1. Submitted to the journal "International Journal of Renewable Energy Development (IJRED)" via the online submission system: Email received on submission confirmation (9 January 2025)

   - Confirmation email

   - Full manuscript draft IJRED-2025-61019

2. Email received from IJRED Editor-in-Chief Prof. H Hadiyanto:

   Review results -- undertake revisions and then resubmit your manuscript for consideration for publication in the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development (27 January 2025)

   - Email Decision on submission ID: IJRED-2025-61019

3. Submitted the revised manuscript via the online submission system and email
   - Email and attachment of the detailed response to editors' and reviewers' comments (1 March 2025)

   - The revised manuscript according to the editor and reviewer's comments with yellow highlights (1 March 2025)

4. Email received from IJRED: Revised version acknowledgement (1 March 2025)

5. Check Editor Decision on journal website: Accept Submission (2 March 2025)

   - Screenshot of the IJRED website

6. Email correspondence on the Payment request for the accepted paper

   - Email received from IJRED: payment request (2 March 2025)

   - Email sent to IJRED: Asking for the invoice for the payment request (3 March 2025)

   - Email received from IJRED: Invoice provided (3 March 2025)

   - Email sent to IJRED: Proof of payment (6 March 2025)

7. Email correspondence on Proofing Request

   - Email received from IJRED: Proofing Request (Author) (11 March 2025)

   - Email sent to IJRED: corrected file (11 March 2025)

   - Corrected file with track changes

   - Email received from IJRED: Asking for minor changes on proof (12 March 2025)

   - Email sent to IJRED: Final proof (12 March 2025)

   - Final version of the proof file

8. Email received from IJRED: The article is published online (15 March 2025)

1. Submitted to the journal "International Journal of Renewable Energy Development (IJRED)" via the online submission system: Email received on submission confirmation (9 January 2025)
   - Confirmation email
   - Full manuscript draft IJRED-2025-61019

[IJRED] Submission Acknowledgement- Manuscript ID: IJRED-2025-61019

1 message

Editorial Office <ijred@live.undip.ac.id>   Thu, Jan 9, 2025 at 4:07 PM
Reply-To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Manuscript Number.: IJRED-2025-61019
Title: Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries
Journal: International Journal of Renewable Energy Development

Dear Dr. Yusak Tanoto,

Your submission entitled "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" has been received by International Journal of Renewable Energy Development. With the online journal management system, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred/author/submission/61019
Username: yusaktan

Your manuscript ID is IJRED-2025-61019

Please quote the above manuscript ID in all future correspondences. If there are any changes in your postal or e-mail address, please log into journal online system at https://ijred.cbiore.id/ and edit your contact and/or personal information as appropriate.

Thank you for submitting your work to our journal.

Sincerely yours,

Editor
International Journal of Renewable Energy Development
Email: ijred@live.undip.ac.d
ISSN: 2252-4940
Website: https://ijred.cbiore.id

International Journal of Renewable Energy Development

Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries

Yusak Tanoto[a*], Brandon Sebastian Jasman[b], Stephanus Antonius Ananda[b]

[a]*Electrical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia*
[b]*Informatics Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia*

List of ORCID of each author
ORCID author 1: https://orcid.org/0000-000-xxx-xxx
ORCID author 2: https://orcid.org/0000-000-xxx-xxx
..etc

Abstract. The persistent reliance on fossil fuels for energy will yield enduring adverse effects on the tourism sector, particularly the hotel industry. Wind energy represents a renewable electricity source that can facilitate the transition of small-scale hotels to clean energy. The main objective of this research is to propose a methodology for evaluating the potential of wind energy to support sustainable tourism in developing nations, specifically in fulfilling the electricity requirements of small hotels. This study aims to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020) and forecasting a portion of the dataset. This research selected three sites in Indonesia exhibiting varying wind energy potentials: Tepus District in Gunung Kidul Regency, Losari Beach in Makassar City, and Nusa Penida Island in Bali. This study utilises multiple linear regression to examine the impact of external variables on wind speed, and it applies Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing for wind speed forecasting in these three locations. The analysis reveals a weak correlation between external factors and wind speed, with the Holt-Winters method identified as the superior approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed, surpassing the SARIMA model by 0.309 RMSE. Forecasting results indicate that a 30-kW wind turbine could supply 8.8 - 35.3% of a small hotel's electricity consumption, contingent upon the occupancy rate.

Keywords: Wind energy, sustainable tourism, multiple linear regression, SARIMA, Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing

1. Introduction

The hotel industry, one of the significant energy end-users, is among the most promising sectors in tourism for utilizing clean electricity to advance sustainable tourism (UNEP, 2024). The term "sustainable tourism" denotes tourism that comprehensively addresses its current and future socio-economic and environmental impacts while fulfilling visitors' needs, the industry, the environment, and local communities (GSTC, 2024; UNT, 2024). The beneficial effects of sustainable tourism may encompass a wide array of economic, environmental, sociocultural, wildlife conservation, and additional advantages (Li *et al.*, 2024; Rahman *et al.*, 2024). Sustainable tourism development emphasises environmental considerations, prompting numerous countries, including those in the developing world, to acknowledge the advantages of adopting sustainable tourism for enhancing local and national economies.

In recent years, developing countries have undertaken efforts and strategic plans in the accommodation sector to foster the implementation of sustainable tourism. The Indonesian government, for example, is collaborating with the United States on a $6 billion investment initiative to develop eco-friendly hotels (IBP, 2023). The endeavour to augment the number of establishments adhering to green standards is deemed essential, as only a limited number of tourist destinations and accommodations have embraced the concept of sustainable tourism through the utilisation of cleaner energy. Misool Eco Resort in Raja Ampat, Papua, exemplifies sustainable tourism by utilizing solar photovoltaic systems to fulfil the resort's energy requirements, alongside various other initiatives aimed at sustainable lodging (MTCE, 2024). Simultaneously, Lake Toba in North Sumatra province is undergoing development through the installation of electric vehicle charging stations and clean energy infrastructures (LTAIA, 2022).

---

* Corresponding author
  Email: tanyusak@petra.ac.id (Yusak Tanoto)

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Renewable energy (RE) provides a viable alternative for electrifying the untapped tourism potential in remote regions and enhancing the tourism appeal of specific locations (Beer *et al.*, 2017). For businesses, RE could provide an economic benefit by lowering energy expenses and increasing potential income from power produced by renewable sources (Luo *et al.*, 2024). Meanwhile, visitors have expressed favourable attitudes towards the utilization of RE in tourism facilities (Navratil *et al.*, 2019). An empirical study using long-term panel data has shown that RE has positive influences towards sustainable tourism goal achievement (Hailiang *et al.*, 2023). In practice, RE may be the sole viable option for developing tourism destinations in remote regions lacking access to conventional utility grids. Kamanggih Village in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, for instance, fulfils its daily energy demand through RE sources (Petromindo, 2024).

Several studies have examined the capacity of RE, particularly wind and solar, to improve the energy sustainability of hotels, while evaluating more economical and environmentally friendly energy sources for hotel operations. A grid-connected solar photovoltaic system was designed for a proposed hotel in Jordan utilising PVGIS and PVSyst simulation software (Al-Zoubi *et al.*, 2021). The study analyzed various techno-economic metrics based on the projected energy consumption of the proposed hotel and the regional average occupancy rate.

Research on hybrid RE systems has garnered significant interest. A study was conducted in Turkey on meeting electricity demand in a medium-sized hotel utilising a hybrid system (Güler *et al.*, 2013). The authors employed four scenarios to evaluate the techno-economic viability of the proposed systems, including a scenario permitting the purchase and export of electricity, and three others presuming that all demand is met by RE, with any surplus electricity sold to the grid. The research indicated that grid-wind turbines, exhibiting a renewable fraction of 74%, represented the optimal solution surpassing other scenarios.

To achieve the net zero energy consumption objective in Konya, Turkey, optimal off-grid and on-grid hybrid RE systems were designed and evaluated, encompassing a four-story hotel (Güğül, 2023). The author determined that, for identical annual loads, on-grid photovoltaic and wind systems were more economically viable for the hotel building compared to other structures. Another study indicated that an on-grid small wind turbine, despite lacking sell-back payments, was the most economical solution for a small hotel in Jordan (Aagreh, 2013).

A separate study identified the ideal hybrid grid-connected photovoltaic, wind, and biogas generators for a hotel in Egypt (Abdelhady, 2023). Additional research utilised hybrid systems for a medium-sized hotel in Iran (Fazelpour *et al.*, 2014). A study employed Monte Carlo simulation to determine the optimal proportions of solar and wind energy while addressing the variability of weather and occupancy in the Canary Islands (Meschede *et al.*, 2017).

Another study integrated RE with energy storage and building automation systems (Beccali *et al.*, 2018). Further studies compared hybrid renewable systems with grid-only and renewable-only configurations for a large-scale (over 100 beds) grid-connected hotel in Queensland, Australia (Dalton *et al.*, 2009a), and for stand-alone settings (Dalton *et al.*, 2008). The authors also examined the techno-economic of stand-alone RE systems utilizing hydrogen fuel cell storage across different small to medium-sized accommodations (Dalton *et al.*, 2009b). Lastly, a study explored various RE fraction scenarios, including on-site wind and solar photovoltaic systems, to mitigate CO_2 emissions from a modelled hotel building in Qatar (Ayoub *et al.*, 2014).

Previous studies into hybrid RE systems have yielded valuable insights regarding the application of clean electricity within the hospitality sector; nonetheless, studies examining the effects of wind energy on small hotels are scarce. Evaluating the potential influence of meteorological factors on wind speed and the power output capacity of wind turbines through long-term historical data may enhance comprehension of these factors and facilitate improved investment decisions in small hotels. Nevertheless, there has been minimal exploration into the effects of long-term hourly temporal datasets of wind speed and other meteorological variables on the feasibility of small wind turbines for sustainable energy provision in hotels.

This study addresses the existing gaps by proposing a methodology for evaluating the potential of wind energy to support sustainable tourism in developing countries, specifically in fulfilling the electricity requirements of small hotels. While solar PV certainly has significant potential for hotel sector energy transition, this study focuses on wind energy. It aims to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel power supply utilizing historical and projected data. For the case study, this research selected three sites in Indonesia with varying wind energy potentials: Tepus District in Gunung Kidul Regency, Losari Beach in Makassar City, and Nusa Penida Island in Bali. This research employs a decade-long hourly dataset encompassing the designated site's wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and atmospheric pressure. This study examines the influence of external variables, specifically weather attributes, on wind speed and employs wind speed forecasting techniques at these three locations.

This research enhances the understanding of RE applications for sustainable tourism in developing countries, especially by outlining a methodology to optimize wind energy potential in small hotels. It involves the data mining of extensive historical hourly temporal datasets. This study's analysis helps stakeholders in making informed investment decisions by facilitating an initial evaluation of small wind turbines' capacity to meet the hotel's electricity demand across different occupancy levels.

2. Methodology

Fig. 1 illustrates the comprehensive workflow of this research. The process commences with the identification of sites for investigation, succeeded by data collection and analysis to assess the potential energy generation of wind turbines. Multiple linear regression, Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA), and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) are employed for model development, forecasting, and result assessment. The capacity to fulfil power requirements at designated locations is subsequently evaluated, followed by an analysis of the outcomes.


Fig 1. Research methodology flowchart

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

2.1 Sites selection

Fig. 2 illustrates the data collection process in a flowchart format. The evaluation of the three sites examined in this study commences with an analysis of location appropriateness. The indicators encompass tourism potential, the necessity for alternative energy sources, and geographical characteristics.


Fig 2. Data collection and processing flowchart

All three chosen locations are situated in Indonesia, spanning various islands. The initial location is Tepus District, situated in Gunung Kidul Regency. The geographical coordinates for this study are 7°58'11.79" South latitude and 110°29'17.25" East longitude. Gunung Kidul possesses considerable tourism potential. The location's closeness to the southern Java coastline and its elevation of up to 300 meters above sea level should ensure sufficient wind speed for small wind turbine applications. A low population density is evident, suggesting that the installation of wind turbines will not substantially disrupt local communities or residents. Moreover, the chosen location features a predominantly level terrain, facilitating increased electricity generation by the wind turbine due to enhanced wind velocity (Elgendi *et al.*, 2023).

The subsequent tourist destination is Losari Beach, located in Makassar. It is designated at 5°08'34" South and 119°24'25" East. This is a recognized coastal region potentially appropriate for small turbine applications (Prabowo *et al.*, 2022). The final location is Nusa Penida Island, a renowned tourist destination and a component of Bali Province. The geographical coordinates are 8°48'00.0" South latitude and 115°34'00.0" East longitude. Nusa Penida experiences elevated wind speeds; however, this resource remains underexploited, signifying considerable unutilized wind energy potential (Darmana, Koerniawan, 2019).

This study not only identified sites for analysis but also collected relevant information to evaluate wind energy potential by determining the average number of guest rooms in small-sized hotels and their corresponding electricity energy efficiency ratings. The mean number of guest rooms in small hotels within Gunung Kidul Regency is 23 (GKTA, 2019), while the majority of small lodgings in Nusa Penida possess between 5 and 14 guest rooms (Berita Satu, 2019). The suggested electricity efficiency ratings are less than 60 kWh/m²/year for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida, and less than 70 kWh/m²/year for Makassar, based on the average number of guest rooms. The advised total energy consumption thresholds for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida are below 240 kWh/m²/year, while for Makassar, it is below 260 kWh/m²/year (Bohdanowicz, 2001).

2.2 Data Collection and Processing

This study examines several critical variables for modelling and analytical purposes, including wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and pressure. The data collection procedure for these variables involves downloading hourly data at one-year intervals over a decade (2011-2020) by querying coordinates on the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) website (NREL, 2024). The collected information is subsequently combined into a singular data set. Data preprocessing entails verifying and transforming data into the appropriate format, addressing errors, and implementing other essential modifications. The data is subsequently analyzed through aggregation and graphical representation to discern patterns or valuable insights, facilitating the selection of the optimal wind turbine model.

2.3 Multiple linear regression

Multiple linear regression is a statistical technique that broadens the scope of simple linear regression. Simple linear regression involves a single independent variable, while multiple linear regression encompasses two or more independent variables. Notwithstanding this distinction, the objective of multiple linear regression is identical to that of simple linear regression: to determine the relationship between variables and facilitate predictions. The equation model for multiple linear regression can be expressed as follows (Katić *et al.*, 2024).

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_n X_n + e \qquad (1)$$

where: Y is the dependent variable, X is the independent variable, α is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), β is the regression coefficient and e is the prediction error.

Figure 3 shows the flowchart in which multiple linear regression is utilized in this research. The method is employed to analyze the correlation between selected independent variables (wind direction, temperature, pressure, and relative humidity) and the dependent variable (wind speed). If a strong correlation is found, additional independent variables may be added to improve the model's fit when using different methods. The model evaluation utilizes Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).


Fig 3. Multiple linear regression flowchart

2.4 Seasonal Autoregressive Moving Average (SARIMA)

The Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) model is an extension of the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. The ARIMA method is effective in analyzing and forecasting time series data; however, it cannot accommodate seasonal characteristics or patterns within the data. This study formulates the SARIMA model and integrates seasonal elements into it. The notation for the SARIMA model is as follows (Machine Learning Mastery, 2019).

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

$$SARIMA(p, d, q)(P, D, Q)m \qquad (2)$$

where: p is the trend autoregression order, d is the trend difference order, q is the trend's moving average order, P is the seasonal autoregressive order, D is the seasonal difference order, Q is the seasonal moving average order, and m is the number of periods per season to be calculated. The equation for SARIMA is as follows (Christie *et al.*, 2022).

$$\phi_p(B^s)\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d(1-B^s)^D Y_t = \theta_q(B)\Theta_Q(B^s)\mathcal{E}_t \quad (3)$$

where: p is trend autoregression order, P is seasonal autoregressive order, d is trend difference order, D is seasonal difference order, q is the trend-moving average order, Q is seasonal moving average order, $\phi_p(B)$ is the non-seasonal autoregressive level, $\phi_p(B^s)$ is the seasonal autoregressive level, $(1-B)^d$ is the non-seasonal differencing level, $(1-B^s)^D$ is the seasonal differencing level, $\theta_q(B)$ is the non-seasonal moving average level, $\theta_q(B^s)$ is the seasonal moving average level, Y_t is the actual data for the period t and $\mathcal{E}_t$ is the error in the period t.

Fig. 4 illustrates the particular SARIMA process employed in this study. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test verifies the stationarity of data. Should the data exhibit non-stationarity, the differencing procedure is implemented repeatedly until stationarity is achieved. The data is subsequently partitioned for fitting and testing purposes.

The optimal SARIMA model (the values for p, d, q, P, D, and Q) is determined using two approaches: the auto_arima function from a Python library and the grid search method. The grid search technique evaluates the spectrum of parameter values by analyzing the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) graphs. Upon identifying the optimal parameters, a SARIMA prediction model will be developed, and the outcomes will be compared (auto_arima and grid search). The model's efficacy is assessed through Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).


Fig 4. Seasonal Autoregressive Moving Average (SARIMA) flowchart

2.5 Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing

Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) is a modification of Holt's exponential smoothing, commonly referred to as double exponential smoothing. The Holt-Winters method, or triple exponential smoothing, assumes that time-series data comprises level, trend, and seasonal components. The Holt-Winters method for addressing seasonality comprises two principal variations: additive and multiplicative. Time series can

be modelled through various combinations, including additive trend with additive seasonality (ATAS), additive trend with multiplicative seasonality (ATMS), multiplicative trend with additive seasonality (MTAS), and multiplicative trend with multiplicative seasonality (MTMS). The formulas employed in Holt-Winters exponential smoothing are presented below, beginning with level smoothing (L_t) for additive variation in Eq. 4 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 5 (Irandi *et al.*, 2021).

$$L_t = \alpha(y_t - S_{t-s}) + (1-\alpha)(L_{t-1} + T_{t-1}) \qquad (4)$$

$$L_t = \alpha(y_t/S_{t-s}) + (1-\alpha)(L_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \qquad (5)$$

The equation used to calculate trend pattern smoothing is as follows:

$$b_t = \beta(L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1-\beta)b_{t-1} \qquad (6)$$

The equations used to calculate seasonal pattern smoothing are as follows, with additive variation in Eq. 7 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 8:

$$S_t = \gamma(y_t/L_{t-1}) + (1-\gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (7)$$

$$S_t = \gamma(y_t/L_t) + (1-\gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (8)$$

The equations used to calculate the prediction for the next period are as follows, with additive variation in Eq. 9 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 10:

$$F_{t+m} = L_t + b_t m + S_{t-s+m} \qquad (9)$$

$$F_{t+m} = (L_t + b_t m)S_{t-s+m} \qquad (10)$$

where: α, β, γ are smoothing constants, y_t is the observed value at the time t, L_t is the smoothing level value at the time t, b_t is trend pattern smoothing value at the time t, S_t is the seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t, F_{t+m} is forecast for a time $t + m$, and s is seasonal length.

Fig. 5 shows the application of Holt-Winters exponential smoothing in this study. The dataset is partitioned into training and testing groups, and the grid search technique is employed to identify the optimal smoothing constants, considering variations in trend and seasonality types. The modelling results are assessed utilizing the RMSE method, and the optimal smoothing constants are selected for data prediction.


Fig 5. Holt-Winters exponential smoothing flowchart

2.6 Wind Speed Conversion

The wind speed will be transformed into electrical power output after selecting the optimal wind turbine model based on the analysis of wind data. Figure 6 illustrates a flowchart detailing

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

the wind speed conversion process. The power curves of each wind turbine will be modelled using polynomial, linear, or piecewise regression techniques.


Fig 6. Wind speed conversion flowchart

This study utilizes polynomial regression due to the non-linear relationship between the independent variable (wind speed) and the dependent variable (power output). The polynomial regression equation in this study is presented as follows (Morala *et al.*, 2021).

$$y = b_0 + b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots + b_nX^n \qquad (11)$$

Upon fitting, the regression model converts wind speed into potential power output at designated sites for this study. The conversion outcomes will be assessed to ascertain the electrical power contribution.

3. Results and Discussion

This section presents and analyses the wind data characteristics over a decade (2011-2020) for all locations, along with the modelling outcomes derived from multiple linear regression, SARIMA, and Holt-Winters exponential smoothing techniques. This section presents the analysis results of wind speed conversion and the potential for electricity generation in hotels, taking into account varying occupancy rates.

3.1 Wind data analysis

The analysis of wind data is initiated by data aggregation. The dataset comprises a decade of hourly data intervals for pressure (P), relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), wind direction (WD), and wind speed (WS). The hourly values collected over a decade (87,600 hours) are averaged in wind speed data for each 24-hour interval. Consequently, we derived a daily average wind speed value. Consequently, all daily averaged wind speeds are derived by consolidating the 24-hour wind speed data ranges. Upon acquiring the complete set of daily averaged wind speed data (3,650 entries), we consolidated daily averages every 7 days to determine the weekly average wind speed. A monthly averaged wind speed is derived by consolidating all daily averaged data for that month. This study comprises 120 months of average wind speed data, spanning 10 years. The minimum, maximum, and mean values for hourly to monthly aggregated wind speed at three locations are calculated accordingly.

Fig. 7 presents the minimum, maximum, and mean values of hourly and monthly aggregated temporal wind speeds at three locations. The data indicates that Nusa Penida in Bali exhibits the highest maximum wind speed values across all aggregation periods compared to the other two locations. The peak wind speed at Nusa Penida is 10.3 m/s, with daily, weekly, and monthly averages of 8.3 m/s, 6 m/s, and 5.3 m/s, respectively. The average wind speed for data aggregated from hourly to monthly

intervals is 3.64 m/s. A seasonal pattern is discernible, recurring roughly every 8760 data rows (equivalent to 12 months of data).


Fig 7. Comparison of hourly (H), daily (D), weekly (W), and monthly (M) aggregated wind speed during 2011-2020 in Gunung Kidul, Nusa Penida, and Losari Beach (Makassar)

Fig. 8 shows box-whisker plots of hourly to monthly average wind speed data collected over a decade at a selected location on Nusa Penida Island. The 87,600-hourly dataset exhibits a maximum wind speed of 7.8 m/s when excluding outliers, and 10.3 m/s when including them. The maximum daily average wind speed is 7.8 m/s excluding outliers and 8.3 m/s including outliers. The maximum average wind speeds for weekly and monthly intervals are 6 m/s and 5.3 m/s, respectively, while the minimum averages are 1.1 m/s and 1.9 m/s. All time intervals exhibit mean wind speeds of 3.6 m/s.

Fig 8. The range of hourly- to monthly average wind speed data in a selected location at Nusa Penida Island over a total of 10 years dataset (2011-2020)


Fig 9. The ranges of monthly average wind speed from 2011-2020 in Nusa Penida as grouped monthly up to 120 months (aggregated from daily average values)

Fig. 9 depicts a box and whisker plot representing the monthly average wind speed from 2011 to 2020 at a designated location

Author 1 et al *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

on Nusa Penida. The graph illustrates various ranges of monthly average wind speeds, derived from daily average values, spanning from 2011 to 2020. In the 10-year dataset (up to 120 months), the months from June to September exhibited higher monthly average wind speeds compared to the remaining months. Table 1 summarises all principal variables examined in this study that are believed to influence wind-derived electrical energy in Nusa Penida from 2011 to 2020. The variables include pressure, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed. This study additionally examines the data for Gunung Kidul and Losari.

Table 1

Wind data characteristics – Nusa Penida

Interval	Variable	Mean	Max	Min
Hourly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1034	993
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	95,58	57,32
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	32,3	23
	Wind direction (°)	164	360	0
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	10,3	0,1
Daily	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1032,3	995,1
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	91,9	65,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	31,1	24
	Wind direction (°)	164	334,3	31,1
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	8,3	0,8
Weekly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1031,2	997,5
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	89	73,1
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,7	24,4
	Wind direction (°)	164	332,4	80,7
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	6	1,1
Monthly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1030,3	999,4
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	87,3	74,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,3	24,8
	Wind direction (°)	164	256,3	109
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	5,3	1,9

3.2 Wind turbine model selection and fitting

The analysis of wind data resulted in the identification of three small wind turbines as candidates: Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW (European Commission, 2020), and Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW (The Wind Power, 2024). These turbines are selected primarily for their power curves, which align with the potential wind speed. Fig. 10 illustrates the power curves of the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines. Fig. 11 illustrates the power curve of a Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW wind turbine.

Fig 10. The power curves of Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines

Fig 11. The power curves of a Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW wind turbine

The relevant regression equations can be derived from the power curves of the supplied wind turbines. The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW models utilize a modified piecewise regression method, applying distinct regression types to specific intervals of data points along the power curve. Conversely, the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW model solely utilizes polynomial regression. Equation 12 presents the linear regression equation for Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, whereas Equation 13 presents the polynomial regression equation. The variable y signifies power output, whereas x denotes wind speed.

$$y = (0,1999999x) + (-0,2999977) \tag{12}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y = &\left(0,0006013x^{11}\right) - \left(0,001071x^{10}\right) + \left(0,006777x^{9}\right) - \\
&\left(0,002255x^{8}\right) + \left(0,04551x^{7}\right) - \left(0,5942x^{6}\right) + \\
&\left(5,148x^{5}\right) - \left(29,66x^{4}\right) + \left(111,4x^{3}\right) - \left(259,1x^{2}\right) + \\
&\left(335,4x\right) - 182,8
\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

The linear regression equations for Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW can be seen in Eq. 14 and Eq. 15. Meanwhile, the polynomial regression equation is presented in Eq. 16, while the polynomial regression equation for the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW is delineated in Eq. 17.

$$y = (0,49999999x) + (-0,99999996) \tag{14}$$

$$y = (0x) + 25 \tag{15}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y = &\left(-0,0005086x^{11}\right) + \left(0,004726x^{10}\right) - \\
&\left(0,001955x^{9}\right) + \left(0,04744x^{8}\right) - \left(0,7507x^{7}\right) + \\
&\left(8,123x^{6}\right) - \left(61,3x^{5}\right) + \left(322,4x^{4}\right) - \left(1157x^{3}\right) + \\
&\left(2696x^{2}\right) - \left(3671x\right) + 2209
\end{aligned} \tag{16}
$$

While the polynomial regression equation for Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW can be seen in equation (17):

$$
\begin{aligned}
y = &\left(0,00001028x^{15}\right) - \left(0,000217x^{14}\right) + \\
&\left(0,000021x^{13}\right) - \left(0,0001235x^{12}\right) + \left(0,004946x^{11}\right) - \\
&\left(0,001428x^{10}\right) + \left(0,03076x^{9}\right) - \left(0,5037x^{8}\right) + \\
&\left(6,331x^{7}\right) - \left(61,12x^{6}\right) + \left(449,3x^{5}\right) - \left(2468x^{4}\right) + \\
&\left(9784x^{3}\right) - \left(26330x^{2}\right) + \left(42850x\right) + 31680
\end{aligned} \tag{17}
$$

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

3.3 Forecasting algorithms applications results

3.3.1 Multiple linear regression results

The multiple linear regression method applies to all temporal data intervals, including hourly, daily, weekly, and monthly. The evaluation performance metrics employed are RMSE, R-squared, and adjusted R-squared. Table 2 presents the variable combinations employed in the multiple linear regression models, yielding the optimal RMSE and R-squared across all intervals. In Gunung Kidul, hourly, daily, and monthly models are predicated on relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The weekly period is determined by relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T). The optimal result, characterised by the minimal RMSE, is achieved at Losari Beach through the integration of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The interplay of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), temperature (T), and wind direction (WD) in Nusa Penida yields the minimal RMSE.

