ARSITEKNO

HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS REVIEWERS EDITORIALS AUTHOR GUIDELINES

Home > Vol 12, No 1 (2025)

Arsitekno

ARSITEKNO is a research journal published by Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh. The journal is dedicated for those whose expertise are related to the field of architecture in a broader sense. This journal covers area of Architecture and Design, Urban Design, Landscape Architecture, History, Theory and Architecture Critics, Building Physics and Sciences, Housing and Human Settlement.

ARSITEKNO was initiated in 2013 and it was published **semesterly on January and July**. In the time span from 2013 to 2016, ARSITEKNO had published 7 volumes using **P-ISSN 2301-945X**. Also, with the P-ISSN the journal utilized publication name as **ARSITEKNO: Jurnal Teknik Arsitektur**.

After some period in absence, from 2017 to 2020, the journal is re-initiated. **Started from Volume 8 No 1 in 2021**, with **the new E-ISSN 2776-7841**, the publication's name **is revised** to be called as **ARSITEKNO**. Since then, every article that is submitted to the journal will be processed to have **a similarity checked**. In addition, the whole processes, from submission to publication, are conducted **full online Open Journal System (OJS) management**. Furthermore, started from 2021, ARSITEKNO will be consistently published **twice annually in March and September**.

ARSITEKNO is managed by OJS team from Architecture Program, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Malikussaleh. Also, the journal has been **accredited as Sinta 4** by the Directorate General of Higher Education, Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology, in accordance with Decree **No. 72/E/KPT/2024 of 1 April 2024**. Furthermore, the journal is indexed in **DOAJ**, **Google Scholar**, **Portal Garuda** and **Dimensions**. Submission to this journal is **free** from submission, editing, and publication cost.

Announcements

	- B.S.		
No announcements have been published.		J	
,		 Scienc	ce a

More Announcements...

PDF (BAHASA INDONESIA)

PDF (BAHASA INDONESIA)

ARSITEKNO

Volume 11 Nomor 1

Vol 12, No 1 (2025): Arsitekno

Table of Contents	
Articles	

EVALUASI RANCANGAN TAMAN BERMAIN DI KOTA MEDAN BERDASARKAN STANDAR RBRA DOI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18391 M Abstract views : 57 times Mirzal Yacub, Meuthia Fadila, Irma Novrianty Nasution

ANALISA KELAYAKAN PENERAPAN TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT PADA KOTA LHOKSEUMAWE

ODI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18426 M Abstract views : 33 times Nahrul Hayawan Dradisa

1-8

9-17

P-ISSN 2301-945X E-ISSN 2776-7841

Peer Reviewers Focus & Scope Author Guidelines Publication Fee Publication Ethics Publication Frequency Copyright Notice

lagiarism Policy

Open Access Policy

Peer Review Process

Online Submission

Contact

Arsitekno : Google Scholar

Citations	242	235
h-index	9	9
i10-index	7	5



Make a Submission





	10-24	
Soli : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18931 M Abstract views : 35 times Astrid Annisa, Mufti Ali Nasution		zotero
DYNAMISM OF SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT: ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND	PDF 25-35	
DOI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.17963 M Abstract views : 57 times Bagus Prayogi	23.55	U turnitin
PEMANFAATAN GREEN ROOF SEBAGAI RUANG TERBUKA HIJAU PADA REDESAIN FAKULTAS TEKNIK UNIVERSITAS LANCANG KUNING	PDF (BAHASA INDONESIA) 36-46	USER
DOI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18524 Abstract views : 54 times Syaranni Amelia, Yose Rizal, Repi Repi		Username Password
IDENTIFIKASI LANDMARK ARSITEKTUR DAN LANSKAP SEBAGAI ATRAKSI WISATA ODI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.19154 M Abstract views : 46 times Faiza Aidina, Akram Nafil, Teuku Eka Panny Hadinata, Astrid Annisa	PDF (BAHASA INDONESIA) 47-54	Remember me
KAJIAN ELEMEN RUANG TERBUKA HIJAU PADA PERUMAHAN GREEN MANSION, KABUPATEN SIDOARJO, JAWA TIMUR ODI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.16703 M Abstract views : 54 times Wawan Ariestianto Karuru, Sri Suryani	PDF (BAHASA INDONESIA) 55-62	» For Readers » For Authors » For Librarians
A HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF THERMAL COMFORT: THE EVOLUTION OF VENTILATION SYSTEMS AT THE ROYAL VICTORIA HOSPITAL, BELFAST ODI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18804 M Abstract views : 53 times Suci Farahdilla	PDF 63-74	
IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO, GLASS REFLECTANCE, AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX ODI : 10.29103/arj.v12i1.18544 Abstract views : 27 times Aris Budhiyanto	PDF 75-83	

