to intercept the results of dependent variables. The value of P^2 is greater than 0.

Table 4

R² and O² Result

Construct	Explanatory Power (R ²)	Predictive Relevance (Q ²)
Top management commitment		
Competitive advantage	0.412	0.235
Customer integration	0.254	0.143
Green innovation	0.254	0.155
Supplier integration	0.211	0.141

Table 2

Man and Charles I Desire

The next analysis is the testing of direct and indirect hypotheses. Hypothesis testing looks at path coefficient values and T statistical values or P-value values. In this test, the hypothesis uses a significant level value of 5% or a critical T value of 1.96 for two-way testing. The coefficient value itself indicates the strength of the causal effect between two variables and indicates the direction of the influence, whether negative or positive. Table 5 shows the analysis results, which reveal that 8 of the nine direct hypotheses are empirically supported with positive coefficient values, and statistical T values greater than 1.96 or p-values less than 0.05. The hypothesis that is not supported in this study is the H4 which indicated that top management commitment has no direct effect on competitive advantage in manufacturing companies domiciled in East Java, Indonesia.

Table 5

-1 and -1 and -1	Path	Coefficient.	T-value.	and P-Valu
----------------------	------	--------------	----------	------------

Relationship	Path Coefficient	T Statistics	P Values
(H1) Top management commitment \rightarrow supplier integration	0.459	6.593	0.000
(H2) Top management commitment \rightarrow green innovation	0.197	2.980	0.003
(H3) Top management commitment \rightarrow customer integration	0.504	7.487	0.000
(H4) Top management commitment \rightarrow competitive advantage	0.032	0.541	0.589
(H5) Supplier integration \rightarrow green innovation	0.197	2.164	0.030
(H6) Customer integration \rightarrow green innovation	0.210	2.312	0.021
(H7) Supplier integration \rightarrow competitive advantage	0.247	2.698	0.007
(H8) Green innovation \rightarrow competitive advantage	0.260	3.127	0.002
(H9) Customer integration \rightarrow competitive advantage	0.254	3.141	0.002

In addition to direct hypotheses, the study also proposed five indirect hypotheses, and the result in Table 6 demonstrated that four of five indirect hypotheses were empirically supported, and one hypothesis (H12) is not supported.

Table 6

Indirect hypothesis result			
Indirect Relationship	Path Coeff.	T Statist.	P Values
Top man. Commitment \rightarrow supplier integration \rightarrow competitive advantage (H10)	0.113	2.719	0.007
Top man. commitment \rightarrow green innovation \rightarrow competitive advantage (H11)	0.051	2.191	0.028
Top man. Commitment \rightarrow customer integration \rightarrow competitive advantage (H12)	0.128	2.903	0.004
Top man. Commitment \rightarrow supplier integration \rightarrow green innovation \rightarrow competitive advantage (H13)	0.024	1.597	0.110
Top man. commitment \rightarrow customer integration \rightarrow green innovation \rightarrow competitive advantage (H14)	0.028	2.071	0.038



Note. 1. The yellow-coloured box represents the indicator of the constructs. 2. The number inside the circle is the AVE value.
 3. The value on the line between indicator and construct is the factor loading. 4. The value on the line between constructs is the path coefficient value.

Fig. 2. Research Model and Analysis Result

7. Discussion

The first hypothesis (H1), top management commitment affects supplier integration in the manufacturing companies, is supported. Top management commitment, implemented by establishing policies, allocating resourcing, and empowering the employee, enables the organization to realize supplier integration in information sharing, collaboration in decision-making, and system interconnection between the organization and the supplier. This finding is aligned with various studies, which also suggested that top management commitment influences the success of integration with the supplier (Lockström et al., 2010; Tarigan et al., 2020a; Yen & Yen, 2012). The second hypothesis (H2), top management influences green innovation, is empirically supported in the manufacturing industry. This result is in line with the studies by (Burki et al., 2019; Kitsis & Chen, 2021; Hu et al., 2017; Horbach et al., 2012). Green innovation adoption requires a significant change of company policies. It changes the company strategy and needs substantial capital allocation to adopt green innovation. As shown before, green innovation is implemented in process innovation and product innovation. Regarding process innovation, the management is requested to adopt new technology, requiring the employee's unique skills. Hence, top management commitment is required in realizing green innovation. However, this research found that top management commitment did not improve the competitive advantage directly.