Table 2
The best RMSE and R-squared, and combination of variables involved in the multiple linear regression models

Interval	Location	Involved Variables	RMSE	R-squared
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.981	0.261
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.924	0.086
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.264	0.241
Daily	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.757	0.279
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.668	0.241
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.074	0.367
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	RH, T	0.540	0.464
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.502	0.327
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.821	0.516
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.384	0.641
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.357	0.433
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.482	0.769

The coefficient of determination (R-squared) quantifies the extent to which the independent variable elucidates the dependent variable. The R-squared values in Table 2, especially for hourly and daily intervals, demonstrate a weak correlation between the independent variables (RH, P, T, and WD) and wind speed at all locations. This indicates that the independent variables are insufficient to completely elucidate the dependent variable. Simultaneously, the R-squared values for variable combinations at weekly and monthly intervals sufficiently elucidate aggregated wind speed, especially for Nusa Penida.

As the data aggregation interval increases, the performance metrics improve, indicated by a lower RMSE and a higher R-squared value. The performance metrics indicate that the ideal variable combinations for each location yield consistent results across all data intervals. The optimal variable combination for Gunung Kidul and Losari is relative humidity (RH), precipitation (P), and temperature (T), whereas for Nusa Penida it includes RH, P, T, and wind direction (WD).

Nevertheless, incorporating additional independent variables into the multiple linear regression model does not enhance the fit of the Gunung Kidul and Losari data. The data from Nusa Penida presents divergent results. Despite the RMSE being greater than that of the other two locations, the R-squared value remains comparatively high.

3.3.2 SARIMA results

The SARIMA method assumes that the data exhibits stationarity. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test is utilised to verify data stationarity. The test results are displayed in Table 3 and Table 4 for hourly, weekly, and monthly intervals, respectively. The ADF test results indicate that the data is stationary at the weekly interval but non-stationary at the monthly interval. This is evidenced by a p-value exceeding 0.05 and an ADF statistic surpassing the critical threshold. Seasonal differencing is applied once ($D = 1$) to rectify the absence of stationarity in the monthly dataset. Table 4 presents the results of the differencing analysis.

Table 3
ADF Test results

Interval	Location	ADF statistic	p-value	Critical values
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	-6.370	2.363×10^{-8}	1%: -3.443 5%: -2.867 10%: -2.570
	Losari	-8.682	4.266×10^{-14}	1%: -3.443 5%: -2.867 10%: -2.570
	Nusa Penida	-6.090	1.043×10^{-7}	1%: -3.443 5%: -2.867 10%: -2.570
Daily	Gunung Kidul	-2.052	0.264	1% : -3.494 5% : -2.889 10% : -2.582
	Losari	-2.462	0.125	1% : -3.494 5% : -2.889 10% : -2.582
	Nusa Penida	-1.702	0.430	1% : -3.492 5% :-2.889 10% : -2.581

Table 4
ADF Test results on seasonal differencing monthly data

Location	ADF statistic	p-value	Critical values
Gunung Kidul	-6.578	7.66×10^{-9}	1%: -3.493 5%: -2.890 10%: -2.581
Losari	-7.156	3.06×10^{-10}	1%: -3.493 5% : -2.890 10%: -2.581
Nusa Penida	-4.361	3.48×10^{-10}	1%: -3,501 5%: -2,892 10%: -2,583

Table 5
Possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations

Interval	parameter	Gunung Kidul	Losari	Nusa Penida
Weekly	p	0-3	0-1	0-3
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-9	0-5	0-8
	P	0-1	0	0
	D	0	0	0
	Q	0-2	0-2	0-2
Monthly	p	0-1	0-1	0-1
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-1	0-2	0-1
	P	0-2	0-1	0-2
	D	1	1	1
	Q	0-1	0-1	0-1

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

The SARIMA model is subsequently fitted utilising auto_arima and grid search techniques. The RMSE value identifies the optimal model and fitting technique. The number of periods per season (m) will be established as 52 weekly intervals and 12 monthly intervals. The results for weekly and monthly intervals are presented in Table 6.

Table 6
SARIMA fitting results comparison

Interval	Location	Method	Best model	RMSE
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(2,0,0);52	0.802
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,1);(1,0,2);52	0.490
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);52	1.655
		grid search	SARIMA (1,0,4);(0,0,2);52	0.575
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(0,0,0);52	1.468
		grid search	SARIMA (3,0,8);(0,0,2);52	1.067
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.443
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.315
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);12	0.688
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,0);12	0.304
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,1);12	0.419
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(0,0,1);12	0.379

The findings in Table 6 indicate that the SARIMA method is appropriate for datasets of moderate size, as it can process data at weekly intervals within a reasonable timeframe. As the dataset size expands, the number of possible model fits also increases, augmenting the model's complexity and requiring additional computation time. In comparing RMSE results, the grid search method exhibits lower RMSE values across all data intervals at the three locations than the auto_arima method. Moreover, it was found that extending the data aggregation interval diminishes the RMSE.

3.3.3 Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing results

The Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) model is formulated to exclude trends and incorporate additive seasonality. This study utilises RMSE to identify the optimal model and fitting method for each interval and location. Table 7 presents the optimal model outcomes.

Table 7
Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing fitting results

Interval	Location	Alpha (α)	Gamma (γ)	RMSE
Daily	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.05	0.819
	Losari	0.05	0.05	0.702
	Nusa Penida	0.8	0.05	1.014
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	0.2	0.25	0.511
	Losari	0.1	0.15	0.489
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.25	0.769
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.15	0.309
	Losari	0.05	0.25	0.322
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.15	0.374

The modelling outcomes presented in Table 7 utilise the 2011–2018 dataset for training and the 2019–2020 dataset for testing. The RMSE of 0.374 for Nusa Penida (monthly) indicates the prediction error for 2019-2020 concerning the actual data from that period. This study establishes the number of periods per season (s) as 365 for daily intervals, 52 for weekly, and 12 for monthly intervals. A grid search method is employed to identify the optimal alpha (α) and gamma (γ) values.

Upon establishing the optimal smoothing constants, the historical data is plotted, and model fitting is conducted for all potential intervals across all locations. This paper shows Holt-Winters results for Nusa Penida, as illustrated in Fig. 11–13. The figures show the model fitting for daily interval data, weekly intervals, and monthly intervals, respectively.



Fig. 11. HWES plot for Nusa Penida – daily interval

Fig. 12. HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval


Fig. 13. HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval

The analysis indicates that the HWES method can efficiently accommodate large datasets while maintaining high processing speed. Consequently, the method is effective with data characterised by lower aggregation levels or larger volumes.

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

The HWES method surpasses both SARIMA and multiple linear regression methods in overall computational efficacy. While certain locations employing the SARIMA method exhibited marginally reduced RMSE values, the disparity was minimal (approximately 0.02). Nevertheless, as the degree of data aggregation diminishes, the resultant RMSE value escalates. Datasets with monthly aggregation intervals exhibited the lowest RMSE for each location. The model-fitting graph can reflect the rising and falling trends of wind speed indicated by actual historical data.

Although the Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing model fittings for both daily and weekly intervals are insufficient for predicting short-term operational needs for wind turbines or supply systems, it provides stakeholders with insights into the fluctuating wind speed patterns to forecast energy supply security, rather than providing precise numerical wind speed values for a specific period. By providing more precise forecasts for monthly aggregated wind resources, the monthly model fitting can assist stakeholders in making investment decisions.

3.4 Contribution to Hotel Electricity Energy Demand

This study examines a hotel's electricity consumption concerning usage levels and the number of rooms, with an emphasis on small hotels. This study employs the Energy Use Index (EUI) metric from the Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Programme (CHENACT) to evaluate the feasibility of satisfying a hotel's electricity requirements, as illustrated in Table 8 (CHTA, 2012).

The EUI of the Caribbean Hotel was utilised in this study due to the resemblance between Indonesia's tropical climate and that of the Caribbean. This indicates that hotel energy consumption remains consistent regardless of variations in guests' cultural backgrounds, which may affect energy usage behaviour. The EUI evaluates three levels of energy consumption, measured in kWh per Guest Night, across four categories of hotel size based on the number of guestrooms. "Guest Night" denotes the aggregate count of hotel occupants.

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

This study suggests, according to the data in Table 8, that the small hotel comprises a maximum of 50 guestrooms, each occupied by a single guest, with minimal electricity consumption of 12 kWh per guest night. This study computes total electricity consumption per night and hour by multiplying electricity usage by the number of rooms and dividing by 24. Consequently, a hotel with 50 rooms occupied by a single guest each, consuming 12 kWh per guest night, results in a total energy consumption of 600 kWh per night, equating to 25 kWh per hour.

Meanwhile, Table 9 presents the computation outcomes for various levels of energy consumption and occupancy. The energy consumption values in Table 9 may also reflect the hotel's total electricity usage, encompassing facilities such as the kitchen, office, lobby, and others, expressed as the average energy consumption per guest per night across different occupancy levels.

Table 9
The calculated electricity energy consumption

Energy consumption	Time	Occupancy			
		100%	75%	50%	25%
High	Per night	5,900	4,423	2,950	1,475
	Per hour	246	184	123	62
Average	Per night	2,150	1,613	1,075	538
	Per hour	90	67	45	22
Low	Per night	600	450	300	150
	Per hour	25	19	13	7

The contribution of wind power to hotel electricity demand is quantified as a percentage, determined by dividing the average power output of the wind turbine by the energy consumption linked to hotel occupancy. This study employs low-to-high kWh/Guest Night values, specifically ranging from 25% to 100% hotel occupancy, to calculate the contribution of wind energy. Utilising HWES to predict wind speed and wind turbine power output demonstrated that the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine outperformed the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW and the Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW turbines. Consequently, the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW has been chosen to evaluate the potential contribution of wind energy. Tables 10 to 12 show the potential percentages of electricity consumption that can be satisfied by a single installed wind turbine for small hotels across various locations, categorised by daily, weekly, and monthly data intervals, respectively.

Table 10
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and daily averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.45	1.8	2.4	3.6	7.2
	Predicted	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.6	5.1
Losari	Historical	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
	Predicted	0.24	1	1.3	1.9	3.8
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.83	7.3	9.8	14.7	29.3
	Predicted	2.21	8.8	11.8	17.6	35.3

Table 11
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and weekly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.37	1.5	2	3	5.9
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.27	1.1	1.5	2.2	4.4
	Predicted	0.21	0.8	1.1	1.6	3.3
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.61	6.6	8.7	13.1	26.2
	Predicted	1.79	7	9.5	14.3	28.6

Table 12
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and monthly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.5	5.1
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.8	3.5
	Predicted	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.7	3.5
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.46	5.8	7.8	11.7	23.3
	Predicted	1.57	6.3	8.4	12.5	25.1

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

The wind energy contribution shown in Tables 10 to 12 evaluates both historical and projected average kWh produced by the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine by its power curve characteristics. The predicted mean kWh is derived from the HWES models presented in Table 7. The data in Tables 10 to 12 indicate that the anticipated energy contribution of a Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine to the hotel's energy requirements across all locations varies from 0.8% to 35.3%, based on hotel occupancy levels ranging from 100% to 25%, specifically at Losari (100% occupancy, weekly averaged interval) and Nusa Penida (25% occupancy, daily averaged interval).

According to daily average wind speed data, Nusa Penida exhibits the greatest contribution of wind turbine energy generation in fulfilling hotel electricity demand across various occupancy levels. The predicted mean energy output of the wind turbine quantified at 2.21 kWh, could fulfil 8.8% of the hotel's energy requirements at full occupancy and escalate to 35.3% at 25% occupancy. Concurrently, Losari Beach has demonstrated the least potential across all data intervals.

Results in Tables 10-12 also illustrate a trade-off between hotel occupancy rates and the contribution of wind turbine energy generation to the energy demands of hotels. The daily interval results for Nusa Penida indicate that reducing hotel occupancy to 25% has led to a fourfold increase in the energy generation contribution from wind turbines. Tables 10-12 indicate that small wind turbines possess significant potential to fulfil partial electricity requirements of hotels at low-to-medium occupancy levels, specifically between 25% and 50%. Wind turbines are projected to fulfil 10% to 35% of energy requirements.

This contribution rate is particularly advantageous for the hotel as it alleviates the burden of grid energy expenses during the off-peak season. This also enables the integration of small wind turbines with other RE technologies, such as solar power and batteries, to enhance the clean energy supply for hotel energy requirements. This study, although focused on Indonesian locations, offers valuable methodology and analysis applicable to other developing countries and jurisdictions.

This study, however, does not evaluate the economic feasibility of installing small wind turbines on the premises. These economic implications can provide a fundamental assessment of the overall wind energy potential and feasibility for the selected site. They must be assessed in subsequent research.

4. Conclusion

This study introduced a methodology for assessing the potential of RE in promoting sustainable tourism in developing nations. This study utilised an Indonesian case study to investigate the potential role of small wind turbines in partially satisfying the electricity requirements of small hotels. The analysis assessed and forecasted wind-derived electricity generation in three locations with varying wind energy potential, utilising a 10-year (2011-2020) hourly historical wind dataset, while also comparing small wind turbines to optimally align with the dataset modelling. This study employed multiple linear regression to examine the influence of external variables on wind speed, along with SARIMA and HWES to predict wind speed across all selected locations.

Through multiple linear regression analysis, it was determined that the inclusion of independent variables in the model fitting process yielded no significant impact, except for the Nusa Penida data, where the incorporation of all independent variables— relative humidity, pressure, temperature, and wind direction—

produced a positive effect. The minimum RMSE attained is 0.357. The grid search parameter technique yielded a reduced RMSE for the SARIMA method, achieving a minimum value of 0.304. Data at monthly intervals exhibited a lower RMSE than at weekly intervals. SARIMA, conversely, proved ineffective for daily and hourly interval data because of its heightened complexity and considerably extended fitting durations.

The HWES technique applies to daily interval data. The RMSE values indicate that monthly interval data has the lowest RMSE of 0.309, followed by weekly and daily interval data. A power curve must be established to translate wind speed into electrical power through linear regression, polynomial regression, or a hybrid of both methodologies. The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW wind turbine model has been recognised as possessing the greatest potential to meet the hotel's electricity requirements. The installation of a single turbine could supply between 8.8% and 35.3% of the hotel's electricity usage, depending upon the occupancy rate.

This study, while focused on Indonesia, offers a methodology and results that may provide planners, policymakers, and stakeholders in other developing countries with valuable insights for formulating strategies to implement RE technologies and enhance sustainable tourism practices.

References

Aagreh, Y. & Al-Ghzawi, A. (2013). Feasibility of utilizing renewable energy systems for a small hotel in Ajloun city, Jordan. *Applied Energy* 103, 25-31; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.008

Abdelhady, S. (2023). Techno-economic study and the optimal hybrid renewable energy system design for a hotel building with net zero energy and net zero carbon emissions. *Energy Conversion and Management* 289, 117195; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117195

Al-Zoubi, H., Al-Khasawneh, Y., Omar, W. (2021). Design and feasibility study of an on-grid photovoltaic system for green electrification of hotels: a case study of Cedars hotel in Jordan. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 12(4), 611–626; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00406-z

Ayoub, N., Musharavati, F., Pokharel, S., Gabbar, H.A. (2014). Energy consumption and conservation practices in Qatar—A case study of a hotel building. *Energy and Buildings* 84, 55-69; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.07.050

Beccali, M., Finocchiaro, P., Ippolito, M.G., Leone, G., Panno, D., Zizzo, G. (2018). Analysis of some renewable energy uses and demand side measures for hotels on small Mediterranean islands: A case study. *Energy* 157, 106-114; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.05.139

Beer, M., Rybár, R., Kaľavský, M. (2017). Renewable energy sources as an attractive element of industrial tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism*

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

21(18),   2139–2151;
https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1316971

Berita Satu. (2019). *Hotel business in Nusa Penida has potential (in Bahasa Indonesia)*. https://www.beritasatu.com/ekonomi/577721/bisnis-hotel-di-nusa-penida-potensial. Accessed on 24 October 2024.

Bohdanowicz, P., Churie-Kallhauge, A., Martinac, I. (2001). *Energy efficiency and conservation in hotels – towards sustainable tourism*. In Proc. 4th International Symposium on Asia Pacific Architecture, Hawaii, United States.

Christie, G., Hatidja, D., Tumilaar, R. (2022). Application of the SARIMA method in the step function intervention to predict the number of visitors at Londa tourism object. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sains* 22(2), 96–103; https://doi.org/10.35799/jis.v22i2.40961

CHTA. (2012). *Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Program (CHENACT)*. http://www.caribbeanhotelandtourism.com/downloads/CHENACT-Presentation-Final.pdf. Accessed on 4 May 2024.

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009a). Feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for a grid-connected large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 955-964; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.08.012

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Case study feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for small to medium-sized tourist accommodations. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 1134-1144; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.06.018

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Feasibility analysis of stand-alone renewable energy supply options for a large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 33(7), 1475-1490; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.09.014

Darmana, T. & Koerniawan, T. (2019). The development of energy tourism village in Indonesia: A case study in Nusa Penida. *E3S Web Conference* 125, 11001; https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201912511001

Elgendi, M., AlMallahi, M., Abdelkhalig, A., Selim, Y.M.E. (2023). A review of wind turbines in complex terrain. *International Journal of Thermofluids* 17, 100289; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100289

European Commission. (2020). *Catalogue of European urban wind turbine manufacturers*. http://biohabitat.free.fr/dossiers/habitat_ecobio/energies_renouvelables/doc-annexe/catalogue-eolienne.pdf. Accessed on 1 May 2024.

Fazelpour, F., Soltani, N., Rosen, M.A. (2014). Feasibility of satisfying electrical energy needs with hybrid systems for a medium-size hotel on Kish Island, Iran. *Energy* 73, 856-865; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.097.

GKTA. (2024). *List of Hotels in Gunung Kidul (in Bahasa Indonesia)*. https://wisata.gunungkidulkab.go.id/daftar-hotel-gunungkidul/. Accessed on 26 October 2024.

Güğül, G.N. (2023). Optimum hybrid renewable energy system design for on and off grid buildings: Hotel, education and animal hospital building case. *Solar Energy* 253, 414-427; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2022.12.044

GSTC. (2024). *What is sustainable tourism?* https://www.gstcouncil.org/what-is-sustainable-tourism/. Accessed on 15 August 2024.

Güler, Ö., Akdağ, S.A., Dinçsoy, M.E. (2013). Feasibility analysis of medium-sized hotel's electrical energy consumption with hybrid systems. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 9, 15-22; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2013.02.004

Hailiang, Z., Chau, K.Y., Waqas, M. (2023). Does green finance and renewable energy promote tourism for sustainable development: Empirical evidence from China. Renewable Energy 207, 660-671; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.03.032

IBP. (2023). *US investors express interest in green tourism projects in Indonesia*. https://indonesiabusinesspost.com/risks-opportunities/us-investors-express-interest-in-green-tourism-projects-in-indonesia/. Accessed on 2 June 2024.

Irandi, D.F., Rohmawati, A.A., Gunawan, P.H. (2021). Forecasting number of new cases daily COVID-19 in Central Java province using

Exponential Smoothing Holt-Winters. *Indonesia Journal on Computing (Indo-JC)* 6(2), 23–32; https://doi.org/10.34818/INDOJC.2021.6.2.565

Katić, D., Krstić, H., Otković, II., Juričić, H.B. (2024). Comparing multiple linear regression and neural network models for predicting heating energy consumption in school buildings in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Journal of Building Engineering* 97, 110728; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110728

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Solangi, Y.A. (2024). Analysis of factors and strategies for the implementation of sustainable tourism in a green economic structure in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 434, 140011; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140011

LTAIA. (2022). *Realizing Commitment to Build Sustainable Tourism in TCR Area, Lake Toba Becomes First DPSP to Use Green Energy*. Retrieved https://www.bpodt.id/siaran-pers-wujudkan-komitmen-bangun-sustainable-tourism-di-kawasan-tcr-danau-toba-jadi-dpsp-pertama-pengguna-green-energy/. Accessed on 1 June 2024.

Luo, J., Joybari, M.M., Ma, Y., Liu, J., Lai, K. (2024). Assessment of renewable power generation applied in homestay hotels: Energy and cost-benefit considering dynamic occupancy rates and reservation prices. Journal of Building Engineering 87, 109074; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.109074

Machine Learning Mastery. (2019). *A Gentle Introduction to SARIMA for time series forecasting in Python*. https://machinelearningmastery.com/sarima-for-time-series-forecasting-in-python/. Accessed on 6 June 2024.

Meschede, H., Dunkelberg, H., Stöhr, F., Peesel, R.H., Hesselbach, J. (2017). Assessment of probabilistic distributed factors influencing renewable energy supply for hotels using Monte-Carlo methods. *Energy* 128, 86-100; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.03.166

Morala, P., Cifuentes, J.A., Lillo, R.O., Ucar, I. (2021). Towards a mathematical framework to inform neural network modelling via polynomial regression. *Neural Networks* 142, 57-72; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2021.04.036

MTCE. (2024). *5 Eco Resorts in Indonesia with Environmental-friendly Concept*. https://www.kemenparekraf.go.id/en/articles/5-eco-resorts-in-indonesia-with-environmental-friendly-concept. Assessed on 10 August 2024.

Navratil, J., Picha, K., Buchecker, M., Martinat, S., Svec, R., Brezinova, M., Knotek, J. (2019). Visitors' preferences of renewable energy options in "green" hotels. *Renewable Energy* 138, 1065-1077; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.043

NREL. (2024). *NSRDB: National solar radiation database*. https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer. Accessed on 1 April 2024.

Petromindo. (2024). *PLN closes diesel plant in Sumba Timur, focuses on clean energy*. https://www.petromindo.com/news/article/pln-closes-diesel-plant-in-sumba-timur-focuses-on-clean-energy. Accessed on 20 October 2024.

Prabowo, S.A., Ihsan, M., Satria, W. (2022). *Analysis on the potential of renewable energy in maritime areas and its effect on the medium voltage utility electricity networks*. In Proc. International Conference on Technology and Policy in Energy and Electric Power, Jakarta, Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-PEP57242.2022.9988957

Rahman, M.H., Tanchangya, T., Rahman, J., Aktar, M.A., Majumder, S.C. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and green financing behavior in Bangladesh: Towards sustainable tourism. *Innovation and Green Development* 3(3), 100133; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100133

The Wind Power. (2024). Fuhrländer FL 100/21. https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_162_fuhrlander_fl-100-21.php. Accessed on 7 May 2024.

UNEP. (2024). *Tourism*. https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/resource-efficiency/what-we-do/responsible-industry/tourism. Accessed on 1 August 2024.

UNT. (2024). *Sustainable tourism development*. https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development. Accessed on 16 August 2024.

2. Email received from IJRED Editor-in-Chief Prof. H Hadiyanto:

Review results -- undertake revisions and then resubmit your manuscript for consideration for publication in the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development (27 January 2025)

- Email Decision on submission ID: IJRED-2025-61019

[IJRED] Decision on submission ID: IJRED-2025-61019

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto <support@ijred.cbiore.id>   Mon, Jan 27, 2025 at 11:39 PM
Reply-To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
Cc: Brandon Sebastian Jasman <brandon.s.jasman@gmail.com>, Stephanus Antonius Ananda <ananda@petra.ac.id>

Manuscript Number.: IJRED-2025-61019
Title: Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries
Journal: International Journal of Renewable Energy Development

Dear Dr. Yusak Tanoto,

I am writing regarding your manuscript entitled :"Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" with ID: IJRED-2025-61019 which you submitted to the to International Journal of Renewable Energy Development.

Based on the Reviewer feedback as well as my own reading of your manuscript I want to strongly encourage you to undertake revisions and then resubmit your manuscript for consideration for publication in the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development.

I hope that this feedback will be helpful to you in developing your research, and that you will be encouraged to undertake the revisions within the next few weeks. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions about the comments above.

To submit a revision, go to https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred and log in. You will be able to upload the revision and detailed response to reviewer comments.

When you submit a revised version of your paper, please include a statement explaining how this version reflects the feedback received from the Reviewers and the editors. Also, note if, and explain why, you decided not to follow any points made by Reviewers or editors.

Finally, we would appreciate it if you would acknowledge receipt of this message and, as soon as possible, let us know a) whether you plan to rewrite your paper as well as b) when you would plan to submit a new version to the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development for review.

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto
Center of Biomass and Renewable Energy (CBIORE), Diponegoro University
hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id
https://ijred.cbiore.id

Reviewer A:

E. Comments for Authors (General comments)
----
    1. The specific research problem should be articulated more clearly in the concluding paragraph of the introduction section.
2. The write-up should consistently maintain either a first-person or third-person narrative throughout.
3. The conclusions section should be more concise and focused. Key findings should be presented as bullet points, and study limitations must be included in this section.

Reviewer B:

E. Comments for Authors (General comments)

    This authors objective are: to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020), and 2) forecasting a portion of the dataset.

1. Any evidence of the visited sites cannot be found (photo, nor link to the used data)
2. A nomenclature table should be inserted.
3. Eq.2 should be revised
4. Please, underline the authors' contribution by critical analysing of the research paper in the field of study.
5. The equations format should fulfill the journal requirements
6. There is a disruption between theoretical aspects and the obtained results. Please, explain clearly how the figures
1- 6 are used to obtain the results. There are a lot of unexplained blocks and guide the readers to apply them!
7. No datalinks can be found.
8. The paper should be improved

----

3. Submitted the revised manuscript via the online submission system and email
   - Email and attachment of the detailed response to editors' and reviewers' comments (1 March 2025)
   - The revised manuscript according to the editor and reviewer's comments with yellow highlights (1 March 2025)

[IJRED] Decision on submission ID: IJRED-2025-61019

Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>   Sat, Mar 1, 2025 at 9:13 PM
To: support@ijred.cbiore.id, Hadiyanto <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
Bcc: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Prof. Dr. H. Hadiyanto
Editor-in-Chief IJRED

Please find attached the revised manuscript and a detailed response outlining the revisions. Both files have already been submitted to the IJRED website.

We appreciate all of the feedback and suggestions provided by the reviewers. We improved the paper based on the reviewers' feedback. We considered and addressed all comments in the revised paper, and the changes are highlighted in yellow. We hope that the revised paper will satisfy all of the journal's publication requirements.

Best regards,
Yusak Tanoto
[Quoted text hidden]

---

2 attachments

📄 **paper_IJRED_template2024_IJRED-2025-61019_rev.docx**
702K

📄 **Response to Reviewers comments_IJRED-2025-61019.docx**
26K

Authors Responses to Reviewers' Comments

Manuscript Number: IJRED-2025-61019

Title: Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries

----

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

We sincerely appreciate the time and effort the reviewers have taken to provide insightful comments and suggestions. Their feedback has been invaluable in improving the quality of our manuscript. Below is a point-by-point response to each comment, outlining the revisions made to enhance the clarity and impact of the paper.