Indexed in:



Published by: Architecture Program Universitas Malikussaleh

ARSITEKNO

HOME ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS REVIEWERS EDITORIALS AUTHOR GUIDELINES

Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Erna Muliana, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia

Associate Editor

Atthaillah Atthaillah, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia Sisca Olivia, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia Hendra A, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia Soraya Masthura Hassan, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia Fidyati Fidyati, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia, Indonesia Mirzal Yacub, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia

Editorial Board Members

Abdul Malik Nasution, Ikatan Arsitek Indonesia Provinsi Aceh, Indonesia Cut Azmah Fithri, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia

Indexed in:



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License Published by:

Architecture Program Universitas Malikussaleh

P-ISSN 2301-945X E-ISSN 2776-7841

Peer Reviewers

Focus & Scope

Author Guidelines

Publication Fee

Publication Ethics

Publication Frequency

Copyright Notice

Plagiarism Policy

Open Access Policy

Peer Review Process

Online Submission

Contact

Arsitekno : Google Scholar

Citations	242	235
h-index	9	9
i10-index	7	5





Make a Submission



Arsitekno's Template





STRATEGI PENGEMBANGAN DESTINASI SEPEDA: BERDASARKAN KARAKTERISTIK LINGKUNGAN DAN KEGIATAN PADA ERA PASCA-PANDEMI COVID 19 Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 2 (2024): Arsitekno 96-107

□ <u>2024</u> □ <u>DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i2.18243</u> <u>O Accred : Sinta 4</u>

PENDEKATAN HISTORIC URBAN LANDSCAPE (HUL) PADA KAWASAN SARIBU RUMAH GADANG SOLOK SELATAN

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 1 (2024): Arsitekno 1-10

□ <u>2024</u> □ <u>DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i1.15061</u> <u>O Accred : Sinta 4</u>

PREFERENSI DALAM MEMILIH HUNIAN PASCA PANDEMI BERDASARKAN PARAMETER IDEAL HOUSING DI TANGERANG

<u>Universitas Malikussaleh</u> Arsitekno Vol 11, No 2 (2024): Arsitekno 50-62

□ <u>2024</u> □ <u>DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i2.16343</u> <u>O Accred : Sinta 4</u>

KAJIAN TEORI CITRA KOTA PADA JEMBATAN MERAH PLAZA (JMP) KOTA SURABAYA

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 1 (2024): Arsitekno 11-18

□ <u>2024</u> □ <u>DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i1.15595</u> <u>O Accred : Sinta 4</u>

KAJIAN ARSITEKTUR PERILAKU PADA PERPUSTAKAAN BALAI PEMUDA SEBAGAI SARANA KEGIATAN LITERASI

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 2 (2024): Arsitekno 63-71

PERENCANAAN TAMAN EKOWISATA SEBAGAI UPAYA MENGATASI DEGRADASI PERKOTAAN

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 1 (2024): Arsitekno 19-28

□ 2024 □ DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i1.15625 ○ Accred : Sinta 4

OPTIMALISASI WISATA KAMPUNG SUSU DI KABUPATEN ENREKANG

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 2 (2024): Arsitekno 72-82

□ 2024 □ DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i2.16377 O Accred : Sinta 4

PERENCANAAN PUSAT PENDIDIKAN ISLAM DENGAN MENGANGKAT IDENTITAS KERAJAAN SAMUDERA PASAI

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 1 (2024): Arsitekno 29-37

□ <u>2024</u> □ <u>DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i1.15734</u> <u>O Accred : Sinta 4</u>

IDENTIFIKASI PENERAPAN ATRIBUT USE AND ACTIVITIES DALAM CREATIVE PLACEMAKING PADA LOKANANTA BLOC SURAKARTA

 Universitas Malikussaleh
 Arsitekno Vol 11, No 2 (2024): Arsitekno 83-95

 2024
 DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i2.17867
 Accred : Sinta 4

KAJIAN PENERAPAN TEORI PRINSIP PENATAAN DAN POLA TATA RUANG DALAM PADA ISTANA MAIMOON KOTA MEDAN

Universitas Malikussaleh Arsitekno Vol 11, No 1 (2024): Arsitekno 38-49

□ 2024 □ DOI: 10.29103/arj.v11i1.15711 O Accred : Sinta 4



IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO, GLASS VISIBLE TRANSMITTANCE, AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX

Aris Budhiyanto

Department of Architecture, Petra Christian University, Surabaya, Indonesia, email: arisb@petra.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The growing adoption of window facades in buildings has enhanced the use of daylighting, which has generally been well-received by occupants. However, the effectiveness of daylighting in interior spaces can be influenced by several factors, including the window-to-wall ratio (WWR), glass visible transmittance (VT), and the reflectance of walls, ceilings, and floors. This study investigates how these factors affect indoor average illuminance. To model the indoor lighting environment and adjust these factors, a simulation method using DIALux software was employed. Multiple linear regression analysis was then used to assess the impact of each factor on average illuminance. The results reveal that WWR and VT are the most significant variables, with their increases leading to substantial improvements in illuminance, though the benefits diminish at higher levels. In contrast, ceiling and floor reflectance have minimal effects, with ceiling reflectance being the least impactful. The regression analysis validates the model's high predictive accuracy and highlights the critical role of WWR and VT in achieving optimal lighting conditions.

Keywords: daylighting, indoor illuminance, simulation analysis, WWR, surface reflectance

Info Artikel:

Dikirim: 31 Agustus 2024; Revisi: 23 September 2024; Diterima: 06 November 2024; Diterbitkan: 21 April 2025



©2025 The Author(s). Published by Arsitekno, Architecture Program, Universitas Malikussaleh, Aceh, Indonesia under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent times, there has been a notable rise in the adoption of window facades in buildings, with glass curtain walls becoming increasingly prevalent. There is a growing emphasis on harnessing daylight to create a visually comfortable indoor environment, leading to more active exploration in this regard [1]. Buildings abundant in natural light typically foster a more favorable work atmosphere compared to those reliant on artificial lighting. Human beings tend to react more positively to natural light, which enhances the functionality of their eyes and brains, resulting in heightened concentration and overall better performance [2]. Studies conducted on office buildings have demonstrated that elevated levels of daylight can enhance employees' working performance and their capacity to recall information. Research focusing on educational buildings has revealed that optimal daylighting is associated with improved student performance and lead to heightened satisfaction and morale among both students and teachers [3].

A more sustainable lighting solution can be realized using a three-stage design approach. The first stage consists of adjusting geometric shapes and surface colors or reflectances, the second aims to maximize natural light use, and the third incorporates artificial lighting. In tropical countries with abundant daylight, effectively harnessing this light presents a valuable opportunity for design implementation [4]. Nasrollahi & Shokry in [5] suggest implementing windows with a maximum height to width ratio of 35 to 45%, and reflection coefficient for the roof, floor, walls with windows, and walls of two other fronts are 0.6, 0.4, 0.4, and 0.6, respectively, to achieve optimum visual comfort in the building. Changing the color of room surfaces (walls, ceiling, and

IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO, GLASS VISIBLE TRANSMITTANCE, AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX

floor) to white or brighter colors has been proved able to improve lighting quality [6]. Katunský et al. in [2] indicated that white, gray, green, or yellow walls, along with a white ceiling and brown floor, were perceived as the most suitable choices for the interior surfaces in the hall under consideration. Makaremi et al. in [7] investigated how surface color reflectance relates to lighting efficiency and discovered a strong correlation between the reflectivity of the ceiling and lighting system performance. Enhancing indoor surface reflectance is crucial for improving visual comfort and can lead to potential electrical energy savings of up to 45%. However, according to Roy et al. in [8], the ideal combination of room surface reflectance should strike a balance, avoiding surfaces that are excessively absorptive or overly reflective.

Related to the openings, a study in three rooms of an office in Jakarta revealed that daylight openings facing the same orientation but differing in type, position, size, and material, yield varying quantities and qualities of light across different spaces. In addition, the reflective surfaces both inside and outside the rooms significantly influence the effectiveness of natural lighting in each workspace [9]. Tahbaz et al. in [10] assesses how architectural design details—such as window size and frame, glass size and color, and ceiling shape and height—affect daylighting, using simulations to modify or remove these elements. The results show that alterations in design features like ceiling shape, window height and size, and glass specifications significantly impact light distribution and intensity in different seasons within the room. Cammarano et al. in [11] alters architectural features of a room—such as its orientation, window size, depth, and external obstructions—and analyzing how these modifications affect daylight performance. This helps to understand how daylight availability within a space varies because of these changes. The findings of this study can help determine how room depth and window size affect the availability of daylight in a space. They can also be used to adjust window dimensions and room layout to achieve the desired level of daylight.