The third hypothesis (H3) was not supported in the manufacturing industry. Nevertheless, this finding is not an illogical result. The literature review defines top management commitment in policies, strategy, and communication establishment. At the same time, the competitive advantage is achieved through actions such as low price/cost advantage, high quality and value for customers, on-time delivery, better time to market, and new product introduction to the market. Hence, the top management commitment needs to be realized through green innovation, supplier, and customer integration. It implies that top management commitment could indirectly improve the competitive advantage through the mediation of supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. The fourth hypothesis (H4) testing indicated that top management commitment affects customer integration is supported. This finding shows that management plays an essential role in directing the organization to care for their customer. The customer could give excellent feedback for the organization in copying with the trending demand in the market. Therefore, the organization should place the customer's involvement as a top priority compared to other strategies. This finding reinforces the previous research on supply chain management (Ivandianto & Tarigan, 2020; Rafiki et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the fifth hypothesis (H5) is that supplier integration enables green innovation. Green innovation requires material or components suitable for green innovation, either product innovation or process innovation. In the context of product innovation, organizations need material satisfying the green criteria such as reducing the emission of hazardous substances or waste, recycling waste, and reducing gas emission, re-usable product waste, and reducing energy use. The result of this study reinforces the previous research (Du et al., 2018; Sun & Sun, 2021, Wu, 2013). In a similar sense, hypothesis H6 stating that customer integration influences the success of green innovation is accepted. This finding addresses the importance of feedback from a customer in dealing with green innovation. Green innovation should satisfy the customer's needs and wants. The input from customers plays a critical role in proceeding with the green innovation initiated by the company. This result is aligned with previous research (Burki et al., 2019; Sun & Sun, 2021). Moreover, this research also supports the other hypotheses that supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration enhance competitive advantage (H7, H8, H9). This finding reinforces previous research that the involvement of suppliers, customers' involvement is highly essential to enhance the competitive advantage. In addition, following the trending issue on environmental protection, green innovation provides an additional benefit in improving the company's competitive advantage.

Besides the direct effect on the competitive advantage, this study also examines the indirect relationship of top management commitment on the competitive advantage through the mediating role of supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. From five indirect hypotheses, four are supported while one is rejected. Indeed, top management commitment indirectly affects competitive advantage by realizing supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration (H10, H11, H12). The indirect hypothesis in this study implies that top management commitment has multiple effects in enhancing competitive advantage. The top management level has the authority to establish the company's direction in the future, such as deciding to involve the supplier, customer in their business mission and operation, and follow the trending market like environmental protection by adopting green innovation in terms of process and product innovation. In addition, the last two hypotheses proposed in this study (H13, H14) are essential, which revealed that top management commitment also indirectly influences the competitive advantage through supplier integration and green innovation (H13) and customer integration and green innovation (H14). These findings showed that top management commitment has multiple effects on competitive advantage. Management commitment is vital in establishing the company mission and objective, such as partnering with external parties and adopting the upgraded technology.

In summary, these research findings provide an insight that the manufacturing companies need to improve their competitive advantage, particularly in the current pandemic situation. Therefore, the management needs to re-evaluate their existing supplier and customer involvement in running their business. Based on the descriptive analysis, it is found that the supplier integration, and customer integration, on average, are high. Still, some of them are not so good at building

relationships. Hence, this result could pave the way for the manager to enhance the competitive advantage in facing the competition while obeying the new standard working restriction. Moreover, this research result has extended the acceptance of various research findings in the manufacturing sector in Indonesia and the presence of the mediating role of supplier integration, customer integration, and green innovation.