Reviewer A:

E. Comments for Authors (General comments)

----

1. The specific research problem should be articulated more clearly in the concluding paragraph of the introduction section.

    Response: The specific research problem has now been added and described more clearly in the last three paragraphs of the introduction section, and provided a better flow in the reading. These revised paragraphs are as follows (highlighted in yellow):

    Existing studies, as shown above, have focused mainly on several aspects of the hotel energy supply, including the on-grid/hybrid feasibility analysis and design, and techno-economic prospects of RE systems, but leave out the insights offered from exploring the performance of long-term RE data. Furthermore, although several RE adaption techniques have been proposed, little is known about wind energy adoption potential and effectiveness in small-scale hotels due to differences in hotel occupancy rates and long-term temporal data. Hence, despite some research on hotels' wind and solar potential and utilisation strategies, there is still a lack of understanding about how small-scale hotels in developing countries deal with long-term renewable energy data, particularly wind energy resources, and the available strategies for utilisation decisions.

    Evaluating the potential influence of meteorological factors on wind speed and the power output capacity of wind turbines through long-term historical data may enhance comprehension of these factors and facilitate improved investment decisions in hotels. Nonetheless, studies examining the effects of wind energy on small hotels are scarce. In this context, minimal exploration has been done into the effects of long-term hourly temporal datasets of wind speed and other meteorological variables on the feasibility of small wind turbines for sustainable energy provision in hotels.

    Thus, this study seeks to address the research problem of understanding the long-term hourly temporal wind speed dataset and how small-scale hotels can better deal with and utilize the historical as well as projected wind data for their sustainable energy supply. This research aims to provide valuable insights for system planners, hotel investors, and other stakeholders in planning and developing renewable energy systems, particularly wind to enhance energy resilience and reliability in the small-scale hotel sector in developing countries.

2. The write-up should consistently maintain either a first-person or third-person narrative throughout.

   Response: The revised paper has been checked to ensure a consistent narrative writing style. The inconsistency has been fixed in the text, such as in Section 3.1 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing Results, as follows (highlighted in yellow):

   - Consequently, all daily averaged wind speeds are derived by consolidating the 24-hour wind speed data ranges. Upon acquiring the complete set of daily average wind speed data (3,650 entries), the weekly average wind speed values are determined by consolidating the daily averages every 7 days.

3. The conclusions section should be more concise and focused. Key findings should be presented as bullet points, and study limitations must be included in this section.

   Response: The conclusions section has been revised accordingly, with the key findings presented as bullet points, and the study limitation described in the last paragraph, as follows (highlighted in yellow):

   4. Conclusions
   This study examined renewable energy (RE) use to promote sustainable tourism in developing countries. Using a 10-year historical wind dataset, it looked specifically at the role of small wind turbines in meeting some of the electricity demands of small-sized hotels. This study developed the wind speed model by incorporating the impact of pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction on wind speed over selected locations using multiple linear regression, SARIMA, and HWES.

   The key findings of the case study, which considered three selected locations across different islands of Indonesia, are as follows:

   - The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between weather factors (pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction) and wind speed. Wind direction has shown a stronger correlation for monthly interval data in locations exhibiting higher wind energy potential.
   - The HWES method is the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed considering long-term datasets and different data intervals. The RMSE values indicate that monthly interval data has the lowest RMSE, followed by weekly and daily interval data.
   - The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW wind turbine has been identified as the most appropriate type of turbine due to its power curve alignment with wind speed data and power output potential based on the HWES model's wind speed prediction.
   - The installation of a single wind turbine could supply between 8.8% and 35.3% of the hotel's electricity demand, depending upon the occupancy rate levels ranging from 100% (8.8% contribution) to 25% (35.3% contribution).

   This study is limited in that it analyzed planned locations. It does not consider the economic feasibility of installing small wind turbines on-site. These economic implications can help determine the overall wind energy potential and feasibility of the chosen location. Furthermore, this study does not take into account or analyze the impact of hotel electricity demand patterns, such as hourly or daily, on the temporal contribution period of wind energy supply.

Reviewer B:

E. Comments for Authors (General comments)

This authors objective are to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020), and 2) forecasting a portion of the dataset.

1. Any evidence of the visited sites cannot be found (photo, nor link to the used data)

   Response: As our study is planning-type research, it focuses on the dataset analysis and impact. Therefore, the locations considered in the paper do not point to real established hotels. The revised paper has incorporated descriptions regarding the sites for the case study, as mentioned in Section 2.1 as follows (highlighted in yellow):

   - 2.1 Sites Selection and Hotels' Electricity Efficiency Ratings
     This research considers three sites in Indonesia with varying wind energy potentials for the case study. The evaluation of the three sites examined in this study commences with analysing location appropriateness. The indicators encompass tourism potential, the necessity for alternative energy sources, and geographical characteristics.

     It should be noted that the case study's selected locations do not correspond to established hotels, given that this is a planning study focusing on temporal dataset analysis and modelling. Figure 2 illustrates the site selection and data collection process in a flowchart format.

   Meanwhile, the link to the used data has been provided in Section 2.2 (paragraph 1 and paragraph 2)

2. A nomenclature table should be inserted.

   Response: A nomenclature table has been added at the end of the paper, after the conclusion section.

3. Eq.2 should be revised

   Response: Eq. 2 has been revised by not expressing it in an equation but changing it into the description and putting it into Paragraph 2.3.2 (highlighted in yellow), as follows:

   - The SARIMA model consists of up to 7 components, namely p (the trend autoregression order), d (the trend difference order), q (the trend's moving average order), P (the seasonal autoregressive order), D (the seasonal difference order), Q (the seasonal moving average order), and m (the number of periods per season to be calculated) (Machine Learning Mastery 2019).

4. Please, underline the authors' contribution by critical analysing of the research paper in the field of study.

   Response: The authors' contribution by critically reviewing and analysing the existing research has been included in the revised paper in the Introduction section, particularly in Paragraph 9, as follows (highlighted in yellow):

   Existing studies, as mentioned above, have focused mainly on several aspects of the hotel energy supply, including the on-grid/hybrid feasibility analysis and design, and

techno-economic prospects of RE systems, but have left out the insights offered from exploring the performance of long-term RE data. Furthermore, although several RE adaption techniques have been proposed, little is known about wind energy adoption potential and effectiveness in small-scale hotels due to differences in hotel occupancy rates and long-term temporal data. Hence, despite some research on hotels' wind and solar potential and utilization strategies, there is still a lack of understanding about how small-scale hotels in developing countries deal with long-term renewable energy data, particularly wind energy resources, and the available strategies for utilization decisions.

5. The equations format should fulfill the journal requirements

   Response: All equations have been re-formatted according to the journal requirements, with a re-arrangement of the description before Eq. 3, as follows (highlighted in yellow):

   - Meanwhile, the formulas used to calculate trend pattern smoothing, seasonal pattern smoothing, and the prediction for the next period, are shown in Eq. 5, Eq. 6 (additive) and Eq. 7 (multiplicative), and Eq. 8 (additive) and Eq. 9 (multiplicative), respectively.

6. There is a disruption between theoretical aspects and the obtained results. Please, explain clearly how the figures 1- 6 are used to obtain the results. There are a lot of unexplained blocks and guide the readers to apply them!

   Response: The paper has been revised accordingly to avoid disruption between theoretical aspects and the obtained results, with all changes have been highlighted in yellow. Those changes are as follows:

   - The methodology section is now matched with the results and discussion section, in terms of their order and subheadings/topics, as well as the description which are provided.

   - Most of the figures (now Figure 1 to Figure 5) have been revised to provide a clearer understanding regarding how the study is conducted.

   - Figure 1 presents the whole methodology of the research. All parts that appeared in Figure 1 are now explained subsequently, both in the methodology section and in the results section.

   - The results and discussion section have been rearranged and renamed in terms of its subsections to clearly show the relationship with the methodology section.

   - Results on "Selected Sites and Hotels' Electricity Efficiency Ratings" are now presented in Section 3.1. Meanwhile, wind dataset collection and pre-processing results are now presented in the results and discussion section (Section 3.2) followed by all results on wind dataset modelling – including all associated tables and figures (Section 3.3). Just as presented in Figure 1, wind's contribution to supplying hotel electricity demand is now explained in Section 3.4.

7. No datalinks can be found.

   Response: We have included two datalinks into the manuscript as follows:

   1. A web link for accessing the NSRDB website in Section 2.2 – paragraph 1, and is highlighted in yellow, as follows:

      - The data collection procedure for these variables on selected locations involves downloading hourly data at one-year intervals over a decade (2011-

2020) by querying coordinates on the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) website, accessible at https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/ (NREL 2024).

2. A datalink by adding a statement in Section 2.2 – paragraph 2, and is highlighted in yellow, as follows:

- The dataset and data pre-processing results are accessible through https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1IwuR_bsiTt5Hx7ORHGRT7EfSdK3C 9Fw7?usp=sharing.

8. The paper should be improved

Response:
We are thankful for all the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers. We have improved the paper as per the reviewers' comments. We have considered and accommodated all comments in the revised paper and have highlighted the changes in yellow. We hope that the revised paper will satisfy and meet all the journal's requirements for publication.

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev. 20xx, x (xx), xx-xx

| xx

Review/Research Article

Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries

Yusak Tanoto[a*], Brandon Sebastian Jasman[b], Stephanus Antonius Ananda[b]

[a]Electrical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia

[b]Informatics Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia

List of ORCID of each author
ORCID author 1: https://orcid.org/0000-000-xxx-xxx
ORCID author 2: https://orcid.org/0000-000-xxx-xxx

Abstract. The persistent reliance on fossil fuels for energy will yield enduring adverse effects on the tourism sector, particularly the hotel industry. Wind energy represents a renewable electricity source that can facilitate the transition of small-scale hotels to clean energy. The main objective of this research is to propose a methodology for evaluating the potential of wind energy to support sustainable tourism in developing nations, specifically in fulfilling the electricity requirements of small hotels. This study aims to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020) and forecasting a portion of the dataset. This research selected three sites in Indonesia exhibiting varying wind energy potentials: Tepus District in Gunung Kidul Regency, Losari Beach in Makassar City, and Nusa Penida Island in Bali. This study utilises multiple linear regression to examine the impact of external variables on wind speed, and it applies Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) for wind speed forecasting in these three locations. The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between external factors and wind speed, with the HWES method identified as the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed, surpassing the SARIMA model by 0.309 RMSE. Forecasting results indicate that a 30-kW wind turbine could supply 8.8 - 35.3% of a small hotel's electricity consumption, depending upon the occupancy rate.

Keywords: Wind energy, sustainable tourism, multiple linear regression, SARIMA, Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing

1. Introduction

The hotel industry, one of the significant energy end-users, is among the most promising sectors in tourism for utilizing clean electricity to advance sustainable tourism (UNEP, 2024). The term "sustainable tourism" denotes tourism that comprehensively addresses its current and future socio-economic and environmental impacts while fulfilling visitors' needs, the industry, the environment, and local communities (GSTC 2024; UNT 2024). The beneficial effects of sustainable tourism may encompass a wide array of economic, environmental, sociocultural, wildlife conservation, and additional advantages (Li *et al.* 2024; Rahman *et al.* 2024). Sustainable tourism development, focusing on environmental sustainability, is increasingly recognized by countries, including those in the developing world, as it can significantly boost local and national economies.

In recent years, developing countries have been working to promote sustainable tourism in their accommodation sectors.

The Indonesian government is partnering with the US on a $6 billion investment to develop eco-friendly hotels (IBP 2023). This is crucial as only a few tourist destinations have adopted sustainable tourism practices. Misool Eco Resort in Raja Ampat, Papua, uses solar photovoltaic systems for energy (MTCE 2024), while Lake Toba in North Sumatra province is developing electric vehicle charging stations and clean energy infrastructures (LTAIA 2022). These efforts aim to increase the number of establishments adhering to green standards.

Renewable energy (RE) provides a viable alternative for electrifying the untapped tourism potential in remote regions and enhancing the tourism appeal of specific locations (Beer *et al.* 2017). For businesses, RE could provide an economic benefit by lowering energy expenses and increasing potential income from the power produced by renewable sources (Luo *et al.* 2024). Meanwhile, visitors have expressed favourable attitudes towards using RE in tourism facilities (Navratil *et al.* 2019). An empirical study using long-term panel data has shown that RE

---

* Corresponding author
  Email: tanyusak@petra.ac.id (Yusak Tanoto)

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

positively influences sustainable tourism goal achievement (Hailiang *et al.* 2023). In practice, RE may be the sole viable option for developing tourism destinations in remote regions lacking access to conventional utility grids. Kamanggih Village in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, for instance, fulfils its daily energy demand through RE sources (Petromindo 2024).

Several studies have examined the capacity of RE, particularly wind and solar, to improve the energy sustainability of hotels, while evaluating more economical and environmentally friendly energy sources for hotel operations. A grid-connected solar photovoltaic system was designed for a proposed hotel in Jordan utilising PVGIS and PVSyst simulation software (Al-Zoubi *et al.* 2021). The study analyzed various techno-economic metrics based on the projected energy consumption of the proposed hotel and the regional average occupancy rate.

Research on hybrid RE systems has garnered significant interest. A study was conducted in Turkey on meeting electricity demand in a medium-sized hotel utilising a hybrid system (Güler *et al.* 2013). The authors employed four scenarios to evaluate the techno-economic viability of the proposed systems, including a scenario permitting the purchase and export of electricity, and three others presuming that all demand is met by RE, with any surplus electricity sold to the grid. The research indicated that grid-wind turbines, exhibiting a renewable fraction of 74%, represented the optimal solution surpassing other scenarios.

To achieve the net zero energy consumption objective in Konya, Turkey, optimal off-grid and on-grid hybrid RE systems were designed and evaluated, encompassing a four-story hotel (Güğül 2023). The author determined that, for identical annual loads, on-grid photovoltaic and wind systems were more economically viable for the hotel building compared to other structures. Another study indicated that an on-grid small wind turbine, despite lacking sell-back payments, was the most economical solution for a small hotel in Jordan (Aagreh 2013).

A separate study identified the ideal hybrid grid-connected photovoltaic, wind, and biogas generators for a hotel in Egypt (Abdelhady 2023). Additional research utilised hybrid systems for a medium-sized hotel in Iran (Fazelpour *et al.* 2014). A study employed Monte Carlo simulation to determine the optimal proportions of solar and wind energy while addressing the variability of weather and occupancy in the Canary Islands (Meschede *et al.* 2017).

Another study integrated RE with energy storage and building automation systems (Beccali *et al.* 2018). Further studies compared hybrid renewable systems with grid-only and renewable-only configurations for a large-scale (over 100 beds) grid-connected hotel in Queensland, Australia (Dalton *et al.* 2009a), and for stand-alone settings (Dalton *et al.* 2008). The authors also examined the techno-economic of stand-alone RE systems utilizing hydrogen fuel cell storage across different small to medium-sized accommodations (Dalton *et al.* 2009b). Lastly, a study explored various RE fraction scenarios, including on-site wind and solar photovoltaic systems, to mitigate CO_2 emissions from a modelled hotel building in Qatar (Ayoub *et al.* 2014).

Existing studies, as shown above, have focused mainly on several aspects of the hotel energy supply, including the on-grid/hybrid feasibility analysis and design, and techno-economic prospects of RE systems, but have left out the insights offered from exploring the performance of long-term RE data. Furthermore, although several RE adaption techniques have been proposed, little is known about wind energy adoption potential and effectiveness in small-scale hotels due to differences in hotel occupancy rates and long-term temporal data. Hence, despite some research on hotels' wind and solar potential and utilization strategies, there is still a lack of understanding about how small-scale hotels in developing countries deal with long-term renewable energy data, particularly wind energy resources, and the available strategies for utilization decisions.

Evaluating the potential influence of meteorological factors on wind speed and the power output capacity of wind turbines through long-term historical data may enhance comprehension of these factors and facilitate improved investment decisions in hotels. Nonetheless, studies examining the effects of wind energy on small hotels are scarce. In this context, minimal exploration has been done into the effects of long-term hourly temporal datasets of wind speed and other meteorological variables on the feasibility of small wind turbines for sustainable energy provision in hotels.

Thus, this study seeks to address the research problem of understanding the long-term hourly temporal wind speed dataset and how small-scale hotels can better deal with and utilize the historical and projected wind data for their sustainable energy supply. This research aims to provide valuable insights for system planners, hotel investors, and other stakeholders in planning and developing renewable energy systems, particularly wind to enhance energy resilience and reliability in the small-scale hotel sector in developing countries.

2. Methodology

Figure 1 illustrates the comprehensive workflow of this research. The process commences with identifying sites for investigation, succeeded by data collection and analysis to assess the potential energy generation of wind turbines. Multiple linear regression (MLR), Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA), and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) are employed for model development, forecasting, and result assessment. The capacity to fulfil power requirements at designated locations is subsequently evaluated, followed by an outcomes analysis.


Figure 1 Research methodology flowchart

2.1 Sites Selection and Hotel's Electricity Efficiency Ratings

This research considers three sites in Indonesia with varying wind energy potentials for the case study. The evaluation of the three sites examined in this study commences with analysing location appropriateness. The indicators encompass tourism potential, the necessity for alternative energy sources, and geographical characteristics.

It should be noted that the case study's selected locations do not correspond to established hotels, given that this is a planning study focusing on temporal dataset analysis and modelling. This study not only identified sites for analysis but also collected relevant information to evaluate wind energy potential by determining the average number of guest rooms in small-sized hotels and their corresponding electricity energy efficiency

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

ratings and total energy consumption threshold. Figure 2 illustrates the site selection and data collection and the hotel's electricity efficiency rating followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing.


Figure 2 A detailed methodology for site selection and hotel's electricity efficiency rating followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing

2.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing

This study examines several critical variables for modelling and analytical purposes, including wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and pressure. As shown in Figure 2, the data collection procedure for these variables on selected locations involves downloading hourly data at one-year intervals over a decade (2011-2020) by querying coordinates on the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) website, accessible at https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/ (NREL 2024). The collected information is subsequently combined into a singular data set.

Data preprocessing entails verifying and transforming data into the appropriate format, addressing errors, and implementing other essential modifications. Subsequently, the data is analyzed through aggregation and graphical representation to discern patterns or valuable insights, facilitating further wind dataset modelling and the selection of the optimal wind turbine model. The dataset and data preprocessing results are accessible through https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1IwuR_bsiTt5Hx7OR HGRT7EfSdK3C9Fw7?usp=sharing.

2.3 Modelling of Wind Dataset

2.3.1 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

MLR is a statistical technique that broadens the scope of simple linear regression. Simple linear regression involves a single independent variable, while MLR encompasses two or more independent variables. Notwithstanding this distinction, the objective of MLR is identical to that of simple linear regression: to determine the relationship between variables and facilitate predictions. The equation model for MLR can be expressed as follows (Katić *et al.* 2024).

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_n X_n + e \tag{1}$$

where: Y is the dependent variable, $X_1 \dots X_n$ is the 1st to the nth independent variable, α is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$ is the 1st to the nth regression coefficient and e is the prediction error.

Figure 3 shows the flowchart in which MLR is utilized in this research. The method is employed to analyze the correlation between selected independent variables (wind direction, temperature, pressure, and relative humidity) and the dependent variable (wind speed). If a strong correlation is found, additional independent variables may be added to improve the model's fit when using different methods. The model evaluation utilizes Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).


Figure 3 Modelling procedure using MLR

2.3.2 Seasonal Autoregressive Moving Average (SARIMA)

The SARIMA model is an extension of the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. The ARIMA method is effective in analyzing and forecasting time series data; however, it cannot accommodate seasonal characteristics or patterns within the wind dataset. This study formulates the SARIMA model and integrates seasonal elements into it. The SARIMA model consists of up to 7 components, namely p (the trend autoregression order), d (the trend difference order), q (the trend's moving average order), P (the seasonal autoregressive order), D (the seasonal difference order), Q (the seasonal moving average order), and m (the number of periods per season to be calculated) (Machine Learning Mastery 2019). The equation for SARIMA is as follows (Christie *et al.* 2022).

$$\phi_p(B^s)\phi_p(B)(1 - B)^d(1 - B^s)^D Y_t = \theta_q(B)\Theta_Q(B^s)\mathcal{E}_t \tag{2}$$

where: p is trend autoregression order, P is seasonal autoregressive order, d is trend difference order, D is seasonal difference order, q is the trend-moving average order, Q is seasonal moving average order, $\phi_p(B)$ is the non-seasonal autoregressive level, $\phi_p(B^s)$ is the seasonal autoregressive level, $(1 - B)^d$ is the non-seasonal differencing level, $(1 - B^s)^D$ is the seasonal differencing level, $\theta_q(B)$ is the non-seasonal moving average level, $\theta_q(B^s)$ is the seasonal moving average level, Y_t is the actual data for the period t, and $\mathcal{E}_t$ represents the error in the period t.

Figure 4 illustrates the particular SARIMA process employed in this study. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test verifies the stationarity of data. Should the data exhibit non-stationarity, the differencing procedure is implemented repeatedly until stationarity is achieved. The data is subsequently partitioned for fitting and testing purposes.

The optimal SARIMA model (the values for p, d, q, P, D, and Q) is determined using two approaches: the auto_arima function from a Python library and the grid search method. The grid search technique evaluates the spectrum of parameter values by analyzing the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) graphs. Upon identifying the optimal parameters, a SARIMA prediction model will be

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

developed, and the outcomes will be compared (auto_arima and grid search). The model's efficacy is assessed through Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).


Figure 4 Flowchart for modelling using SARIMA

2.3.3 Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES)

HWES is a modification of Holt's exponential smoothing, commonly called double exponential smoothing. The Holt-Winters method assumes that time-series data comprises level, trend, and seasonal components. The Holt-Winters method for addressing seasonality comprises two variations: additive and multiplicative. Time series can be modelled through the additive trend with additive seasonality, the additive trend with multiplicative seasonality, the multiplicative trend with additive seasonality, and the multiplicative trend with multiplicative seasonality.

The formulas employed in HWES are presented below, beginning with level smoothing (L_t) for additive variation in Eq. 3 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 4 (Irandi *et al.* 2021). The formulas used to calculate trend pattern smoothing, seasonal pattern smoothing, and the prediction for the next period, are shown in Eq. 5, Eq. 6 (additive) and Eq. 7 (multiplicative), and Eq. 8 (additive) and Eq. 9 (multiplicative), respectively.

$$L_t = \alpha(y_t - S_{t-s}) + (1 - \alpha)(L_{t-1} + T_{t-1}) \qquad (3)$$

$$L_t = \alpha\left(\frac{y_t}{S_{t-s}}\right) + (1 - \alpha)(L_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \qquad (4)$$

$$b_t = \beta(L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1 - \beta)b_{t-1} \qquad (5)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_{t-1}}\right) + (1 - \gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (6)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_t}\right) + (1 - \gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (7)$$

$$F_{t+m} = L_t + b_t m + S_{t-s+m} \qquad (8)$$

$$F_{t+m} = (L_t + b_t m)S_{t-s+m} \qquad (9)$$

where: α, β, and γ are smoothing constants, y_t is the observed value at the time t, L_t is the smoothing level value at the time t, b_t is trend pattern smoothing value at the time t, S_t is the seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t, F_{t+m} is forecast for a time $t + m$, and s represents the seasonal length.

Figure 5 shows the application of Holt-Winters exponential smoothing in this study. The dataset is partitioned into training and testing groups, and the grid search technique is employed to identify the optimal smoothing constants, considering variations in trend and seasonality types. The modelling results are assessed utilizing the RMSE method, and the optimal smoothing constants are selected for data prediction.


Figure 5 Flowchart for modelling using HWES

2.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

Small wind turbine candidates are identified after analyzing and modelling the wind dataset using the three techniques outlined in the previous section. The main criterion for selecting a wind turbine is its power curve, which should be aligned with the potential wind speed. In this context, the power curve of each wind turbine candidate is modelled using either polynomial, linear or piecewise regression techniques. Polynomial regression can be used due to the non-linear relationship between the independent variable (wind speed) and the dependent variable (power output). The equation for the polynomial regression is as follows (Morala *et al.* 2021).

$$Y' = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 X^2 + \cdots + b_n X^n \qquad (10)$$

where: Y' is the dependent variable, X is the independent variable, $X^2 \dots X^n$ are the independent variables with n degree of the polynomial, b_0 is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), and $b_1 \dots b_n$ are the regression coefficients.

Upon fitting, the polynomial regression model of the power curve converts wind speed into potential electrical power output at designated sites. The conversion outcomes will be assessed to ascertain the electrical power contribution provided by the wind to the hotel energy demand given the selected historical and forecasted wind speed model, which is obtained from one of the three methods explained in Section 2.3. Figure 6 illustrates a flowchart detailing the wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion process.

3. Results and Discussion

This section presents the analysis results of all aspects described in the methodology section. These include the selected sites and the hotel's electricity efficiency ratings, the wind data characteristics over a decade (2011-2020) for all locations, the modelling outcomes derived from MLR, SARIMA, and HWES techniques. This section also presents the analysis results of wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion, and the

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

potential of wind energy in supplying hotel electricity, considering varying occupancy rates.

3.1 Selected Sites and Hotels' Electricity Efficiency Ratings

All three chosen locations are situated on different islands. The first location is Tepus District, in Gunung Kidul Regency. The geographical coordinates for this study are 7°58'11.79" South latitude and 110°29'17.25" East longitude. Gunung Kidul, near the southern Java coastline, has significant tourism potential due to its elevation and wind speed. The low population density ensures minimal disruption to local communities. The predominantly level terrain allows for increased electricity generation from wind turbines due to enhanced wind velocity (Elgendi *et al.* 2023).

The second location is Losari Beach in Makassar (5°08'34" South and 119°24'25" East). It is a recognized coastal region potentially appropriate for small turbine applications (Prabowo *et al.* 2022). The third location is Nusa Penida Island in Bali Province. The selected geographical coordinates are 8°48'00.0" South latitude and 115°34'00.0" East longitude. Nusa Penida experiences elevated wind speeds. However, this resource remains underexploited, signifying considerable unutilized wind energy potential (Darmana, Koerniawan 2019).

The hotel's electricity efficiency rating is determined based on the hotel's capacity, i.e., the available rooms (Bohdanowicz 2001). The average number of guest rooms in small hotels within Gunung Kidul Regency is 23 (GKTA 2019), while the majority of small lodgings in Nusa Penida possess between 5 and 14 guest rooms (Berita Satu 2019).

The suggested electricity efficiency ratings are less than 60 kWh/m²/year for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida, and less than 70 kWh/m²/year for Makassar, based on the average number of guest rooms. Following a similar study, the advised total energy consumption thresholds for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida are below 240 kWh/m²/year, while for Makassar, it is below 260 kWh/m²/year (Bohdanowicz 2001).

3.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing Results

The analysis of wind data is initiated by data aggregation. The dataset comprises a decade of hourly data intervals for pressure (P), relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), wind direction (WD), and wind speed (WS). The hourly values collected over a decade (87,600 hours) are averaged in wind speed data for each 24-hour interval. Consequently, all daily averaged wind speeds are derived by consolidating the 24-hour wind speed data ranges. Upon acquiring the complete set of daily average wind speed data (3,650 entries), the weekly average wind speed values are determined by consolidating the daily averages every 7 days.

