

### **Editor Decision**

**P3M IKADO** <p3m@ikado.ac.id>
To: Justinus Andjarwirawan <justin@petra.ac.id>

Fri, Nov 22, 2024 at 1:53 PM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan:

We have reviewed the revisions of the article titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the completed revisions, the editorial board has decided to **ACCEPT** the article for publication in **Teknika**, **Vol 14**, **No 1**, **March 2025**.

The article will undergo final layout adjustments by the editor, and the next steps will be communicated via email. Please monitor your email regularly.

Thank you.



### **Editor Decision**

**Titasari Rahmawati** <p3m@ikado.ac.id>
To: Justinus Andjarwirawan <justin@petra.ac.id>

Thu, Nov 7, 2024 at 9:06 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

We have received the review results for the article submitted to the Teknika journal titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, the article requires **MAJOR REVISIONS** according to the feedback from the reviewers found at the bottom of this email and in the attached file. Please note that the article will undergo a second review after the revisions are made. Therefore, we kindly request your cooperation in addressing all the reviewers' feedback carefully.

Please upload the revised version to the e-journal website no later than **21 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to cancel the processing of this article if the revisions are not submitted within the specified timeframe.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika journal.

#### Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Decline Submission

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Perhaps

Is the paper scientifically sound?

No

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Important information is missing or superficially treated

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Superficial

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Poor

Are the abstract satisfactory?

No

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Not always

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Poor

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Poor

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Not novel

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Partially accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

- the introduction does not explain the background of the problem in detail and there are no references
- does not explain the research method used
- no results and discussion
- too few references and some are no longer relevant

Recommendation:

Reject (Paper is not of sufficient quality or novelty to be published in this transactions)

#### Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Yes

Is the paper scientifically sound?

Yes

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Treatment somewhat unbalanced, but not seriously so

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Satisfactory

Are the abstract satisfactory?

Yes

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Yes

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Good

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Good

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Sufficiently novel

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Mostly accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

- 1. The background and motivation for the research are clear, but the introduction could be more engaging by discussing the relevance of SSO in the context of cybersecurity trends.
- 2. This section provides a good foundation but could reference more recent works for a comprehensive review of the state-of-the-art.
- 3. The explanation of how the system works is detailed and useful for practitioners. However, more technical details about potential scalability issues should be discussed.
- 4. While summarizing the main findings, it would be beneficial to add a discussion on future work and how the implementation can be extended or applied to different environments.

Recommendation:

Publish in minor, required changes

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?
Perhaps
Is the paper scientifically sound?
No
Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?
Important information is missing or superficially treated
How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?
Superficial
How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?
Could be improved
Are the abstract satisfactory?
Yes
Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?
Not always
How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?
Fair
How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?
Fair
How would you rate the novelty of the paper?
Sufficiently novel
How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?
Mostly accessible
Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.
dari pendahuluan terkait dengan judul, coba dijelaskan dengan beberapa studi kasus 2 atau 3 studi kasus, kenapa judul jurnal "Implementasi Single Sign-On (SSO) Menggunakan Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, dan PacketFence untuk Akses Jaringan" dan kemudian dikutip refernsinya dari mana? saya lihat tidak ada?

2 attachments

Recommendation:

Review again after major changes

Reviewer 3:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1.teknika-review-assignment-1089-Article+Text-5469.docx



, 1089-Article Text-5469-1-4-20241022.docx 105K

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-07 09:01 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

We have received the review results for the article submitted to the Teknika journal titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, the article requires **MAJOR REVISIONS** according to the feedback from the reviewers found at the bottom of this email and in the attached file. Please note that the article will undergo a second review after the revisions are made. Therefore, we kindly request your cooperation in addressing all the reviewers' feedback carefully.

Please upload the revised version to the e-journal website no later than **21 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to cancel the processing of this article if the revisions are not submitted within the specified timeframe.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika journal.

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-07 09:01 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

We have received the review results for the article submitted to the Teknika journal titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, the article requires **MAJOR REVISIONS** according to the feedback from the reviewers found at the bottom of this email and in the attached file. Please note that the article will undergo a second review after the revisions are made. Therefore, we kindly request your cooperation in addressing all the reviewers' feedback carefully.

Please upload the revised version to the e-journal website no later than **21 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to cancel the processing of this article if the revisions are not submitted within the specified timeframe

Thank you for your attention to Teknika journal.

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-07 09:06 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

We have received the review results for the article submitted to the Teknika journal titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, the article requires **MAJOR REVISIONS** according to the feedback from the reviewers found at the bottom of this email and in the attached file. Please note that the article will undergo a second review after the revisions are made. Therefore, we kindly request your cooperation in addressing all the reviewers' feedback carefully.

Please upload the revised version to the e-journal website no later than **21 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to cancel the processing of this article if the revisions are not submitted within the specified timeframe.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika journal.

#### Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Decline Submission

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Perhaps

Is the paper scientifically sound?

No

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Important information is missing or superficially treated

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Superficial

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Poor

Are the abstract satisfactory?

No

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Not always

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Poor

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Poor

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Not nove

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Partially accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

- $\hbox{- the introduction does not explain the background of the problem in detail and there are no \ references}\\$
- does not explain the research method used
- no results and discussion
- too few references and some are no longer relevant

Recommendation:

Reject (Paper is not of sufficient quality or novelty to be published in this transactions)

#### Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Yes

Is the paper scientifically sound?

Yes

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-07 09:06 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

We have received the review results for the article submitted to the Teknika journal titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, the article requires **MAJOR REVISIONS** according to the feedback from the reviewers found at the bottom of this email and in the attached file. Please note that the article will undergo a second review after the revisions are made. Therefore, we kindly request your cooperation in addressing all the reviewers' feedback carefully.