Because numerous factors, including the room's geometry, the ratio of windows to walls, window component, such as glass ratio, glazing materials and shading devices, and internally reflected component, which is the lighting component originating from reflections of surfaces within the room, such as the ceiling, room, and floor, surface material, and color affect the quantity of natural light that enters indoor areas, it's essential to grasp the significance of each element in indoor lighting [3], [8]. Nasrollahi & Shokry in [5] suggest investigating those factors and how each element contributes to the lighting environment to provide a fundamental strategy for designing daylighting systems in buildings. Therefore, this study seeks to investigate the impact of window design and the reflectivity of interior surfaces on the dispersion of light withing buildings.

2. METHOD

Research into indoor lighting generally combines experimental field measurements with computational simulations. This approach employs various metrics and indices such as illuminance, illuminance uniformity, daylight factor, luminance, and visual comfort probability [12], [13]. Advances in technology have greatly enhanced the role of digital tools in architectural design, especially in lighting simulation. Modern simulation software is crucial in the design process, providing a comprehensive foundation for tackling multiple challenges simultaneously. These tools not only offer a visual representation of a building's lighting environment but also supply critical parameters needed for design [14].

To examine the relationship between room lighting levels, window design, and interior surface reflectance, the software DIALux Evo was chosen for its suitability. This tool excels in simulating, calculating, and visualizing both natural and artificial lighting, making it ideal for the analysis [12]. As a free software, DIALux Evo is well-regarded for its capability to simulate lighting conditions both externally and internally within buildings. Verification results confirm that DIALux Evo's outputs closely match reference values from experimental tests under various lighting conditions, including both artificial and daylighting [12], [15]–[17]. Comparisons between experimental data and simulation results showed that the percentage error did not exceed 10%, demonstrating that the accuracy of DIALux Evo's model is generally acceptable [12].

A baseline model was created using DIALux Evo with dimensions of 3.5 meters in width, 6 meters in depth, and 2.8 meters in height, featuring a window on the north-facing facade. The

model's depth is more than twice its height to promote effective daylighting [18]. It has a Windowto-Wall Ratio (WWR) of 0.5, glass visible transmission (VT) of 0.4, and surface reflectances for the ceiling, walls, and floor set at 0.7, 0.6, and 0.3, respectively (Figure 1a). The model includes 45 light sensors to measure illuminance, shown by the blue color in Figure 1c. The simulation takes place on March 21st at 09:20 under clear sky conditions, coinciding with the sun's position during the equinox [19].

Initially, the impact of each variable—WWR, glass visible transmission (VT), ceiling reflectance (CR), wall reflectance (WR), and floor reflectance (FR)—is analyzed individually. Each variable is adjusted while keeping the others constant. For example, to assess the effect of WWR, it is varied from 0.1 to 0.75, while the other parameters remain unchanged. The same approach is used for the other variables, with the average illuminance on the workplane, positioned at a height of 0.8 meters, being assessed from the simulations (Figure 1). The variable input ranges are presented in Table 1 and Figure 2.



Figure 1. The model of the building created in DIALux Evo (a), the luminance on workplane (b), and the position of the sensor (c).

IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO. GLASS VISIBLE TRANSMITTANCE. AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX

Table 1. Variable input range				
Var	iables		Input Range	
W	WR		0.1 - 0.75	
I	/T		0.1 - 0.75	
(CR		0.1 - 0.75	
V	VR		0.1 - 0.75	
Η	FR		0.1 - 0.75	
WWR 0.1	WWR 0.15	WWR 0.2	WWR 0.25	WWR 0.3
WWR 0.35	WWR 0.4	WWR 0.45	WWR 0.5	WWR 0.55

WWR 0.7 Figure 2. The elevation views of model with different WWR.

WWR 0.75

WWR 0.65

Subsequently, the combined effects of these variables are examined. A total of 150 models are constructed to explore various combinations of WWR, VT, CR, WR, and FR ranging from 0.1 to 0.75. The average illuminance values from these models are analyzed using multiple linear regression (MLR), with SPSS software employed for this analysis [20], [21]. Given the five variables and the sample size, the data meet the requirements for multiple linear regression analysis, with a confidence level of alpha = 0.05 and an R-value of 0.8 [22].

In addition of the illuminance, the uniformity of the illuminance will be analysed. The illuminance uniformity ratio (U_o) is a key architectural design metric that reflects the even distribution of light throughout a space, serving as an indicator of daylight quality. It is calculated as the ratio of the minimum illuminance (E_{min}) to the average illuminance level (E_{ave}) within that area. To determine this uniformity, daylight is measured on a designated work plane [23].

