

Anxiety in Pregnant Women During the Covid-19 Pandemic_edited_4Okt24.docx

Anxiety in Pregnant Women during the Covid-19 Pandemic

Cyntia Puspa Pitaloka, Brahmana Askandar Tjokroprawiro, Muji Sulistyowati

Universitas Kristen Petra
Surabaya

Abstract

Objective : This study systematically reviewed and meta-analysis the prevalence and factors associated with anxiety in pregnant women during the pandemic.

Methods : We searched PubMed MEDLINE, Web of Science, Scopus, ProQuest, EBSCO, Science Direct, and Garuda journal databases in July 2021 and updated them in October 2021. All English and Bahasa journal articles from December 2019 were included in the search. We included studies that investigate factors affecting anxiety exclusively in pregnant women. The primary outcome was the prevalence ratio. The secondary outcome was the risk and protective factors as the independent variable. Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Tools and RevMan 5.4 were used to do the analysis.

Results: After screening 2082 articles, we included 21 studies with 42.177 pregnant women. The pooled prevalence of anxiety was estimated at 28% (95% CI, 23-33.3). We found that 12 of the 21 studies contributed to 8 risks and 1 protective factor significantly in the meta-analysis. Not married/divorced/widowed, monthly income < 780 USD, screen time > 3 hours/day, history of exposure to COVID-19, complications in the current pregnancy, sleep less than 7 hours per day, subjective poor sleep quality, and high perception of vulnerability were risk factors. Meanwhile, the protective factor was trust in the government's official media.

Conclusion : There is a significant increase in the prevalence of maternal anxiety during the pandemic. Mental health screening during the antenatal visit must be carried out, and interventions to lower the anxiety level must be planned to prevent further harm.

Keywords: anxiety, COVID-19, mental health, pandemics, pregnancy

Correspondence author: ???

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic causes psychological distress and fear in some individuals due to periods of isolation, quarantine, and hospitalization¹. As one of the vulnerable populations, pregnant women experience increased anxiety, which has been reported in various countries²⁻⁴. Anxiety is a normal response to threats and is an attempt to save oneself⁵. However, there will be interference if the response is excessive.

In pregnant women, anxiety is associated with an increased risk of obstetrics problems, cesarean delivery, increased chances of preterm birth, small for gestational age, and smaller infant head circumference⁶, including premature rupture of the membrane⁷. If not prevented, anxiety during pregnancy could lead to more extensive harm. Therefore, knowing the risk and protective factors for anxiety during pregnancy is essential, especially during a pandemic.

Several systematic literature reviews discussed the psychological impact of COVID-19 on pregnant and postpartum women during the pandemic^{8,9}. However, none specifically discusses the prevalence of anxiety during pregnancy and its determinants using a systematic review accompanied by a meta-analysis method. Therefore, this study aims to conduct a systematic review of risk and protective factors, estimate the pooled effect size of risk and protective factors, and estimate the pooled prevalence of anxiety in pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

The organization of this manuscript followed the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) guidelines¹⁰, and the research protocol was registered in SPERO CRD42021270107. The literature search was carried out in July – August 2021 and updated in October 2021. We used PUBMED Medline, Web of Science, Scopus, Science Direct, ProQuest, EBSCOhost, and the Garuda journal database to conduct our search.

The search was carried out systematically on titles and abstracts using the thesaurus and MeSH. Combination of keywords: pregnant*, antenatal, prenatal, perinatal, maternal, gravid, peripartum, peripartum, antepartum, expectant mother, anxiety, worry, mental health, stress, distress, COVID, Coronavirus, Wuhan virus, Wuhan Pneumonia, SARS-CoV-2, 2019-nCoV, pandemic both in English and Bahasa were used. All English and Bahasa journal articles from December 2019 to July 2021 were included in the search.

The Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparison, Outcome, and Study design frameworks were used to clarify the inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Table 1).

Table 1. PICOS Design Frameworks

	Inclusion	Exclusion
Population	12 studies on pregnant women only or pregnant and postpartum women COVID-19 pandemic	Studies that did not dissociate pregnant and postpartum women in the analysis.
Intervention/Exposure	-	-
Comparator	-	-
Outcome	Primary: prevalence of anxiety among pregnant women. Second: risk and protective factors as the independent variable and anxiety as the dependent variable with Odds Ratio/Prevalence Odds Ratio, $p < 0.05$, and 95% CI.	Studies only mention descriptive analysis for the outcome and studies that combine anxiety and other mental health problems as the dependent variable.
Study Design	Cross-sectional, case-control, cohort	Reviews, editorials, letters, opinions, purely qualitative studies, conferences, and proceedings.