Please upload the revised version to the e-journal website no later than **21 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to cancel the processing of this article if the revisions are not submitted within the specified timeframe.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika journal.

#### Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Decline Submission

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Perhaps

Is the paper scientifically sound?

No

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Important information is missing or superficially treated

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Superficial

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Poor

Are the abstract satisfactory?

No

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Not always

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Poor

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Poor

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Not nove

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Partially accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

- the introduction does not explain the background of the problem in detail and there are no references
- does not explain the research method used
- no results and discussion
- too few references and some are no longer relevant

Recommendation:

Reject (Paper is not of sufficient quality or novelty to be published in this transactions)

#### Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Yes

Is the paper scientifically sound?

Yes

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

## Your submission has been sent for another round of review

2024-11-19 08:39 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

Your revised submission, Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access, has been sent for a new round of peer review. You will hear from us with feedback from the reviewers and information about the next steps.

If you have any questions, please contact me from your submission dashboard.

Kind regards,

P3M IKADO

## Your submission has been sent for another round of review

2024-11-19 08:39 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan,

Your revised submission, Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access, has been sent for a new round of peer review. You will hear from us with feedback from the reviewers and information about the next steps.

If you have any questions, please contact me from your submission dashboard.

Kind regards,

P3M IKADO

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-22 09:17 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan:

We have received the review results for the article submitted to Teknika titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, we have decided to **ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS** the above paper for publication in Teknika. Please make the necessary revisions as suggested by the reviewers in the comments at the bottom of this email and in the file attached. Also, check and adjust the article's formatting to match the Jurnal Teknika template (download here).

We expect to receive the revised version by **no later than 29 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to rescind the acceptance decision if the revisions are not completed properly and/or the formatting does not comply with the journal's standards.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika.

#### Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Yes

Is the paper scientifically sound?

Yes

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Important information is missing or superficially treated

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Could be improved

Are the abstract satisfactory?

No

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Not always

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Good

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Good

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Sufficiently novel

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Mostly accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

Comments for the Author:

Abstract: Add quantitative results or key outcomes to make the abstract more result-oriented.

Introduction: Eliminate redundancies and clearly state the research problem or gap.

Implementation Design: Simplify overly technical sections or move them to an appendix.

Testing Results: Include detailed metrics, such as response times or success rates, in graphical or tabular form.

References: Remove duplicate entries and ensure citation consistency.

Grammar and Style: Revise the text for grammatical accuracy and readability.

Recommendation:

Publish in minor, required changes

#### Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-22 09:17 AM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan:

We have received the review results for the article submitted to Teknika titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the review, we have decided to **ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS** the above paper for publication in Teknika. Please make the necessary revisions as suggested by the reviewers in the comments at the bottom of this email and in the file attached. Also, check and adjust the article's formatting to match the Jurnal Teknika template (download here).

We expect to receive the revised version by **no later than 29 November 2024**. The editorial team reserves the right to rescind the acceptance decision if the revisions are not completed properly and/or the formatting does not comply with the journal's standards.

Thank you for your attention to Teknika.

#### Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

Yes

Is the paper scientifically sound?

Yes

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Important information is missing or superficially treated

How would you describe the scientific depth of the paper?

Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field

How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Could be improved

Are the abstract satisfactory?

No

Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Not always

How would you rate accordance of material to the journal requirements?

Good

How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Good

How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Sufficiently novel

How would you rate orthographic and grammatical style of the represented material?

Mostly accessible

Detailed comments. Feel free to make comments and notes on the manuscript.

Comments for the Author:

Abstract: Add quantitative results or key outcomes to make the abstract more result-oriented.

 $Introduction: Eliminate\ redundancies\ and\ clearly\ state\ the\ research\ problem\ or\ gap.$ 

Implementation Design: Simplify overly technical sections or move them to an appendix.

Testing Results: Include detailed metrics, such as response times or success rates, in graphical or tabular form.

References: Remove duplicate entries and ensure citation consistency.

Grammar and Style: Revise the text for grammatical accuracy and readability.

Recommendation:

Publish in minor, required changes

#### Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

Is the topic appropriate for publication in this journal?

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-22 01:53 PM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan:

We have reviewed the revisions of the article titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the completed revisions, the editorial board has decided to **ACCEPT** the article for publication in **Teknika**, **Vol 14**, **No 1**, **March 2025**.

The article will undergo final layout adjustments by the editor, and the next steps will be communicated via email. Please monitor your email regularly.

Thank you.

### **Editor Decision**

2024-11-22 01:53 PM

Dear Justinus Andjarwirawan:

We have reviewed the revisions of the article titled, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access".

Based on the completed revisions, the editorial board has decided to **ACCEPT** the article for publication in **Teknika**, **Vol 14**, **No 1**, **March 2025**.

The article will undergo final layout adjustments by the editor, and the next steps will be communicated via email. Please monitor your email regularly.

Thank you.

## **Editor Decision**

2024-12-11 09:10 AM

Justinus Andjarwirawan:

The editing of your submission, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: https://ejournal.ikado.ac.id/index.php/teknika/authorDashboard/submission/1089

## **Editor Decision**

2024-12-11 09:10 AM

Justinus Andjarwirawan:

The editing of your submission, "Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: https://ejournal.ikado.ac.id/index.php/teknika/authorDashboard/submission/1089


1089

## **Andjarwirawan**

Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access

Library

Published



4

## **Andjarwirawan**

Single Sign-On (SSO) Implementation Using Keycloak, RADIUS, LDAP, and PacketFence for Network Access

Published