8. Conclusion

The initial purpose of this study is to examine the impact of top management commitment on competitive advantage with the mediating role of supplier integration, customer integration, and green innovation. Based on the literature review, nine direct and five indirect relationships have been formulated to examine. Eight of nine direct hypotheses were supported. The result revealed that eight direct hypotheses were supported, while another one was not supported. Top management commitment directly influences supplier integration (H1), green innovation (H2), customer integration (H4). However, top management commitment did not support hypothesis H3 stating that top management commitment affects competitive advantage. Moreover, there are five indirect hypotheses developed, and four were supported, and one was not. Top management commitment indirectly influences competitive advantage through the mediating role of supplier integration (H10), green innovation (H11), customer integration (H12). Finally, the study also showed that top management commitment did not affect competitive advantage through supplier integration and green innovation (H13). Instead, top management commitment improves competitive advantage through customer integration and green innovation (H14). This result implies that top management commitment plays a vital role and has multiple effects in enhancing competitive advantage through establishing strategy and policies.

As discussed previously, this research provides a practical contribution on how manufacturing companies could improve competitive advantage and at the same time concerns environmental protection through green innovation. Hence, company management should collaborate with external partners, including suppliers and customers, which helps the company enhance the competitive advantage to outperform the competition. This study could also enrich and extend the acceptance of the current research in the context of the manufacturing industry. In addition, this study has revealed that supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration play a vital role in improving the competitive advantage in the current competition and customer needs shifting toward green issues. However, this work has some limitations, particularly regarding the population and the variables involved. Further studies on the current topic are suggested to involve the variables such as digital and technology capability as these issues are currently in the growing stage. Future research is also suggested to cover a broader population such as the service industry.

Top management commitment directly influences supplier integration (H1), green innovation (H2), customer integration. Top management commitment did not directly affect competitive advantage. Instead, competitive advantages were affected by supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. Similarly, green innovation was supported by supplier integration and customer integration. In addition, top management commitment indirectly affects competitive advantage through supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration. This result implies that top management commitment plays a vital role and has multiple effects in enhancing competitive advantage through establishing strategy and policies. This result provides a practical contribution on how the manufacturing companies could improve competitive advantage and at the same time concerns the environmental protection through green innovation. Hence, company management should collaborate with external partners, including suppliers and customers, which helps the company enhance the competitive advantage to outperform the competition. In addition, this study has revealed that supplier integration, green innovation, and customer integration play a vital role in improving the competitive advantage in the current competition. At the same time, the customer needs have shifted toward green concerns. This study could also enrich and extend the acceptance of the recent research in the context of the manufacturing industry. Finally, this work has some limitations, particularly regarding the population and the variables involved. Further studies on the current topic are suggested to apply the variables such as digital and technology capability as these issues are currently in the growing stage. Future research is also suggested to cover a broader population such as the service and health industry.

References

- Adams, R., Jeanrenaud, S., Bessant, J., Denyer, D., & Overy, P. (2016). Sustainability-oriented Innovation: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 18(2), 180–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/IJMR.12068
- Akter, S., Gunasekaran, A., Wamba, S. F., Babu, M. M., & Hani, U. (2020). Reshaping competitive advantages with analytics capabilities in service systems. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 159. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2020.120180
- Al-Abdallah, G. M., & Al-Salim, M. I. (2021). Green product innovation and competitive advantage: an empirical study of chemical industrial plants in Jordanian qualified industrial zones. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-03-2020-0095
- Albort-Morant, G., Henseler, J., Leal-Millán, A., & Cepeda-Carrión, G. (2017). Mapping the Field: A Bibliometric Analysis of Green Innovation. Sustainability 2017, Vol. 9, Page 1011, 9(6), 1011. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU9061011

Amoako-Gyampah, K., Meredith, J., & Loyd, K. W. (2018). Using a Social Capital Lens to Identify the Mechanisms of Top

14

Management Commitment: A Case Study of a Technology Project: *Https://Doi.Org/10.1177/875697281804900106*, 49(1), 79–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/875697281804900106