The articles obtained from the initial search were then imported into Rayyan¹¹, checked for duplication and screened. Preliminary screening through titles and abstracts was carried out independently by CPP. After selecting the title and abstract, the full text of 30 potential articles was screened based on the data extraction compared to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Articles that did not meet the requirements were removed with a description of the reason. In case of doubt, CPP consulted with other reviewers (BAT, MS). Articles that did not provide access to the full text were excluded.

According to the study design, articles passed the title, abstract, and full-text screening process then assessed for quality using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Tools¹² and scored. To prevent bias due to study quality, articles that did not meet the minimum cut-off value of included studies (50%) were excluded.

Data extraction process is carried out by CPP independently. Studies containing statistical data were synthesized quantitatively during the meta-analysis. The combined prevalence was calculated using the proportion formula for pregnant women with anxiety disorders based on the cut-off score of the anxiety measurement instrument provided in the article. Because one article can contain more than one risk factor, each risk factor was analyzed separately. Articles that did not report odds ratio but had 2x2 table data were included in the meta-analysis.

Revman 5.4 software was used to analyze the data. The heterogeneity assessment was carried out using the I^2 test ($I^2 > 50\%$) and the Q test.¹³ The random-effects model was used in heterogeneous study conditions, and otherwise, the fix-effects model was used. The prevalence and 95% confidence intervals for each study were presented in forest plot.

Jamovi 2.0 software was used to assess publication bias by the Egger and Begg test and Fail Safe-N test result.¹⁴ The significance of publication bias was obtained when the $P < .05$.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In the initial search, 2802 articles were obtained from 7 journal databases, leaving 21 articles to synthesize narrative and quantitatively after screening. All 21 articles had a cross-sectional design, with China being the most studied country (66.67%). The two most widely used measuring instruments were GAD-7 (Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7) and S-36 (Zung Self-rating Anxiety Scale), with 38.09% each. There were nine articles with a sample size of >1000 pregnant women. Based on the JBI assessment, two studies scored 100%, and five studies were 62.5%. The summary of the study characteristics is provided in table 2.

Commented [WU1]: result and discussion silakan di pisah

Table 2. Summary of Study Characteristics of 21 Articles Included in the Analysis

Characteristics	n (%)
Study design	
Cross-Sectional	21 (100)
Country of origin	
China	14 (66.67)
Turkey	2 (9.52)
Poland	1 (4.76)
Canada	1 (4.76)
United States	1 (4.76)
Iran	2 (9.52)
Publication year	
2020	2 (9.52)
2021	19 (90.48)
Time of data collection	
The first 6 months of pandemic	19 (90.48)
After 6 months of pandemic	2 (9.52)
Methods of data collection	
Online questionnaire	16 (76.19)
Physical questionnaire	5 (23.81)
Anxiety measurement instruments	
GAD-7	8 (38.09)
SAS	8 (38.09)
HADS-A	1 (4.76)
PROMIS	1 (4.76)
PRAQ	1 (4.76)
DASS-A	2 (9.52)
Sample size	
< 500	5 (23.81)
501 – 1000	7 (33.33)
> 1000	9 (42.86)

Abbreviations: DASS-A, The Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-Anxiety subscale; GAD-7, Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7; HADS-A, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety subscale; PRAQ, Pregnancy Related Anxiety Questionnaire; PROMIS, Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement System; SAS, Self-report Anxiety Scale

Narrative Synthesis

There were four modifiable sociodemographic factors associated with anxiety. The first was a residential area. Living in a pandemic epicenter location^{15,16} or experiencing a lockdown in the place of residence¹⁷ was associated with increased anxiety. The second was socioeconomic status. During the pandemic, the decline in income was associated with anxiety, with higher declines leading to higher anxiety.¹⁸ Lower income (< 780 USD/ month or 7000 USD/ year) was associated with increased anxiety.^{19,20}

On the other hand, higher income and a better economic level were protective factors for anxiety.^{15,21} The third factor was education, but this study's findings indicate inconsistencies in the variables and outcomes of the effect of education on anxiety. The fourth factor related to anxiety was marital status. Unmarried/divorced/widowed had a higher risk of anxiety.¹⁸ Age was positively correlated with anxiety in some studies, but the results were inconsistent across studies.

Environmental Exposure Factors

The time spent watching television and cell phones, more than 3 hours per day, was associated with high anxiety in pregnant women. The longer the time spent, the higher the risk of anxiety,²⁰ especially when watching the news about COVID-19.²² Increased use of social media was also associated with anxiety.²³ On the other hand, less than 2 hours of screen time was a protective factor even when accompanied by lack of sleep.²⁰ The presence of COVID-19 infection in close relatives was associated with anxiety.¹⁷ The presence of suspected or confirmed cases around²², family members died from COVID-19,¹⁵ and COVID-19 infection during pregnancy was associated with increased anxiety.^{3,23}

Occupational Factor

Not working or losing a job during the pandemic was associated with increased anxiety in 4 studies^{18,21,23,24} but not in one study.²⁵ Working as farmers²⁶ and civil servants²⁰ was a protective factor for anxiety.

