

- Word Count: 2835

Plagiarism Percentage

7%

sources:

- 1 1% match (publications)
[Litsomboon, Theradej, Pichai Nimityongskul, and Naveed Anwar. "Development of Lightweight Aggregate Concrete Containing Pulverized Fly Ash and Bottom Ash", Key Engineering Materials, 2009.](#)
- 2 1% match (Internet from 15-Apr-2015)
<http://eprints.ucl.ac.uk/19028/1/19028.pdf>
- 3 1% match (Internet from 29-Jan-2016)
<http://eprints.uthm.edu.my/6597/1/355.pdf>
- 4 1% match (publications)
[Kadir, Aeslina Abdul, Mohd Ikhmal Hageem Hassan, Norwati Jamaluddin, and Mohd Mustafa Al Bakri Abdullah. "Properties and Leachability of Self-Compacting Concrete Incorporated with Fly Ash and Bottom Ash", IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering, 2016.](#)
- 5 < 1% match (publications)
[Proske, Tilo, Stefan Hainer, Moien Rezvani, and Carl-Alexander Graubner. "Eco-friendly concretes with reduced water and cement content – Mix design principles and application in practice", Construction and Building Materials, 2014.](#)
- 6 < 1% match (publications)
[Wongsa, Ampol Zaetang, Yuwadee Sata, Van. "Properties of lightweight fly ash geopolymer concrete containing bottom ash as aggregates.\(Report\)", Construction and Building Materials, May 15 2016 Issue](#)
- 7 < 1% match (publications)
[McCarter, W.J.. "The complex impedance response of fly-ash cements revisited", Cement and Concrete Research, 200410](#)
- 8 < 1% match (Internet from 22-Aug-2014)
<http://www.intersections.ro/Conferences/CCE2010.pdf>
- 9 < 1% match (publications)
[Kim, H.K. Lee, H.K.. "Use of power plant bottom ash as fine and coarse aggregates in high-](#)

10 < 1% match (publications)
[Bai, Y. Darcy, F. Basheer, P.A.M.. "Strength and drying shrinkage properties of concrete containing furnace bottom ash as fine aggregate", Construction and Building Materials, Nov 2005 Issue](#)

11 < 1% match (Internet from 28-Dec-2013)
<http://www.google.ml/patents/US7666505>

12 < 1% match (Internet from 06-Mar-2016)
<http://www.claisse.info/2013%20papers/data/e386.pdf>

13 < 1% match (publications)
[Sinha, Deependra Kumar, A. Kumar, and Sanjay Kumar. "Development of Geopolymer Concrete from Fly Ash and Bottom Ash Mixture", Transactions of the Indian Ceramic Society, 2014.](#)

14 < 1% match (publications)
[Andrade, L.B.. "Evaluation of concrete incorporating bottom ash as a natural aggregates replacement", Waste Management, 2007](#)

15 < 1% match (publications)
[Daniel Wałach. "Impact of separated bottom ashes on the parameters of concrete mix and hardened concrete", E3S Web of Conferences, 2016](#)

16 < 1% match (publications)
[Zhao, J. M., Z. X. Yang, Kyu Hong Hwang, J.K. Lee, M. C. Kim, and G.D. Seo. "Strength Enhancement of Bottom Ash-Based Polymer Concretes", Key Engineering Materials, 2011.](#)

17 < 1% match (publications)
[Zainal Abidin, Norul Ernida, Mohd Haziman Wan Ibrahim, Norwati Jamaluddin, Kartini Kamaruddin, and Ahmad Farhan Hamzah. "The Strength Behavior of Self-Compacting Concrete Incorporating Bottom Ash as Partial Replacement to Fine Aggregate", Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2015.](#)

18 < 1% match (publications)
[Journal of Intellectual Capital, Volume 11, Issue 1 \(2010-01-09\)](#)

19 < 1% match (publications)
[Computers in Quantity Surveying, 1976.](#)

paper text:

Processed bottom ash for replacing fine aggregate in making high-volume fly ash concrete Antoni1,*, Aldi

**Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Surabaya,
Indonesia**

18

Abstract. Bottom ash is a coal plant by-product that is abundant and underutilized. There is the potential use of bottom ash as a fine aggregate replacement in concrete mixtures; however, the problems of water absorption and uniformity of quality of the material need to be overcome first. In this study, bottom ash was treated by sieve separation and pounding to smaller particle size for use as a sand substitute. The physical and chemical characteristics of bottom ash were tested after treatment including water absorption, sieve analysis, and fineness modulus. High-volume fly ash (HVFA) mortar specimens were made and the compressive strength and flowability test using bottom ash after treatment are compared with that of the sand specimen. Low water to cementitious ratio was used to ensure higher strength from the cementitious paste and superplasticizer demand was determined for each treatment. The result showed that bottom ash can be used as fine aggregate replacement material. Sieve separation of the bottom ash could produce 75% of the compressive strength compared with the control sand specimen, whereas pounded bottom ash could have up to 96% of the compressive strength of the control specimen. A 28-day compressive strength of 45 MPa was achievable with 100% replacement of fine aggregate with bottom ash.