- Amores-Salvadó, J., Castro, G. M. De, & Navas-López, J. E. (2014). Green corporate image: moderating the connection between environmental product innovation and firm performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 83, 356–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2014.07.059
- Barbieri, R., & Santos, D. F. L. (2020). Sustainable business models and eco-innovation: A life cycle assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 266, 121954. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.121954
- Barney, J. B., & Clark, D. N. (2007). *Resource-based theory: creating and sustaining competitive advantage*. 316. https://books.google.com/books/about/Resource_Based_Theory.html?id=pGYTDAAAQBAJ
- Beise, M., & Rennings, K. (2005). Lead markets and regulation: a framework for analyzing the international diffusion of environmental innovations. *Ecological Economics*, 52(1), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2004.06.007
- Bekk, M., Spörrle, M., Hedjasie, R., & Kerschreiter, R. (2015). Greening the competitive advantage: antecedents and consequences of green brand equity. *Quality & Quantity 2015 50:4*, 50(4), 1727–1746. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11135-015-0232-Y
- Bhardwaj, B. R. (2016). Role of green policy on sustainable supply chain management: A model for implementing corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 23(2), 456–468. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-08-2013-0077
- Boehe, D. M., & Barin Cruz, L. (2010). Corporate Social Responsibility, Product Differentiation Strategy and Export Performance. Journal of Business Ethics 2010 91:2, 91(2), 325–346. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-010-0613-Z
- Bornschlegl, M., Bregulla, M., & Franke, J. (2016). Methods-Energy Measurement An approach for sustainable energy planning of manufacturing technologies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 644–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2016.06.059
- Burki, U., Ersoy, P., & Najam, U. (2019). Top management, green innovations, and the mediating effect of customer cooperation in green supply chains. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11041031
- Caroline, N., Harriet, K., & Anne, N. (2016). Top management commitment for successful small and mediumenterprises(SMEs): A hoax or a reality? *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 12(4), 259–259. https://doi.org/10.19044/ESJ.2016.V12N4P259
- Carr, A. S., & Pearson, J. N. (2002). The impact of purchasing and supplier involvement on strategic purchasing and its impact on firm's performance. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 22(9–10), 1032–1053. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570210440528/FULL/PDF
- Charmondusit, K., Gheewala, S. H., & Mungcharoen, T. (2016). Green and sustainable innovation for cleaner production in the Asia-Pacific region. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 134(Part B), 443–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2016.06.160
- Chen, Y.-S., Lai, S.-B., & Wen, C.-T. (2006). The Influence of Green Innovation Performance on Corporate Advantage in Taiwan. *Journal of Business Ethics 2006 67:4*, 67(4), 331–339. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-006-9025-5
- Chen, Y. S., Chang, C. H., & Wu, F. S. (2012). Origins of green innovations: the differences between proactive and reactive green innovations. *Management Decision*, 50(3), 368–398. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211216197
- Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010a). Mainstreaming Green Product Innovation: Why and How Companies Integrate Environmental Sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics 2010 95:3*, 95(3), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-010-0434-0
- Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010b). Mainstreaming Green Product Innovation: Why and How Companies Integrate Environmental Sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics 2010 95:3*, 95(3), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-010-0434-0
- Das, A., Narasimhan, R., & Talluri, S. (2006). Supplier integration—Finding an optimal configuration. Journal of Operations Management, 24(5), 563–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOM.2005.09.003
- Díaz-García, C., González-Moreno, Á., & Sáez-Martínez, F. J. (2015). Eco-innovation: insights from a literature review. *Https://Doi.Org/10.1080/14479338.2015.1011060, 17*(1), 6–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2015.1011060
- Dong, B., Evans, K. R., & Zou, S. (2008). The effects of customer participation in co-created service recovery. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 123–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11747-007-0059-8
- Du, L., Zhang, Z., & Feng, T. (2018). Linking green customer and supplier integration with green innovation performance: The role of internal integration. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 27(8), 1583–1595. https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.2223
- Ekins, P. (2010). Eco-innovation for environmental sustainability: concepts, progress and policies. *International Economics* and Economic Policy 2010 7:2, 7(2), 267–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10368-010-0162-Z
- El-Kassar, A.-N., & Singh, S. K. (2017). Green innovation and organizational performance: The influence of big data and the moderating role of management commitment and HR practices. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.12.016
- Esmaeilian, B., Behdad, S., & Wang, B. (2016). The evolution and future of manufacturing: A review. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 39, 79–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2016.03.001
- Fang, E., Palmatier, R. W., & Evans, K. R. (2008). Influence of customer participation on creating and sharing of new product value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(3), 322–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11747-007-0082-9
- Feng, T., Sun, L., & Zhang, Y. (2010). The effects of customer and supplier involvement on competitive advantage: An

empirical study in China. Industrial Marketing Management, 39(8), 1384–1394. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INDMARMAN.2010.04.006