Lifestyle Factor

Physical inactivity was associated with anxiety.²⁴ On the other hand, being physically active was a protective factor.^{20,22,27} In particular,²² stated that the interaction between lack of time for physical exercise (< 30 minutes per day) and sleep (< 7 hours per day), and spending more than one hour per day on social media increased the prevalence of anxiety in pregnant women. Sitting more than 10 hours per day and drinking alcohol were also associated with increased anxiety.¹⁸

Physiological Factors

Nine articles discussed pregnancy complications and comorbidities and their association with anxiety. The result was consistent. Pregnancy complications and comorbidities were associated with increased anxiety in pregnant women.^{3,15-18,20,21,25,26,28,29} Planning for vaginal delivery is a protective factor for anxiety.¹⁸

Sleep time more than 6 hours per day was a protective factor for anxiety. The longer sleep time, the lower the anxiety,²⁰ and the lower the sleep time (< 7 hours per day), the higher the anxiety.³⁰ Further, inconsistent time to sleep, sleep after 00:00, and difficulty initiating sleep was associated with anxiety.³⁰ Subjective poor sleep quality was also associated with anxiety.^{30,31} Research²⁹ stated that obesity was protective against anxiety. However, this finding was not consistent¹⁵ research, which stated that obesity and overweight were risk factors for anxiety.

Psychological Factor

28 articles consistently stated that a previous history of anxiety and depression was associated with high anxiety in pregnant women during the pandemic.^{23,32,33} Good knowledge of COVID-19^{28,34} and its prevention,¹⁵ the simplicity of mothers accessing antenatal information from hospitals,²⁸ and trust in official government media as sources of information were protective factors for anxiety.^{3,34}

On the other hand, mothers who did not receive information about the impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy² mothers who did not receive information from doctors/nurses/midwives about the impact of COVID-19 on the baby's health experienced increased anxiety.²⁴

Response to Trauma

The perception of COVID-19's severe impact on their lives^{17,26,27,30} or their psychological well-being³⁰ was associated with increased anxiety. In addition, the perceived susceptibility was also associated with anxiety, both concern for oneself^{22,34} and the baby.^{31,35}

Mothers who felt uncomfortable during antenatal visits and mothers who did not delay/reduce the number of antenatal visits were associated with higher anxiety.^{24,34} In addition, worrying about pandemic control and being afraid to leave the house were also associated with increased anxiety.³ In contrast, self-efficacy was associated with lower anxiety.²⁶

High levels of stress during the pandemic and its relationship with high anxiety levels in pregnant women were described in the 48 articles.^{16,31,32} Worrying about the baby, family, friends, and financial adequacy was also associated with higher anxiety levels.^{15,26,27} Conversely, not worrying about contracting COVID-19 was associated with less anxiety in pregnant women.³⁴

Relational Factor

Social restriction due to the pandemic increased the anxiety level of pregnant women.^{23,35} Family dysfunction, tension with partners, and lack of support from others during the pandemic were associated with increased anxiety.^{16,18} On the other hand, high support from a spouse,^{30,35} family,^{22,33} and generally, was associated with lower anxiety levels.^{17,21,35}

Quantitative Synthesis

Anxiety Prevalence

The range of anxiety prevalence was 10 to 65% (k=21), and the pooled prevalence of anxiety was 28% (95%CI; 23-33; N=42,177). There was a significant study heterogeneity ($Q = 3150.66$; $P < .001$ and $I^2 = 99.62\%$); hence the most appropriate model used to analyze was a random-effect model. The meta-regression results showed that the country of origin variable significantly moderated the existing heterogeneity ($P < .001$). There were significant differences in anxiety prevalence between groups of China, Turkey, Iran, and others. The prevalence of anxiety was higher in Turkey (63%, 95% CI, 60-66), followed by other countries (39%, 95% CI, 15-62), China (22%, 95% CI, 17-27), and finally Iran (20%, 95% CI, 17-23). The forest plot of prevalence was presented in figure 1.