A monthly averaged wind speed is derived by consolidating all daily averaged data for that month. This study comprises 120 months of average wind speed data, spanning 10 years. The minimum, maximum, and mean values for hourly to monthly aggregated wind speed at three locations are calculated accordingly.

Figure 6 presents the minimum, maximum, and mean values of hourly and monthly aggregated temporal wind speeds at three locations. The data indicates that Nusa Penida in Bali exhibits the highest maximum wind speed values across all aggregation periods compared to the other two locations. The peak wind speed at Nusa Penida is 10.3 m/s, with daily, weekly, and monthly averages of 8.3 m/s, 6 m/s, and 5.3 m/s, respectively. The average wind speed for data aggregated from hourly to monthly intervals is 3.64 m/s. A seasonal pattern is discernible, recurring roughly every 8760 data rows (equivalent to 12 months of data).


Figure 6 Comparison of hourly (H), daily (D), weekly (W), and monthly (M) aggregated wind speed during 2011-2020 in Gunung Kidul, Nusa Penida, and Losari Beach (Makassar)

Figure 7 shows box-whisker plots of hourly to monthly average wind speed data collected over a decade at a selected location on Nusa Penida Island. The 87,600-hourly dataset exhibits a maximum wind speed of 7.8 m/s when excluding outliers, and 10.3 m/s when including them. The maximum daily average wind speed is 7.8 m/s excluding outliers and 8.3 m/s including outliers. The maximum average wind speeds for weekly and monthly intervals are 6 m/s and 5.3 m/s, respectively, while the minimum averages are 1.1 m/s and 1.9 m/s. All time intervals exhibit mean wind speeds of 3.6 m/s.


Figure 7 The range of hourly- to monthly average wind speed data in a selected location at Nusa Penida Island over a total of 10 years dataset (2011-2020)


Figure 8 The ranges of monthly average wind speed from 2011-2020 in Nusa Penida as grouped monthly up to 120 months (aggregated from daily average values)

Figure 8 depicts a box and whisker plot representing the monthly average wind speed from 2011 to 2020 at a designated location on Nusa Penida. The graph illustrates various ranges of

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

monthly average wind speeds, derived from daily average values, spanning from 2011 to 2020. In the 10-year dataset (up to 120 months), the months from June to September exhibited higher monthly average wind speeds compared to the remaining months. Table 1 summarizes all principal variables examined in this study that are believed to influence wind-derived electrical energy in Nusa Penida from 2011 to 2020. The variables include pressure, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed. This study additionally examines the data for Gunung Kidul and Losari.

Table 1
Wind data characteristics – Nusa Penida

Interval	Variable	Mean	Max	Min
Hourly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1034	993
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	95,58	57,32
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	32,3	23
	Wind direction (°)	164	360	0
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	10,3	0,1
Daily	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1032,3	995,1
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	91,9	65,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	31,1	24
	Wind direction (°)	164	334,3	31,1
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	8,3	0,8
Weekly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1031,2	997,5
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	89	73,1
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,7	24,4
	Wind direction (°)	164	332,4	80,7
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	6	1,1
Monthly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1030,3	999,4
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	87,3	74,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,3	24,8
	Wind direction (°)	164	256,3	109
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	5,3	1,9

3.3 Wind Datasets Modelling Results

3.3.1 MLR results

The MLR method applies to all temporal data intervals, including hourly, daily, weekly, and monthly. The evaluation performance metrics employed are RMSE, R-squared, and adjusted R-squared. In Gunung Kidul, hourly, daily, and monthly models are predicated on relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The weekly period is determined by relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T). The optimal result, characterised by the minimal RMSE, is achieved at Losari Beach through the integration of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The interplay of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), temperature (T), and wind direction (WD) in Nusa Penida yields the minimal RMSE.

Table 2 presents the variable combinations employed in the MLR models, yielding the optimal RMSE and R-squared across all intervals. The coefficient of determination (R-squared) quantifies the extent to which the independent variable elucidates the dependent variable. The R-squared values in Table 2, especially for hourly and daily intervals, demonstrate a weak correlation between the independent variables (RH, P, T, and WD) and wind speed at all locations. This indicates that the independent variables are insufficient to completely elucidate the dependent variable. Simultaneously, the R-squared values for variable combinations at weekly and monthly intervals sufficiently elucidate aggregated wind speed, especially for Nusa Penida.

Table 2
The best RMSE and R-squared, and combination of variables involved in the MLR models

Interval	Location	Involved Variables	RMSE	R-squared
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.981	0.261
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.924	0.086
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.264	0.241
Daily	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.757	0.279
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.668	0.241
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.074	0.367
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	RH, T	0.540	0.464
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.502	0.327
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.821	0.516
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.384	0.641
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.357	0.433
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.482	0.769

As the data aggregation interval increases, the performance metrics improve, indicated by a lower RMSE and a higher R-squared value. The performance metrics indicate that the ideal variable combinations for each location yield consistent results across all data intervals. The optimal variable combination for Gunung Kidul and Losari is relative humidity (RH), precipitation (P), and temperature (T), whereas for Nusa Penida, it includes RH, P, T, and wind direction (WD).

Nevertheless, incorporating additional independent variables into the MLR model does not enhance the fit of the Gunung Kidul and Losari data. The data from Nusa Penida presents divergent results. Despite the RMSE being greater than that of the other two locations, the R-squared value remains comparatively high.

3.3.2 SARIMA results

In SARIMA, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is utilized to verify data stationarity. The test results are displayed in Table 3 and Table 4 for hourly, weekly, and monthly intervals, respectively.

Table 3
ADF Test results

Interval	Location	ADF	p-value	Critical values
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	-6.37	2.36×10^{-8}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Losari	-8.68	4.27×10^{-14}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Nusa Penida	-6.09	1.04×10^{-7}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
Daily	Gunung Kidul	-2.05	0.26	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Losari	-2.46	0.13	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Nusa Penida	-1.70	0.43	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58

The ADF test results indicate that the data is stationary at the weekly interval but non-stationary at the monthly interval. This is evidenced by a p-value exceeding 0.05 and an ADF statistic surpassing the critical threshold. Seasonal differencing is applied once (D=1) to rectify the absence of stationarity in the monthly dataset.

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Table 4 presents the results of the differencing analysis, while Table 5 shows possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations. The SARIMA model is subsequently fitted utilizing auto_arima and grid search techniques. The RMSE value identifies the optimal model and fitting technique. The number of periods per season (m) will be established as 52 weekly intervals and 12 monthly intervals. The results for weekly and monthly intervals are presented in Table 6.

Table 4

ADF Test results on seasonal differencing monthly data

Location	ADF statistic	p-value	Critical values
Gunung Kidul	-6.578	7.66×10^{-9}	1%: -3.493 5%: -2.890 10%: -2.581
Losari	-7.156	3.06×10^{-10}	1%: -3.493 5% : -2.890 10% : -2.581
Nusa Penida	-4.361	3.48×10^{-10}	1%: -3,501 5%: -2,892 10%: -2,583

Table 5

Possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations

Interval	parameter	Gunung Kidul	Losari	Nusa Penida
Weekly	p	0-3	0-1	0-3
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-9	0-5	0-8
	P	0-1	0	0
	D	0	0	0
	Q	0-2	0-2	0-2
Monthly	p	0-1	0-1	0-1
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-1	0-2	0-1
	P	0-2	0-1	0-2
	D	1	1	1
	Q	0-1	0-1	0-1

Table 6

SARIMA fitting results comparison

Interval	Location	Method	Best model	RMSE
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(2,0,0);52	0.802
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,1);(1,0,2);52	0.490
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);52	1.655
		grid search	SARIMA (1,0,4);(0,0,2);52	0.575
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(0,0,0);52	1.468
		grid search	SARIMA (3,0,8);(0,0,2);52	1.067
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.443
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.315
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);12	0.688
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,0);12	0.304
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,1);12	0.419
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(0,0,1);12	0.379

The findings in Table 6 indicate that the SARIMA method is appropriate for datasets of moderate size, as it can process data at weekly intervals within a reasonable timeframe. As the dataset size expands, the number of possible models fit also increases, augmenting the model's complexity and requiring additional computation time. In comparing RMSE results, the grid search method exhibits lower RMSE values across all data intervals at the three locations than the auto_arima method. Moreover, it was found that extending the data aggregation interval diminishes the RMSE.

3.3.3 HWES results

The HWES model is formulated to exclude trends and incorporate additive seasonality. This study utilizes RMSE to identify the optimal model and fitting method for each interval and location. Table 7 presents the optimal model outcomes for the HWES model.

Table 7

HWES fitting results

Interval	Location	Alpha (α)	Gamma (γ)	RMSE
Daily	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.05	0.819
	Losari	0.05	0.05	0.702
	Nusa Penida	0.8	0.05	1.014
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	0.2	0.25	0.511
	Losari	0.1	0.15	0.489
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.25	0.769
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.15	0.309
	Losari	0.05	0.25	0.322
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.15	0.374

The modelling outcomes presented in Table 7 utilize the 2011–2018 dataset for training and the 2019–2020 dataset for testing. The RMSE of 0.374 for Nusa Penida (monthly) indicates the prediction error for 2019-2020 concerning the actual data from that period. This study establishes the number of periods per season (s) as 365 for daily intervals, 52 for weekly, and 12 for monthly intervals. A grid search method is employed to identify the optimal alpha (α) and gamma (γ) values.

Upon establishing the optimal smoothing constants, the historical data is plotted, and model fitting is conducted for all potential intervals across all locations. This paper shows HWES results for Nusa Penida, as illustrated in Figures 9-11. The figures show the model fitting for daily interval data, weekly intervals, and monthly intervals, respectively.


**Figure 9** HWES plot for Nusa Penida – daily interval

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

│ xx

Figure 10 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval


Figure 11 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval

The analysis indicates that the HWES method can efficiently accommodate large datasets while maintaining high processing speed. Consequently, the method is effective with data characterized by lower aggregation levels or larger volumes. The HWES method surpasses both SARIMA and MLR methods in overall computational efficacy. While certain locations employing the SARIMA method exhibited marginally reduced RMSE values, the disparity was minimal (approximately 0.02).

Nevertheless, as the degree of data aggregation diminishes, the resultant RMSE value escalates. Datasets with monthly aggregation intervals exhibited the lowest RMSE for each location. The model-fitting graph can reflect the rising and falling trends of wind speed indicated by actual historical data.

Although the HWES model fittings for both daily and weekly intervals are insufficient for predicting short-term operational needs for wind turbines or supply systems, it provides stakeholders with insights into the fluctuating wind speed patterns to forecast energy supply security, rather than providing precise numerical wind speed values for a specific period. By providing more precise forecasts for monthly aggregated wind resources, the monthly model fitting can assist stakeholders in making investment decisions.

3.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

The analysis of wind data has resulted in the identification of three small wind turbines as candidates: Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW (European Commission 2020), and Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW (The Wind Power 2024). These turbines are selected primarily for their power curves, which align with the potential wind speed.

Figure 12 illustrates the power curves of the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind

turbines. Figure 13 illustrates the power curve of a Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW wind turbine.

Figure 12 The power curves of Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines


Figure 13 The power curves of a Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW wind turbine

The relevant regression equations can be derived from the wind turbine's supplied power curves. The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW models utilize a modified piecewise regression method, applying distinct regression types to specific intervals of data points along the power curve. Conversely, the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW model solely utilizes polynomial regression.

Eq. 11 presents the linear regression equation for Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, whereas Eq. 12 presents the polynomial regression equation. Meanwhile, the linear regression equations for Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW can be seen in Eq. 13, while the polynomial regression equation for the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW is presented in Eq. 14.

$$y = (0,1999999x) + (-0,2999977) \tag{11}$$

$$y = (0,0006013x^{11}) - (0,001071x^{10}) + (0,006777x^9) - (0,002255x^8) + (0,04551x^7) - (0,5942x^6) + (5,148x^5) - (29,66x^4) + (111,4x^3) - (259,1x^2) + (335,4x) - 182,8 \tag{12}$$

$$y = (0,49999999x) + (-0,99999996 \tag{13}$$

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

$$y = (-0,0005086x^{11}) + (0,004726x^{10}) - (0,001955x^9) + (0,04744x^8) - (0,7507x^7) + (8,123x^6) - (61,3x^5) + (322,4x^4) - (1157x^3) + (2696x^2) - (3671x) + 2209 \tag{14}$$

where: y is the power output, and x denotes wind speed.

3.5 Wind Contribution in Supplying Hotel Electricity Demand

This study examines a hotel's electricity consumption concerning usage levels and the number of rooms, with an emphasis on small hotels. This study employs the Energy Use Index (EUI) metric from the Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Programme (CHENACT) to evaluate the feasibility of satisfying a hotel's electricity requirements, as illustrated in Table 8 (CHTA 2012).

The EUI of the Caribbean Hotel was utilised in this study due to the resemblance between Indonesia's tropical climate and that of the Caribbean. This indicates that hotel energy consumption remains consistent regardless of variations in guests' cultural backgrounds, which may affect energy usage behaviour. The EUI evaluates three levels of energy consumption, measured in kWh per Guest Night, across four categories of hotel size based on the number of guestrooms. "Guest Night" denotes the aggregate count of hotel occupants.

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

This study suggests, according to the data in Table 8, that the small hotel comprises a maximum of 50 guestrooms, each occupied by a single guest, with minimal electricity consumption of 12 kWh per guest night. This study computes total electricity consumption per night and hour by multiplying electricity usage by the number of rooms and dividing by 24. Consequently, a hotel with 50 rooms occupied by a single guest each, consuming 12 kWh per guest night, results in a total energy consumption of 600 kWh per night, equating to 25 kWh per hour.

Meanwhile, Table 9 presents the computation outcomes for various levels of energy consumption and occupancy. The energy consumption values in Table 9 may also reflect the hotel's total electricity usage, encompassing facilities such as the kitchen, office, lobby, and others, expressed as the average energy consumption per guest per night across different occupancy levels.

Table 9
The calculated electricity energy consumption

Energy consumption	Time	Occupancy			
		100%	75%	50%	25%
High	Per night	5,900	4,423	2,950	1,475
	Per hour	246	184	123	62
Average	Per night	2,150	1,613	1,075	538
	Per hour	90	67	45	22
Low	Per night	600	450	300	150
	Per hour	25	19	13	7

The contribution of wind energy to hotel electricity demand is quantified as a percentage, determined by dividing the average power output of the wind turbine by the energy consumption linked to hotel occupancy. This study employs low-to-high kWh/Guest Night values, specifically ranging from 25% to 100% hotel occupancy, to calculate the contribution of wind energy.

Utilizing HWES to predict wind speed and wind turbine power output demonstrated that the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine outperformed the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW and the Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW turbines. Therefore, the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW is chosen to evaluate the potential contribution of wind energy. Tables 10 to 12 show the potential percentages of electricity consumption that can be satisfied by a single installed wind turbine for small hotels across various locations, categorised by daily, weekly, and monthly data intervals, respectively.

Table 10
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and daily averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.45	1.8	2.4	3.6	7.2
	Predicted	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.6	5.1
Losari	Historical	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
	Predicted	0.24	1	1.3	1.9	3.8
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.83	7.3	9.8	14.7	29.3
	Predicted	2.21	8.8	11.8	17.6	35.3

Table 11
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and weekly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.37	1.5	2	3	5.9
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.27	1.1	1.5	2.2	4.4
	Predicted	0.21	0.8	1.1	1.6	3.3
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.61	6.6	8.7	13.1	26.2
	Predicted	1.79	7	9.5	14.3	28.6

Table 12
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and monthly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.5	5.1
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.8	3.5
	Predicted	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.7	3.5
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.46	5.8	7.8	11.7	23.3
	Predicted	1.57	6.3	8.4	12.5	25.1

The wind energy contribution shown in Tables 10 to 12 evaluates both historical and projected average kWh produced by the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine by its power curve characteristics. The predicted mean kWh is derived from the HWES models presented in Table 7. The data in Tables 10 to 12 indicate that the anticipated energy contribution of a Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine to the hotel's energy requirements across all locations varies from 0.8% to 35.3%, based on hotel occupancy levels ranging from 100% to 25%, specifically at Losari (100% occupancy, weekly averaged interval) and Nusa Penida (25% occupancy, daily averaged interval).

Author 1 et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

According to daily average wind speed data, Nusa Penida exhibits the greatest contribution of wind turbine energy generation in fulfilling hotel electricity demand across various occupancy levels. The predicted mean energy output of the wind turbine quantified at 2.21 kWh, could fulfil 8.8% of the hotel's energy requirements at full occupancy and escalate to 35.3% at 25% occupancy. Concurrently, Losari Beach has demonstrated the least potential across all data intervals.

Results in Tables 10-12 also illustrate a trade-off between hotel occupancy rates and the contribution of wind turbine energy generation to the energy demands of hotels. The daily interval results for Nusa Penida indicate that reducing hotel occupancy to 25% has led to a fourfold increase in the energy generation contribution from wind turbines. Tables 10-12 indicate that small wind turbines possess significant potential to fulfil partial electricity requirements of hotels at low-to-medium occupancy levels, specifically between 25% and 50%. Wind turbines are projected to fulfil 10% to 35% of energy requirements.

This contribution rate is particularly advantageous for the hotel as it alleviates the burden of grid energy expenses during the off-peak season. This also enables the integration of small wind turbines with other RE technologies, such as solar power and batteries, to enhance the clean energy supply for hotel energy requirements. This study, although focused on Indonesian locations, offers valuable methodology and analysis applicable to other developing countries and jurisdictions.

4. Conclusion

This study examined renewable energy (RE) use to promote sustainable tourism in developing countries. Using a 10-year historical wind dataset, it looked specifically at the role of small wind turbines in meeting some of the electricity demands of small-sized hotels. This study developed the wind speed model by incorporating the impact of pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction on wind speed over selected locations using MLR, SARIMA, and HWES.

The key findings of the case study, which considered three selected locations across different islands of Indonesia, are as follows:

- The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between weather factors (pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction) and wind speed. Wind direction has shown a stronger correlation for monthly interval data in locations exhibiting higher wind energy potential.
- The HWES method is the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed considering long-term datasets and different data intervals. The RMSE values indicate that monthly interval data has the lowest RMSE, followed by weekly and daily interval data.
- The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW wind turbine has been identified as the most appropriate type of turbine due to its power curve alignment with wind speed data and power output potential based on the HWES model's wind speed prediction.
- The installation of a single wind turbine could supply between 8.8% and 35.3% of the hotel's electricity demand, depending upon the occupancy rate levels ranging from 100% (8.8% contribution) to 25% (35.3% contribution).

This study is limited in that it analyzed planned locations. It does not consider the economic feasibility of installing small wind turbines on-site. These economic implications can help determine the overall wind energy potential and feasibility of the chosen location. Furthermore, this study does not take into account or analyze the impact of hotel electricity demand patterns, such as hourly or daily, on the temporal contribution period of wind energy supply.

Nomenclature

Y	: dependent variable of multiple linear regression
α	: constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)
$\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$	: the 1st to the nth regression coefficient
$X_1 \dots X_n$	: the 1st to the nth independent variable
e	: prediction error
p	: trend on autoregression order
P	: seasonal autoregressive order
d	: trend on difference order
D	: seasonal difference order
q	: trend-moving average order
Q	: seasonal moving average order
$\phi_p(B)$	: non-seasonal autoregressive level
$\phi_p(B^s)$	: seasonal autoregressive level
$(1-B)^d$	: non-seasonal differencing level,
$(1-B^s)^D$	: seasonal differencing level
$\theta_q(B)$	: non-seasonal moving average level
$\Theta_q(B^s)$	: seasonal moving average level
Y_t	: actual data for the period t
$\mathcal{E}_t$	: error in the period t
α, β, γ	: smoothing constants
y_t	: observed value at the time t
L_t	: smoothing level value at the time t
b_t	: trend pattern smoothing value at the time t
S_t	: seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t
F_{t+m}	: forecast for a time $t + m$
s	: seasonal length
y	: power output
x	: wind speed
Y'	: dependent variable of polynomial regression
X	: independent variable of polynomial regression
$X^2 \dots X^n$	: the independent variable with n degree of the polynomial
b_0	: constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)
$b_1 \dots b_n$	: polynomial regression coefficients

Author 1 et al *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

References

Aagreh, Y. & Al-Ghzawi, A. (2013). Feasibility of utilizing renewable energy systems for a small hotel in Ajloun city, Jordan. *Applied Energy* 103, 25-31; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.008

Abdelhady, S. (2023). Techno-economic study and the optimal hybrid renewable energy system design for a hotel building with net zero energy and net zero carbon emissions. *Energy Conversion and Management* 289, 117195; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117195

Al-Zoubi, H., Al-Khasawneh, Y., Omar, W. (2021). Design and feasibility study of an on-grid photovoltaic system for green electrification of hotels: a case study of Cedars hotel in Jordan. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 12(4), 611–626; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00406-z

Ayoub, N., Musharavati, F., Pokharel, S., Gabbar, H.A. (2014). Energy consumption and conservation practices in Qatar—A case study of a hotel building. *Energy and Buildings* 84, 55-69; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.07.050

Beccali, M., Finocchiaro, P., Ippolito, M.G., Leone, G., Panno, D., Zizzo, G. (2018). Analysis of some renewable energy uses and demand side measures for hotels on small Mediterranean islands: A case study. *Energy* 157, 106-114; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.05.139

Beer, M., Rybár, R., Kaľavský, M. (2017). Renewable energy sources as an attractive element of industrial tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism* 21(18), 2139–2151; https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1316971

Berita Satu. (2019). *Hotel business in Nusa Penida has potential (in Bahasa Indonesia).* https://www.beritasatu.com/ekonomi/577721/bisnis-hotel-di-nusa-penida-potensial. Accessed on 24 October 2024.

Bohdanowicz, P., Churie-Kallhauge, A., Martinac, I. (2001). *Energy efficiency and conservation in hotels – towards sustainable tourism.* In Proc. 4th International Symposium on Asia Pacific Architecture, Hawaii, United States.

Christie, G., Hatidja, D., Tumilaar, R. (2022). Application of the SARIMA method in the step function intervention to predict the number of visitors at Londa tourism object. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sains* 22(2), 96–103; https://doi.org/10.35799/jis.v22i2.40961

CHTA. (2012). *Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Program (CHENACT).* http://www.caribbeanhotelandtourism.com/downloads/CHENACT-Presentation-Final.pdf. Accessed on 4 May 2024.

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009a). Feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for a grid-connected large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 955-964; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.08.012

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Case study feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for small to medium-sized tourist accommodations. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 1134-1144; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.06.018

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Feasibility analysis of stand-alone renewable energy supply options for a large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 33(7), 1475-1490; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.09.014

Darmana, T. & Koerniawan, T. (2019). The development of energy tourism village in Indonesia: A case study in Nusa Penida. *E3S Web Conference* 125, 11001; https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201912511001

Elgendi, M., AlMallahi, M., Abdelkhalig, A., Selim, Y.M.E. (2023). A review of wind turbines in complex terrain. *International Journal of Thermofluids* 17, 100289; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100289

European Commission. (2020). *Catalogue of European urban wind turbine manufacturers.* http://biohabitat.free.fr/dossiers/habitat_ecobio/energies_renouvelables/doc-annexe/catalogue-eolienne.pdf. Accessed on 1 May 2024.

Fazelpour, F., Soltani, N., Rosen, M.A. (2014). Feasibility of satisfying electrical energy needs with hybrid systems for a medium-size hotel on Kish Island, Iran. *Energy* 73, 856-865; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.097

GKTA. (2024). *List of Hotels in Gunung Kidul (in Bahasa Indonesia).* https://wisata.gunungkidulkab.go.id/daftar-hotel-gunungkidul/. Accessed on 26 October 2024.

Güğül, G.N. (2023). Optimum hybrid renewable energy system design for on and off grid buildings: Hotel, education and animal hospital building case. *Solar Energy* 253, 414-427; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2022.12.044

GSTC. (2024). *What is sustainable tourism?* https://www.gstcouncil.org/what-is-sustainable-tourism/. Accessed on 15 August 2024.

Güler, Ö., Akdağ, S.A., Dinçsoy, M.E. (2013). Feasibility analysis of medium-sized hotel's electrical energy consumption with hybrid systems. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 9, 15-22; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2013.02.004

Hailiang, Z., Chau, K.Y., Waqas, M. (2023). Does green finance and renewable energy promote tourism for sustainable development: Empirical evidence from China. Renewable Energy 207, 660-671; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.03.032

IBP. (2023). *US investors express interest in green tourism projects in Indonesia.* https://indonesiabusinesspost.com/risks-opportunities/us-investors-express-interest-in-green-tourism-projects-in-indonesia/. Accessed on 2 June 2024.

Irandi, D.F., Rohmawati, A.A., Gunawan, P.H. (2021). Forecasting number of new cases daily COVID-19 in Central Java province using Exponential Smoothing Holt-Winters. *Indonesia Journal on Computing (Indo-JC)* 6(2), 23–32; https://doi.org/10.34818/INDOJC.2021.6.2.565

Katić, D., Krstić, H., Otković, II., Juričić, H.B. (2024). Comparing multiple linear regression and neural network models for predicting heating energy consumption in school buildings in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Journal of Building Engineering* 97, 110728; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110728

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Solangi, Y.A. (2024). Analysis of factors and strategies for the implementation of sustainable tourism in a green economic structure in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 434, 140011; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140011

LTAIA. (2022). *Realizing Commitment to Build Sustainable Tourism in TCR Area, Lake Toba Becomes First DPSP to Use Green Energy.* Retrieved https://www.bpodt.id/siaran-pers-wujudkan-komitmen-bangun-sustainable-tourism-di-kawasan-tcr-danau-toba-jadi-dpsp-pertama-pengguna-green-energy/. Accessed on 1 June 2024.

Luo, J., Joybari, M.M., Ma, Y., Liu, J., Lai, K. (2024). Assessment of renewable power generation applied in homestay hotels: Energy and cost-benefit considering dynamic occupancy rates and reservation prices. *Journal of Building Engineering* 87, 109074; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.109074

Machine Learning Mastery. (2019). *A Gentle Introduction to SARIMA for time series forecasting in Python.* https://machinelearningmastery.com/sarima-for-time-series-forecasting-in-python/. Accessed on 6 June 2024.

Meschede, H., Dunkelberg, H., Stöhr, F., Peesel, R.H., Hesselbach, J. (2017). Assessment of probabilistic distributed factors influencing renewable energy supply for hotels using Monte-Carlo methods. *Energy* 128, 86-100; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.03.166

Morala, P., Cifuentes, J.A., Lillo, R.O., Ucar, I. (2021). Towards a mathematical framework to inform neural network modelling via polynomial regression. *Neural Networks* 142, 57-72; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2021.04.036

MTCE. (2024). *5 Eco Resorts in Indonesia with Environmental-friendly Concept.* https://www.kemenparekraf.go.id/en/articles/5-eco-resorts-in-indonesia-with-environmental-friendly-concept. Assessed on 10 August 2024.