- Flynn, B. B., Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(1), 58–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2009.06.001
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312
- Franceschini, S., Faria, L. G. D., & Jurowetzki, R. (2016). Unveiling scientific communities about sustainability and innovation. A bibliometric journey around sustainable terms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 127, 72–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2016.03.142
- Füller, J., & Matzler, K. (2007). Virtual product experience and customer participation—A chance for customer-centred, really new products. *Technovation*, 6–7(27), 378–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TECHNOVATION.2006.09.005
- Govindan, K., Diabat, A., & Madan Shankar, K. (2015). Analyzing the drivers of green manufacturing with fuzzy approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 96, 182–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2014.02.054
- Hili, P., Gani, M. U., Hamzah, N., & Rahman, Z. (2017). Effect of Human Capital and Leadership on Institutions' Performance and Competitive Advantages. *IRA-International Journal of Management & Social Sciences*, 07(3), 479– 488. https://doi.org/10.21013/jmss.v7.n3.p9
- Horbach, J., Rammer, C., & Rennings, K. (2012). Determinants of eco-innovations by type of environmental impact The role of regulatory push/pull, technology push and market pull. *Ecological Economics*, 78, 112–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2012.04.005
- Hu, D., Wang, Y., Huang, J., & Huang, H. (2017). How do different innovation forms mediate the relationship between environmental regulation and performance? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 161, 466–476. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.05.152
- Ivandianto, G., & Tarigan, Z. J. H. (2020). The Influence of Management Commitment towards Business Performance through Supply Chain Management and Customer Relationship Management. *Journal of International Business and Economics*, 8(2), 10–22. https://doi.org/10.15640/jibe.v8n2a2
- Kammerer, D. (2009). The effects of customer benefit and regulation on environmental product innovation.: Empirical evidence from appliance manufacturers in Germany. *Ecological Economics*, 68(8–9), 2285–2295. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2009.02.016
- Kemp, R., & Oltra, V. (2011). Research Insights and Challenges on Eco-Innovation Dynamics. *Https://Doi.Org/10.1080/13662716.2011.562399*, 18(3), 249–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2011.562399
- Kim, D., & Lee, R. P. (2010). Systems Collaboration and Strategic Collaboration: Their Impacts on Supply Chain Responsiveness and Market Performance*. *Decision Sciences*, 41(4), 955–981. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-5915.2010.00289.X
- Kitsis, A. M., & Chen, I. J. (2021). Do stakeholder pressures influence green supply chain Practices? Exploring the mediating role of top management commitment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 316, 128258. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2021.128258
- Koufteros, X., Vonderembse, M., & Jayaram, J. (2005). Internal and External Integration for Product Development: The Contingency Effects of Uncertainty, Equivocality, and Platform Strategy. *Decision Sciences*, 36(1), 97–133. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-5915.2005.00067.X
- Leung, T. K. P., Lai, K. H., Chan, R. Y. K., & Wong, Y. H. (2005). The roles of xinyong and guanxi in Chinese relationship marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*, 39(5–6), 528–559. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560510590700/FULL/PDF
- Lewis, W. G., Pun, K. F., & Lalla, T. R. M. (2007). Measuring Top Management Commitment in SMEs: A Self-Assessment Scale. Asian Journal on Quality, 8(3), 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1108/15982688200700024/FULL/HTML
- Li, J., & Du, Y. X. (2021). Spatial effect of environmental regulation on green innovation efficiency: Evidence from prefectural-level cities in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 286, 125032. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.125032
- Lockström, M., Schadel, J., Harrison, N., Moser, R., & Malhotra, M. K. (2010). Antecedents to supplier integration in the automotive industry: A multiple-case study of foreign subsidiaries in China. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(3), 240–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOM.2009.11.004
- Lopes Santos, D. F., Valente Rezende, M. D., & Cruz Basso, L. F. (2019). Eco-innovation and business performance in emerging and developed economies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117674. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2019.117674
- Lu, I.-Y., Kuo, T., Lin, T.-S., Tzeng, G.-H., & Huang, S.-L. (2016). Multicriteria Decision Analysis to Develop Effective Sustainable Development Strategies for Enhancing Competitive Advantages: Case of the TFT-LCD Industry in Taiwan. Sustainability 2016, Vol. 8, Page 646, 8(7), 646. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU8070646
- Luteberget, A. (2005). Customer involvement in new service development : how does customer involvement enhance new service success? https://uia.brage.unit.no/uia-xmlui/handle/11250/137180
- Ma, Y., Hou, G., & Xin, B. (2017). Green Process Innovation and Innovation Benefit: The Mediating Effect of Firm Image. Sustainability 2017, Vol. 9, Page 1778, 9(10), 1778. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU9101778
- Mahdi, O. R., & Almsafir, M. K. (2014). The Role of Strategic Leadership in Building Sustainable Competitive Advantage in the Academic Environment. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 129, 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2014.03.679