Figure 1. Forest Plot of Prevalence of the Anxiety

In this study, the pooled prevalence for anxiety in pregnant women during the pandemic from 21 studies was 28%. This result is higher than the systematic review of antenatal anxiety before the pandemic (1950 - 2016) proposed³⁶, which is 22.9% (95% CI; 20.25-25.2; N = 142,833) but not much different from the prevalence of anxiety in the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic of 27.3% (95% CI: 23.7-31.2; N = 140,732)³⁷ and 31.9% (95%CI; 26 -36.7; N = 63.439).³⁸

However, the prevalence of anxiety in pregnant women in this study was lower than other systematic reviews during the pandemic,⁸ (37%, 95%CI; 25-49; N = 20,569). One of the possible causes was the origin of the study. In this study, most studies came from China (k = 14, 67%). Cross-cultural aspects influence this difference in anxiety levels. Contextual factors, how one perceives one's body, and dependence on others influence anxiety.

Considering this condition, people in Asia generally have lower anxiety levels than other races in the world.³⁹ This finding is consistent with data from WHO which shows that the prevalence of anxiety in the Asian region is relatively low compared to other countries in the world.⁴⁰

Factors Associated with Anxiety

Twelve studies contribute to 18 factors of anxiety in pregnant women during the pandemic. Of the 18 factors, only nine gave significant results (8 risk factors and one protective factor). They were marital status, monthly income, screen time, history of COVID-19 exposure, pregnancy complications, sleep duration, sleep quality, perceived susceptibility, and trust in the official government social media. Heterogeneity in the study varied, ranging from 0% to 93%. A summary of the combined effects can be seen in table 3.

Table 3. Summary of 18 Articles Included in the Meta-Analysis of Risk and Protective Factors

Factors	No of studies (k)	Combined sample size	Pooled OR (95% CI)	P-Value	I ² (%)
High school education/ lower	6	9107	1.18 (0.82-1.69)	.37	89
Not married/ divorced/ widowed	2	4185	2.20 (1.59-3.04)	<.001	0
Age ≥ 35 y.o	4	5717	1.11 (0.64-1.94)	.70	77
Monthly income < 5000 CNY (~780 USD)	2	2545	1.31 (1.09-1.57)	.004	0
Screen time ≥ 3 hours/ day	2	2545	1.89 (1.43-2.51)	<.001	0
History of exposure to COVID-19	3	3137	1.96 (1.39-2.76)	<.001	0
Not working during pandemic	6	9260	1.20 (0.97-1.50)	.10	58
Work as civil servant	2	2160	1.67 (0.75-3.75)	.21	90
Daily physical exercise	2	4185	0.59 (0.23-1.53)	.28	93
3 rd Trimester	9	10,960	1.14 (0.93-1.40)	.22	68
Multipara	7	9924	0.92 (0.74-1.14)	.45	73
Complications and Comorbidities	8	11,394	1.77 (1.39-2.24)	<.001	66
Sleep duration <7 hours/ day	2	2545	1.51 (1.12-2.02)	.007	27
Subjective poor sleep quality	2	1025	7.35 (2.11-25.57)	.002	87
Prepregnancy Overweight/ obesity	2	3509	1.71 (0.59-5.01)	.33	93
Official media trust	2	2764	0.65 (0.52-0.81)	<.001	0
High risk of susceptibility	3	1842	3.91 (2.37-6.45)	<.001	0
Live in the city	2	2081	0.89 (0.40-1.99)	.78	84

Abbreviations: CNY, Chinese Yuan; OR, Odds Ratio; USD, United States Dollar.

The risk of cross-study bias was assessed using an Egger and Begg regression test and the Fail-Safe N value. The p-value in the regression test is .14, which means that there was no bias in the publication of the meta-analysis study. The Fail-Safe-N score in this study was 92.340 with $P < .001$. Because the value of $5k+10$ (115) is less than the Fail-Safe-N value, it can be concluded that there was no publication bias problem in this study.

Risk Factors

Based on the results of the narrative synthesis, sociodemographic factors that are consistently associated with increased anxiety are living near the pandemic's epicenter, experiencing lockdown, low income, poor economic level, and unmarried/divorced/widow status. However, only marital status and low-income factors are supported by data from the meta-analysis. This can happen because not all studies use the same variables to assess anxiety risk factors, and not all studies provide sociodemographic data.

Protective Factors

Based on the narrative and quantitative synthesis results, the protective factor for pregnant women's anxiety is public trust in the official government media. It was explained before that obtaining too much information from various media during the pandemic led to increased anxiety.^{22,23,41} However, if the duration can be controlled and social media was used to get information about COVID-19 from the government and hospitals, it could reduce anxiety.^{3,28,34} Public trust in the national media has a protective effect on anxiety.⁴² On the other hand, the perception of COVID-19 politicization and the number of confusing news sources related to COVID-19 are related to anxiety.⁴³ Hence, it is hoped that public health messages announced by the government must also provide solutions with one consistent message and from one source to increase trust.

35

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

This study involved quite a lot of articles (k=21). However, there is high heterogeneity between studies. In addition, the definition of a variable as a risk factor is also inconsistent between studies. These differences make it challenging to compare age, education level, parity, and trimester from one study to another.