Navratil, J., Picha, K., Buchecker, M., Martinat, S., Svec, R., Brezinova, M., Knotek, J. (2019). Visitors' preferences of renewable energy options in "green" hotels. *Renewable Energy* 138, 1065-1077; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.043

NREL. (2024). *NSRDB: National solar radiation database.* https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer. Accessed on 1 April 2024.

Petromindo. (2024). *PLN closes diesel plant in Sumba Timur, focuses on clean energy.* https://www.petromindo.com/news/article/pln-closes-diesel-plant-in-sumba-timur-focuses-on-clean-energy. Accessed on 20 October 2024.

Prabowo, S.A., Ihsan, M., Satria, W. (2022). *Analysis on the potential of renewable energy in maritime areas and its effect on the medium voltage utility electricity networks.* In Proc. International Conference on Technology and Policy in Energy and Electric Power, Jakarta, Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-PEP57242.2022.9988957

Rahman, M.H., Tanchangya, T., Rahman, J., Aktar, M.A., Majumder, S.C. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and green financing behavior

Author 1 et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

in Bangladesh: Towards sustainable tourism. *Innovation and Green Development* 3(3), 100133; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100133

The Wind Power. (2024). Fuhrländer FL 100/21. https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_162_fuhrlander_fl-100-21.php. Accessed on 7 May 2024.

UNEP. (2024). *Tourism.* https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/resource-efficiency/what-we-do/responsible-industry/tourism. Accessed on 1 August 2024.

UNT. (2024). *Sustainable tourism development.* https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development. Accessed on 16 August 2024.

Author 1 et al　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　*Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

4. Email received from IJRED: Revised version acknowledgement (1 March 2025)

[IJRED] [ID-61019] Revised Version Acknowledgement

Editorial Office <ijred@live.undip.ac.id>   Sat, Mar 1, 2025 at 8:59 PM
Reply-To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dr. Yusak Tanoto:

Thank you for submitting the revision of manuscript, "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" to International Journal of Renewable Energy Development. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred/author/submission/61019
Username: yusaktan
Editor: Laveet Kumar

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editorial Office
International Journal of Renewable Energy Development

5. Check Editor Decision on journal website: Accept Submission (2 March 2025)
   - Screenshot of the IJRED website

Submit Your Article

All Issues

Latest Issue

Issue in Progress

Accepted articles

SCAN THE CURRENT ISSUE

POLICY AND INFORMATION

Submission

Authors	Yusak Tanoto, Brandon Sebastian Jasman, Stephanus Antonius Ananda ✉
Title	Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries
Section	Original Research Article
Editor	Laveet Kumar ✉

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	61019-196131-1-RV.docx 09-01-2025
Initiated	12-01-2025
Last modified	16-01-2025
Uploaded file	None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 02-03-2025
Notify Editor	✉ Editor/Author Email Record 💬 28-01-2025
Editor Version	None
Author Version	61019-196574-1-ED.docx 01-03-2025
	61019-196574-2-ED.docx 01-03-2025
Upload Author Version	Choose File No file chosen Upload

6. Email correspondence on the Payment request for the accepted paper
    -   Email received from IJRED: payment request (2 March 2025)
    -   Email sent to IJRED: Asking for the invoice for the payment request (3 March 2025)
    -   Email received from IJRED: Invoice provided (3 March 2025)
    -   Email sent to IJRED: Proof of payment (6 March 2025)

[IJRED] [61019] Payment Request for IJRED-2025-61019

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto <support@ijred.cbiore.id>   Sun, Mar 2, 2025 at 9:02 PM
Reply-To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
Cc: Brandon Sebastian Jasman <brandon.s.jasman@gmail.com>, Stephanus Antonius Ananda <ananda@petra.ac.id>

Dear Yusak Tanoto, Brandon Sebastian Jasman, Stephanus Antonius Ananda:

Regarding the acceptance of your manuscript to the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development entitled "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" with IJRED-2025-61019, and as already stated during submission, the authors agreed to pay the article processing charge (APC) of 350 USD or the same value of your currency, exclude all taxes and charges per article.

The payment can be made via paypal account: hadiyanto.undip@gmail.com

Please send the proof of payment to email address: ijred@live.undip.ac.id

We very much welcome your next manuscript submission and thank you for your great contribution to this journal.

Sincerely,

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto
Center of Biomass and Renewable Energy (CBIORE), Diponegoro University
hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id

[IJRED] [61019] Payment Request for IJRED-2025-61019

Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>   Mon, Mar 3, 2025 at 11:06 AM
To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>, support@ijred.cbiore.id
Bcc: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Prof. Dr. H. Hadiyanto,
Editor-in-Chief IJRED

Thank you for the notification. For payment purposes, our institution would require an invoice.
Hence, please send us an invoice mentioning the paper, the amount of $350, and the designated bank account number.

Best regards,
Yusak Tanoto

[Quoted text hidden]

[IJRED] [61019] Payment Request for IJRED-2025-61019

Hadiyanto <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>   Mon, Mar 3, 2025 at 1:18 PM
To: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Dr Tanoto

Please find the invoice

Hady

> On Mon, Mar 3, 2025 at 11:07 AM Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id> wrote:
>> Dear Prof. Dr. H. Hadiyanto,
>> Editor-in-Chief IJRED
>>
>> Thank you for the notification. For payment purposes, our institution would require an invoice.
>> Hence, please send us an invoice mentioning the paper, the amount of $350, and the designated bank account number.
>>
>> Best regards,
>> Yusak Tanoto
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sun, Mar 2, 2025 at 9:03 PM Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto <support@ijred.cbiore.id> wrote:
>>> Dear Yusak Tanoto, Brandon Sebastian Jasman, Stephanus Antonius Ananda:
>>>
>>> Regarding the acceptance of your manuscript to the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development entitled "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" with IJRED-2025-61019, and as already stated during submission, the authors agreed to pay the article processing charge (APC) of 350 USD or the same value of your currency, exclude all taxes and charges per article.
>>>
>>> The payment can be made via paypal account: hadiyanto.undip@gmail.com
>>>
>>> Please send the proof of payment to email address: ijred@live.undip.ac.id
>>>
>>> We very much welcome your next manuscript submission and thank you for your great contribution to this journal.
>>>
>>> Sincerely,
>>>
>>> Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto
>>> Center of Biomass and Renewable Energy (CBIORE), Diponegoro University
>>> hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id

--
Hadiyanto (Prof)
Universitas Diponegoro
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0074-7078

📄 **2025_61019.pdf**
406K

Proof of Payment for IJRED-2025-61019

Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>   Thu, Mar 6, 2025 at 7:55 PM
To: support@ijred.cbiore.id, Hadiyanto <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
Bcc: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Prof. Dr. H Hadiyanto,

Please find the proof of payment for IJRED 2025-61019 in IDR (Bank Mandiri) along with the currency converter used.
The payment was made on 4 March 2025.
Thank you very much for your assistance.

Best regards,
Yusak Tanoto

2 attachments

Proof of Payment IJRED 2025-61019.jpeg
54K

IJRED-2025-61019-payment currency converter.jpg
80K

7. Email correspondence on Proofing Request
   - Email received from IJRED: Proofing Request (Author) (11 March 2025)
   - Email sent to IJRED: corrected file (11 March 2025)
   - Corrected file with track changes
   - Email received from IJRED: Asking for minor changes on proof (12 March 2025)
   - Email sent to IJRED: Final proof (12 March 2025)
   - Final version of the proof file

[IJRED] Proofing Request (Author)

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto <support@ijred.cbiore.id>   Tue, Mar 11, 2025 at 5:23 AM
Reply-To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
Cc: Laveet Kumar <laveet.kumar@gmail.com>

Dr. Yusak Tanoto:

Your submission "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries" to International Journal of Renewable Energy Development now needs to be proofread by following these steps.

1. Download the proof in the attachment
2. Enter corrections (typographical and format) in Proof by inserting your comments in different colour or track changes, so we can see the changes
3. Save and email corrections to this email.

Submission URL: https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred/author/submissionEditing/61019
Username: yusaktan

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto
Center of Biomass and Renewable Energy (CBIORE), Diponegoro University
hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id

📄 **Tanoto.docx**
781K

[IJRED] Proofing Request (Author)

Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>   Tue, Mar 11, 2025 at 11:00 PM
To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
Cc: Laveet Kumar <laveet.kumar@gmail.com>
Bcc: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto,

Please find attached a corrected file in Proof, in which all necessary changes have been made in track changes.

Best regards,
Yusak Tanoto

[Quoted text hidden]

📄 **Tanoto_proofread.docx**
997K

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev. 20xx, x (xx), xx-xx

| xx

Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries

Yusak Tanoto[a]* , Brandon Sebastian Jasman[b] , Stephanus Antonius Ananda[b]

[a]Electrical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia
[b]Informatics Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia

Abstract. The persistent reliance on fossil fuels for energy will yield enduring adverse effects on the tourism sector, particularly the hotel industry. Wind energy represents a renewable electricity source that can facilitate the transition of small-scale hotels to clean energy. The main objective of this research is to propose a methodology for evaluating the potential of wind energy to support sustainable tourism in developing nations, specifically in fulfilling the electricity requirements of small hotels. This study aims to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020) and forecasting a portion of the dataset. This research selected three sites in Indonesia exhibiting varying wind energy potentials: Tepus District in Gunung Kidul Regency, Losari Beach in Makassar City, and Nusa Penida Island in Bali. This study utilises multiple linear regression to examine the impact of external variables on wind speed, and it applies Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) for wind speed forecasting in these three locations. The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between external factors and wind speed, with the HWES method identified as the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed, surpassing the SARIMA model by 0.309 RMSE. Forecasting results indicate that a 30-kW wind turbine could supply 8.8 - 35.3% of a small hotel's electricity consumption, depending upon the occupancy rate.

Keywords: Wind energy, sustainable tourism, multiple linear regression, SARIMA, Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing

1. Introduction

The hotel industry, one of the significant energy end-users, is among the most promising sectors in tourism for utilizing clean electricity to advance sustainable tourism (UNEP, 2024). The term "sustainable tourism" denotes tourism that comprehensively addresses its current and future socio-economic and environmental impacts while fulfilling visitors' needs, the industry, the environment, and local communities (GSTC 2024; UNT 2024). The beneficial effects of sustainable tourism may encompass a wide array of economic, environmental, sociocultural, wildlife conservation, and additional advantages (Li *et al.* 2024; Rahman *et al.* 2024). Sustainable tourism development, focusing on environmental sustainability, is increasingly recognized by countries, including those in the developing world, as it can significantly boost local and national economies.

In recent years, developing countries have been working to promote sustainable tourism in their accommodation sectors. The Indonesian government is partnering with the US on a $6 billion investment to develop eco-friendly hotels (IBP 2023). This is crucial as only a few tourist destinations have adopted sustainable tourism practices. Misool Eco Resort in Raja Ampat, Papua, uses solar photovoltaic systems for energy (MTCE

2024), while Lake Toba in North Sumatra province is developing electric vehicle charging stations and clean energy infrastructures (LTAIA 2022). These efforts aim to increase the number of establishments adhering to green standards.

Renewable energy (RE) provides a viable alternative for electrifying the untapped tourism potential in remote regions and enhancing the tourism appeal of specific locations (Beer *et al.* 2017). For businesses, RE could provide an economic benefit by lowering energy expenses and increasing potential income from the power produced by renewable sources (Luo *et al.* 2024). Meanwhile, visitors have expressed favourable attitudes towards using RE in tourism facilities (Navratil *et al.* 2019). An empirical study using long-term panel data has shown that RE positively influences sustainable tourism goal achievement (Hailiang *et al.* 2023). In practice, RE may be the sole viable option for developing tourism destinations in remote regions lacking access to conventional utility grids. Kamanggih Village in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, for instance, fulfils its daily energy demand through RE sources (Petromindo 2024).

Several studies have examined the capacity of RE, particularly wind and solar, to improve the energy sustainability of hotels, while evaluating more economical and environmentally friendly

---

Commented [H1]: Please do changes:
1.Figure 9,10 and 11 have the same caption, please combine them as Fig 9,a,b,c
2.Figure 12 and 13 should be combined as one single caption, as Fig 10a,b
3. Table 10,11 and 12 are just the same caption, why did not combine them as one Table?

Commented [YT2R1]: 1.Done
2.Done
3.Done

Commented [H3]: Provide ORCID

Commented [YT4R3]: Done

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

energy sources for hotel operations. A grid-connected solar photovoltaic system was designed for a proposed hotel in Jordan utilising PVGIS and PVSyst simulation software (Al-Zoubi *et al.* 2021). The study analyzed various techno-economic metrics based on the projected energy consumption of the proposed hotel and the regional average occupancy rate.

Research on hybrid RE systems has garnered significant interest. A study was conducted in Turkey on meeting electricity demand in a medium-sized hotel utilising a hybrid system (Güler *et al.* 2013). The authors employed four scenarios to evaluate the techno-economic viability of the proposed systems, including a scenario permitting the purchase and export of electricity, and three others presuming that all demand is met by RE, with any surplus electricity sold to the grid. The research indicated that grid-wind turbines, exhibiting a renewable fraction of 74%, represented the optimal solution, surpassing other scenarios.

To achieve the ~~net zero~~net-zero energy consumption objective in Konya, Turkey, optimal off-grid and on-grid hybrid RE systems were designed and evaluated, encompassing a four-story hotel (Güğül 2023). The author determined that, for identical annual loads, on-grid photovoltaic and wind systems were more economically viable for the hotel building compared to other structures. Another study indicated that an on-grid small wind turbine, despite lacking sell-back payments, was the most economical solution for a small hotel in Jordan (Aagreh 2013).

A separate study identified the ideal hybrid grid-connected photovoltaic, wind, and biogas generators for a hotel in Egypt (Abdelhady 2023). Additional research utilised hybrid systems for a medium-sized hotel in Iran (Fazelpour *et al.* 2014). A study employed Monte Carlo simulation to determine the optimal proportions of solar and wind energy while addressing the variability of weather and occupancy in the Canary Islands (Meschede *et al.* 2017).

Another study integrated RE with energy storage and building automation systems (Beccali *et al.* 2018). Further studies compared hybrid renewable systems with grid-only and renewable-only configurations for a large-scale (over 100 beds) grid-connected hotel in Queensland, Australia (Dalton *et al.* 2009a), and for stand-alone settings (Dalton *et al.* 2008). The authors also examined the techno-economic of stand-alone RE systems utilizing hydrogen fuel cell storage across different small to medium-sized accommodations (Dalton *et al.* 2009b). Lastly, a study explored various RE fraction scenarios, including on-site wind and solar photovoltaic systems, to mitigate CO_2 emissions from a modelled hotel building in Qatar (Ayoub *et al.* 2014).

Existing studies, as shown above, have focused mainly on several aspects of the hotel energy supply, including the on-grid/hybrid feasibility analysis and design, and techno-economic prospects of RE systems, but have left out the insights offered from exploring the performance of long-term RE data. Furthermore, although several RE adoption techniques have been proposed, little is known about wind energy adoption potential and effectiveness in small-scale hotels due to differences in hotel occupancy rates and long-term temporal data. Hence, despite some research on hotels' wind and solar potential and utilization strategies, there is still a lack of understanding about how small-scale hotels in developing countries deal with long-term renewable energy data, particularly wind energy resources, and the available strategies for utilization decisions.

Evaluating the potential influence of meteorological factors on wind speed and the power output capacity of wind turbines through long-term historical data may enhance comprehension of these factors and facilitate improved investment decisions in

hotels. Nonetheless, studies examining the effects of wind energy on small hotels are scarce. In this context, minimal exploration has been done into the effects of long-term hourly temporal datasets of wind speed and other meteorological variables on the feasibility of small wind turbines for sustainable energy provision in hotels.

Thus, this study seeks to address the research problem of understanding the long-term hourly temporal wind speed dataset and how small-scale hotels can better deal with and utilize the historical and projected wind data for their sustainable energy supply. This research aims to provide valuable insights for system planners, hotel investors, and other stakeholders in planning and developing renewable energy systems, particularly wind to enhance energy resilience and reliability in the small-scale hotel sector in developing countries.

2. Methodology

Figure 1 illustrates the comprehensive workflow of this research. The process commences with identifying sites for investigation, succeeded by data collection and analysis to assess the potential energy generation of wind turbines. Multiple linear regression (MLR), Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA), and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) are employed for model development, forecasting, and result assessment. The capacity to fulfil power requirements at designated locations is subsequently evaluated, followed by an outcomes analysis.

2.1 Sites Selection and Hotel's Electricity Efficiency Ratings

This research considers three sites in Indonesia with varying wind energy potentials for the case study. The evaluation of the three sites examined in this study commences with analysing location appropriateness. The indicators encompass tourism potential, the necessity for alternative energy sources, and geographical characteristics.

It should be noted that the case study's selected locations do not correspond to established hotels, given that this is a planning study focusing on temporal dataset analysis and modelling. This study not only identified sites for analysis but also collected relevant information to evaluate wind energy potential by determining the average number of guest rooms in small-sized hotels and their corresponding electricity energy efficiency ratings and total energy consumption threshold. Figure 2 illustrates the site selection and data collection and the hotel's electricity efficiency rating, followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing.


Fig 1 Research methodology flowchart

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Fig 2. A detailed methodology for site selection and hotel's electricity efficiency rating followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing

2.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing

This study examines several critical variables for modelling and analytical purposes, including wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and pressure. As shown in Figure 2, the data collection procedure for these variables on selected locations involves downloading hourly data at one-year intervals over a decade (2011-2020) by querying coordinates on the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) website, accessible at https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/ (NREL 2024). The collected information is subsequently combined into a singular data set.

Data preprocessing entails verifying and transforming data into the appropriate format, addressing errors, and implementing other essential modifications. Subsequently, the data is analyzed through aggregation and graphical representation to discern patterns or valuable insights, facilitating further wind dataset modelling and the selection of the optimal wind turbine model. The dataset and data preprocessing results are accessible through https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1IwuR_bsiTt5Hx7OR HGRT7EfSdK3C9Fw7?usp=sharing.

2.3 Modelling of Wind Dataset

2.3.1 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

MLR is a statistical technique that broadens the scope of simple linear regression. Simple linear regression involves a single independent variable, while MLR encompasses two or more independent variables. Notwithstanding this distinction, the objective of MLR is identical to that of simple linear regression: to determine the relationship between variables and facilitate predictions. The equation model for MLR can be expressed as follows (Katić *et al.* 2024).

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_n X_n + e \qquad (1)$$

where: Y is the dependent variable, $X_1 \dots X_n$ is the 1st to the nth independent variable, α is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$ is the 1st to the nth regression coefficient and e is the prediction error.

Figure 3 shows the flowchart in which MLR is utilized in this research. The method is employed to analyze the correlation between selected independent variables (wind direction, temperature, pressure, and relative humidity) and the dependent variable (wind speed). If a strong correlation is found, additional independent variables may be added to improve the model's fit


Fig 3 Modelling procedure using MLR

when using different methods. The model evaluation utilizes Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

2.3.2 Seasonal Autoregressive Moving Average (SARIMA)

The SARIMA model is an extension of the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. The ARIMA method is effective in analyzing and forecasting time series data; however, it cannot accommodate seasonal characteristics or patterns within the wind dataset. This study formulates the SARIMA model and integrates seasonal elements into it. The SARIMA model consists of up to 7 components, namely p (the trend autoregression order), d (the trend difference order), q (the trend's moving average order), P (the seasonal autoregressive order), D (the seasonal difference order), Q (the seasonal moving average order), and m (the number of periods per season to be calculated) (Machine Learning Mastery 2019). The equation for SARIMA is as follows (Christie *et al.* 2022).

$$\phi_p(B^s)\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d(1-B^s)^D Y_t = \theta_q(B)\Theta_Q(B^s)\mathcal{E}_t \qquad (2)$$

where: p is trend autoregression order, P is the seasonal autoregressive order, d is trend difference order, D is the seasonal difference order, q is the trend-moving average order, Q is seasonal moving average order, $\phi_p(B)$ is the non-seasonal autoregressive level, $\phi_p(B^s)$ is the seasonal autoregressive level, $(1-B)^d$ is the non-seasonal differencing level, $(1-B^s)^D$ is the seasonal differencing level, $\theta_q(B)$ is the non-seasonal moving average level, $\Theta_q(B^s)$ is the seasonal moving average level, Y_t is the actual data for the period t, and $\mathcal{E}_t$ represents the error in the period t.

Figure 4 illustrates the particular SARIMA process employed in this study. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test verifies the stationarity of data. Should the data exhibit non-stationarity, the differencing procedure is implemented repeatedly until stationarity is achieved. The data is subsequently partitioned for fitting and testing purposes. The optimal SARIMA model (the values for p, d, q, P, D, and Q) is determined using two approaches: the auto_arima function from a Python library and the grid search method. The grid search technique evaluates the spectrum of parameter values by analyzing the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) graphs. Upon identifying the optimal parameters, a SARIMA prediction model will be developed, and the outcomes will be compared (auto_arima and grid search). The model's efficacy is assessed through Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

2.3.3 Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES)

HWES is a modification of Holt's exponential smoothing, commonly called double exponential smoothing. The Holt-Winters method assumes that time-series data comprises level,

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Fig 4 Flowchart for modelling using SARIMA

trend, and seasonal components. The Holt-Winters method for addressing seasonality comprises two variations: additive and multiplicative. Time series can be modelled through the additive trend with additive seasonality, the additive trend with multiplicative seasonality, the multiplicative trend with additive seasonality, and the multiplicative trend with multiplicative seasonality.

The formulas employed in HWES are presented below, beginning with level smoothing (L_t) for additive variation in Eq. 3 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 4 (Irandi *et al.* 2021). The formulas used to calculate trend pattern smoothing, seasonal pattern smoothing, and the prediction for the next period, are shown in Eq. 5, Eq. 6 (additive) and Eq. 7 (multiplicative), and Eq. 8 (additive) and Eq. 9 (multiplicative), respectively.

$$L_t = \alpha(y_t - S_{t-s}) + (1-\alpha)(L_{t-1} + T_{t-1}) \qquad (3)$$

$$L_t = \alpha\left(\frac{y_t}{S_{t-s}}\right) + (1-\alpha)(L_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \qquad (4)$$

$$b_t = \beta(L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1-\beta)b_{t-1} \qquad (5)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_{t-1}}\right) + (1-\gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (6)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_t}\right) + (1-\gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (7)$$

$$F_{t+m} = L_t + b_t m + S_{t-s+m} \qquad (8)$$

$$F_{t+m} = (L_t + b_t m)S_{t-s+m} \qquad (9)$$

Fig 5 Flowchart for modelling using HWES

where: α, β, and γ are smoothing constants, y_t is the observed value at the time t, L_t is the smoothing level value at the time t, b_t is trend pattern smoothing value at the time t, S_t is the seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t, F_{t+m} is forecast for a time $t + m$, and s represents the seasonal length.

Figure 5 shows the application of Holt-Winters exponential smoothing in this study. The dataset is partitioned into training and testing groups, and the grid search technique is employed to identify the optimal smoothing constants, considering variations in trend and seasonality types. The modelling results are assessed utilizing the RMSE method, and the optimal smoothing constants are selected for data prediction.

2.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

Small wind turbine candidates are identified after analyzing and modelling the wind dataset using the three techniques outlined in the previous section. The main criterion for selecting a wind turbine is its power curve, which should be aligned with the potential wind speed. In this context, the power curve of each wind turbine candidate is modelled using either polynomial, linear or piecewise regression techniques. Polynomial regression can be used due to the non-linear relationship between the independent variable (wind speed) and the dependent variable (power output). The equation for the polynomial regression is as follows (Morala *et al.* 2021).

$$Y' = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 X^2 + \cdots + b_n X^n \qquad (10)$$

where: Y' is the dependent variable, X is the independent variable, $X^2 \dots X^n$ are the independent variables with n degree of the polynomial, b_0 is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), and $b_1 \dots b_n$ are the regression coefficients.

Upon fitting, the polynomial regression model of the power curve converts wind speed into potential electrical power output at designated sites. The conversion outcomes will be assessed to ascertain the electrical power contribution provided by the wind to the hotel energy demand, given the selected historical and forecasted wind speed model, which is obtained from one of the three methods explained in Section 2.3. Figure 6 illustrates a flowchart detailing the wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion process.

3. Results and Discussion

This section presents the analysis results of all aspects described in the methodology section. These include the selected sites and the hotel's electricity efficiency ratings, the wind data characteristics over a decade (2011-2020) for all locations, and the modelling outcomes derived from MLR, SARIMA, and HWES techniques. This section also presents the analysis results of wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion, and the potential of wind energy in supplying hotel electricity, considering varying occupancy rates.

3.1 Selected Sites and Hotels' Electricity Efficiency Ratings

All three chosen locations are situated on different islands. The first location is the Tepus District, in Gunung Kidul Regency. The geographical coordinates for this study are 7°58'11.79" South latitude and 110°29'17.25" East longitude. Gunung Kidul,

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

near the southern Java coastline, has significant tourism potential due to its elevation and wind speed. The low population density ensures minimal disruption to local communities. The predominantly level terrain allows for increased electricity generation from wind turbines due to enhanced wind velocity (Elgendi *et al.* 2023).

The second location is Losari Beach in Makassar (5°08'34" South and 119°24'25" East). It is a recognized coastal region potentially appropriate for small turbine applications (Prabowo *et al.* 2022). The third location is Nusa Penida Island in Bali Province. The selected geographical coordinates are 8°48'00.0" South latitude and 115°34'00.0" East longitude. Nusa Penida experiences elevated wind speeds. However, this resource remains underexploited, signifying considerable unutilized wind energy potential (Darmana, Koerniawan 2019).

The hotel's electricity efficiency rating is determined based on the hotel's capacity, i.e., the available rooms (Bohdanowicz 2001). The average number of guest rooms in small hotels within Gunung Kidul Regency is 23 (GKTA 2019), while the majority of small lodgings in Nusa Penida possess between 5 and 14 guest rooms (Berita Satu 2019).

The suggested electricity efficiency ratings are less than 60 kWh/m²/year for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida, and less than 70 kWh/m²/year for Makassar, based on the average number of guest rooms. Following a similar study, the advised total energy consumption thresholds for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida are below 240 kWh/m²/year, while for Makassar, it is below 260 kWh/m²/year (Bohdanowicz 2001).

3.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing Results

The analysis of wind data is initiated by data aggregation. The dataset comprises a decade of hourly data intervals for pressure (P), relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), wind direction (WD), and wind speed (WS). The hourly values collected over a decade (87,600 hours) are averaged in wind speed data for each 24-hour interval. Consequently, all daily averaged wind speeds are derived by consolidating the 24-hour wind speed data ranges. Upon acquiring the complete set of daily average wind speed data (3,650 entries), the weekly average wind speed values are determined by consolidating the daily averages every 7 days.

A monthly averaged wind speed is derived by consolidating all daily averaged data for that month. This study comprises 120 months of average wind speed data, spanning 10 years. The minimum, maximum, and mean values for hourly to monthly


Fig 7 The range of hourly- to monthly average wind speed data in a selected location at Nusa Penida Island over a total of 10 years dataset (2011-2020)

aggregated wind speed at three locations are calculated accordingly.