- Niehoff, B. P., Enz, C. A., & Grover, R. A. (2016). The Impact of Top-Management Actions on Employee Attitudes and Perceptions: *Https://Doi.Org/10.1177/105960119001500307*, *15*(3), 337–352. https://doi.org/10.1177/105960119001500307
- Rafiki, A., Hidayat, S. E., & Al Abdul Razzaq, D. (2019). CRM and organizational performance: A survey on telecommunication companies in Kuwait. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 27(1), 187–205. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-11-2017-1276
- Rennings, K. (2000). Redefining innovation eco-innovation research and the contribution from ecological economics. *Ecological Economics*, 32(2), 319–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00112-3
- Roh, T., Lee, K., & Yang, J. Y. (2021). How do intellectual property rights and government support drive a firm's green innovation? The mediating role of open innovation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 317(October 2020), 128422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128422
- Schilke, O., Hu, S., & Helfat, C. E. (2017). Quo Vadis, Dynamic Capabilities? A Content-Analytic Review of the Current State of Knowledge and Recommendations for Future Research. *Https://Doi.Org/10.5465/Annals.2016.0014*, 12(1), 390– 439. https://doi.org/10.5465/ANNALS.2016.0014
- Sellitto, M. A., Camfield, C. G., & Buzuku, S. (2020). Green innovation and competitive advantages in a furniture industrial cluster: A survey and structural model. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 23, 94–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SPC.2020.04.007
- Sellittoa, M. A., Camfielda, C. G., & Buzuku, S. (2020). Green innovation and competitive advantages in a furniture industrial cluster: A survey and structural model | Elsevier Enhanced Reader. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 23(94– 104). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.04.007
- Shou, Y., Hu, W., Kang, M., Li, Y., & Park, Y. W. (2018). Risk management and firm performance: the moderating role of supplier integration. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 118(7), 1327–1344. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2017-0427
- Shuhong, L., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., & Subba Rao, S. (2006). The impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organizational performance. In *Omega* (Vol. 34, Issue 2, pp. 107–124). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2004.08.002
- Siagian, H., Tarigan, Z. J. H., & Jie, F. (2021). Supply chain integration enables resilience, flexibility, and innovation to improve business performance in covid-19 era. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(9), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094669
- Sigalas, C., Pekka Economou, V., & B. Georgopoulos, N. (2013). Developing a measure of competitive advantage. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 6(4), 320–342. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-03-2013-0015
- Singh, V., Vaibhav, S., & Sharma, S. K. (2018a). Using structural equation modelling to assess the sustainable competitive advantages provided by the low-cost carrier model: The case of Indian airlines. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 13(1), 43–77. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-12-2017-0260
- Singh, V., Vaibhav, S., & Sharma, S. K. (2018b). Using structural equation modelling to assess the sustainable competitive advantages provided by the low-cost carrier model: The case of Indian airlines. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 13(1), 43–77. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-12-2017-0260
- Sukati, I., Hamid, A. A., Baharun, R., Alifiah, M., & Anuar, M. A. (2012). Competitive advantage through supply chain responsiveness and supply chain integration. 1(7), 1–11.
- Sun, Y., & Sun, H. (2021). Green innovation strategy and ambidextrous green innovation: The mediating effects of green supply chain integration. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094876
- Tarigan, Z. J. H., & Siagian, H. (2021). The effects of strategic planning, purchasing strategy and strategic partnership on operational performance. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 9(2), 363–372. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.usem.2021.2.006
- Tarigan, Z. J. H., Siagian, H., & Jie, F. (2020a). The role of top management commitment to enhancing the competitive advantage through ERP integration and purchasing strategy. *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems*, 16(1), 53–68. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJEIS.2020010103
- Tarigan, Z. J. H., Mochtar, J., Basana, S.R., & Jie, F. (2021b). The effect of competency management on organizational performance through supply chain integration and quality. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 9(2), 283–294. doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2021.3.004
- Tarigan, Z. J. H., Siagian, H., & Jie, F. (2021a). Impact of internal integration, supply chain partnership, supply chain agility, and supply chain resilience on sustainable advantage. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105460
- Tarigan, Z. J. H., Tanuwijaya, N. C., & Siagian, H. (2020b). Does Top Management Attentiveness Affect Green Performance through Green Purchasing and Supplier Collaboration? *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 19(4). https://www.abacademies.org/articles/does-top-management-attentiveness-affect-green-performance-through-greenpurchasing-and-supplier-collaboration-9529.html
- Thomé, A. M. T., & Scavarda, A. (2015). A systematic literature review of design-manufacturing integration for sustainable products. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 45, 691–696. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1545116
- Tu, Y., & Wu, W. (2021). How does green innovation improve enterprises' competitive advantage? The role of organizational learning. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 26, 504–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SPC.2020.12.031
- Tzempelikos, N. (2015). Top management commitment and involvement and their link to key account management

effectiveness. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 30(1), 32-44. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-12-2012-0238/FULL/HTML

- Vanpoucke, E., Vereecke, A., & Wetzels, M. (2014). Developing supplier integration capabilities for sustainable competitive advantage: A dynamic capabilities approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(7–8), 446–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOM.2014.09.004
- Wang, C. H. (2019). How organizational green culture influences green performance and competitive advantage: The mediating role of green innovation. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 30(4), 666–683. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-09-2018-0314
- Wong, C. Y., Boon-Itt, S., & Wong, C. W. Y. (2011). The contingency effects of environmental uncertainty on the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(6), 604–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOM.2011.01.003
- Wong, W.-P., Sinnandavar, C.M., Soh, K.-L., (2020) The relationship between supply environment, supply chain integration and operational performance: The role of business process in curbing opportunistic behaviour. International Journal of Production Economics, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107966.
- Wong, S. K. S. (2012). The influence of green product competitiveness on the success of green product innovation: Empirical evidence from the Chinese electrical and electronics industry. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 15(4), 468– 490. https://doi.org/10.1108/14601061211272385
- Wu, G. C. (2013). The influence of green supply chain integration and environmental uncertainty on green innovation in Taiwan's IT industry. Supply Chain Management, 18(5), 539–552. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-06-2012-0201
- Xie, X., Huo, J., & Zou, H. (2019). Green process innovation, green product innovation, and corporate financial performance: A content analysis method. *Journal of Business Research*, *101*, 697–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2019.01.010
- Yen, Y. X., & Yen, S. Y. (2012). Top-management's role in adopting green purchasing standards in high-tech industrial firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(7), 951–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2011.05.002
- Yildiz Çankaya, S., & Sezen, B. (2018). Effects of green supply chain management practices on sustainability performance. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 30(1), 98–121. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-03-2018-0099
- Yin, J., Gong, L., & Wang, S. (2018). Large-scale assessment of global green innovation research trends from 1981 to 2016: A bibliometric study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 197, 827–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.06.169
- Zameer, H., Wang, Y., Yasmeen, H., & Mubarak, S. (2020). Green innovation as a mediator in the impact of business analytics and environmental orientation on green competitive advantage. *Management Decision*, 71873064. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2020-0065
- Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Yang, Z., & Wang, Y. (2020). Critical success factors of green innovation: Technology, organization and environment readiness. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 264, 121701. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.121701



18

 \odot 2022 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).