1 Introduction The large amount of natural materials used in making concrete has been a concern in the construction industry. In some regions, natural sand has been irresponsibly mined, causing environmental damage. Concern about the large-volume usage of cement also has been raised due to the carbon released during cement production, making concrete a non-environmentally friendly material. A solution is needed

to improve the sustainability of concrete

5

from the perspective of raw materials and composition. Concrete developed, manufactured,

and used in an environmentally friendly

5

way is called "Green Concrete" [1] and efforts to reduce the use of cement by improving mix composition and replacing natural materials with recycled or waste materials are on-going, with further research needed.

* Corresponding author: antoni@petra.ac.id

Fly ash and bottom ash are coal combustion waste materials from the

6

burning process in power plants. For the use of bottom ash itself, there are still some difficulties such as irregular and rough bottom ash particle shapes [2,3]. In addition, using bottom ash in concrete mixtures reduces concrete strength, increases water absorption, and decreases density [4]. Bottom ash has a larger particle size than fly ash and a rough surface that can interlock easily, which lowers workability of the mixture. In addition, the porosity and water absorption are high, which increases the water requirement of the mixture [5]. Bottom ash can be treated by grinding and sieving to reduce the particle size to reduce

water consumption and voids [6]; however, the grinding process requires a lot of energy and cost. Fly ash material has been used in making high-volume fly ash (HVFA) concrete due to its beneficial effects. Small particle size and the rounded shape

of the fly ash particles improve **the workability of the**

3

paste mix, resulting in a decrease in the water requirement. HVFA concrete has at least 50% fly ash replacing cement, low water content (~130 kg/m³), and low water to cementitious ratio (w/cm < 0.4) [7,8]. The use of

high-volume fly ash in making **concrete** would reduce **the use of**

2

cement as well as reduce the water use required for bottom ash mixtures [9,10]. The utilization of bottom ash in Indonesia is still rare due to its irregular, relatively large, and porous particles. In addition, environmental regulation lacks sufficient evidence regarding the use of this waste material. Much of the bottom ash generated by a power plant only accumulates in the ash pond and is then stacked in the fields. Therefore, this research aims to treat and utilize this abundant source

of bottom ash as a replacement for sand **in**

16

a mortar mixture. The HVFA mortar mixture aims to maximize

the use of fly ash and bottom ash

13

as well as minimize the use of cement. Early-age and long-term compressive strength and the workability of the mixture are evaluated to ensure a good performance of bottom ash as the aggregate replacement in

concrete. 2 Experimental methods **2.1 Materials** The cementitious material **used was**

10

Portland Pozzolan Cement (PPC) from PT. Semen Gresik and

fly ash type C. The fly ash and bottom ash

11

were from PT. Jawa Power at the Paiton power plant complex, East Java. The sand used was from a local quarry in Lumajang, East Java. The XRF test results from

fly ash and bottom ash are presented in Table 1.

1

The water used was demineralized water and the superplasticizer (SP) was a polycarboxylate ether-based type. The bottom ash obtained was designated as raw bottom ash (RBA) and oven-dried before sieving into coarse bottom ash (CBA,

with a particle size of 0–5 mm, and fine

12

bottom ash (FBA),

with a particle size of 0–2.36 mm.

15

Bottom ash that did not pass the 5 mm sieve was pounded in 5 min increments until all particles passed the 5 mm sieve. These were designated as pounded bottom ash (PBA). The particle shapes

of fly ash, sand, and bottom ash are illustrated in Figure 1.

1

Table 1. Oxide compositions for

fly ash and bottom ash from the

4

XRF test

Oxide SiO₂ Al₂O₃ Fe₂O₃ CaO MgO SO₃ TiO₂ K₂O P₂O₅ MnO₂ Na₂O LOI

7

Fly ash 34.29 16.62 15.38 18.18 7.52 1.63 0.73 1.35 0.25 0.17 2.97 0.36 Bottom ash 34.39 10.02 18.41 21.16 9.7 0.66 0.65 0.9 - 0.22 0.24 3.54 (a) (b)