Another limitation of this study is the use of various measuring instruments and differences in the cut-off value of anxiety even with the same measuring instrument. Almost all studies use a self-report questionnaire that can increase the possibility of bias in answering and is not a standard in determining the diagnosis of anxiety. However, this method is still acceptable for use as an initial screening. Another limitation of this study lies in the design of the articles of included studies. All studies used a cross-sectional design, so we can not conclude the causal relationship.

Most studies use online questionnaires with potential selection bias that limit the possibility of subjects with no internet connection being involved in the study. As a result, we must not generalize the finding without cautions.^{44,45} However, several authors have described the methods used to reduce bias in using this online questionnaire, including telephone contact for willingness to fill out a questionnaire and the use of previously validated questionnaires. However, given that in the context of a pandemic and physical contact restrictions, online questionnaires are the best option to collect data without the risk of contracting the disease.

The strength of this study lies in the size of the combined sample and the comprehensive discussion of anxiety during pregnancy exclusively in which, to the best of our knowledge, is the first systematic review to address anxiety and specific risk factors during pregnancy with a meta-analysis. In addition, the exclusion of low-quality articles also minimizes the possibility of bias towards the study results.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings from this research can emphasize that pregnant women's services at Public Health Centers, Hospitals, Clinics, Private Practice Midwives, and other service places must consider the anxiety factor. This research can also serve as a guideline to identify pregnant women at risk of experiencing anxiety, which is essential during this pandemic given the high level of anxiety and the magnitude of the impact. Screening for anxiety is recommended when the mother has an antenatal visit as it was shown a good result in previous study.⁴⁶ This study's limited source of articles with only a cross-sectional design indicates the need for a better design, such as a cohort or case-control, to better assess anxiety and conclude causality. Future research designs should also pay attention to and minimize bias when forced to use online questionnaires.

REFERENCES

1. Furstenau LB, Rabaioli B, Sott MK, Cossul D, Bender MS, Farina EMJDM et al. A Bibliometric Network Analysis of Coronavirus during the First Eight Months of COVID-19 in 2020. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.2021;18:952.
2. Ceulemans M, Hompes T, Foulon V. Mental health status of pregnant and breastfeeding women during the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for action. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2020; ijgo.13295.....*Acta Obstet Gynecol Scan*.2021;100(7):1219-29.....silakan cek ricek lagi
3. Liu X, Chen M, Wang Y, Sun L, Zhang J, Shi Y et al. Prenatal anxiety and obstetric decisions among pregnant women in Wuhan and Chongqing during the COVID-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2020; 127: 1229–40.
4. Taubman – Ben-Ari O, Chasson M, Abu Sharkia S, Weiss E. Distress and anxiety associated with COVID-19 among Jewish and Arab pregnant women in Israel. *J Reprod Infant Psychol* 2020; **38**: 340–8.
5. Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, Ruiz P. Anxiety Disorders. In: Kaplan and Sadock's Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry. Wolters Kluwer. Philadelphia. PA. 2015.

6. Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, Mamisashvili L, Tomlinson G, Vigod SN et al. Maternal Anxiety During Pregnancy and the Association With Adverse Perinatal Outcomes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Psych* 2018; **79**: 0–0.
7. Nugraha RA, Bachnas MA, Yuliadi I. The Anxiety Level and Premature Rupture of Membrane Incidence during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Indones J Obstet Gynecol*. 2023. doi:10.32771/inajog.v11i1.1692.
8. Yan H, Ding Y, Guo W. Mental Health of Pregnant and Postpartum Women During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Psychol*. 2020; **11**: 617001.
9. Fan S, Guan J, Cao L, Wang M, Zhao H, Chen L et al. Psychological effects caused by COVID-19 pandemic on pregnant women: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Asian J Psych* 2021; **56**: 102533.
10. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021 ; n71.
11. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016; **5**: 210.
12. Joanna Briggs Institute. Critical Appraisal Tools. 2020. <https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools> (accessed 19 Jul2021).
13. J. Cleophas T. Modern meta-analysis: review and update of methodologies. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. New York, NY. 2017.
14. Idris NRN. A Comparison of Methods to Detect Publication Bias for Meta-analysis of Continuous Data. *J Appl Sci*. 2012; **12**: 1413–7.
15. Liu J, Hung P, Alberg AJ, Hair NL, Whitaker KM, Simon J et al. Mental health among pregnant women with COVID-19-related stressors and worries in the United States. *Birth Berkeley Calif* 2021. doi:10.1111/birt.12554.
16. Shangguan F, Wang R, Quan X, Zhou C, Zhang C, Qian W et al. Association of Stress-Related Factors With Anxiety Among Chinese Pregnant Participants in an Online Crisis Intervention During COVID-19 Epidemic. *Front Psychol*. 2021; **12**: 633765.
17. Wang Q, Mo PKH, Song B, Di J-L, Zhou F-R, Zhao J et al. Mental health and preventive behaviour of pregnant women in China during the early phase of the COVID-19 period. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2021; **10**: 37.
18. Wu F, Lin W, Liu P, Zhang M, Huang S, Chen C et al. Prevalence and contributory factors of anxiety and depression among pregnant women in the post-pandemic era of COVID-19 in Shenzhen, China. *J Affect Disord*. 2021; **291**: 243–51.
19. Ge Y, Shi C, Wu B, Liu Y, Chen L, Deng Y. Anxiety and Adaptation of Behavior in Pregnant Zhuang Women During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Mixed-Mode Survey. *Risk Manag Health Pol*. 2021; **14**: 1563–73.
20. Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Deng R, Chen M, Cao R, Chen S et al. Association of Sleep Duration and Screen Time With Anxiety of Pregnant Women During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Front Psychol* .2021; **12**: 646368.
21. Koyucu RG, Karaca PP. The Covid 19 outbreak: Maternal Mental Health and Associated Factors. *Midwifery*. 2021; **99**: 103013.
22. Lin W, Wu B, Chen B, Zhong C, Huang W, Yuan S et al. Associations of COVID-19 related experiences with maternal anxiety and depression: implications for mental health management of pregnant women in the post-pandemic era. *Psyc Res*. 2021; **304**: 114115.
23. Nowacka U, Kozłowski S, Januszewski M, Sierdzinski J, Jakimiuk A, Issat T. COVID-19 Pandemic-Related Anxiety in Pregnant Women. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021; **18**. doi:10.3390/ijerph18147221.
24. Kahyaoglu Sut H, Kucukkaya B. Anxiety, depression, and related factors in pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey: A web-based cross-sectional study. *Perspect Psychiatr Care*. 2021; **57**: 860–8.
25. Maharlouei N, Keshavarz P, Salemi N, Lankarani KB. Depression and anxiety among pregnant mothers in the initial stage of the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic in the southwest of Iran. *Reprod Health*. 2021; **18**: 111.
26. Mo PKH, Fong VWI, Song B, Di J, Wang Q, Wang L. Association of Perceived Threat, Negative Emotions, and Self-Efficacy With Mental Health and Personal Protective Behavior Among Chinese Pregnant Women During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Cross-sectional Survey Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021; **23**: e24053.
27. Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, Tomfohr-Madsen L, Giesbrecht G. Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **277**: 5–13.

28. Jiang H, Jin L, Qian X, Xiong X, La X, Chen W et al. Maternal Mental Health Status and Approaches for Accessing Antenatal Care Information During the COVID-19 Epidemic in China: Cross-Sectional Study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021; 23: e18722.
29. Mei H, Li N, Li J, Zhang D, Cao Z, Zhou Y et al. Depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms in pregnant women before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Psychosom Res.* 2021: 110586.
30. Lin W, Wu B, Chen B, Lai G, Huang S, Li S et al. Sleep Conditions Associate with Anxiety and Depression Symptoms among Pregnant Women during the Epidemic of COVID-19 in Shenzhen. *J Affect Disord.* 2021; 281: 567–73.
31. Xu K, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Xu Q, Lv L, Zhang J. Mental health among pregnant women under public health interventions during COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, China. *Psych Res.* 2021; 301: 113977.
32. Hamzehgardeshi Z, Omidvar S, Amoli AA, Firouzbakht M. Pregnancy-related anxiety and its associated factors during COVID-19 pandemic in Iranian pregnant women: a web-based cross-sectional study. *BMC Preg Childbirth.* 2021; 21: 208.
33. Zheng Z, Zhang R, Liu T, Cheng P, Zhou Y, Lu W et al. The Psychological Impact of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic on Pregnant Women in China. *Front Psych.* 2021; 12: 628835.
34. Ding W, Lu J, Zhou Y, Wei W, Zhou Z, Chen M. Knowledge, attitudes, practices, and influencing factors of anxiety among pregnant women in Wuhan during the outbreak of COVID-19: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2021; 21: 80.
35. Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, Tomfohr-Madsen L, Giesbrecht G. Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord.* 2020; 277: 5–13.
36. Dennis C-L, Falah-Hassani K, Shiri R. Prevalence of antenatal and postnatal anxiety: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psych.* 2017; 210: 315–23.
37. Pashazadeh Kan F, Raofi S, Rafiei S, Khani S, Hosseiniard H, Tajik F et al. A systematic review of the prevalence of anxiety among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord.* 2021; 293: 391–8.
38. Salari N, Hosseini-Far A, Jalali R, Vaisi-Raygani A, Rasoulpoor S, Mohammadi M et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Glob Health.* 2020; 16: 57.
39. Hofmann SG, Hinton DE. Cross-Cultural Aspects of Anxiety Disorders. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2014; 16: 450.
40. World Health Organization. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates. 2017. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf>.
41. Nekliudov NA, Blyuss O, Cheung KY, Petrou L, Genuneit J, Sushentsev N et al. Excessive Media Consumption About COVID-19 is Associated With Increased State Anxiety: Outcomes of a Large Online Survey in Russia. *J Med Internet Res.* 2020; 22: e20955.
42. Mohammadi MR, Zarafshan H, Khayam Bashi S, Mohammadi F, Khaleghi A. The Role of Public Trust and Media in the Psychological and Behavioral Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Iran J Psychiatry.* 2020; 15: 189–204.
43. Van Scoy LJ, Snyder B, Miller EL, Toyobo O, Grewel A, Ha G et al. Public anxiety and distrust due to perceived politicization and media sensationalism during early COVID-19 media messaging. *J Comm Healthc.* 2021; 0: 1–13.
44. Hlatshwako TG, Shah SJ, Kosana P, Adebayo E, Hendriks J, Larsson EC et al. Online health survey research during COVID-19. *Lancet Digit Health.* 2021; 3: e76–e7.
45. Man JD, Campbell L, Tabana H, Wouters E. The pandemic of online research in times of COVID-19. *BMJ Open* 2021; 11: e043866.
46. Johnson A, Stevenson E, Moeller L, McMillan-Bohler J. Systematic Screening for Perinatal Mood and Anxiety Disorders to Promote Onsite Mental Health Consultations: A Quality Improvement Report. *J Midwifery Womens Health.* 2021; 66: 534–539.