Figure 6 presents the minimum, maximum, and mean values of hourly and monthly aggregated temporal wind speeds at three locations. The data indicates that Nusa Penida in Bali exhibits the highest maximum wind speed values across all aggregation periods compared to the other two locations. The peak wind speed at Nusa Penida is 10.3 m/s, with daily, weekly, and monthly averages of 8.3 m/s, 6 m/s, and 5.3 m/s, respectively. The average wind speed for data aggregated from hourly to monthly intervals is 3.64 m/s. A seasonal pattern is discernible, recurring roughly every 8760 data rows (equivalent to 12 months of data).

Figure 7 shows box-whisker plots of hourly to monthly average wind speed data collected over a decade at a selected location on Nusa Penida Island. The 87,600-hourly dataset exhibits a maximum wind speed of 7.8 m/s when excluding outliers, and 10.3 m/s when including them. The maximum daily average wind speed is 7.8 m/s excluding outliers and 8.3 m/s including outliers. The maximum average wind speeds for weekly and monthly intervals are 6 m/s and 5.3 m/s, respectively, while the minimum averages are 1.1 m/s and 1.9 m/s. All time intervals exhibit mean wind speeds of 3.6 m/s.

Figure 8 depicts a box and whisker plot representing the monthly average wind speed from 2011 to 2020 at a designated location on Nusa Penida. The graph illustrates various ranges of monthly average wind speeds, derived from daily average values, spanning from 2011 to 2020. In the 10-year dataset (up

Fig 6 Comparison of hourly (H), daily (D), weekly (W), and monthly (M) aggregated wind speed during 2011-2020 in Gunung Kidul, Nusa Penida, and Losari Beach (Makassar)


Fig 8 The ranges of monthly average wind speed from 2011-2020 in Nusa Penida as grouped monthly up to 120 months (aggregated from daily average values)

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Table 1
Wind data characteristics – Nusa Penida

Interval	Variable	Mean	Max	Min
Hourly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1034	993
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	95,58	57,32
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	32,3	23
	Wind direction (°)	164	360	0
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	10,3	0,1
Daily	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1032,3	995,1
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	91,9	65,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	31,1	24
	Wind direction (°)	164	334,3	31,1
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	8,3	0,8
Weekly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1031,2	997,5
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	89	73,1
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,7	24,4
	Wind direction (°)	164	332,4	80,7
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	6	1,1
Monthly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1030,3	999,4
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	87,3	74,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,3	24,8
	Wind direction (°)	164	256,3	109
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	5,3	1,9

to 120 months), the months from June to September exhibited higher monthly average wind speeds compared to the remaining months. Table 1 summarizes all principal variables examined in this study that are believed to influence wind-derived electrical energy in Nusa Penida from 2011 to 2020. The variables include pressure, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed. This study additionally examines the data for Gunung Kidul and Losari.

3.3 Wind Datasets Modelling Results

3.3.1 MLR results

The MLR method applies to all temporal data intervals, including hourly, daily, weekly, and monthly. The evaluation performance metrics employed are RMSE, R-squared, and adjusted R-squared. In Gunung Kidul, hourly, daily, and monthly models are predicated on relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The weekly period is determined by relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T). The optimal result, characterised by the minimal RMSE, is achieved at Losari Beach through the integration of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The interplay of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), temperature (T), and wind direction (WD) in Nusa Penida yields the minimal RMSE.

Table 2 presents the variable combinations employed in the MLR models, yielding the optimal RMSE and R-squared across all intervals. The coefficient of determination (R-squared) quantifies the extent to which the independent variable elucidates the dependent variable. The R-squared values in Table 2, especially for hourly and daily intervals, demonstrate a weak correlation between the independent variables (RH, P, T, and WD) and wind speed at all locations. This indicates that the independent variables are insufficient to completely elucidate the dependent variable. Simultaneously, the R-squared values for variable combinations at weekly and monthly intervals sufficiently elucidate aggregated wind speed, especially for Nusa Penida.

As the data aggregation interval increases, the performance metrics improve, indicated by a lower RMSE and a higher R-

Table 2
The best RMSE and R-squared, and combination of variables involved in the MLR models

Interval	Location	Involved Variables	RMSE	R-squared
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.981	0.261
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.924	0.086
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.264	0.241
Daily	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.757	0.279
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.668	0.241
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.074	0.367
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	RH, T	0.540	0.464
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.502	0.327
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.821	0.516
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.384	0.641
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.357	0.433
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.482	0.769

squared value. The performance metrics indicate that the ideal variable combinations for each location yield consistent results across all data intervals. The optimal variable combination for Gunung Kidul and Losari is relative humidity (RH), precipitation (P), and temperature (T), whereas for Nusa Penida, it includes RH, P, T, and wind direction (WD).

Nevertheless, incorporating additional independent variables into the MLR model does not enhance the fit of the Gunung Kidul and Losari data. The data from Nusa Penida presents divergent results. Despite the RMSE being greater than that of the other two locations, the R-squared value remains comparatively high.

3.3.2 SARIMA results

In SARIMA, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is utilized to verify data stationarity. The test results are displayed in Table 3 and Table 4 for hourly, weekly, and monthly intervals, respectively. The ADF test results indicate that the data is stationary at the weekly interval but non-stationary at the monthly interval. This is evidenced by a p-value exceeding 0.05 and an ADF statistic surpassing the critical threshold. Seasonal differencing is applied once (D=1) to rectify the absence of stationarity in the monthly dataset.

Table 4 presents the results of the differencing analysis, while Table 5 shows possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations. The SARIMA model is subsequently fitted utilizing

Table 3
ADF Test results

Interval	Location	ADF	p-value	Critical values
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	-6.37	2.36×10^{-8}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Losari	-8.68	4.27×10^{-14}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Nusa Penida	-6.09	1.04×10^{-7}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
Daily	Gunung Kidul	-2.05	0.26	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Losari	-2.46	0.13	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Nusa Penida	-1.70	0.43	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58

Y. Tanoto et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Table 4
ADF Test results on seasonal differencing monthly data

Location	ADF statistic	p-value	Critical values
Gunung Kidul	-6.578	7.66×10^{-9}	1%: -3.493
			5%: -2.890
			10%: -2.581
Losari	-7.156	3.06×10^{-10}	1%: -3.493
			5% : -2.890
			10% : -2.581
Nusa Penida	-4.361	3.48×10^{-10}	1%: -3,501
			5%: -2,892
			10%: -2,583

Table 5
Possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations

Interval	parameter	Gunung Kidul	Losari	Nusa Penida
Weekly	p	0-3	0-1	0-3
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-9	0-5	0-8
	P	0-1	0	0
	D	0	0	0
	Q	0-2	0-2	0-2
Monthly	p	0-1	0-1	0-1
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-1	0-2	0-1
	P	0-2	0-1	0-2
	D	1	1	1
	Q	0-1	0-1	0-1

auto_arima and grid search techniques. The RMSE value identifies the optimal model and fitting technique. The number of periods per season (m) will be established as 52 weekly intervals and 12 monthly intervals. The results for weekly and monthly intervals are presented in Table 6.

Table 6
SARIMA fitting results comparison

Interval	Location	Method	Best model	RMSE
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(2,0,0);52	0.802
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,1);(1,0,2);52	0.490
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);52	1.655
		grid search	SARIMA (1,0,4);(0,0,2);52	0.575
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(0,0,0);52	1.468
		grid search	SARIMA (3,0,8);(0,0,2);52	1.067
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.443
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.315
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);12	0.688
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,0);12	0.304
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,1);12	0.419
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(0,0,1);12	0.379

The findings in Table 6 indicate that the SARIMA method is appropriate for datasets of moderate size, as it can process data at weekly intervals within a reasonable timeframe. As the dataset size expands, the number of possible models fit also increases, augmenting the model's complexity and requiring additional computation time. In comparing RMSE results, the grid search method exhibits lower RMSE values across all data intervals at the three locations than the auto_arima method. Moreover, it was found that extending the data aggregation interval diminishes the RMSE.

3.3.3 HWES results

The HWES model is formulated to exclude trends and incorporate additive seasonality. This study utilizes RMSE to identify the optimal model and fitting method for each interval and location. Table 7 presents the optimal model outcomes for the HWES model.

The modelling outcomes presented in Table 7 utilize the 2011–2018 dataset for training and the 2019–2020 dataset for testing. The RMSE of 0.374 for Nusa Penida (monthly) indicates the prediction error for 2019-2020 concerning the actual data from that period. This study establishes the number of periods per season (s) as 365 for daily intervals, 52 for weekly, and 12 for monthly intervals. A grid search method is employed to identify the optimal alpha (α) and gamma (γ) values.

Upon establishing the optimal smoothing constants, the historical data is plotted, and model fitting is conducted for all potential intervals across all locations. This paper shows HWES results for Nusa Penida, as illustrated in ~~Figure~~Figuress 9~~a to 9c~~-11. The figures show the model fitting for daily interval data, weekly intervals, and monthly intervals, respectively.

The analysis indicates that the HWES method can efficiently accommodate large datasets while maintaining high processing speed. Consequently, the method is effective with data characterized by lower aggregation levels or larger volumes. The HWES method surpasses both SARIMA and MLR methods in overall computational efficacy. While certain locations employing the SARIMA method exhibited marginally reduced RMSE values, the disparity was minimal (approximately 0.02).

Nevertheless, as the degree of data aggregation diminishes, the resultant RMSE value escalates. Datasets with monthly aggregation intervals exhibited the lowest RMSE for each location. The model-fitting graph can reflect the rising and falling trends of wind speed indicated by actual historical data.

Although the HWES model fittings for both daily and weekly intervals are insufficient for predicting short-term operational needs for wind turbines or supply systems, it provides

Table 7
HWES fitting results

Interval	Location	Alpha (α)	Gamma (γ)	RMSE
Daily	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.05	0.819
	Losari	0.05	0.05	0.702
	Nusa Penida	0.8	0.05	1.014
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	0.2	0.25	0.511
	Losari	0.1	0.15	0.489
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.25	0.769
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.15	0.309
	Losari	0.05	0.25	0.322
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.15	0.374

Y. Tanoto et al

*Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Figure 9 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – daily interval (a)

Figure 10 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval (b)


(c)

Figure 911 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – (a) daily interval, (b) weekly interval, (c) monthly interval

stakeholders with insights into the fluctuating wind speed patterns to forecast energy supply security, rather than providing precise numerical wind speed values for a specific period. By providing more precise forecasts for monthly aggregated wind resources, the monthly model fitting can assist stakeholders in making investment decisions.

3.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

The analysis of wind data has resulted in the identification of three small wind turbines as candidates: Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW (European Commission 2020), and Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW (The Wind Power 2024). These turbines are selected primarily for their power curves, which align with the potential wind speed.

Figure 10a2 illustrates the power curves of the Pitchwind

Figure 12 The power curves of Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines (a)

(b)

Figure 103 The power curves of (a) Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines, The power curves of (b) a Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW wind turbine

Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines, while Figure 10b3 illustrates the power curve of a Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW wind turbine.

The relevant regression equations can be derived from the wind turbine's supplied power curves. The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW models utilize a modified piecewise regression method, applying distinct regression types to specific intervals of data points along the power curve. Conversely, the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW model solely utilizes polynomial regression.

Eq. 11 presents the linear regression equation for Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, whereas Eq. 12 presents the polynomial regression equation. Meanwhile, the linear regression equations for Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW can be seen in Eq. 13, while the polynomial regression equation for the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW is presented in Eq. 14.

$$y = (0{,}1999999x) + (-0{,}2999977) \qquad (11)$$

$$y = (0{,}0006013x^{11}) - (0{,}001071x^{10}) + (0{,}006777x^9) - (0{,}002255x^8) + (0{,}04551x^7) - (0{,}5942x^6) + (5{,}148x^5) - (29{,}66x^4) + (111{,}4x^3) - (259{,}1x^2) + (335{,}4x) - 182{,}8 \qquad (12)$$

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

$$y = (0,49999999x) + (-0,99999996 \quad (13)$$

$$y = (-0,0005086x^{11}) + (0,004726x^{10}) - (0,001955x^9) + (0,04744x^8) - (0,7507x^7) +$$

Table 9
The calculated electricity energy consumption

Energy consumption	Time	Occupancy			
		100%	75%	50%	25%
High	Per night	5,900	4,423	2,950	1,475
	Per hour	246	184	123	62
Average	Per night	2,150	1,613	1,075	538
	Per hour	90	67	45	22
Low	Per night	600	450	300	150
	Per hour	25	19	13	7

$$(8,123x^6) - (61,3x^5) + (322,4x^4) - (1157x^3) + (2696x^2) - (3671x) + 2209 \quad (14)$$

where: y is the power output, and x denotes wind speed.

3.5 Wind Contribution in Supplying Hotel Electricity Demand

This study examines a hotel's electricity consumption concerning usage levels and the number of rooms, with an emphasis on small hotels. This study employs the Energy Use Index (EUI) metric from the Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Programme (CHENACT) to evaluate the feasibility of satisfying a hotel's electricity requirements, as illustrated in Table 8 (CHTA 2012).

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

The EUI of the Caribbean Hotel was utilised in this study due to the resemblance between Indonesia's tropical climate and that of the Caribbean. This indicates that hotel energy consumption remains consistent regardless of variations in guests' cultural backgrounds, which may affect energy usage behaviour. The EUI evaluates three levels of energy consumption, measured in kWh per Guest Night, across four categories of hotel size based on the number of guestrooms. "Guest Night" denotes the aggregate count of hotel occupants.

This study suggests, according to the data in Table 8, that the small hotel comprises a maximum of 50 guestrooms, each occupied by a single guest, with a minimal electricity consumption of 12 kWh per guest night. This study computes total electricity consumption per night and hour by multiplying electricity usage by the number of rooms and dividing by 24. Consequently, a hotel with 50 rooms occupied by a single guest

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

each, consuming 12 kWh per guest night, results in a total energy consumption of 600 kWh per night, equating to 25 kWh per hour.

Meanwhile, Table 9 presents the computation outcomes for various levels of energy consumption and occupancy. The energy consumption values in Table 9 may also reflect the hotel's total electricity usage, encompassing facilities such as the kitchen, office, lobby, and others, expressed as the average energy consumption per guest per night across different occupancy levels.

Table 10
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different ~~small~~ hotel occupancies ~~and~~ while considering daily, weekly, and monthly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.45	1.8	2.4	3.6	7.2
	Predicted	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.6	5.1
Losari	Historical	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
	Predicted	0.24	1	1.3	1.9	3.8
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.83	7.3	9.8	14.7	29.3
	Predicted	2.21	8.8	11.8	17.6	35.3

~~**Table 11**~~
~~Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and weekly averaged wind speed data interval~~

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.37	1.5	2	3	5.9
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.27	1.1	1.5	2.2	4.4
	Predicted	0.21	0.8	1.1	1.6	3.3
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.61	6.6	8.7	13.1	26.2
	Predicted	1.79	7	9.5	14.3	28.6

~~**Table 12**~~
~~Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and monthly averaged wind speed data interval~~

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.5	5.1
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.8	3.5
	Predicted	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.7	3.5
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.46	5.8	7.8	11.7	23.3
	Predicted	1.57	6.3	8.4	12.5	25.1

The contribution of wind energy to hotel electricity demand is quantified as a percentage, determined by dividing the average power output of the wind turbine by the energy consumption linked to hotel occupancy. This study employs low-to-high kWh/Guest Night values, specifically ranging from

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

25% to 100% hotel occupancy, to calculate the contribution of wind energy.

Utilizing HWES to predict wind speed and wind turbine power output demonstrated that the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine outperformed the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW and the Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW turbines. Therefore, the Pitchwind Systems 30 kW is chosen by its power curve to evaluate the potential contribution of wind energy. Tables 10 to 12 shows the potential percentages of electricity consumption that can be satisfied by a single installed wind turbine for small hotels across various locations, categorised by daily, weekly, and monthly data intervals, respectively.

The wind energy contribution shown in Tables 10 to 12 evaluates both historical and projected average kWh produced by the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine by its power curve characteristics. The predicted mean kWh is derived from the HWES models presented in Table 7. The data in Tables 10 to 12 indicate that the anticipated energy contribution of a Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine to the hotel's energy requirements across all locations varies from 0.8% to 35.3%, based on hotel occupancy levels ranging from 100% to 25%, specifically at Losari (100% occupancy, weekly averaged interval) and Nusa Penida (25% occupancy, daily averaged interval).

According to daily average wind speed data, Nusa Penida exhibits the greatest contribution of wind turbine energy generation in fulfilling hotel electricity demand across various occupancy levels. The predicted mean energy output of the wind turbine, quantified at 2.21 kWh, could fulfil 8.8% of the hotel's energy requirements at full occupancy and escalate to 35.3% at 25% occupancy. Concurrently, Losari Beach has demonstrated the least potential across all data intervals.

Results in Tables 10–12 also illustrate a trade-off between hotel occupancy rates and the contribution of wind turbine energy generation to the energy demands of hotels. The daily interval results for Nusa Penida indicate that reducing hotel occupancy to 25% has led to a fourfold increase in the energy generation contribution from wind turbines. Tables 10–12 indicate indicates that small wind turbines possess significant potential to fulfil partial electricity requirements of hotels at low-to-medium occupancy levels, specifically between 25% and 50%. Wind turbines are projected to fulfil 10% to 35% of energy requirements.

This contribution rate is particularly advantageous for the hotel as it alleviates the burden of grid energy expenses during the off-peak season. This also enables the integration of small wind turbines with other RE technologies, such as solar power and batteries, to enhance the clean energy supply for hotel energy requirements. This study, although focused on Indonesian locations, offers valuable methodology and analysis applicable to other developing countries and jurisdictions.

4. Conclusion

This study examined renewable energy (RE) use to promote sustainable tourism in developing countries. Using a 10-year historical wind dataset, it looked specifically at the role of small wind turbines in meeting some of the electricity demands of small-sized hotels. This study developed the wind speed model by incorporating the impact of pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction on wind speed over selected locations using MLR, SARIMA, and HWES.

The key findings of the case study, which considered three selected locations across different islands of Indonesia, are as follows:

- The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between weather factors (pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction) and wind speed. Wind direction has shown a stronger correlation for monthly interval data in locations exhibiting higher wind energy potential.
- The HWES method is the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed considering long-term datasets and different data intervals. The RMSE values indicate that monthly interval data has the lowest RMSE, followed by weekly and daily interval data.
- The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW wind turbine has been identified as the most appropriate type of turbine due to its power curve alignment with wind speed data and power output potential based on the HWES model's wind speed prediction.
- The installation of a single wind turbine could supply between 8.8% and 35.3% of the hotel's electricity demand, depending upon the occupancy rate levels ranging from 100% (8.8% contribution) to 25% (35.3% contribution).

This study is limited in that it analyzed planned locations. It does not consider the economic feasibility of installing small wind turbines on-site. These economic implications can help determine the overall wind energy potential and feasibility of the chosen location. Furthermore, this study does not take into account or analyze the impact of hotel electricity demand patterns, such as hourly or daily, on the temporal contribution period of wind energy supply.

Nomenclature

Y	: dependent variable of multiple linear regression
α	: constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)
$\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$	: the 1st to the nth regression coefficient
$X_1 \dots X_n$	: the 1st to the nth independent variable
e	: prediction error
p	: trend on autoregression order
P	: seasonal autoregressive order
d	: trend on difference order
D	: seasonal difference order
q	: trend-moving average order
Q	: seasonal moving average order
$\phi_p(B)$	: non-seasonal autoregressive level
$\phi_p(B^s)$	: seasonal autoregressive level
$(1-B)^d$	: non-seasonal differencing level,
$(1-B^s)^D$	: seasonal differencing level
$\theta_q(B)$	: non-seasonal moving average level
$\theta_q(B^s)$	: seasonal moving average level
Y_t	: actual data for the period t
$\mathcal{E}_t$	: error in the period t
α, β, γ	: smoothing constants
y_t	: observed value at the time t
L_t	: smoothing level value at the time t
b_t	: trend pattern smoothing value at the time t
S_t	: seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t
F_{t+m}	: forecast for a time $t+m$
s	: seasonal length
y	: power output
x	: wind speed
Y'	: dependent variable of polynomial regression
X	: independent variable of polynomial regression

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

$X^2 \ldots X^n$: the independent variable with n degree of the polynomial

b_0 : constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)

$b_1 \ldots b_n$: polynomial regression coefficients

Author Contributions: Y.T.: Conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing, supervision, B.S.J.; writing—original draft, formal analysis, visualization, S.A.A.; writing—review and editing, validation, supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Aagreh, Y. & Al-Ghzawi, A. (2013). Feasibility of utilizing renewable energy systems for a small hotel in Ajloun city, Jordan. *Applied Energy* 103, 25-31; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.008

Abdelhady, S. (2023). Techno-economic study and the optimal hybrid renewable energy system design for a hotel building with net zero energy and net zero carbon emissions. *Energy Conversion and Management* 289, 117195; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117195

Al-Zoubi, H., Al-Khasawneh, Y., Omar, W. (2021). Design and feasibility study of an on-grid photovoltaic system for green electrification of hotels: a case study of Cedars hotel in Jordan. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 12(4), 611–626; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00406-z

Ayoub, N., Musharavati, F., Pokharel, S., Gabbar, H.A. (2014). Energy consumption and conservation practices in Qatar—A case study of a hotel building. *Energy and Buildings* 84, 55-69; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.07.050

Beccali, M., Finocchiaro, P., Ippolito, M.G., Leone, G., Panno, D., Zizzo, G. (2018). Analysis of some renewable energy uses and demand side measures for hotels on small Mediterranean islands: A case study. *Energy* 157, 106-114; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.05.139

Beer, M., Rybár, R., Kaľavský, M. (2017). Renewable energy sources as an attractive element of industrial tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism* 21(18), 2139–2151; https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1316971

Berita Satu. (2019). *Hotel business in Nusa Penida has potential (in Bahasa Indonesia)*. https://www.beritasatu.com/ekonomi/577721/bisnis-hotel-di-nusa-penida-potensial. Accessed on 24 October 2024.

Bohdanowicz, P., Churie-Kallhauge, A., Martinac, I. (2001). *Energy efficiency and conservation in hotels – towards sustainable tourism*. In Proc. 4th International Symposium on Asia Pacific Architecture, Hawaii, United States.

Christie, G., Hatidja, D., Tumilaar, R. (2022). Application of the SARIMA method in the step function intervention to predict the number of visitors at Londa tourism object. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sains* 22(2), 96–103; https://doi.org/10.35799/jis.v22i2.40961

CHTA. (2012). *Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Program (CHENACT)*. http://www.caribbeanhotelandtourism.com/downloads/CHENACT-Presentation-Final.pdf. Accessed on 4 May 2024.

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009a). Feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for a grid-connected large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 955-964; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.08.012

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Case study feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for small to medium-sized tourist accommodations. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 1134-1144; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.06.018

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Feasibility analysis of stand-alone renewable energy supply options for a large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 33(7), 1475-1490; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.09.014

Darmana, T. & Koerniawan, T. (2019). The development of energy tourism village in Indonesia: A case study in Nusa Penida. *E3S Web Conference* 125, 11001; https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201912511001

Elgendi, M., AlMallahi, M., Abdelkhalig, A., Selim, Y.M.E. (2023). A review of wind turbines in complex terrain. *International Journal of Thermofluids* 17, 100289; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100289

European Commission. (2020). *Catalogue of European urban wind turbine manufacturers*. http://biohabitat.free.fr/dossiers/habitat_ecobio/energies_renouvelables/doc-annexe/catalogue-eolienne.pdf. Accessed on 1 May 2024.

Fazelpour, F., Soltani, N., Rosen, M.A. (2014). Feasibility of satisfying electrical energy needs with hybrid systems for a medium-size hotel on Kish Island, Iran. *Energy* 73, 856-865; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.097

GKTA. (2024). *List of Hotels in Gunung Kidul (in Bahasa Indonesia)*. https://wisata.gunungkidulkab.go.id/daftar-hotel-gunungkidul/. Accessed on 26 October 2024.

Güğül, G.N. (2023). Optimum hybrid renewable energy system design for on and off grid buildings: Hotel, education and animal hospital building case. *Solar Energy* 253, 414-427; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2022.12.044

GSTC. (2024). *What is sustainable tourism?* https://www.gstcouncil.org/what-is-sustainable-tourism/. Accessed on 15 August 2024.

Güler, Ö., Akdağ, S.A., Dinçsoy, M.E. (2013). Feasibility analysis of medium-sized hotel's electrical energy consumption with hybrid systems. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 9, 15-22; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2013.02.004

Hailiang, Z., Chau, K.Y., Waqas, M. (2023). Does green finance and renewable energy promote tourism for sustainable development: Empirical evidence from China. Renewable Energy 207, 660-671; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.03.032

IBP. (2023). *US investors express interest in green tourism projects in Indonesia*. https://indonesiabusinesspost.com/risks-opportunities/us-investors-express-interest-in-green-tourism-projects-in-indonesia/. Accessed on 2 June 2024.

Irandi, D.F., Rohmawati, A.A., Gunawan, P.H. (2021). Forecasting number of new cases daily COVID-19 in Central Java province using Exponential Smoothing Holt-Winters. *Indonesia Journal on Computing (Indo-JC)* 6(2), 23–32; https://doi.org/10.34818/INDOJC.2021.6.2.565

Katić, D., Krstić, H., Otković, II., Juričić, H.B. (2024). Comparing multiple linear regression and neural network models for predicting heating energy consumption in school buildings in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Journal of Building Engineering* 97, 110728; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110728

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Solangi, Y.A. (2024). Analysis of factors and strategies for the implementation of sustainable tourism in a green economic structure in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 434, 140011; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140011

LTAIA. (2022). *Realizing Commitment to Build Sustainable Tourism in TCR Area, Lake Toba Becomes First DPSP to Use Green Energy*. Retrieved https://www.bpodt.id/siaran-pers-wujudkan-komitmen-bangun-sustainable-tourism-di-kawasan-tcr-danau-toba-jadi-dpsp-pertama-pengguna-green-energy/. Accessed on 1 June 2024.

Luo, J., Joybari, M.M., Ma, Y., Liu, J., Lai, K. (2024). Assessment of renewable power generation applied in homestay hotels: Energy and cost-benefit considering dynamic occupancy rates and reservation prices. Journal of Building Engineering 87, 109074; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.109074

Machine Learning Mastery. (2019). *A Gentle Introduction to SARIMA for time series forecasting in Python*. https://machinelearningmastery.com/sarima-for-time-series-forecasting-in-python/. Accessed on 6 June 2024.

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Meschede, H., Dunkelberg, H., Stöhr, F., Peesel, R.H., Hesselbach, J. (2017). Assessment of probabilistic distributed factors influencing renewable energy supply for hotels using Monte-Carlo methods. *Energy* 128, 86-100; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.03.166

Morala, P., Cifuentes, J.A., Lillo, R.O., Ucar, I. (2021). Towards a mathematical framework to inform neural network modelling via polynomial regression. *Neural Networks* 142, 57-72; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2021.04.036

MTCE. (2024). *5 Eco Resorts in Indonesia with Environmental-friendly Concept.* https://www.kemenparekraf.go.id/en/articles/5-eco-resorts-in-indonesia-with-environmental-friendly-concept. Assessed on 10 August 2024.

