

Perpustakaan Referensi

Tourist

 Dis
 Jani Rahardjo
 Universitas Kristen Petra

Document Details

Submission ID**trn:oid:::1:2984847507****Submission Date****Aug 17, 2024, 3:40 PM GMT+7****Download Date****Aug 17, 2024, 3:43 PM GMT+7****File Name****Artikel_Tourist_Destination.pdf****File Size****494.3 KB****9 Pages****3,414 Words****18,134 Characters**

10% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Small Matches (less than 30 words)

Exclusions

- 10 Excluded Sources

Match Groups

-  **3** Not Cited or Quoted 7%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **2** Missing Quotations 2%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 10%  Internet sources
- 5%  Publications
- 5%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 3** Not Cited or Quoted 7%
 Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 2** Missing Quotations 2%
 Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%
 Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%
 Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 10% Internet sources
- 5% Publications
- 5% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id	3%
2	Internet	www.aensiweb.com	2%
3	Internet	www.emeraldinsight.com	2%
4	Student papers	Kenyatta University	1%
5	Internet	repository.sustech.edu	1%

IORA International Conference on Operations Research
Manado, Indonesia, September 20-21, 2018

Measuring the Tourism Destination Images Based on Service Quality using Fuzzy Inference System

Magdalena Wullur¹ and I Nyoman Sutapa²

¹Management Department, Sam Ratulangi University, INDONESIA
(E-mail: wullurmagdalena@yahoo.com)

²Industrial Engineering Department, Petra Christian University, INDONESIA
(E-mail: mantapa@petra.ac.id)

ABSTRACT

The article discusses the measurement of tourism destination images perceived by travellers based on five dimensions of the service quality, i.e. tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, empathy, and assurance. Measurement problems occur when a traveller assesses the quality of a tourism destination service subjectively with vague boundaries and perceives the image of a tourism destination to vary. To address these issues, we designed an inference model using Mamdani's fuzzy inference system. The results of this study are quantitative assessments of the image of tourism destinations by various travellers based on qualitative perceptions of the quality of service experienced by the traveller.

Key-words; tourism services quality, Mamdani's fuzzy inference system, travellers perception.

INTRODUCTION

Increasing the competitiveness of the tourism industry can create new sources of economic growth. North Sulawesi, as a case study, has a great potential in the tourism sector which can be utilised to increase economic growth. However, from the field survey, it was found that infrastructure, such as roads, street lighting, communication facilities, and access to tourism objects were inadequate. Environmental cleanliness, comfort and safety of tourist destinations are still lacking. Tourism destinations are, to look attractive and sustainably preserved, are generally not managed optimally. As well, human resources as the main players in the tourism services industry are still not competent enough [1]. If this weakness is not managed well, then the potential for increased economic growth will be difficult to achieve.

The tourism industry is a very complex service industry [2], involving many players, including hotel or accommodation businesses, travel agents, restaurants, food-beverage retailers, transportation businesses, the government, and local communities. All of these players serve travellers who have varying expectations, experiences, lifestyles and abilities. All travellers want to get a memorable and satisfying service, to stay longer and spend more. To satisfy travellers, one of the most important components of the tourism industry is the image of a tourist destination [3]. The image of tourism destinations is mainly related to the quality of services carried out in an integrated and systematic manner by all tourism players. Managing the tourism service industry by involving many players, in serving the various desires and needs of the traveller, requires an integrated management system approach. One management system that can be applied is Total Quality Management. In this study, not all components of TQM are studied, as the initial step of the study is limited to determining the

measurement or indicator of service quality and its relation to the measurement or indicator of the image of a tourism destination. This is important to study, to get an overview of the expectations and perceptions of the traveller based on the experience of visiting objects or tourism destinations. This service quality measure can be used by decision-makers as a monitoring and evaluation tool in improving service quality in the tourism service industry [4].

Furthermore, data which is a measure or indicator of service quality experienced by travellers is generally not much and incomplete is delivered systematically to tourism decision makers. No much travellers want to express their experience in a tourist destination, also if there is, usually not much data or information is conveyed. Lots of data or information scattered only as a moving story. This is because there are not many officers and media to collect data or information from the traveller. If there are a data collection media, only for the limited needs of the company, not much information has been shared for the shared needs of the players [5, 6]. Furthermore, the data or information submitted by the traveller is generally subjective and qualitative, namely in the form of words or statements that are vague or inexact. The other side, the data or information of the traveller, the type and form vary and continues to increase in line with the number of tourists [7].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fuzzy Inference System

Fuzzy inference system (FIS) is a process of formulating the mapping from a given input to output using fuzzy logic [8, 9]. The mapping provides a basis from which decision can be made. FIS is sometimes called fuzzy reasoning, used in a fuzzy rule, to determine the rule outcome from the given rule input information. Fuzzy rules represent modelling knowledge or experience. Fuzzy rules are needed to compute the outcome for output variables in consequence of the rule when specific information is assigned to input variables in the rule antecedent. The main components of FIS include fuzzification interface, inference engine and defuzzification [9]. A basic structure of FIS that comprises three components and rules can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Basic Structure of a Fuzzy Inference System

FIS can be envisioned as involving a knowledge base and a processing stage. The knowledge base provides membership function and fuzzy rules needed for the process. In the processing stages, crisp numerical variables are the inputs of the system. These variables are passed through a fuzzification stage where they are transformed into linguistic variables, which become the fuzzy input for the inference engine. This fuzzy input is transformed by the rules of the inference engine to fuzzy output. A defuzzification stage then changes these linguistic results into numerical values that become the output of the system [9]. Creating a decision using FIS involved several steps.

The steps in FIS are created to develop inference for the tourism destination image (output) based on service quality dimension (input). There are five dimensions of service quality, namely tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance, and empathy, that may influence the tourism destination image. In Table 1, identify the indicators for each dimension of service quality and tourism destination.

Mamdani inference system [10], which allows a system to take in a set of input values and apply a set of fuzzy rules to those values, to derive a quantitative output value (Figure 2). The data or information from service quality dimension become the inputs of the Mamdani inference, and the output of the system is the tourism destination image. Figure 2 illustrates the processes to transform inputs to an output.




Figure 2. Transformation from the Input to the Output of the System

Output and Input of the System

The output of the inference system is a tourism destination image. Based on [11, 12], the data for the system outputs are taken from the answer to the question according to image indicators, i.e. the destination environment is attractive, easy to access tourist places in the destination, traveller enjoyed the style of buildings in the destination, there is a good transportation in the destination, the residents of the destination are friendly, and the residents of the destination are excellent and welcoming to travellers. The data for the system inputs are taken from the indicator of service quality dimension (Table 1), the dimension and its indicators are derived from previous research [2, 3, 13]. The information can be collected from back pocket of an aeroplane, airport waiting room, hotel lobby, restaurant, information office of tourism destination, and via many resources, for example via questionnaires' to the traveller, checklist button at hotel/restaurant toilet.

Based on the defined system functional and operational characteristics, input and output data from now on referred to as system variables, are needed to fuzzify. The following steps are executed to obtain tourism destination image based on service quality dimension.

Fuzzy Membership Function of System Variables

System variables are fuzzified to obtain a fuzzy membership function. The system recognises the input and output variables and defines its membership. In this research, all membership function for input variables (service quality dimension) is defined in three linguistic terms, 'High', 'Medium', and 'Low'. Output variable (tourism destination image) is defined in five linguistic terms, 'Very High', 'High', 'Medium', and 'Low', and 'Very Low'. Experienced travellers formulate membership function for each variable. Following are the membership function for each variable after normalised on [0, 1] interval. The membership functions (MF) for 'Low', 'Medium', and 'High' fuzzy set of input variables (equation 1) are approximated by a trapezoidal function $MF_i = \mu_i(x) = tz(a, b, c, d)$, where $i = \{L = 'Low', M = 'Medium', H = 'High'\}$, and for output variable (equation 2) is a triangular function $MF_j = \mu_j(y) = ta(a, b, c)$, where $j = \{VL = 'Very Low', L = 'Low', M = 'Medium', H = 'High', VH = 'Very High'\}$.

Dimension	Indicators
Tangible	The infrastructure is designed with high-quality standards
	Modern and technologically relevant vehicles were available.
	Enough security guards and provide security and comfort.
	The meals that were served are of high quality.
	The accommodation and facilities were appealing and in sound design.
	The physical appearance of the hotel was tidy and clean.
	The physical appearance of the tours escort was tidy and clean.
	There is free Wi-Fi internet with large bandwidth.
	There is an adequate supply of electricity.
	There is an adequate HP charger facility.
	There are enough volunteer photographers for a selfie or groupie.
	Traveller information officers are easy to find
Responsiveness	Tourism servicer showed sincere interest in problem-solving
	Tourism servicer provided adequate and clear information about the service they deliver
	Tourism servicer was able to fulfil requests promptly on time.
	Tourism servicer provided full information regarding the entertainment offered.
	Tourism servicer showed sincere willingness and interest in helping/assisting.
	Tourism servicer provided advice on how to best utilise free time
Empathy	Services offered were supplied by pleasant and friendly personnel
	My exceptions and special needs were met as expected.
	Personal safety was considered a significant aspect of every service provided.
	Local people care about and pay attention to traveller needs.
	Tourism destinations pay attention to the needs of disable traveller
Assurance	Well-Trained, customer-oriented personnel serves the traveller
	The level of service quality reinforces traveller confidence in the service provided.
	Detailed, experienced and competent tour/hotel escorts were provided to facilitate traveller.
	Staff communicated with fluently and in an understandable manner.
	All food and beverages sold are certified according to international standards.
	There is an OK sign from the results of the food and beverage audit conducted by the authorities.
	Every traveller infrastructure has been audited regularly and has passed the authorised institution
Reliability	Directions and signs were available properly
	Directions and signs easily guided me in finding the needed locations.
	Services delivered were correct from the first time.
	Services were delivered as promised to travellers.
	Scheduled tours were met on time.
	No troubles occurred with the service provided during my stay.
	Information from taxi drivers/traveller information officers/hotel customer office/the tours escort were detailed, clear, and very helpful.
	Food and beverages sold are guaranteed safe and healthy.




Figure 3. Membership Function for Input Variables

where

$$\mu_L(x) = tz(0,0,a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq x < a \\ \frac{b-x}{b-a} & a \leq x < b, \end{cases} \quad \mu_H(x) = tz(c,d,1,1) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-c}{d-c} & c \leq x < d \\ 1 & d \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_M(x) = tz(a, b, c, d) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{b-a} & a \leq x < b \\ 1, & b \leq x < c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c} & c \leq x < d \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

For the output variable:




Figure 4. Membership Function for Output Variable

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{VL}(x) &= ta(0,0,a) = \frac{a-x}{a} \quad 0 \leq x < a, & \mu_{VH}(x) &= ta(c,1,1) = \frac{x-c}{1-c} \quad c \leq x < 1 \\ \mu_L(x) &= ta(0,a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{a} & 0 \leq x < a \\ \frac{b-x}{b-a} & a \leq x < b, \end{cases} \\ \mu_M(x) &= ta(a,b,c) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a}{b-a} & a \leq x < b \\ \frac{c-x}{c-b} & b \leq x \leq c \end{cases} \\ \mu_H(x) &= ta(b,c,1) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-b}{c-b} & b \leq x < c \\ \frac{1-x}{1-c} & c \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Fuzzy Rules Development

Fuzzy rules are developed from information conveyed by travellers after they visit an object or a tourism destination. The forms and types of information are varied according to their different experiences, knowledge, and expectations. Fuzzy rules are defined as the *IF-THEN* rules to describe system behaviour. The rules are designed to describe the causality relationship among indicators of service quality dimension and tourism destination images (equation 3).

For example:

IF the direction sign for the tourism object is very clear (high) *AND* the highway infrastructure is very good (high), *AND* the tourism destination is very comfortable (high) *THEN* traveller will give a positive image of the tourism destination (very high).

In general, traveller ratings can be stated based on several dimensions of service quality, as follows:

IF an *tangible* indicator is 'fuzzy set *i*' *AND* an *reliability* indicator is 'fuzzy set *j*' *AND* ... *THEN* the image of a tourism destination is 'fuzzy set *k*'. (3)

Where fuzzy set *i, j* can be categories as 'Low', 'Medium', or 'High', while the fuzzy set *k* of output variable can be categories as 'Very Low', 'Low', 'Medium', 'High', or 'Very High'.

As a simulation, in each dimension of service quality (input) uses an indicator and the tourism destination images (output) is expressed as an indicator. Table 5 shows 243 fuzzy rules that can be obtained from all possible indicators for service quality dimension and an indicator for tourism destination image. To shorten each fuzzy set, it is used MF1=Low, MF2=Medium, and MF3=High

for input variables (service quality dimension), and for output variable (Image) MF1=Very Low, MF2=Low, MF3=Medium, MF4=High, and MF5=Very High.

Example of fuzzy rule:

Rule 1: *IF* an indicator in the tangible dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the reliability dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the responsiveness dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the assurance dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the empathy dimension is *Low THEN* the tourism destination image will be *Very Low*.

:

Rule 24: *IF* an indicator in the tangible dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the reliability dimension is *Low AND* an indicator in the responsiveness dimension is *High AND* an indicator in the assurance dimension is *Medium AND* an indicator in the empathy dimension is *High THEN* the tourism destination image will be *Medium*.

Rules

Rules Display

Rule	Active	IF Tangible	AND Reliability	AND Responsiveness	AND Assurance	AND Empathy	THEN Image
1	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF1
2	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF1
3	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF2
4	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF1	MF1
5	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF2	MF2
6	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF3	MF2
7	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF1	MF2
8	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF2	MF2
9	☒	MF1	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF3	MF3
10	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF1	MF1	MF1
11	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF1	MF2	MF2
12	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF1	MF3	MF2
13	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF2	MF1	MF2
14	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF2	MF2	MF2
15	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF2	MF3	MF3
16	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF3	MF1	MF2
17	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF3	MF2	MF3
18	☒	MF1	MF1	MF2	MF3	MF3	MF3
19	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF1	MF1	MF2
20	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF1	MF2	MF2
21	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF1	MF3	MF3
22	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF2	MF1	MF2
23	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF2	MF2	MF3
24	☒	MF1	MF1	MF3	MF2	MF3	MF3
231	☒	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF2	MF3	MF4
232	☒	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF3	MF1	MF4
233	☒	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF3	MF2	MF4
234	☒	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF3	MF3	MF5
235	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF1	MF1	MF3
236	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF1	MF2	MF4
237	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF1	MF3	MF4
238	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF1	MF4
239	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF2	MF4
240	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF3	MF5
241	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF1	MF4
242	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF2	MF5
243	☒	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF3	MF5

Figure 5. Fuzzy Rules of the System

The inference rules set the premise to create output, then the output needs to defuzzify to obtain crisp value.

Defuzzification

Defuzzification step is needed to convert all input data into three linguistic terms (*Low*, *Medium*, or *High*) that can be used to observe the tourism destination images (*Very Low*, *Low*, *Medium*, *High*, or *Very High*). The defuzzification process transforms the fuzzy set into the crisp value that is meaningful to the decision maker.

Figure 6 shows the results of inference of 243 *IF-THEN* rules. Based on the crisp value of each dimension of service quality, fuzzification is done into a red fuzzy set according to the corresponding

fuzzy set, then connected with the *AND* logic which is operationalised with the *MIN* operator.

$$\mu_{A_1}(x) \cap \mu_{A_2}(x) \cap \dots \cap \mu_{A_n}(x) = \text{MIN}[\mu_{A_1}(x), \mu_{A_2}(x), \dots, \mu_{A_n}(x)] \quad (4)$$

Where $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ are fuzzy set for indicators of each service quality dimension.

Furthermore, the combination of all rules is operationalized with logic *OR* or *MAX*, the result is a total fuzzy set that describes the resulting image.

$$\mu_{B_1}(y) \cup \mu_{B_2}(y) \cup \dots \cup \mu_{B_m}(y) = \text{MAX}[\mu_{B_1}(y), \mu_{B_2}(y), \dots, \mu_{B_m}(y)] \quad (5)$$

Where $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m$ are fuzzy set for tourism destination image as a result of each rule.

Finally defuzzification is performed by calculating the centre point or centroid, resulting in a destination image in the form of crisp number, i.e. a quantitative score between [0,1].

$$\text{Centroid} = \frac{\int_0^1 \mu_B(y)ydy}{\int_0^1 \mu_B(y)dy} \quad (6)$$

Inference

×




Figure 6. Inference of 243 Rules

Figure 6 can be used as a detection and monitoring tool by decision-makers engaged in the tourism industry, to find out the image of tourism services provided, based on the quality of tourism destination services, expressed by travellers based on their experience and expectations.

Based on the 243 fuzzy rules above, simulation can be done to determine the impact of changes in each indicator of service quality on the image of a tourism destination. From these simulations, it will be known which indicator has a significant impact on improving the image of tourism destinations. Furthermore, changes can also be seen in 3 dimensions or 2 dimensions (Figure 7). As an example, changes in the image of tourism destinations can be observed due to changes in an indicator of tangible and reliability dimensions, when other indicators of service quality are constant. It appears that, when services on tangible and reliability dimensions increase, the image also increases.




Figure 7. Visualize The Tourism Destination Image in 3D and 2D

CONCLUSION

The image of tourism destinations is important in increasing the number of traveller visits. Increased visits have an impact on the economic growth of the tourism area. Increased tourism destination image is a strategic effort that must be carried out by tourism actors. One of the efforts to improve the image is to improve the service quality. To find out the service quality that needs to be prioritised, firstly need to understand the indicators of service quality. This initial research has identified several measures/indicators that are in line with tourism destination services. In this study also produced reasoning rules to improve the image of tourism destinations based on several indicators of service quality dimensions using a fuzzy inference system. This research is still in the early stages, and there are many weaknesses, it has not yet produced reasoning rules using all possible indicators of service quality dimensions. In the future, it needs to be developed continuously the reasoning rules resulting from interviews or direct observation of a traveller or data is collected from various social media and then processed automatically with government-owned information systems, and distributed to tourism actors in real time through online media..

References

1. -----, 2016, *Tourism Industry Report, North Sulawesi Province*. Survey Team of Potency and Competitiveness of North Sulawesi Tourism Industry in Facing MEA (in Bahasa Indonesia edition), PSE-KP, Gadjah Mada University.
2. M. A. Bhat, (2012), "Tourism Service Quality: A Dimension-specific Assessment of SERVQUAL", *Global Business Review*, 13 (2), pp. 327–337.
3. M. N. Akroush, L. E. Jraisat, D. J. Kurdieh, R. N. Al-Faouri, and L. T. Qatu, (2016), "Tourism service quality and destination loyalty –the mediating role of destination image from international tourists' perspectives", *Tourism Review*, 71 (1), pp. 18-44.
4. J. de Ona, R. de Ona, L. Eboli, and G. Mazzulla, (2016), "Index numbers for monitoring transit service quality", *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 84, pp. 18-30.
5. S. Farias, F. Aguiar, M. Kovacs, and F. Sales, (2013), "Destination image on the web: evaluation of Pernambuco's official tourism destination websites", *Business Management Dynamics*, 2 (10), pp. 35-48.

6. A. Said, A. Shuib, N. Ayob, and F. Yaakub, (2013), "An evaluation of service quality from visitors' perspectives: the case of Niah National Park in Sarawak", *International Journal of Business and Society*, 14 (1), pp. 61-78.
7. Sreekumar, S. Mahapatra, and S. S. Mahapatra, (2015), Service Quality of Indian Banks: A Fuzzy Inference System Approach, *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 20 (2), pp. 59-80.
8. L. Zadeh, (1996), "Fuzzy logic computing with words", *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 4, pp. 103-111.
9. N. Sabri, S. A. Aljunid, M. S. Salim, R. B. Badlishah, R. Kamaruddin, M. F. A. Malek, (2013), "Fuzzy Inference System: Short Review and Design", *International Review of Automatic Control*, 6 (4), pp. 441-449.
10. E.H. Mamdani and S. Assilian, (1975), "An Experiment in Linguistic Synthesis with a Fuzzy Logic Controller", *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 7, pp. 1-13.
11. G. Hankinson, (2005), "Destination brand images: a business tourism perspective", *Journal of Services Marketing*, 19 (1), pp. 24-32.
12. S. Kim, S. Holland, and H. Han, (2013), "A structural model for examining how destination image, perceived value, and service quality affect destination loyalty: a case study of Orlando", *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 15 (1), pp. 313-328.
13. A. Parasuraman, V. A. Zeithaml, and L. L. Berry, (1988). "SERVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality", *Journal of Retailing*, 64 (1), pp. 12-40.