3. RESULT

WWR 0.6

The relationship between each variable—WWR, VT, CR, WR, and FR—and Eave (measured in lux) is depicted in Figure 3. The analysis clearly indicates that WWR and VT play a more prominent role in influencing Eave levels compared to the other variables. This is particularly evident from the steeper slopes associated with these two variables, which suggest a more pronounced effect on the overall lighting environment. The Eave for baseline model is 340 lux. As both the WWR and VT increase, there is a marked increase in E_{ave} —from 52.5 lux to 456 lux with rising WWR, and from 85 lux to 638 lux with increasing VT. This clearly demonstrates their substantial impact on lighting levels. However, the rate of increase in Eave begins to taper off once the WWR surpasses a threshold of 0.45, suggesting a diminishing return in illuminance gains beyond this point.

In contrast, changes in WR exhibit a more moderate influence on E_{ave} , from 251 lux to 386 lux, indicating that while WR does contribute to the overall lighting, its effect is not as substantial as that of WWR or VT. On the other hand, CR and FR appear to have the least impact on E_{ave} , from 297 lux to 344 lux with rising CR, and from 322 lux to 391 lux with rising FR. Their

relatively shallow slopes in the analysis suggest that variations in these reflectance values result in minimal changes to the illuminance levels, making them less critical factors in the overall lighting design.



Figure 3. Average illuminance of the model with various variable values.



Figure 4. Illuminance uniformity ratio of the model with various variable values.

Regarding the U_o , the U_o of baseline model is 0.29. Increasing the WWR from 0.1 to 0.35 leads to a rise in the U_o from 0.21 to 0.29. In contrast, raising the WWR from 0.35 to 0.75 only increases the U_o slightly, from 0.29 to 0.30. Variations in VT, CR, and FR do not significantly impact the U_o ; it fluctuates only slightly, ranging from 0.27 to 0.29 for VT changes, 0.23 to 0.29 for CR changes, and 0.27 to 0.34 for FR changes. These minimal changes in the U_o can be attributed to both E_{min} and E_{ave} increasing as WWR, VT, CR, or FR values rise. However, WR has a substantial effect on the Uo, which increases from 0.11 to 0.36 as WR rises from 0.1 to 0.75 (Figure 4).

After analyzing the effects of each individual variable, the next step is to evaluate their combined effects. Figure 5 displays the simulation results for various models with different combinations of WWR, VT, CR, and FR values. The results indicate that varying these combinations leads to different illuminance levels.

IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO, GLASS VISIBLE TRANSMITTANCE, AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX



Figure 5. Average illuminance of the model with various variable values.

Before conducting the MLR analysis, the dataset underwent a thorough examination, including normality test, autocorrelation test, heteroscedasticity test, and multicollinearity test to ensure its suitability for multiple regression, with the goal of achieving accurate and valid results [24], [25]. Initial findings, however, indicated nonlinearity within the regression model, which required corrective measures to meet the assumption of linearity. To address this issue, logarithmic transformations were applied to both the independent and dependent variables, as referenced in [20]. This transformation was essential to aligning the data with the linear assumptions necessary for a valid MLR analysis. However, for the U_o in the MLR analysis, the normality test, autocorrelation test, and heteroscedasticity test do not satisfy the necessary conditions, even after transforming the independent and dependent variables. This suggests that the regression model is nonlinear, making uniformity ratio MLR analysis inappropriate.

The MLR analysis revealed that all variables significantly predicted E_{ave} , with F(5, 150) = 1067.290, p < 0.001, an R value of 0.987, and an R² of 0.974, underscoring the model's strong predictive capability. Each of the five variables contributed significantly to the model, as indicated by p-values <0.05, with all p-values registering <0.001, as shown in Table 2. This outcome aligns closely with the individual variable analysis presented in Figure 3, reinforcing the consistency of the findings across different analytical approaches.

The unstandardized coefficients—raw coefficients directly produced by the regression—reveal that WWR and VT have higher values compared to the other variables, suggesting that these factors exert a more substantial influence on average illuminance. Notably, VT slightly surpasses WWR, indicating it has a marginally greater impact on the illuminance levels. In contrast, the coefficients for CR and FR are lower, highlighting their relatively minor effect on E_{ave} .