Navratil, J., Picha, K., Buchecker, M., Martinat, S., Svec, R., Brezinova, M., Knotek, J. (2019). Visitors' preferences of renewable energy options in "green" hotels. *Renewable Energy* 138, 1065-1077; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.043

NREL. (2024). *NSRDB: National solar radiation database.* https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer. Accessed on 1 April 2024.

Petromindo. (2024). *PLN closes diesel plant in Sumba Timur, focuses on clean energy.* https://www.petromindo.com/news/article/pln-closes-diesel-plant-in-sumba-timur-focuses-on-clean-energy. Accessed on 20 October 2024.

Prabowo, S.A., Ihsan, M., Satria, W. (2022). *Analysis on the potential of renewable energy in maritime areas and its effect on the medium voltage utility electricity networks.* In Proc. International Conference on Technology and Policy in Energy and Electric Power, Jakarta, Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-PEP57242.2022.9988957

Rahman, M.H., Tanchangya, T., Rahman, J., Aktar, M.A., Majumder, S.C. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and green financing behavior in Bangladesh: Towards sustainable tourism. *Innovation and Green Development* 3(3), 100133; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100133

The Wind Power. (2024). Fuhrländer FL 100/21. https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_162_fuhrlander_fl-100-21.php. Accessed on 7 May 2024.

UNEP. (2024). *Tourism.* https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/resource-efficiency/what-we-do/responsible-industry/tourism. Accessed on 1 August 2024.

UNT. (2024). *Sustainable tourism development.* https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development. Accessed on 16 August 2024.

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

[IJRED] Proofing Request (Author)

Hadiyanto <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>   Wed, Mar 12, 2025 at 5:56 AM
To: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
Cc: Laveet Kumar <laveet.kumar@gmail.com>

Dear Dr Tanoto

For Table 10, please integrate them into one longer Table to indicate daily, weekly and monthly

Editor

[Quoted text hidden]
>> [Quoted text hidden]

--
Hadiyanto (Prof)
Universitas Diponegoro
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0074-7078

[IJRED] Proofing Request (Author)

Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>   Wed, Mar 12, 2025 at 10:19 AM
To: Hadiyanto <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
Cc: Laveet Kumar <laveet.kumar@gmail.com>
Bcc: Yusak Tanoto <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>

Dear Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto,

I have attached the latest file with Table 10, which has already been corrected as requested.

Best regards,
Yusak Tanoto

[Quoted text hidden]

📄 **Tanoto_proofread_12032025.docx**
795K

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev. 20xx, x (xx), xx-xx

| xx

Research Article

Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries

Yusak Tanoto[a]* , Brandon Sebastian Jasman[b] , Stephanus Antonius Ananda[b]

[a]*Electrical Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia*
[b]*Informatics Department, Petra Christian University, Indonesia*

Abstract. The persistent reliance on fossil fuels for energy will yield enduring adverse effects on the tourism sector, particularly the hotel industry. Wind energy represents a renewable electricity source that can facilitate the transition of small-scale hotels to clean energy. The main objective of this research is to propose a methodology for evaluating the potential of wind energy to support sustainable tourism in developing nations, specifically in fulfilling the electricity requirements of small hotels. This study aims to assess and compare the potential contribution of small wind turbines to hotel energy demand by modelling a historical hourly wind dataset spanning ten years (2011-2020) and forecasting a portion of the dataset. This research selected three sites in Indonesia exhibiting varying wind energy potentials: Tepus District in Gunung Kidul Regency, Losari Beach in Makassar City, and Nusa Penida Island in Bali. This study utilises multiple linear regression to examine the impact of external variables on wind speed, and it applies Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) for wind speed forecasting in these three locations. The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between external factors and wind speed, with the HWES method identified as the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed, surpassing the SARIMA model by 0.309 RMSE. Forecasting results indicate that a 30-kW wind turbine could supply 8.8 - 35.3% of a small hotel's electricity consumption, depending upon the occupancy rate.

Keywords: Wind energy, sustainable tourism, multiple linear regression, SARIMA, Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing

1. Introduction

The hotel industry, one of the significant energy end-users, is among the most promising sectors in tourism for utilizing clean electricity to advance sustainable tourism (UNEP, 2024). The term "sustainable tourism" denotes tourism that comprehensively addresses its current and future socio-economic and environmental impacts while fulfilling visitors' needs, the industry, the environment, and local communities (GSTC 2024; UNT 2024). The beneficial effects of sustainable tourism may encompass a wide array of economic, environmental, sociocultural, wildlife conservation, and additional advantages (Li *et al.* 2024; Rahman *et al.* 2024). Sustainable tourism development, focusing on environmental sustainability, is increasingly recognized by countries, including those in the developing world, as it can significantly boost local and national economies.

In recent years, developing countries have been working to promote sustainable tourism in their accommodation sectors. The Indonesian government is partnering with the US on a $6 billion investment to develop eco-friendly hotels (IBP 2023). This is crucial as only a few tourist destinations have adopted sustainable tourism practices. Misool Eco Resort in Raja Ampat, Papua, uses solar photovoltaic systems for energy (MTCE

2024), while Lake Toba in North Sumatra province is developing electric vehicle charging stations and clean energy infrastructures (LTAIA 2022). These efforts aim to increase the number of establishments adhering to green standards.

Renewable energy (RE) provides a viable alternative for electrifying the untapped tourism potential in remote regions and enhancing the tourism appeal of specific locations (Beer *et al.* 2017). For businesses, RE could provide an economic benefit by lowering energy expenses and increasing potential income from the power produced by renewable sources (Luo *et al.* 2024). Meanwhile, visitors have expressed favourable attitudes towards using RE in tourism facilities (Navratil *et al.* 2019). An empirical study using long-term panel data has shown that RE positively influences sustainable tourism goal achievement (Hailiang *et al.* 2023). In practice, RE may be the sole viable option for developing tourism destinations in remote regions lacking access to conventional utility grids. Kamanggih Village in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, for instance, fulfils its daily energy demand through RE sources (Petromindo 2024).

Several studies have examined the capacity of RE, particularly wind and solar, to improve the energy sustainability of hotels, while evaluating more economical and environmentally friendly

* Corresponding author
Email: tanyusak@petra.ac.id (Yusak Tanoto)

Commented [H1]: Please do changes:
1.Figure 9,10 and 11 have the same caption, please combine them as Fig 9,a,b,c
2.Figure 12 and 13 should be combined as one single caption, as Fig 10a,b
3. Table 10,11 and 12 are just the same caption, why did not combine them as one Table?

Commented [YT2R1]: 1.Done
2.Done
3.Done

Commented [H3]: Provide ORCID

Commented [YT4R3]: Done

Y. Tanoto et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

energy sources for hotel operations. A grid-connected solar photovoltaic system was designed for a proposed hotel in Jordan utilising PVGIS and PVSyst simulation software (Al-Zoubi *et al.* 2021). The study analyzed various techno-economic metrics based on the projected energy consumption of the proposed hotel and the regional average occupancy rate.

Research on hybrid RE systems has garnered significant interest. A study was conducted in Turkey on meeting electricity demand in a medium-sized hotel utilising a hybrid system (Güler *et al.* 2013). The authors employed four scenarios to evaluate the techno-economic viability of the proposed systems, including a scenario permitting the purchase and export of electricity, and three others presuming that all demand is met by RE, with any surplus electricity sold to the grid. The research indicated that grid-wind turbines, exhibiting a renewable fraction of 74%, represented the optimal solution, surpassing other scenarios.

To achieve the ~~net zero~~net-zero energy consumption objective in Konya, Turkey, optimal off-grid and on-grid hybrid RE systems were designed and evaluated, encompassing a four-story hotel (Güğül 2023). The author determined that, for identical annual loads, on-grid photovoltaic and wind systems were more economically viable for the hotel building compared to other structures. Another study indicated that an on-grid small wind turbine, despite lacking sell-back payments, was the most economical solution for a small hotel in Jordan (Aagreh 2013).

A separate study identified the ideal hybrid grid-connected photovoltaic, wind, and biogas generators for a hotel in Egypt (Abdelhady 2023). Additional research utilised hybrid systems for a medium-sized hotel in Iran (Fazelpour *et al.* 2014). A study employed Monte Carlo simulation to determine the optimal proportions of solar and wind energy while addressing the variability of weather and occupancy in the Canary Islands (Meschede *et al.* 2017).

Another study integrated RE with energy storage and building automation systems (Beccali *et al.* 2018). Further studies compared hybrid renewable systems with grid-only and renewable-only configurations for a large-scale (over 100 beds) grid-connected hotel in Queensland, Australia (Dalton *et al.* 2009a), and for stand-alone settings (Dalton *et al.* 2008). The authors also examined the techno-economic of stand-alone RE systems utilizing hydrogen fuel cell storage across different small to medium-sized accommodations (Dalton *et al.* 2009b). Lastly, a study explored various RE fraction scenarios, including on-site wind and solar photovoltaic systems, to mitigate CO_2 emissions from a modelled hotel building in Qatar (Ayoub *et al.* 2014).

Existing studies, as shown above, have focused mainly on several aspects of the hotel energy supply, including the on-grid/hybrid feasibility analysis and design, and techno-economic prospects of RE systems, but have left out the insights offered from exploring the performance of long-term RE data. Furthermore, although several RE adoption techniques have been proposed, little is known about wind energy adoption potential and effectiveness in small-scale hotels due to differences in hotel occupancy rates and long-term temporal data. Hence, despite some research on hotels' wind and solar potential and utilization strategies, there is still a lack of understanding about how small-scale hotels in developing countries deal with long-term renewable energy data, particularly wind energy resources, and the available strategies for utilization decisions.

Evaluating the potential influence of meteorological factors on wind speed and the power output capacity of wind turbines through long-term historical data may enhance comprehension of these factors and facilitate improved investment decisions in

hotels. Nonetheless, studies examining the effects of wind energy on small hotels are scarce. In this context, minimal exploration has been done into the effects of long-term hourly temporal datasets of wind speed and other meteorological variables on the feasibility of small wind turbines for sustainable energy provision in hotels.

Thus, this study seeks to address the research problem of understanding the long-term hourly temporal wind speed dataset and how small-scale hotels can better deal with and utilize the historical and projected wind data for their sustainable energy supply. This research aims to provide valuable insights for system planners, hotel investors, and other stakeholders in planning and developing renewable energy systems, particularly wind to enhance energy resilience and reliability in the small-scale hotel sector in developing countries.

2. Methodology

Figure 1 illustrates the comprehensive workflow of this research. The process commences with identifying sites for investigation, succeeded by data collection and analysis to assess the potential energy generation of wind turbines. Multiple linear regression (MLR), Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA), and Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES) are employed for model development, forecasting, and result assessment. The capacity to fulfil power requirements at designated locations is subsequently evaluated, followed by an outcomes analysis.

2.1 Sites Selection and Hotel's Electricity Efficiency Ratings

This research considers three sites in Indonesia with varying wind energy potentials for the case study. The evaluation of the three sites examined in this study commences with analysing location appropriateness. The indicators encompass tourism potential, the necessity for alternative energy sources, and geographical characteristics.

It should be noted that the case study's selected locations do not correspond to established hotels, given that this is a planning study focusing on temporal dataset analysis and modelling. This study not only identified sites for analysis but also collected relevant information to evaluate wind energy potential by determining the average number of guest rooms in small-sized hotels and their corresponding electricity energy efficiency ratings and total energy consumption threshold. Figure 2 illustrates the site selection and data collection and the hotel's electricity efficiency rating, followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing.


Fig 1 Research methodology flowchart

*Y. Tanoto et al*   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Fig 2. A detailed methodology for site selection and hotel's electricity efficiency rating followed by wind dataset collection and pre-processing

2.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing

This study examines several critical variables for modelling and analytical purposes, including wind speed, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and pressure. As shown in Figure 2, the data collection procedure for these variables on selected locations involves downloading hourly data at one-year intervals over a decade (2011-2020) by querying coordinates on the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) website, accessible at https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/ (NREL 2024). The collected information is subsequently combined into a singular data set.

Data preprocessing entails verifying and transforming data into the appropriate format, addressing errors, and implementing other essential modifications. Subsequently, the data is analyzed through aggregation and graphical representation to discern patterns or valuable insights, facilitating further wind dataset modelling and the selection of the optimal wind turbine model. The dataset and data preprocessing results are accessible through https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1IwuR_bsiTt5Hx7OR HGRT7EfSdK3C9Fw7?usp=sharing.

2.3 Modelling of Wind Dataset

2.3.1 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

MLR is a statistical technique that broadens the scope of simple linear regression. Simple linear regression involves a single independent variable, while MLR encompasses two or more independent variables. Notwithstanding this distinction, the objective of MLR is identical to that of simple linear regression: to determine the relationship between variables and facilitate predictions. The equation model for MLR can be expressed as follows (Katić *et al.* 2024).

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_n X_n + e \qquad (1)$$

where: Y is the dependent variable, $X_1 \dots X_n$ is the 1st to the nth independent variable, α is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$ is the 1st to the nth regression coefficient and e is the prediction error.

Figure 3 shows the flowchart in which MLR is utilized in this research. The method is employed to analyze the correlation between selected independent variables (wind direction, temperature, pressure, and relative humidity) and the dependent variable (wind speed). If a strong correlation is found, additional independent variables may be added to improve the model's fit


Fig 3 Modelling procedure using MLR

when using different methods. The model evaluation utilizes Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

2.3.2 Seasonal Autoregressive Moving Average (SARIMA)

The SARIMA model is an extension of the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. The ARIMA method is effective in analyzing and forecasting time series data; however, it cannot accommodate seasonal characteristics or patterns within the wind dataset. This study formulates the SARIMA model and integrates seasonal elements into it. The SARIMA model consists of up to 7 components, namely p (the trend autoregression order), d (the trend difference order), q (the trend's moving average order), P (the seasonal autoregressive order), D (the seasonal difference order), Q (the seasonal moving average order), and m (the number of periods per season to be calculated) (Machine Learning Mastery 2019). The equation for SARIMA is as follows (Christie *et al.* 2022).

$$\phi_p(B^s)\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d(1-B^s)^D Y_t = \theta_q(B)\Theta_Q(B^s)\mathcal{E}_t \qquad (2)$$

where: p is trend autoregression order, P is the seasonal autoregressive order, d is trend difference order, D is the seasonal difference order, q is the trend-moving average order, Q is seasonal moving average order, $\phi_p(B)$ is the non-seasonal autoregressive level, $\phi_p(B^s)$ is the seasonal autoregressive level, $(1-B)^d$ is the non-seasonal differencing level, $(1-B^s)^D$ is the seasonal differencing level, $\theta_q(B)$ is the non-seasonal moving average level, $\Theta_q(B^s)$ is the seasonal moving average level, Y_t is the actual data for the period t, and $\mathcal{E}_t$ represents the error in the period t.

Figure 4 illustrates the particular SARIMA process employed in this study. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test verifies the stationarity of data. Should the data exhibit non-stationarity, the differencing procedure is implemented repeatedly until stationarity is achieved. The data is subsequently partitioned for fitting and testing purposes. The optimal SARIMA model (the values for p, d, q, P, D, and Q) is determined using two approaches: the auto_arima function from a Python library and the grid search method. The grid search technique evaluates the spectrum of parameter values by analyzing the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) graphs. Upon identifying the optimal parameters, a SARIMA prediction model will be developed, and the outcomes will be compared (auto_arima and grid search). The model's efficacy is assessed through Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

2.3.3 Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (HWES)

HWES is a modification of Holt's exponential smoothing, commonly called double exponential smoothing. The Holt-Winters method assumes that time-series data comprises level,

Y. Tanoto et al   *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Fig 4 Flowchart for modelling using SARIMA

trend, and seasonal components. The Holt-Winters method for addressing seasonality comprises two variations: additive and multiplicative. Time series can be modelled through the additive trend with additive seasonality, the additive trend with multiplicative seasonality, the multiplicative trend with additive seasonality, and the multiplicative trend with multiplicative seasonality.

The formulas employed in HWES are presented below, beginning with level smoothing (L_t) for additive variation in Eq. 3 and multiplicative variation in Eq. 4 (Irandi *et al.* 2021). The formulas used to calculate trend pattern smoothing, seasonal pattern smoothing, and the prediction for the next period, are shown in Eq. 5, Eq. 6 (additive) and Eq. 7 (multiplicative), and Eq. 8 (additive) and Eq. 9 (multiplicative), respectively.

$$L_t = \alpha(y_t - S_{t-s}) + (1 - \alpha)(L_{t-1} + T_{t-1}) \qquad (3)$$

$$L_t = \alpha\left(\frac{y_t}{S_{t-s}}\right) + (1 - \alpha)(L_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \qquad (4)$$

$$b_t = \beta(L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1 - \beta)b_{t-1} \qquad (5)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_{t-1}}\right) + (1 - \gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (6)$$

$$S_t = \gamma\left(\frac{y_t}{L_t}\right) + (1 - \gamma)S_{t-s} \qquad (7)$$

$$F_{t+m} = L_t + b_t m + S_{t-s+m} \qquad (8)$$

$$F_{t+m} = (L_t + b_t m)S_{t-s+m} \qquad (9)$$

Fig 5 Flowchart for modelling using HWES

where: α, β, and γ are smoothing constants, y_t is the observed value at the time t, L_t is the smoothing level value at the time t, b_t is trend pattern smoothing value at the time t, S_t is the seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t, F_{t+m} is forecast for a time $t + m$, and s represents the seasonal length.

Figure 5 shows the application of Holt-Winters exponential smoothing in this study. The dataset is partitioned into training and testing groups, and the grid search technique is employed to identify the optimal smoothing constants, considering variations in trend and seasonality types. The modelling results are assessed utilizing the RMSE method, and the optimal smoothing constants are selected for data prediction.

2.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

Small wind turbine candidates are identified after analyzing and modelling the wind dataset using the three techniques outlined in the previous section. The main criterion for selecting a wind turbine is its power curve, which should be aligned with the potential wind speed. In this context, the power curve of each wind turbine candidate is modelled using either polynomial, linear or piecewise regression techniques. Polynomial regression can be used due to the non-linear relationship between the independent variable (wind speed) and the dependent variable (power output). The equation for the polynomial regression is as follows (Morala *et al.* 2021).

$$Y' = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 X^2 + \cdots + b_n X^n \qquad (10)$$

where: Y' is the dependent variable, X is the independent variable, $X^2 \ldots X^n$ are the independent variables with n degree of the polynomial, b_0 is a constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0), and $b_1 \ldots b_n$ are the regression coefficients.

Upon fitting, the polynomial regression model of the power curve converts wind speed into potential electrical power output at designated sites. The conversion outcomes will be assessed to ascertain the electrical power contribution provided by the wind to the hotel energy demand, given the selected historical and forecasted wind speed model, which is obtained from one of the three methods explained in Section 2.3. Figure 6 illustrates a flowchart detailing the wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion process.

3. Results and Discussion

This section presents the analysis results of all aspects described in the methodology section. These include the selected sites and the hotel's electricity efficiency ratings, the wind data characteristics over a decade (2011-2020) for all locations, and the modelling outcomes derived from MLR, SARIMA, and HWES techniques. This section also presents the analysis results of wind turbine selection and wind-to-power conversion, and the potential of wind energy in supplying hotel electricity, considering varying occupancy rates.

3.1 Selected Sites and Hotels' Electricity Efficiency Ratings

All three chosen locations are situated on different islands. The first location is the Tepus District, in Gunung Kidul Regency. The geographical coordinates for this study are 7°58'11.79" South latitude and 110°29'17.25" East longitude. Gunung Kidul,

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

near the southern Java coastline, has significant tourism potential due to its elevation and wind speed. The low population density ensures minimal disruption to local communities. The predominantly level terrain allows for increased electricity generation from wind turbines due to enhanced wind velocity (Elgendi *et al.* 2023).

The second location is Losari Beach in Makassar (5°08'34" South and 119°24'25" East). It is a recognized coastal region potentially appropriate for small turbine applications (Prabowo *et al.* 2022). The third location is Nusa Penida Island in Bali Province. The selected geographical coordinates are 8°48'00.0" South latitude and 115°34'00.0" East longitude. Nusa Penida experiences elevated wind speeds. However, this resource remains underexploited, signifying considerable unutilized wind energy potential (Darmana, Koerniawan 2019).

The hotel's electricity efficiency rating is determined based on the hotel's capacity, i.e., the available rooms (Bohdanowicz 2001). The average number of guest rooms in small hotels within Gunung Kidul Regency is 23 (GKTA 2019), while the majority of small lodgings in Nusa Penida possess between 5 and 14 guest rooms (Berita Satu 2019).

The suggested electricity efficiency ratings are less than 60 kWh/m²/year for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida, and less than 70 kWh/m²/year for Makassar, based on the average number of guest rooms. Following a similar study, the advised total energy consumption thresholds for Gunung Kidul and Nusa Penida are below 240 kWh/m²/year, while for Makassar, it is below 260 kWh/m²/year (Bohdanowicz 2001).

3.2 Wind Dataset Collection and Pre-processing Results

The analysis of wind data is initiated by data aggregation. The dataset comprises a decade of hourly data intervals for pressure (P), relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), wind direction (WD), and wind speed (WS). The hourly values collected over a decade (87,600 hours) are averaged in wind speed data for each 24-hour interval. Consequently, all daily averaged wind speeds are derived by consolidating the 24-hour wind speed data ranges. Upon acquiring the complete set of daily average wind speed data (3,650 entries), the weekly average wind speed values are determined by consolidating the daily averages every 7 days.

A monthly averaged wind speed is derived by consolidating all daily averaged data for that month. This study comprises 120 months of average wind speed data, spanning 10 years. The minimum, maximum, and mean values for hourly to monthly

Fig 7 The range of hourly- to monthly average wind speed data in a selected location at Nusa Penida Island over a total of 10 years dataset (2011-2020)

aggregated wind speed at three locations are calculated accordingly.

Figure 6 presents the minimum, maximum, and mean values of hourly and monthly aggregated temporal wind speeds at three locations. The data indicates that Nusa Penida in Bali exhibits the highest maximum wind speed values across all aggregation periods compared to the other two locations. The peak wind speed at Nusa Penida is 10.3 m/s, with daily, weekly, and monthly averages of 8.3 m/s, 6 m/s, and 5.3 m/s, respectively. The average wind speed for data aggregated from hourly to monthly intervals is 3.64 m/s. A seasonal pattern is discernible, recurring roughly every 8760 data rows (equivalent to 12 months of data).

Figure 7 shows box-whisker plots of hourly to monthly average wind speed data collected over a decade at a selected location on Nusa Penida Island. The 87,600-hourly dataset exhibits a maximum wind speed of 7.8 m/s when excluding outliers, and 10.3 m/s when including them. The maximum daily average wind speed is 7.8 m/s excluding outliers and 8.3 m/s including outliers. The maximum average wind speeds for weekly and monthly intervals are 6 m/s and 5.3 m/s, respectively, while the minimum averages are 1.1 m/s and 1.9 m/s. All time intervals exhibit mean wind speeds of 3.6 m/s.

Figure 8 depicts a box and whisker plot representing the monthly average wind speed from 2011 to 2020 at a designated location on Nusa Penida. The graph illustrates various ranges of monthly average wind speeds, derived from daily average values, spanning from 2011 to 2020. In the 10-year dataset (up

Fig 6 Comparison of hourly (H), daily (D), weekly (W), and monthly (M) aggregated wind speed during 2011-2020 in Gunung Kidul, Nusa Penida, and Losari Beach (Makassar)

Fig 8 The ranges of monthly average wind speed from 2011-2020 in Nusa Penida as grouped monthly up to 120 months (aggregated from daily average values)

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Table 1
Wind data characteristics – Nusa Penida

Interval	Variable	Mean	Max	Min
Hourly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1034	993
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	95,58	57,32
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	32,3	23
	Wind direction (°)	164	360	0
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	10,3	0,1
Daily	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1032,3	995,1
	Relative humidity (%)	80,6	91,9	65,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	31,1	24
	Wind direction (°)	164	334,3	31,1
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	8,3	0,8
Weekly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1031,2	997,5
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	89	73,1
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,7	24,4
	Wind direction (°)	164	332,4	80,7
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	6	1,1
Monthly	Pressure (mbar)	1015	1030,3	999,4
	Relative humidity (%)	80,7	87,3	74,4
	Temperature (°C)	27,8	30,3	24,8
	Wind direction (°)	164	256,3	109
	Wind speed (m/s)	3,6	5,3	1,9

to 120 months), the months from June to September exhibited higher monthly average wind speeds compared to the remaining months. Table 1 summarizes all principal variables examined in this study that are believed to influence wind-derived electrical energy in Nusa Penida from 2011 to 2020. The variables include pressure, relative humidity, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed. This study additionally examines the data for Gunung Kidul and Losari.

3.3 Wind Datasets Modelling Results

3.3.1 MLR results

The MLR method applies to all temporal data intervals, including hourly, daily, weekly, and monthly. The evaluation performance metrics employed are RMSE, R-squared, and adjusted R-squared. In Gunung Kidul, hourly, daily, and monthly models are predicated on relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The weekly period is determined by relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T). The optimal result, characterised by the minimal RMSE, is achieved at Losari Beach through the integration of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), and temperature (T). The interplay of relative humidity (RH), pressure (P), temperature (T), and wind direction (WD) in Nusa Penida yields the minimal RMSE.

Table 2 presents the variable combinations employed in the MLR models, yielding the optimal RMSE and R-squared across all intervals. The coefficient of determination (R-squared) quantifies the extent to which the independent variable elucidates the dependent variable. The R-squared values in Table 2, especially for hourly and daily intervals, demonstrate a weak correlation between the independent variables (RH, P, T, and WD) and wind speed at all locations. This indicates that the independent variables are insufficient to completely elucidate the dependent variable. Simultaneously, the R-squared values for variable combinations at weekly and monthly intervals sufficiently elucidate aggregated wind speed, especially for Nusa Penida.

As the data aggregation interval increases, the performance metrics improve, indicated by a lower RMSE and a higher R-

Table 2
The best RMSE and R-squared, and combination of variables involved in the MLR models

Interval	Location	Involved Variables	RMSE	R-squared
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.981	0.261
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.924	0.086
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.264	0.241
Daily	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.757	0.279
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.668	0.241
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	1.074	0.367
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	RH, T	0.540	0.464
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.502	0.327
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.821	0.516
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	RH, P, T	0.384	0.641
	Losari	RH, P, T	0.357	0.433
	Nusa Penida	RH, P, T, WD	0.482	0.769

squared value. The performance metrics indicate that the ideal variable combinations for each location yield consistent results across all data intervals. The optimal variable combination for Gunung Kidul and Losari is relative humidity (RH), precipitation (P), and temperature (T), whereas for Nusa Penida, it includes RH, P, T, and wind direction (WD).

Nevertheless, incorporating additional independent variables into the MLR model does not enhance the fit of the Gunung Kidul and Losari data. The data from Nusa Penida presents divergent results. Despite the RMSE being greater than that of the other two locations, the R-squared value remains comparatively high.