(c) (d) (e) (f) Fig. 1. Photographs of materials used

19

in making the mortar specimens: (a) fly ash, (b) sand, (c) raw bottom ash, (d) coarse bottom ash passing 5 mm sieve, (e) fine bottom ash passing 2 mm sieve, and (f) pounded bottom ash. 2.2 Mixture compositions and variables For the current experimental study, the water to cementitious ratio (w/cm) was fixed at 0.325, and fly ash was used as cement replacement at level 50%, by mass. Superplasticizer was prepared as required (SP demand) to achieve a flow diameter of 14 ± 2 cm. The SP demand is then calculated to the percentage of the cementitious mass. The aggregate to cementitious ratio was determined with the trial mixing with a target of a workable mixture and higher compressive strength. The HVFA mortar was then cast into a specimen of 5 cm × 5 cm × 5 cm. The compressive strength test of the mortar was conducted at 3, 7, 14, 28, and 56 days and 1 year, and three replications were conducted for each age testing. The

variable designations are as follow. "CTRL" was for the comparative specimen using Lumajang sand, with a prefix to indicate the bottom ash type: "C" for coarse, "F" for fine, and "P" for pounded. The numbers 100, 080, and 050 denote the mass percentages of the bottom ash replacing control sand. The suffixes (-2, -2.5, and -1.5) show the aggregate to cementitious ratio, in mass.

3 Results and discussions 3.1 Treatment of the bottom ash Different physical properties of

9

the material were found for different sizes of bottom ash gradation. Water absorption from the saturated surface dry (SSD) condition and bulk volume are displayed in Figure 2(a). Coarse bottom ash had a higher absorption value compared with the fine bottom ash because the voids are reduced as the particles become finer. The bulk volumes of both coarse and fine bottom ash were about the same. Pounded bottom ash has a similar water absorption value with the coarse bottom ash as it has a similar maximum aggregate size, but with a higher bulk volume value, showing it has a higher compaction density. PBA has the largest bulk volume of 1706.67 kg/m³, which is higher than that of sand 1629.33 kg/m³. This is because the pounded bottom ash particles have good gradations such that they can pack together well and minimize the air space between particles. The sieve analysis can be seen in Figure 2(b).

It can be analyzed that the fine bottom ash (FBA) has a particle

14

gradation that resembles Lumajang sand. The fineness modulus (FM) of the RBA is highest due to its much larger particle size. The results of the sieve grading analysis were used to calculate the FM of the material. The FM value for sand, CBA, FBA, PBA, and RBA were 2.454, 2.633, 1.991, 3.323, and 3.884, respectively.

2.5 Water absorption (%) 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 Absorption Bulk Vol. 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 Bulk Volume (t/m³) 0.0 0.0 Sand CBA FBA PBA 100 90 %Cumulative passing 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 Sand CBA FBA PBA 5 4 Sieve opening size (mm) 3 2 1 base0 (a) (b) Fig. 2. Physical properties of the materials: (a) water absorption and the bulk density and (b) gradation of the fine aggregate.

3.2 Behavior of fresh HVFA mortar Figure 3(a) shows the evaluations of flow diameter and SP demand for each mixed composition. The increased use of bottom ash generally leads to a decrease in flowability; thus, it is necessary to add SP to achieve the target flow diameter. A 50% bottom ash replacement, no additional SP was needed; however, when replacing 80% and 100% sand with bottom ash, further SP was needed. Mortar specimens with 100% FBA cannot be well made because the SP demand exceeds 2% and still does not reach target workability. Higher than 2% SP was not explored further due to the detrimental effect of SP on the strength and setting time of the mixture as well as economic considerations. An adequate mixture could be made by reducing the ratio of FBA to cementitious to 1.5 by weight. This correlates well with the lower bulk volume that was previously measured.

20 SP 2.5 Flow Diameter (cm) 18 Flow 16 2 14 12 1.5 10 SP demand (% Cm) 8 1 6 4 0.5 2 0 0 20 Flow Diameter (cm) 15 10 5 0 $y = 6.0204x - 1.2829$ CBA FBA PBA 1.75 2.00 2.25 2.50 2.75 3.00 3.25 3.50 Fineness Modulus (a) (b) Fig. 3. (a) Measured flow diameter with superplasticizer demand and (b) relationship of flow diameter and the fineness modulus of the material. Fresh mortar with PBA has a higher flowability than the control sand due to higher bulk volume of the material. The highest flow diameter was achieved for a mixture using 100% PBA even without additional SP. High flowability corresponds with the high bulk volume value and also correlates with the fineness modulus of the aggregate as shown in Figure 3(b). From the trial, it was found also that higher flow diameter also produced specimens with a

smooth surface. 3.3 Properties of hardened HVFA mortar A compressive strength test was conducted on specimens after curing in water for the specified duration. Figure 4(a) shows the compressive strength of the entire mixture composition. The compressive strength graph shows a corresponding positive increase of strength with time. For the CBA series, C050-2, C080-2, and C100-2 showed that at a higher sand replacement ratio a lower compressive strength was obtained. The 28-day strength of C100-2 was about 75% of the CTRL-2. The composition of C100-2.5 was made to maximize the use of bottom ash and had approximately the same strength with C100-2 at 28 days, but with a smaller diameter flow and more SP demand. However, when considering the later age strength, there was a low increment of strength for C100-2.5 specimen as opposed to still increasing of compressive strength for C100-2 because of the more cementitious material in the specimen.

Compressive Strength (MPa) 100 80 60 40 20 0

2

3d 7d 14d 28d 56d 1y

Compressive Strength (MPa) 65 60 55 50 45 40

8

35 30 CTRL-2 C100-2 C050-2 P100-2 CTRL-1.5 C080-2 C100-2.5 F100-1.5 70 2000 2200 2400 2600
Mortar Density (kg/m³) (a) (b) Fig. 4. (a) Compressive strength of the mortar up to 1 year of age and (b) relationship of mortar density and the 28-day compressive strength. F100-1.5 mixture has lower strength compared with CTRL-1.5, showing that using finer bottom ash could reduce the water absorption of the material; however, it was more beneficial to use coarse

bottom ash in the mixture. The compressive strength of the

3

F100- 1.5 at 28 days was about 75% of the comparable mortar, CTRL-1.5. There was no compressive strength data for F100-2 due to workability and compactability problems. Using PBA with coarser particle size, P100-2, had a high compressive strength, almost the same as CTRL-2 mortar. This was because the PBA material has a good gradation so that the bulk volume obtained was optimal. Long-term compressive strength was conducted at up to one year of mortar age to check whether the bottom ash could have a detrimental reaction in the mortar. All of the specimens had higher strength than that of the younger age. Strength increase was more pronounced for specimens with a more cementitious proportion in the mixture, as the high- volume replacement of the cement with fly ash means a slower and longer reaction rate. The compressive strength of mortar is still increasing, even at later age. Figure 4(b) shows the correlation of the 28-day compressive strength with the bulk density of each composition specimen. The tendency of higher bulk density to correlate well with the compressive strength was true in this case with the exception of the PBA specimen. It seems that P100-2 could have a higher bulk volume, but with a similar strength as the CTRL-2 specimen. 4 Conclusions Bottom ash was treated by sieving and pounding to make HVFA mortar. From the study, the following conclusions were obtained. 1. The bulk volume of coarse bottom ash and fine bottom ash is lighter than sand, whereas the bulk volume of pounded bottom ash is 4.75% greater than sand due to a better gradation of the particles such that the gaps between them can be filled. This showed that the gradation of the particle could be one of the main factors in making a good concrete mixture. 2.

Water absorption of the bottom ash can be reduced by crushing into a finer particle size; however, the absorption value was higher when compared with the control sand. The use of more bottom ash in the mixture would require higher superplasticizer dosage to obtain a workable mix when using a low water to cementitious ratio. 3. Replacement of fine aggregate using coarse and fine bottom ash at 100% ratio (C100-2 and F100-1.5) resulted in 75% of the control specimen's compressive strength. However, when combining the gradation of the coarse and fine particle (P100-2), the compressive strength obtained was similar to the control specimen. This is due to good particle gradation, which increases the bulk density and, thereby, increases its compressive strength. 4. There is a reduction of fresh mortar flowability with the addition of coarse and fine bottom ash as indicated by the increase of superplasticizer demand. However, when a good gradation of the bottom ash particle was attained, the workability was comparable with the control sand. Therefore, the gradation of particles needs to be controlled to achieve a good workable and strong HVFA mortar. 5. Long-term concrete strength up to one year

using bottom ash as the fine aggregate replacement **was** increased,
indicating **that**

1

there was no long-term detrimental effect on the usage

of bottom ash in the concrete mixture. Acknowledgment **to**

17

PT. Jawa Power for providing the

fly ash and bottom ash for the experimental study

4

under MOU number 002/MoU/FTSP/UKP/2015-YTLJT/LTR/2015/00734. References 1. T. Proske, S. Hainer, M. Rezvani & C. Graubner, Cem. Concr. Res, 51 38–46 (2013) 2. H. K. Kim. & H. K. Lee, Constr Build Mater 25(2), 1115–1122 (2011) 3. M. Singh & R. Siddique, J Clean Prod 112, 620-630 (2015) 4. H. K. Kim. & H. K. Lee, J Mater Civil Eng, 25(May), 662–665. (2013) 5. L.B. Andrade, J.C. Rocha & M Cheriaf, Constr Build Mater 23, 609–614 (2009) 6. H. K. Kim, Constr Build Mater 91, 57–64. (2015) 7. M. Reiner & K. Rens, Pract Period Struct Des Construct, 11, 58–64. (2006) 8. S. V. Deo, Road Mater Pavement. 16(3), 707–715. (2015) 9. A. A. Ramezani pour, Cement Replacement Materials (1st ed.). (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, 2014) 10. Antoni ,V. Satrya & D. Hardjito, Civ Eng Dim, 17(1), 38-43 (2015)