However, a different perspective emerges when examining the standardized coefficients, which are typically used to assess the relative strength and direction of the relationships between variables. Here, WWR stands out as having a more significant effect on E_{ave} than VT, even though VT showed a higher unstandardized coefficient. A similar trend is observed between CR and FR, with CR having a lower standardized value than FR, positioning it as the variable with the least influence on E_{ave} within the model. This nuanced interpretation underscores the importance of considering both unstandardized and standardized coefficients to fully understand the dynamics at play in the regression analysis.

Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t Statistic	p Value
log WWR	0.936	0.798	59.076	< 0.001
log VT	1.043	0.515	34.985	< 0.001
log CR	0.125	0.076	4.960	< 0.001
log WR	0.428	0.194	13.044	< 0.001
log FR	0.113	0.124	8.495	< 0.001

Table 2. Variable coefficients

4. **DISCUSSION**

The results of this study reveal intricate relationships between WWR, VT, CR, FR, and E_{ave} . As depicted in Figure 3, WWR and VT emerged as the most influential variables, significantly affecting E_{ave} . The substantial increases in E_{ave} —ranging from 52.5 lux to 456 lux for WWR and from 85 lux to 638 lux for VT—demonstrate their critical role in optimizing lighting environments. This aligns with findings from previous research that emphasize the importance of WWR and VT in daylighting design, indicating that higher values enhance both the quantity and quality of natural light in indoor space [5], [26].

The analysis indicated that illuminance gains began to decline when the WWR exceeded 0.45. This trend reflects the principle of diminishing returns in architectural daylighting, where an overabundance of glazing can lead to issues such as glare and overheating, ultimately negating the benefits of a higher WWR [27]. In line with this, previous study suggests an optimal WWR of 0.35 to 0.45 for maximizing daylight utilization in buildings [5].

In contrast, the influence of CR and FR on E_{ave} was minimal, with their changes producing only slight variations in illuminance levels. The modest range of illumination provided by CR (from 297 lux to 344 lux) and FR (from 322 lux to 391 lux) suggests that while they contribute to overall light reflectance, their impact on enhancing natural daylighting is less significant compared to WWR and VT. This finding is in line with previous research indicating that reflectance values play a secondary role in daylighting performance compared to direct contributions from fenestration, leading the optimization of window design as the first strategy in daylighting optimization [28], [29].

The analysis of the illuminance U_0 further underscores the dominant role of WWR. The notable improvement in U_0 when increasing WWR from 0.1 to 0.35, and the minimal gains, thereafter, point to the complex interplay between distribution and quantity of light. While variations in CR and FR showed negligible effects on U_0 , WR demonstrated a marked influence, indicating that it plays a critical role in achieving uniform lighting conditions. This suggests that designers should prioritize WWR and WR when aiming for both sufficient illuminance and uniformity in lighting design [30].

The subsequent MLR analysis confirmed the predictive strength of the combined variables on Eave, with an R² of 0.974 underscoring a robust model. Each variable's contribution was significant, particularly WWR and VT, which were indicated as primary drivers in both unstandardized and standardized coefficient analyses. Notably, the slightly higher unstandardized coefficient for VT compared to WWR highlights its impact when isolated, yet the standardized analysis reveals WWR's dominance in the overall context of illuminance prediction. This dual perspective emphasizes the importance of considering both types of coefficients to appreciate the complex dynamics among the variables involved [31].

Despite the robust findings, the study faced limitations, particularly with the nonlinearity observed in the U_o regression model. These limitations suggest potential avenues for further research, including exploring nonlinear modeling approaches or examining additional variables that could influence lighting performance. While WWR, VT, CR, and FR do not significantly affect U_o , another study in [32] suggests that window design and sill height have a considerable impact on the uniformity ratio. Future investigations could also focus on the interaction effects among WWR, VT, CR, and FR to gain a more comprehensive understanding of their collective impact on lighting design.

IMPACT OF WINDOW-TO-WALL RATIO, GLASS VISIBLE TRANSMITTANCE, AND SURFACE REFLECTANCE ON INDOOR ILLUMINANCE: A SIMULATION STUDY USING DIALUX

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This study investigated the impact of various factors—WWR, VT, CR, WR, and FR—on indoor average illuminance. The analysis reveals that WWR and VT are the most influential variables affecting E_{ave} . Both factors demonstrate a strong and statistically significant impact, as reflected by their steeper slopes and higher coefficients, whether in unstandardized or standardized form. These results suggest that increasing WWR and VT leads to significant gains in E_{ave} . However, it is important to note that the effect of WWR begins to plateau once it exceeds a value of 0.45, indicating diminishing returns at higher WWR levels.

While wall reflectance also contributes to changes in E_{ave} , its impact is more moderate compared to WWR and VT. On the other hand, CR and FR are shown to have the least effect, indicating that these variables play a minimal role in influencing E_{ave} .