3.3.2 SARIMA results

In SARIMA, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is utilized to verify data stationarity. The test results are displayed in Table 3 and Table 4 for hourly, weekly, and monthly intervals, respectively. The ADF test results indicate that the data is stationary at the weekly interval but non-stationary at the monthly interval. This is evidenced by a p-value exceeding 0.05 and an ADF statistic surpassing the critical threshold. Seasonal differencing is applied once (D=1) to rectify the absence of stationarity in the monthly dataset.

Table 4 presents the results of the differencing analysis, while Table 5 shows possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations. The SARIMA model is subsequently fitted utilizing

Table 3
ADF Test results

Interval	Location	ADF	p-value	Critical values
Hourly	Gunung Kidul	-6.37	2.36×10^{-8}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Losari	-8.68	4.27×10^{-14}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
	Nusa Penida	-6.09	1.04×10^{-7}	1%: -3.44; 5%: -2.87; 10%: -2.57
Daily	Gunung Kidul	-2.05	0.26	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Losari	-2.46	0.13	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58
	Nusa Penida	-1.70	0.43	1%: -3.49; 5%: -2.89; 10%: -2.58

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Table 4

ADF Test results on seasonal differencing monthly data

Location	ADF statistic	p-value	Critical values
Gunung Kidul	-6.578	7.66×10^{-9}	1%: -3.493
			5%: -2.890
			10%: -2.581
Losari	-7.156	3.06×10^{-10}	1%: -3.493
			5% : -2.890
			10% : -2.581
Nusa Penida	-4.361	3.48×10^{-10}	1%: -3,501
			5%: -2,892
			10%: -2,583

Table 5

Possible SARIMA model parameters for all locations

Interval	parameter	Gunung Kidul	Losari	Nusa Penida
Weekly	p	0-3	0-1	0-3
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-9	0-5	0-8
	P	0-1	0	0
	D	0	0	0
	Q	0-2	0-2	0-2
Monthly	p	0-1	0-1	0-1
	d	0	0	0
	q	0-1	0-2	0-1
	P	0-2	0-1	0-2
	D	1	1	1
	Q	0-1	0-1	0-1

auto_arima and grid search techniques. The RMSE value identifies the optimal model and fitting technique. The number of periods per season (m) will be established as 52 weekly intervals and 12 monthly intervals. The results for weekly and monthly intervals are presented in Table 6.

Table 6

SARIMA fitting results comparison

Interval	Location	Method	Best model	RMSE
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(2,0,0);52	0.802
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,1);(1,0,2);52	0.490
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);52	1.655
		grid search	SARIMA (1,0,4);(0,0,2);52	0.575
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,2);(0,0,0);52	1.468
		grid search	SARIMA (3,0,8);(0,0,2);52	1.067
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.443
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(1,0,1);12	0.315
	Losari	auto_arima	SARIMA (1,0,0);(2,0,0);12	0.688
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,0);12	0.304
	Nusa Penida	auto_arima	SARIMA (0,0,2);(1,0,1);12	0.419
		grid search	SARIMA (0,0,0);(0,0,1);12	0.379

The findings in Table 6 indicate that the SARIMA method is appropriate for datasets of moderate size, as it can process data at weekly intervals within a reasonable timeframe. As the dataset size expands, the number of possible models fit also increases, augmenting the model's complexity and requiring additional computation time. In comparing RMSE results, the grid search method exhibits lower RMSE values across all data intervals at the three locations than the auto_arima method. Moreover, it was found that extending the data aggregation interval diminishes the RMSE.

3.3.3 HWES results

The HWES model is formulated to exclude trends and incorporate additive seasonality. This study utilizes RMSE to identify the optimal model and fitting method for each interval and location. Table 7 presents the optimal model outcomes for the HWES model.

The modelling outcomes presented in Table 7 utilize the 2011–2018 dataset for training and the 2019–2020 dataset for testing. The RMSE of 0.374 for Nusa Penida (monthly) indicates the prediction error for 2019-2020 concerning the actual data from that period. This study establishes the number of periods per season (s) as 365 for daily intervals, 52 for weekly, and 12 for monthly intervals. A grid search method is employed to identify the optimal alpha (α) and gamma (γ) values.

Upon establishing the optimal smoothing constants, the historical data is plotted, and model fitting is conducted for all potential intervals across all locations. This paper shows HWES results for Nusa Penida, as illustrated in ~~Figure~~Figuress 9~~a to 9c~~-~~11~~. The figures show the model fitting for daily interval data, weekly intervals, and monthly intervals, respectively.

The analysis indicates that the HWES method can efficiently accommodate large datasets while maintaining high processing speed. Consequently, the method is effective with data characterized by lower aggregation levels or larger volumes. The HWES method surpasses both SARIMA and MLR methods in overall computational efficacy. While certain locations employing the SARIMA method exhibited marginally reduced RMSE values, the disparity was minimal (approximately 0.02).

Nevertheless, as the degree of data aggregation diminishes, the resultant RMSE value escalates. Datasets with monthly aggregation intervals exhibited the lowest RMSE for each location. The model-fitting graph can reflect the rising and falling trends of wind speed indicated by actual historical data.

Although the HWES model fittings for both daily and weekly intervals are insufficient for predicting short-term operational needs for wind turbines or supply systems, it provides

Table 7

HWES fitting results

Interval	Location	Alpha (α)	Gamma (γ)	RMSE
Daily	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.05	0.819
	Losari	0.05	0.05	0.702
	Nusa Penida	0.8	0.05	1.014
Weekly	Gunung Kidul	0.2	0.25	0.511
	Losari	0.1	0.15	0.489
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.25	0.769
Monthly	Gunung Kidul	0.05	0.15	0.309
	Losari	0.05	0.25	0.322
	Nusa Penida	0.05	0.15	0.374

Y. Tanoto et al

*Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx*

| xx

Figure 9 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – daily interval (a)

Figure 10 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – weekly interval (b)


(c)

Figure 911 HWES plot for Nusa Penida – (a) daily interval, (b) weekly interval, (c) monthly interval

stakeholders with insights into the fluctuating wind speed patterns to forecast energy supply security, rather than providing precise numerical wind speed values for a specific period. By providing more precise forecasts for monthly aggregated wind resources, the monthly model fitting can assist stakeholders in making investment decisions.

3.4 Wind Turbine Selection and Wind-to-Power Conversion

The analysis of wind data has resulted in the identification of three small wind turbines as candidates: Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW (European Commission 2020), and Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW (The Wind Power 2024). These turbines are selected primarily for their power curves, which align with the potential wind speed.

Figure 10a2 illustrates the power curves of the Pitchwind

Figure 12 The power curves of Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines (a)

(b)

Figure 103 The power curves of (a) Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines, The power curves of (b) a Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW wind turbine

Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW wind turbines, while Figure 10b3 illustrates the power curve of a Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW wind turbine.

The relevant regression equations can be derived from the wind turbine's supplied power curves. The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW and Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW models utilize a modified piecewise regression method, applying distinct regression types to specific intervals of data points along the power curve. Conversely, the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW model solely utilizes polynomial regression.

Eq. 11 presents the linear regression equation for Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW, whereas Eq. 12 presents the polynomial regression equation. Meanwhile, the linear regression equations for Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW can be seen in Eq. 13, while the polynomial regression equation for the Fuhrländer FL-100 100 kW is presented in Eq. 14.

$$y = (0,1999999x) + (-0,2999977) \tag{11}$$

$$y = (0,0006013x^{11}) - (0,001071x^{10}) + (0,006777x^{9}) - (0,002255x^{8}) + (0,04551x^{7}) - (0,5942x^{6}) + (5,148x^5) - (29,66x^4) + (111,4x^3) - (259,1x^2) + (335,4x) - 182,8 \tag{12}$$

Y. Tanoto et al   Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

$$y = (0{,}49999999x) + (-0{,}99999996) \tag{13}$$

$$y = (-0{,}0005086x^{11}) + (0{,}004726x^{10}) - (0{,}001955x^9) + (0{,}04744x^8) - (0{,}7507x^7) +$$

Table 9
The calculated electricity energy consumption

Energy consumption	Time	Occupancy			
		100%	75%	50%	25%
High	Per night	5,900	4,423	2,950	1,475
	Per hour	246	184	123	62
Average	Per night	2,150	1,613	1,075	538
	Per hour	90	67	45	22
Low	Per night	600	450	300	150
	Per hour	25	19	13	7

$$(8{,}123x^6) - (61{,}3x^5) + (322{,}4x^4) - (1157x^3) + (2696x^2) - (3671x) + 2209 \tag{14}$$

where: y is the power output, and x denotes wind speed.

3.5 Wind Contribution in Supplying Hotel Electricity Demand

This study examines a hotel's electricity consumption concerning usage levels and the number of rooms, with an emphasis on small hotels. This study, considering usage levels and the number of rooms, with an emphasis on small hotels. It employs the Energy Use Index (EUI) metric from the Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Programme (CHENACT) to evaluate the feasibility of satisfying a hotel's electricity

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

requirements, as illustrated in Table 8 (CHTA 2012).

The EUI of the Caribbean Hotel was utilised in this study due to the resemblance between Indonesia's tropical climate and that of the Caribbean. This indicates that hotel energy consumption remains consistent regardless of variations in guests' cultural backgrounds, which may affect energy usage behaviour. The EUI evaluates three levels of energy consumption, measured in kWh per Guest Night, across four categories of hotel size based on the number of guestrooms. "Guest Night" denotes the aggregate count of hotel occupants.

This study suggests, according to the data in Table 8, that the small hotel comprises a maximum of 50 guestrooms, each occupied by a single guest, with a minimal electricity consumption of 12 kWh per guest night. This study computes total electricity consumption per night and hour by multiplying electricity usage by the number of rooms and dividing by 24.

Table 8
Electricity Use Index - CHENACT Benchmarks

	Hotel Size (# of Guestrooms)			
	<=50	51-100	101-200	>200
High (kWh/Guest Night)	118	87	43	50
Average (kWh/Guest Night)	43	44	32	34
Low (kWh/Guest Night)	12	18	25	22

Consequently, a hotel with 50 rooms occupied by a single guest each, consuming 12 kWh per guest night, results in a total energy consumption of 600 kWh per night, equating to 25 kWh per hour.

Meanwhile, Table 9 presents the computation outcomes for various levels of energy consumption and occupancy. The energy consumption values in Table 9 may also reflect the hotel's total electricity usage, encompassing facilities such as the kitchen, office, lobby, and others, expressed as the average energy consumption per guest per night across different occupancy

Table 10
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and while considering daily, weekly, and monthly averaged wind speed data interval

Location	Data	Mean kWh	Occupancy (%)			
			100	75	50	25
Daily averaged wind speed-based data interval						
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.45	1.8	2.4	3.6	7.2
	Predicted	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.6	5.1
Losari	Historical	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
	Predicted	0.24	1	1.3	1.9	3.8
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.83	7.3	9.8	14.7	29.3
	Predicted	2.21	8.8	11.8	17.6	35.3
Weekly averaged wind speed-based data interval						
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.37	1.5	2	3	5.9
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.27	1.1	1.5	2.2	4.4
	Predicted	0.21	0.8	1.1	1.6	3.3
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.61	6.6	8.7	13.1	26.2
	Predicted	1.79	7	9.5	14.3	28.6
Monthly averaged wind speed-based data interval						
Gunung Kidul	Historical	0.32	1.3	1.7	2.5	5.1
	Predicted	0.35	1.4	1.9	2.8	5.6
Losari	Historical	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.8	3.5
	Predicted	0.22	0.9	1.2	1.7	3.5
Nusa Penida	Historical	1.46	5.8	7.8	11.7	23.3
	Predicted	1.57	6.3	8.4	12.5	25.1

Table 11
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and weekly averaged wind speed data interval
Table 12
Wind energy contribution (in percentage) for different small hotel occupancies and monthly averaged wind speed data interval

levels.

The contribution of wind energy to hotel electricity demand is quantified as a percentage, determined by dividing the average power output of the wind turbine by the energy consumption linked to hotel occupancy. This study employs low-to-high kWh/Guest Night values, specifically ranging from 25% to 100% hotel occupancy, to calculate the contribution of wind energy.

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Utilizing HWES to predict wind speed and wind turbine power output demonstrated that the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine outperformed the Fuhrländer FL 100 100 kW and the Eoltec WindRunner 25 kW turbines. Therefore, the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW is chosen to evaluate the potential contribution of wind energy. Tables 10 ~~to 12~~ shows the potential percentages of electricity consumption that can be satisfied by a single installed wind turbine for small hotels across various locations, categorised by daily, weekly, and monthly data intervals, respectively.

The wind energy contribution shown in Tables 10 ~~to 12~~ evaluates both historical and projected average kWh produced by the Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine by its power curve characteristics. The predicted mean kWh is derived from the HWES models presented in Table 7. The data in Tables 10 ~~to 12~~ indicate that the anticipated energy contribution of a Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW turbine to the hotel's energy requirements across all locations varies from 0.8% to 35.3%, based on hotel occupancy levels ranging from 100% to 25%, specifically at Losari (100% occupancy, weekly averaged interval) and Nusa Penida (25% occupancy, daily averaged interval).

According to daily average wind speed data, Nusa Penida exhibits the greatest contribution of wind turbine energy generation in fulfilling hotel electricity demand across various occupancy levels. The predicted mean energy output of the wind turbine, quantified at 2.21 kWh, could fulfil 8.8% of the hotel's energy requirements at full occupancy and escalate to 35.3% at 25% occupancy. Concurrently, Losari Beach has demonstrated the least potential across all data intervals.

Results in Tables 10 ~~12~~ also illustrate a trade-off between hotel occupancy rates and the contribution of wind turbine energy generation to the energy demands of hotels. The daily interval results for Nusa Penida indicate that reducing hotel occupancy to 25% has led to a fourfold increase in the energy generation contribution from wind turbines. Tables 10 ~~12 indicate~~ indicates that small wind turbines possess significant potential to fulfil partial electricity requirements of hotels at low-to-medium occupancy levels, specifically between 25% and 50%. Wind turbines are projected to fulfil 10% to 35% of energy requirements.

This contribution rate is particularly advantageous for the hotel as it alleviates the burden of grid energy expenses during the off-peak season. This also enables the integration of small wind turbines with other RE technologies, such as solar power and batteries, to enhance the clean energy supply for hotel energy requirements. This study, although focused on Indonesian locations, offers valuable methodology and analysis applicable to other developing countries and jurisdictions.

4. Conclusion

This study examined renewable energy (RE) use to promote sustainable tourism in developing countries. Using a 10-year historical wind dataset, it looked specifically at the role of small wind turbines in meeting some of the electricity demands of small-sized hotels. This study developed the wind speed model by incorporating the impact of pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction on wind speed over selected locations using MLR, SARIMA, and HWES.

The key findings of the case study, which considered three selected locations across different islands of Indonesia, are as follows:

- The hourly and daily interval datasets analysis reveals a weak correlation between weather factors (pressure, relative humidity, temperature, and wind direction) and wind speed. Wind direction has shown a stronger correlation for monthly interval data in locations exhibiting higher wind energy potential.
- The HWES method is the most appropriate approach for modelling and forecasting wind speed considering long-term datasets and different data intervals. The RMSE values indicate that monthly interval data has the lowest RMSE, followed by weekly and daily interval data.
- The Pitchwind Systems AB 30 kW wind turbine has been identified as the most appropriate type of turbine due to its power curve alignment with wind speed data and power output potential based on the HWES model's wind speed prediction.
- The installation of a single wind turbine could supply between 8.8% and 35.3% of the hotel's electricity demand, depending upon the occupancy rate levels ranging from 100% (8.8% contribution) to 25% (35.3% contribution).

This study is limited in that it analyzed planned locations. It does not consider the economic feasibility of installing small wind turbines on-site. These economic implications can help determine the overall wind energy potential and feasibility of the chosen location. Furthermore, this study does not take into account or analyze the impact of hotel electricity demand patterns, such as hourly or daily, on the temporal contribution period of wind energy supply.

Nomenclature

Y	: dependent variable of multiple linear regression
α	: constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)
$\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$	: the 1st to the nth regression coefficient
$X_1 \dots X_n$	: the 1st to the nth independent variable
e	: prediction error
p	: trend on autoregression order
P	: seasonal autoregressive order
d	: trend on difference order
D	: seasonal difference order
q	: trend-moving average order
Q	: seasonal moving average order
$\phi_p(B)$	: non-seasonal autoregressive level
$\phi_p(B^s)$	: seasonal autoregressive level
$(1-B)^d$	: non-seasonal differencing level,
$(1-B^s)^D$	: seasonal differencing level
$\theta_q(B)$	: non-seasonal moving average level
$\Theta_q(B^s)$	: seasonal moving average level
Y_t	: actual data for the period t
$\mathcal{E}_t$	: error in the period t
α, β, γ	: smoothing constants
y_t	: observed value at the time t
L_t	: smoothing level value at the time t
b_t	: trend pattern smoothing value at the time t
S_t	: seasonal pattern smoothing value at the time t
F_{t+m}	: forecast for a time $t+m$
s	: seasonal length
y	: power output
x	: wind speed
Y'	: dependent variable of polynomial regression
X	: independent variable of polynomial regression
$X^2 \dots X^n$	: the independent variable with n degree of the polynomial
b_0	: constant or the starting point (where all independent variables are equal to 0)

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

$b_1 \dots b_n$: polynomial regression coefficients

References

Aagreh, Y. & Al-Ghzawi, A. (2013). Feasibility of utilizing renewable energy systems for a small hotel in Ajloun city, Jordan. *Applied Energy* 103, 25-31; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.008

Abdelhady, S. (2023). Techno-economic study and the optimal hybrid renewable energy system design for a hotel building with net zero energy and net zero carbon emissions. *Energy Conversion and Management* 289, 117195; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117195

Al-Zoubi, H., Al-Khasawneh, Y., Omar, W. (2021). Design and feasibility study of an on-grid photovoltaic system for green electrification of hotels: a case study of Cedars hotel in Jordan. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering* 12(4), 611–626; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00406-z

Ayoub, N., Musharavati, F., Pokharel, S., Gabbar, H.A. (2014). Energy consumption and conservation practices in Qatar—A case study of a hotel building. *Energy and Buildings* 84, 55-69; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.07.050

Beccali, M., Finocchiaro, P., Ippolito, M.G., Leone, G., Panno, D., Zizzo, G. (2018). Analysis of some renewable energy uses and demand side measures for hotels on small Mediterranean islands: A case study. *Energy* 157, 106-114; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.05.139

Beer, M., Rybár, R., Kaľavský, M. (2017). Renewable energy sources as an attractive element of industrial tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism* 21(18), 2139–2151; https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1316971

Berita Satu. (2019). *Hotel business in Nusa Penida has potential (in Bahasa Indonesia).* https://www.beritasatu.com/ekonomi/577721/bisnis-hotel-di-nusa-penida-potensial. Accessed on 24 October 2024.

Bohdanowicz, P., Churie-Kallhauge, A., Martinac, I. (2001). *Energy efficiency and conservation in hotels – towards sustainable tourism.* In Proc. 4th International Symposium on Asia Pacific Architecture, Hawaii, United States.

Christie, G., Hatidja, D., Tumilaar, R. (2022). Application of the SARIMA method in the step function intervention to predict the number of visitors at Londa tourism object. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sains* 22(2), 96–103; https://doi.org/10.35799/jis.v22i2.40961

CHTA. (2012). *Caribbean Hotel Energy Efficiency Action Program (CHENACT).* http://www.caribbeanhotelandtourism.com/downloads/CHENACT-Presentation-Final.pdf. Accessed on 4 May 2024.

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009a). Feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for a grid-connected large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 955-964; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.08.012

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Case study feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for small to medium-sized tourist accommodations. *Renewable Energy* 34(4), 1134-1144; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.06.018

Dalton, G.J., Lockington, D.A., Baldock, T.E. (2009b). Feasibility analysis of stand-alone renewable energy supply options for a large hotel. *Renewable Energy* 33(7), 1475-1490; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.09.014

Darmana, T. & Koerniawan, T. (2019). The development of energy tourism village in Indonesia: A case study in Nusa Penida. *E3S Web Conference* 125, 11001; https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201912511001

Elgendi, M., AlMallahi, M., Abdelkhalig, A., Selim, Y.M.E. (2023). A review of wind turbines in complex terrain. *International Journal of Thermofluids* 17, 100289; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100289

European Commission. (2020). *Catalogue of European urban wind turbine manufacturers.* http://biohabitat.free.fr/dossiers/habitat_ecobio/energies_renouvelables/doc-annexe/catalogue-eolienne.pdf. Accessed on 1 May 2024.

Fazelpour, F., Soltani, N., Rosen, M.A. (2014). Feasibility of satisfying electrical energy needs with hybrid systems for a medium-size hotel on Kish Island, Iran. *Energy* 73, 856-865; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.06.097

GKTA. (2024). *List of Hotels in Gunung Kidul (in Bahasa Indonesia).* https://wisata.gunungkidulkab.go.id/daftar-hotel-gunungkidul/. Accessed on 26 October 2024.

Güğül, G.N. (2023). Optimum hybrid renewable energy system design for on and off grid buildings: Hotel, education and animal hospital building case. *Solar Energy* 253, 414-427; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2022.12.044

GSTC. (2024). *What is sustainable tourism?* https://www.gstcouncil.org/what-is-sustainable-tourism/. Accessed on 15 August 2024.

Güler, Ö., Akdağ, S.A., Dinçsoy, M.E. (2013). Feasibility analysis of medium-sized hotel's electrical energy consumption with hybrid systems. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 9, 15-22; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2013.02.004

Hailiang, Z., Chau, K.Y., Waqas, M. (2023). Does green finance and renewable energy promote tourism for sustainable development: Empirical evidence from China. Renewable Energy 207, 660-671; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.03.032

IBP. (2023). *US investors express interest in green tourism projects in Indonesia.* https://indonesiabusinesspost.com/risks-opportunities/us-investors-express-interest-in-green-tourism-projects-in-indonesia/. Accessed on 2 June 2024.

Irandi, D.F., Rohmawati, A.A., Gunawan, P.H. (2021). Forecasting number of new cases daily COVID-19 in Central Java province using Exponential Smoothing Holt-Winters. *Indonesia Journal on Computing (Indo-JC)* 6(2), 23–32; https://doi.org/10.34818/INDOJC.2021.6.2.565

Katić, D., Krstić, H., Otković, II., Juričić, H.B. (2024). Comparing multiple linear regression and neural network models for predicting heating energy consumption in school buildings in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Journal of Building Engineering* 97, 110728; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110728

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Solangi, Y.A. (2024). Analysis of factors and strategies for the implementation of sustainable tourism in a green economic structure in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 434, 140011; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140011

LTAIA. (2022). *Realizing Commitment to Build Sustainable Tourism in TCR Area, Lake Toba Becomes First DPSP to Use Green Energy.* Retrieved https://www.bpodt.id/siaran-pers-wujudkan-komitmen-bangun-sustainable-tourism-di-kawasan-tcr-danau-toba-jadi-dpsp-pertama-pengguna-green-energy/. Accessed on 1 June 2024.

Luo, J., Joybari, M.M., Ma, Y., Liu, J., Lai, K. (2024). Assessment of renewable power generation applied in homestay hotels: Energy and cost-benefit considering dynamic occupancy rates and reservation prices. Journal of Building Engineering 87, 109074; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2024.109074

Machine Learning Mastery. (2019). *A Gentle Introduction to SARIMA for time series forecasting in Python.* https://machinelearningmastery.com/sarima-for-time-series-forecasting-in-python/. Accessed on 6 June 2024.

Meschede, H., Dunkelberg, H., Stöhr, F., Peesel, R.H., Hesselbach, J. (2017). Assessment of probabilistic distributed factors influencing renewable energy supply for hotels using Monte-Carlo methods. *Energy* 128, 86-100; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.03.166

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

Morala, P., Cifuentes, J.A., Lillo, R.O., Ucar, I. (2021). Towards a mathematical framework to inform neural network modelling via polynomial regression. *Neural Networks* 142, 57-72; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2021.04.036

MTCE. (2024). *5 Eco Resorts in Indonesia with Environmental-friendly Concept.* https://www.kemenparekraf.go.id/en/articles/5-eco-resorts-in-indonesia-with-environmental-friendly-concept. Assessed on 10 August 2024.

Navratil, J., Picha, K., Buchecker, M., Martinat, S., Svec, R., Brezinova, M., Knotek, J. (2019). Visitors' preferences of renewable energy options in "green" hotels. *Renewable Energy* 138, 1065-1077; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.043

NREL. (2024). *NSRDB: National solar radiation database.* https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer. Accessed on 1 April 2024.

Petromindo. (2024). *PLN closes diesel plant in Sumba Timur, focuses on clean energy.* https://www.petromindo.com/news/article/pln-closes-diesel-plant-in-sumba-timur-focuses-on-clean-energy. Accessed on 20 October 2024.

Prabowo, S.A., Ihsan, M., Satria, W. (2022). *Analysis on the potential of renewable energy in maritime areas and its effect on the medium voltage utility electricity networks.* In Proc. International Conference on Technology and Policy in Energy and Electric Power, Jakarta, Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-PEP57242.2022.9988957

Rahman, M.H., Tanchangya, T., Rahman, J., Aktar, M.A., Majumder, S.C. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and green financing behavior in Bangladesh: Towards sustainable tourism. *Innovation and Green Development* 3(3), 100133; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100133

The Wind Power. (2024). Fuhrländer FL 100/21. https://www.thewindpower.net/turbine_en_162_fuhrlander_fl-100-21.php. Accessed on 7 May 2024.

UNEP. (2024). *Tourism.* https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/resource-efficiency/what-we-do/responsible-industry/tourism. Accessed on 1 August 2024.

UNT. (2024). *Sustainable tourism development.* https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development. Accessed on 16 August 2024.

Y. Tanoto et al

Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev 20xx, xx(xx), xx-xxx

| xx

8. Email received from IJRED: The article is published online (15 March 2025)

[IJRED] [61019] Your article is now published online IJRED-2025-61019

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto <support@ijred.cbiore.id>   Sat, Mar 15, 2025 at 2:54 PM
Reply-To: "Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto" <hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id>
To: "Dr. Yusak Tanoto" <tanyusak@petra.ac.id>
Cc: Brandon Sebastian Jasman <brandon.s.jasman@gmail.com>, Stephanus Antonius Ananda <ananda@petra.ac.id>

Dear Yusak Tanoto, Brandon Sebastian Jasman, Stephanus Antonius Ananda,

As co-author of the article entitled "Assessing the potential of small wind turbine electricity generation for small-sized hotels towards sustainable tourism in developing countries", we are pleased to let you know that the final open access version, containing full bibliographic details, is now available online.

The URL below is a quick and easy way to share your work with colleagues, other co-authors, and friends. Anyone clicking on the link will be taken directly to the final version of your article on the International Journal of Renewable Energy Development website (https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred).

Your article link: https://ijred.cbiore.id/index.php/ijred/article/view/61019
DOI: 10.61435/ijred.2025.61019

You can also use this link to download a copy of the article for your own archive and you are welcome to add it to your homepage or social media profiles, such as Facebook, Google+, and Twitter.

Kind regards,

Prof. Dr H Hadiyanto
Center of Biomass and Renewable Energy (CBIORE), Diponegoro University
hadiyanto@live.undip.ac.id