Anxiety in Pregnant Women During the Covid-19 Pandemic_edited_4Okt24.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.frontiersin.org Internet	105 words — 3%
2	www.esp.org Internet	32 words — 1%
3	Rajesh Verma, Uzaina, Gyanesh Kumar Tiwari, Leister Sam Sudheer Manickam, Tushar Singh. "Exploring the Psycho-Social Impact of COVID-19 - Global Perspectives on Behaviour, Interventions and Future Directions", Routledge, 2024 Publications	30 words — 1%
4	www.researchgate.net Internet	26 words — 1%
5	archipel.uqam.ca Internet	21 words — 1%
6	Mostafa Amini-Rarani, Saber Azami-Aghdash, Haleh Mousavi Isfahani, Mohammad Mohseni. "Estimation of the prevalence of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic: A meta-analysis of meta-analyses", BMC Public Health, 2024 Crossref	16 words — < 1%

-
- 7 Shefal Y Shorey, Esperanza D. Ng, Cornelia Y.I. Chee. "Anxiety and depressive symptoms of women in the perinatal period during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis", *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 2021
Crossref 16 words — < 1%
-
- 8 e-journal.unair.ac.id
Internet 14 words — < 1%
-
- 9 pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Internet 14 words — < 1%
-
- 10 bmcmmededuc.biomedcentral.com
Internet 13 words — < 1%
-
- 11 staging-jmir.jmir.org
Internet 13 words — < 1%
-
- 12 www.ogscience.org
Internet 13 words — < 1%
-
- 13 Nakachew Sewnet Amare, Dereje Nibret Gessesse, Yerukneh Solomon Kinfu, Abebayehu Melesew Mekuriyaw et al. "Prevalence of antenatal depression and associated factors among pregnant women during COVID-19 pandemic in North Shewa zone, Amhara region, Ethiopia, 2021", *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 2022
Crossref 12 words — < 1%
-
- 14 Fei Wu, Wei Lin, Peiyi Liu, Minyi Zhang et al. "Prevalence and contributory factors of anxiety and depression among pregnant women in the post-pandemic era of COVID-19 in Shenzhen, China", *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 2021
Crossref 11 words — < 1%

15	cardiab.biomedcentral.com Internet	11 words — < 1%
16	journal2.uad.ac.id Internet	11 words — < 1%
17	journals.plos.org Internet	11 words — < 1%
18	S. J. M. Zilver, B. F. P. Broekman, Y. M. G. A. Hendrix, R. A. de Leeuw, S. V. Mentzel, M. G. van Pampus, C. J. M. de Groot. "Stress, anxiety and depression in 1466 pregnant women during and before the COVID-19 pandemic: a Dutch cohort study", <i>Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology</i> , 2021 Crossref	10 words — < 1%
19	bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
20	bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
21	www.mdpi.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
22	www.researchprotocols.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
23	www2.mdpi.com Internet	10 words — < 1%
24	Francisca Pacheco, Mónica Sobral, Raquel Guiomar, Alejandro de la Torre-Luque, Rafael A. Caparros-Gonzalez, Ana Ganho-Ávila. "Breastfeeding during	9 words — < 1%