The MLR analysis further confirmed the significance of all the variables in predicting E_{ave} , with the model demonstrating a high degree of predictive accuracy. These results emphasize the critical role of WWR and VT in designing spaces that achieve optimal lighting conditions, with WWR having a greater effect on E_{ave} . In contrast, the effects of CR and FR, although statistically significant, are comparatively minimal and less impactful in influencing overall illuminance levels, with CR being the least significant.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, it concentrates on a specific range of variables— WWR, VT, CR, WR, and FR —while excluding other potentially influential factors such as window orientation, shading devices, and external conditions like cloud cover or nearby buildings. Secondly, this study did not analyze the U_0 regression model due to its nonlinearity. These limitations highlight opportunities for further research, such as investigating nonlinear modeling techniques or considering additional variables that might affect lighting performance. Future studies could also explore the interaction effects among WWR, VT, CR, and FR to achieve a more thorough understanding of their combined influence on lighting design.

6. REFERENCES

- Y. B. Yoon, R. Manandhar, and K. H. Lee, "Comparative study of two daylighting analysis methods with regard to window orientation and interior wall reflectance," *Energies*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 5825– 5846, 2014, doi: 10.3390/en7095825.
- [2] D. Katunský, E. Dolníková, B. Dolník, and K. Krajníková, "Influence of Light Reflection from the Wall and Ceiling Due to Color Changes in the Indoor Environment of the Selected Hall," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 10, 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12105154.
- [3] M. Englezou and A. Michael, "Assessment of daylight performance and the impact of shading devices for typical in-patient rooms in healthcare facilities in Cyprus," *Procedia Manuf.*, vol. 44, pp. 277–285, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2020.02.232.
- [4] I. Apriliawan, N. S. Fadhila, and M. F. Alkadri, "Implementation of light shelves and WWR to improve daylight performance in university classroom," *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 1267, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1267/1/012028.
- [5] N. Nasrollahi and E. Shokry, "Parametric analysis of architectural elements on daylight, visual comfort, and electrical energy performance in the study spaces," J. Daylighting, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 57–72, 2020, doi: 10.15627/jd.2020.5.
- [6] S. A. Suwarlan, "Evaluasi Kenyamanan Visual Pada Pencahayaan Ruang Kelas Melalui Simulasi Komputansi Arsitektur Digital," J. Arsit. ARCADE, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 165, 2021, doi: 10.31848/arcade.v5i2.667.
- [7] N. Makaremi, S. Schiavoni, A. L. Pisello, and F. Cotana, "Effects of surface reflectance and lighting design strategies on energy consumption and visual comfort," *Indoor Built Environ.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 552–563, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1420326X18793170.
- [8] S. Roy, S. Majumder, S. Bhattacharya, and I. H. Sardar, "Simulation and analysis of the effects of room surface reflectance combinations on a proposed retrofit illumination system of an office," J. Eng. Des. Technol., vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1620–1647, 2021, doi: 10.1108/JEDT-10-2020-0400.
- [9] D. M. Panjaitan; Mira D. Pangestu, "the Impact of Daylight Apertures and Reflective Surfaces on the Effectiveness of Natural Lighting At the Rumah Kindah Office in Jakarta," *Ris. Arsit.*, vol. 2, no. 01, pp. 70–88, 2018, doi: 10.26593/risa.v2i01.2932.70-88.
- [10] M. Tahbaz, S. Djalilian, F. Mousavi, and M. Kazemzadeh, "The Effect of Architectural Details on Daylight Distribution Inside a Room," in *Proceedings of International Conference CISBAT 2015 Future Buildings and Districts Sustainability from Nano to Urban Scale*, 2015, pp. 295–300.

PROGRAM STUDI ARSITEKTUR UNIVERSITAS MALIKUSSALEH

- [11] S. Cammarano, A. Pellegrino, V. R. M. Lo Verso, and C. Aghemo, "Assessment of daylight in rooms with different architectural features," *Build. Res. Inf.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 222–237, 2015, doi: 10.1080/09613218.2014.922359.
- [12] N. Makaremi, S. Schiavoni, A. L. Pisello, F. Asdrubali, and F. Cotana, "Quantifying the effects of interior surface reflectance on indoor lighting," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 134, pp. 306–316, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.09.531.
- [13] P. R. Yawale, P. S. Varade, V. G. Wagh, and A. D. Shaligram, "Daylight simulation for a typical laboratory using DIALux and Integration of Daylight with artificial lighting for Laboratory Work," *GIS Sci. J.*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 59–68, 2022.
- [14] D. P. Sari, "Visualising Daylight for Designing Optimum Openings in Tropical Context," *Arsnet*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 72–85, 2024, doi: 10.7454/arsnet.v4i1.87.
- [15] A. Hamada Fakra, H. Boyer, and F. Maamari, "Experimental validation for software DIALUX: application in CIE test Cases for building daylighting simulation Experimental validation for software DIALUX: application in CIE test Cases for building daylighting simulation. International Conference on Buil," no. July 2008, 2008.
- [16] M. Zamarreño-Suárez, D. Alcala-Gonzalez, D. Alfonso-Corcuera, and S. Pindado, "Measuring the lighting quality in academic institutions: The UPM faculty of aerospace engineering (Spain)," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 23, pp. 1–16, 2020, doi: 10.3390/app10238345.
- [17] R. A. Mangkuto, "Validation of DIALux 4.12 and DIALux evo 4.1 against the Analytical Test Cases of CIE 171:2006," *LEUKOS - J. Illum. Eng. Soc. North Am.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 139–150, 2016, doi: 10.1080/15502724.2015.1061438.
- [18] M. I. Ayoosu, Y. W. Lim, P. C. Leng, and O. M. Idowu, "Daylighting evaluation and optimisation of window to wall ratio for lecture theatre in the tropical climate," *J. Daylighting*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 20–35, 2021, doi: 10.15627/jd.2021.2.
- [19] J. Cabeza-Lainez, J. M. Almodovar-Melendo, and I. Dominguez, "Daylight and architectural simulation of the Egebjerg School (Denmark): Sustainable features of a new type of skylight," *Sustain.*, vol. 11, no. 21, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11215878.
- [20] Q. L. Lang, G. J. Li, and J. L. Huang, "Application of multiple nonlinear regression based on SPSS in the risk assessment of debris flow," *Adv. Mater. Res.*, vol. 753–755, pp. 3205–3208, 2013, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.753-755.3205.
- [21] L. S. Meyers, G. Gamst, and A. J. Guarino, *Applied multivariate research: Design and interpretation*, 2nd ed. Sage Publications, Inc., 2013.
- [22] M. A. Bujang, N. Sa'at, and T. M. I. T. A. B. Sidik, "Determination of minimum sample size requirement for multiple linear regression and analysis of covariance based on experimental and non-experimental studies," *Epidemiol. Biostat. Public Heal.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. e12117-1-e12117-9, 2017, doi: 10.2427/12117.
- [23] S. Vaisi and F. Kharvari, "Evaluation of Daylight regulations in buildings using daylight factor analysis method by radiance," *Energy Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 49, pp. 100–108, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.esd.2019.02.002.
- [24] G. Theofani and E. Sediyono, "Multiple Linear Regression Analysis on Factors that Influence Employees Work Motivation," *Sink. J. dan Penelit. Tek. Inform.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 791–798, 2022, doi: 10.33395/sinkron.v7i3.11453.
- [25] D. Alita, A. D. Putra, and D. Darwis, "Analysis of classic assumption test and multiple linear regression coefficient test for employee structural office recommendation," *IJCCS (Indonesian J. Comput. Cybern. Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 295, 2021, doi: 10.22146/ijccs.65586.
- [26] N. Baker and K. Steemers, *Daylight Design of Buildings: A Handbook for Architects and Engineers*. London: Routledge, 2002.
- [27] P. Boyce, "Reviews of Technical Reports on Daylight and Productivity," New York, 2004.
- [28] K. Alhagla, A. Mansour, and R. Elbassuoni, "Optimizing windows for enhancing daylighting performance and energy saving," *Alexandria Eng. J.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 283–290, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.aej.2019.01.004.
- [29] C. Sun, H. Giles, and Z. Lian, "The dynamic impact of window characteristics on shading factor and energy consumption," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 102, pp. 1–13, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2014.01.008.
- [30] I. Skotnicova, V. Kolarcik, and O. Fadrny, "Comparison of Daylighting in Different Climatic Conditions," *REHVA Eur. HVAC J.*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 40–44, 2023.
- [31] B. G. Tabachnick and L. S. Fidell, *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Pearson, 2019.
- [32] A. K. Maurya, R. Kumar, and A. Kumar, "Assessing Window Design's Impact on Daylight Uniformity in Classrooms in Patna, India," *Eng. Technol. Appl. Sci. Res.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 11898– 11903, 2023, doi: 10.48084/etasr.6212.