IMPACTS OF GREENERY FACADE TO INDOOR LIGHT ILLUMINANCE AND THERMAL

by Luciana Kristanto

Submission date: 29-Jun-2019 08:29PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1147905006 File name: IOP14_RBT-08_LUCIANA_KRISTANTO.docx (1.23M) Word count: 2111 Character count: 11554

IMPACTS OF GREENERY FACADE TO INDOOR LIGHT ILLUMINANCE AND THERMAL

L Kristanto^{1,*}, W W Canadarma¹ and S H Nata¹

¹ Architecture Department Petra Christian University, Siwalankerto 142-144 Surabaya 60236 Indonesia

*Corresponding author: lucky@petra.ac.id

Abstract. This paper reported a preliminary research of facade greenery impacts to indoor light and thermal using two models. The first was without greenery as base case, and the other was with climbing ivy plants as greenery facade. Besides the indoor light illuminance, the air temperature and relative humidity (RH) were measured as well for thermal data. The experiments were done two times: for west orientation, on dry season in March-April 2018 and for south orientation, on dry season on 14-22 November 2018. The result showed that on dry season for west orientation, the facade with vegetation reduced more 31.18% - 51.71% sunlight compared to facade without vegetation. The highest reduction was on 4.30-6.00 pm. This meant it was effective to reduce excessive brightness from low altitude of west sunlight penetration. On dry season for south orientation, the facade with vegetation reduced more 28.4% - 54.87% sunlight compared to facade without vegetation. The highest reduction was on 05.15 am in the morning and 4.30-5.00 pm in the afternoon. Meanwhile, the indoor thermal impact was unsignificant, reduction for air temperature only 0.5-1 deg °C, and only 1% reduction for the RH. For greenery facades, vegetation impacts to light were determined by its orientation, depth and surface area it covered, while for thermal impact, the bigger LAI was the better. Keywords: Greenery facade, Light impact, Thermal impact

1. Introduction

In tropical climate region, besides bringing natural aesthetics to indoor environment, building facade has an important role as filter from outdoor climate components such as, excessive sun radiation and irradiation, high air temperature, and high relative humidity.

Surabaya as a city in tropical climate usually has warm air temperature with high relative humidity. The sky condition is usually clear and tends to partly cloudy on dry season and cloudy on rainy days. The dry season is usually from April to October, while the rainy season is from October to April. From statistics center biro data of Surabaya in 2016, the average air temperature was ranging from 27.8-30.5°C, average RH was 68-84%, average air velocity 3.5-3.65 m/sec [1] [2].

Being declared as a green city and winning the Global Green City award in 2017 from Global Forum in Human Settlements [3], in Surabaya building with vegetation enclosed its facades now emerged. There are some of public buildings in Surabaya with vegetation such as the Esa Sampoerna building, Pasar Wonokromo and Joyoboyo terminal. Thus, it brings a further idea on its impacts to indoor light and thermal.



Figure 1. Building with vegetation on its facade

2. Theoretical review

Vegetation has been widely used as building facade in the tropical region. Many researches on greenery facade in warm humid climate have been done and have brought positive results to save energy for indoor cooling, reduce air velocity and air pollution; hence, its contribution to indoor illuminance and visual comfort has not been researched widely.

Kellert S R, Heerwagen J H and Mador, M L [4] stated that "plants are fundamental to human existence as sources for food, fiber, fodder, and other aspects of sustenance and security. The mere insertion of plants into the built environment can enhance comfort, satisfaction, well-being and performance. Buildings with vegetative facades, as ivy walls or green roofs often provoke interest and satisfaction".

Grabowiecki, K et al [5] have investigated greenery in building envelope to energy balance. By modell 7g on TRNSYS for based model climbing foliage on facade of European shopping malls, they found different techno-eco 7 mical effectiveness of climbing foliage on facade, when climatic constrains varied. The result and validation confirmed the remarkable impact of climbing plant foliage onto facade during summer time.

Jaafar, B et al [6] found that vertical greenery system created a climate in an intermediate space which characterized lower temperature and higher humidity; so that it significantly contributed to energy reduction.

Coma, J et al [7], by planting greenery on green wall and green facades, showed a high potential for energy savings during cooling season for green wall (58.9%) and double-skin green facade (33.8%) in comparison to the reference system. On the other hand, for heating periods no extra energy consumption was observed for evergreen system.

Another research from Hatifah, Antaryama I G N and Ekasiwi S N N [8] on vegetation impact to air velocity got a lowest result from three different vegetation thicknesses, 0.21 m per second.

2 To represent the vegetation condition, this research used Leaf Area Index (LAI) that was defined as one half the total green leaf area per unit horizontal ground surface. It was an important structural property of vegetation. Because leaf surfaces are the primary border of energy and mass exchange, important processes such as canopy interception, evapotranspiration and gross photosynthesis are directly proportional to LAI [9].

This paper reported a preliminary research of facade greenery impacts to indoor illuminance and thermal.

3. Experimental equipments

The experiments were done by using two unit models $1m \ge 1m \ge 1m \ge 1m$ dimension. The first was without greenery as base case, and the other was with climbing ivy [10] plants as greenery facade.



Figure 2. Two unit models: with and without vegetation

The devices to measure the indoor illuminance, air temperature, and relative humidity were hobo data logger U12-012 family and hobo pendant for outdoor measurement. The point of measurement was taken in the middle of the model.



Figure 3. Inside the model hobo data logger indoor, point of measurement, hobo pendant outdoor

The experiments were done two times following the sunpath of Surabaya which was located on 07' 21' SL and 112' 36'' -112' 54'' EL; the first was for west orientation on dry season on 24 March- 2 April 2018, and the second was for south orientation, measured on dry season on 14-22 November 2018.

4. Result and Analysis

4.1 Dry Season Periode, West Orientation

On 24 March-2 April 2018, the vegetation was just blooming, with leaves width 6-8 cm and the average depth 20 cm. However, only one third of its foliage, almost 40cm high, enclosed the facade. The vegetation LAI was 1.2.



Figure 4. Climbing ivy seen from the front and from the side in March-April 2018



4.1.1. Indoor light condition

Figure 5. Light illuminance with and without vegetation on 24 - 26 March 2018



Figure 6. Light illuminance with and without vegetation on 27-30 March 2018



Figure 7. Light illuminance with and without vegetation on 31 March-2 April 2018

From the measurement, sunlight outdoor illuminance was ranging from 10.8 to 143289 lux. The base case was ranging from 3.9 to 32280 lux; while the greenery facade illuminance was ranging from 3.9 to 24412 lux.

Being shown that condition with vegetation compared to condition without vegetation, the vegetation gave reduction on illuminance ranging from 31.18% - 51.71% at 2pm-6pm. The highest daylight reduction was at 4.30 pm – 6pm. This meant it was effective to reduce excessive brightness from low altitude of west sunlight penetration.

The average illuminance reduction of condition with vegetation compared to condition without vegetation from 24 March to 2 April 2018 was 27.51%.



4.1.2. Indoor thermal condition (Air temperature & RH%)





Figure 9. Indoor temperature and RH%, with and without vegetation on 30 March-2 April 2018

From figure 8-9, temperature base case was ranging from 24.2 to 49.9 C, and temperature with greenery was ranging from 24.2 to 48.6 C, RH base case was ranging from 28.1% to 90.4%, and RH with greenery was ranging from 30.7 to 88.9%. The temperature indoor with vegetation was averagely higher than without vegetation at 06.00am-12.30pm. After that, at 12.30-18.30pm, the condition with vegetation was lower 1 deg C averagely than the condition without vegetation. After that time, the temperature of both condition tended to be the same.

The graphic showed that the RH% was highest at night until early morning, 70-90%. At night until 1pm, the RH of the condition with vegetation was lower averagely 1% than condition without vegetation. Contrary, from 1pm-9pm the RH% was lowest, 30%. The condition with vegetation was averagely higher 1% than condition without vegetation. From 9pm until late at night, the RH% of both condition tended to be the same.

4.2 Dry Season Periode, South Orientation

On 14-22 November 2018, the vegetation was grown spreadly enclosed the facade, with leaves width 4-6 cm and the depth 5-15 cm; the vegetation was less fertile than the former. Its LAI was 0.54.



Figure 10. Climbing ivy seen from the front and from the side in November 2018.



4.2.1 Indoor light condition





Figure 12. Light illuminance with and without vegetation on 17-19 November 2018



Figure 13. Light illuminance with and without vegetation on 20-22 November 2018

From figure 11-13, sunlight outdoor illuminance was ranging from 10.8 to 154312 lux. The base case was ranging from 11.8 to 23292 lux; while the greenery facade illuminance was ranging from 11.8 to 17805 lux. Being shown that reduction of condition with vegetation compared to condition without vegetation, the vegetation gave reduction on illuminance ranging from 28.4% - 54.87%. The highest daylight reduction was at 5.00-5.15am before sunrise and 4.30-5.15pm before sunset. It made sense since south was the facade orientation. The average illuminance reduction of condition with vegetation compared to condition without vegetation from 14 to 22 November 2018 was 26.27%.



4.2.1 Indoor thermal condition (Air temperature & RH)

Figure 14. Indoor thermal condition (Air temperature & RH%) on 14-16 November 2018



Figure 15. Indoor thermal condition (Air temperature & RH%) on 16-18 November 2018

Figure 14-15 showed temperature base case ranging from 26.5 - 47.9 C, temperature with greenery ranging from 26.7 C to 47.3 C, RH base case ranging from 30.2-79.7%, and RH with greenery ranging from 33.1 to 79.4%.

From the measurement, it was found that for south orientation on dry season, the vegetation did not give any significant impact to indoor temperature even though it brought to higher relative humidity than without vegetation condition by its evapotranspiration process.

5. Conclusion

For greenery facades, vegetation impacts to light are determined by its orientation, depth and surface area it covered, while for thermal impact, the denser or the bigger LAI gives the better impact.

Founding from this research, the vegetation condition on second measurement, even though less fertile and only limited in depth, brought relatively high sunlight reduction to indoor illuminance. Even, it was very effective to reduce excessive sunlight since it grew toward the sunlight. However, for themal impact, the vegetation condition of first measurement which was denser brought to better impact.

We can also conclude that vegetation impacts to light are far bigger than its thermal impact. Surely better maintenance will bring more effective result. This very simple research will later be developed to the real building facade in order to get more objective result regarding energy balance for cooling versus lighting the building in the tropics, besides improving visual-aural comfort, health and productivity for building user as well.

References

- Statistic Center Bureau. Angin, arah terbanyak, kecepatan rata-rata di Juanda per bulan 2014 https://surabayakota.bps.go.id/linkTabelStatis/view/id/205 [in Bahasa Indonesia]
- [2] Surabaya City Government. Geografis data statistik 2016 <u>https://www.surabaya.go.id/uploads/attachments/2017/12/40252/bab_1_geografis_data_statistik_2016.pdf</u> [in Bahasa Indonesia]
- [3] Global Forum on Human Settlements 2017 The 2017 Sustainable cities and human settlements awards were grandly announced in New York http://www.gfhsforum.org/content? l=en&article_id=349
- [4] Kellert S R, Heerwagen J H and Mador M L 2008 *Biophilic design* (John Wiley & Sons, NJ ,p 7)
- [5] Grabowiecki K, Jaworski A, Niewczas T and Belleri A 2017 Green solutions-climbing vegetation impact on building – energy balance element *Energy Procedia* 111 p 377-386
- [6] Jaafar B, Said I, Reba M N M and Rasidi M H 2013 Impacts of vertical greenery system on internal building corridors in the tropic *Procedia Social and Behavioral Science* 105 p 558-568
- [7] Coma J, Gracia A, Urrestarazu M, Perez G, Bures S and Cabeza, L F 2017 Vertical greenery systems for energy savings in buildings: A comparative study between green walls and green facades *Building and Environment* 111 p 228-237
- [8] Hatifah, Antaryama I G N and Ekasiwi S N N 2011Pengaruh kerimbunan daun pada sistem vegetasi vertikal terhadap aliran udara pada hunian bertingkat rendah [Influence of difference leaf thickness of vertical vegetation system to air velocity in medium rise building] [Thesis] (Surabaya : Program Magister Arsitektur, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember) [in Bahasa Indonesia]
- H. Fang and S. Liang 2008 Leaf area index models *Encyclopedia of Ecology* 2 p 2139-2148 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B978012409548909076X
- [10] Curtain ivy . http://bibitbunga.com/tanaman-curtain-ivy-princess-vine/

IMPACTS OF GREENERY FACADE TO INDOOR LIGHT ILLUMINANCE AND THERMAL

ORIGINALITY REPORT 3% 3% INTERNET SOURCES **PUBLICATIONS** SIMILARITY INDEX **PRIMARY SOURCES** Submitted to RMIT University Student Paper Submitted to Eastern Mediterranean 2 International School Student Paper Submitted to University of Portsmouth 3 Student Paper Julià Coma, Gabriel Pérez, Alvaro de Gracia, 4 Silvia Burés, Miguel Urrestarazu, Luisa F. Cabeza. "Vertical greenery systems for energy savings in buildings: A comparative study

between green walls and green facades", Building and Environment, 2017







%

STUDENT PAPERS

4%

%

%

%

 Krzysztof Grabowiecki, Armen Jaworski, Tomasz Niewczas, Annamaria Belleri. "Green Solutions- Climbing Vegetation Impact on Building – Energy Balance Element", Energy Procedia, 2017

1%

Publication

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 1%
Exclude bibliography	On		