COVID-19: A Narrative Review of the Psychological Impact on Mothers", Behavioral Sciences, 2021

Crossref

25 Si Fan, Jianping Guan, Li Cao, Manli Wang, Hua Zhao, Lili Chen, Lei Yan. "Psychological effects caused by COVID-19 pandemic on pregnant women: A systematic review with meta-analysis", Asian Journal of Psychiatry, 2020

Crossref

9 words — < 1%

26 [bmcpsychiatry.biomedcentral.com](https://www.bmcpsychiatry.biomedcentral.com)

Internet

9 words — < 1%

27 [midwifery.iocspublisher.org](https://www.midwifery.iocspublisher.org)

Internet

9 words — < 1%

28 [oamjms.eu](https://www.oamjms.eu)

Internet

9 words — < 1%

29 www.fitinthemiddle.com

Internet

9 words — < 1%

30 www.i-jmr.org

Internet

9 words — < 1%

31 www.springermedizin.de

Internet

9 words — < 1%

32 Joseph, Prince Josiah Sajanathan. "Radiographic Assessment of Hip Disease in Children with Cerebral Palsy: Development of a Core Measurement Set and Analysis of an Artificial Intelligence System", The University of Liverpool (United Kingdom), 2023

ProQuest

8 words — < 1%

-
- 33 [Tewelde T. Gebremariam, Polly Leung, Vincent Rusanganwa. " Global prevalence of naturally occurring in field-collected mosquitoes: a systematic review and meta-analysis "](#), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2024
Crossref Posted Content 8 words — < 1%
-
- 34 [Zainab Alimoradi, Fatemeh Abdi, David Gozal, Amir H Pakpour. "Estimation of sleep problems among pregnant women during COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis"](#), BMJ Open, 2022
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
-
- 35 [core.ac.uk](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 36 [mdpi-res.com](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 37 [mhealth.jmir.org](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 38 [nova.newcastle.edu.au](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 39 [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 40 [www.researchsquare.com](#)
Internet 8 words — < 1%
-
- 41 [Amy Wenzel. "The Routledge International Handbook of Perinatal Mental Health Disorders"](#), Routledge, 2024
Publications 7 words — < 1%
-
- 42 [Fangfang Shangguan, Ruoxi Wang, Xiao Quan, Chenhao Zhou, Chen Zhang, Wei Qian, Yongjie](#) 7 words — < 1%

Zhou, Zhengkui Liu, Xiang Yang Zhang. "Association of Stress-Related Factors With Anxiety Among Chinese Pregnant Participants in an Online Crisis Intervention During COVID-19 Epidemic", *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2021

[Crossref](#)

43 JAYE M. SHYKEN, SHILPA BABBAR, SHAWETA BABBAR, ALICIA FORINASH. "Benzodiazepines in Pregnancy", *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 2019

7 words — < 1%

[Crossref](#)

44 Roseli Mieko Yamamoto Nomura, Ana Carla Franco Ubinha, Isabela de Paula Tavares, Maria Laura Costa et al. "Increased Risk for Maternal Anxiety during the COVID-19 Outbreak in Brazil among Pregnant Women without Comorbidities", *Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia / RBGO Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 2021

7 words — < 1%

[Crossref](#)

45 Agnieszka Wikarek, Agnieszka Niemiec, Małgorzata Szymanek, Mateusz Klimek et al. "Does the COVID-19 Pandemic Affect Labor-Related Anxiety and Prevalence of Depressive Symptoms in Pregnant Women?", *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 2022

6 words — < 1%

[Crossref](#)

46 Elnaz Iranifard, Atefeh Yas, Elahe Mansouri Ghezelhesari, Ali Taghipour, Malihe Mahmoudinia, Robab Latifnejad Roudsari. "The Relationship between Treatment Suspension Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic and Mental Health of Infertile Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis", *Research Square Platform LLC*, 2023

6 words — < 1%

[Crossref](#) [Posted Content](#)

47 Micah G. Bennett, Kate A. Schofield, Sylvia S. Lee, Susan B. Norton. "Response of chlorophyll a to total nitrogen and total phosphorus concentrations in lotic

6 words — < 1%

ecosystems: a systematic review protocol", Environmental Evidence, 2017

Crossref

48 Sara Esteban-Gonzalo, María Caballero-Galilea, Juan Luis González-Pascual, Miguel Álvaro-Navidad, Laura Esteban-Gonzalo. "Anxiety and Worries among Pregnant Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Multilevel Analysis", International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021

6 words — < 1%

Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF