

A THEMATIC ANALYSIS EXPLORATION OF CHALLENGE- BASED LEARNING FRAMEWORK ON STUDENTS OF ACADEMY X

by Perpustakaan Referensi

Submission date: 03-Dec-2023 07:09PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2190146824

File name: REV_1.2_-_A-319-Manuscript-1571-1-4-20230714.docx (690.28K)

Word count: 8337

Character count: 44854

A THEMATIC ANALYSIS EXPLORATION OF CHALLENGE-BASED LEARNING FRAMEWORK ON STUDENTS OF ACADEMY X

Abstract

3 Education development in recent years has grown to accommodate various teaching and learning styles. Challenge-Based Learning or CBL is a learning framework conceptualized in 2006 at Apple's Classroom of Tomorrow Today that is built from the natural learning process of human beings. It recognizes that everyone comes equipped with their own set of skills, knowledge, and interests, and as such opens the possibility for collaboration and teamwork across various knowledge and skill backgrounds. Academy X is an institution that builds its curriculum and activity on the foundation of challenge-based learning. One of the purposes of the Academy is to increase the employability level of the students after they graduate. This study uses Thematic Analysis on interview results with Academy students to see how the CBL process in the Academy is encouraging students to grow and become more successful even after the Academy, especially in facing their careers. In summary, this study confirms through the interview of 10 Academy students how the Challenge-Based Learning drives the development of Growth Mindset in terms of Professional capacity and Personal Development despite the existence of Hindrances along the way. As such, CBL facilitates students' growth and developments at the Academy, for their future careers.

Keywords: Application Development, Challenge-Based Learning, Growth Mindset, Qualitative Data Analysis, Thematic Analysis

1. Introduction

Education development in recent years has grown to accommodate various teaching and learning styles. Some examples of this would be project-based learning and computer-based learning as well as specific learning frameworks such as entrepreneurial, design thinking, and computational thinking. However, one thing is similar across all these teaching modalities which is how knowledge is passed down from a teacher to a set of students in a class setting or something similar (Johnson et al., 2009).

Challenge-based Learning, also known as CBL, is a learning framework conceptualized in 2006 at Apple's Classroom of Tomorrow Today as a learning framework built from the natural learning process of human beings. The idea is the agency and the freedom of the learner to choose the challenge to be undertaken which will then include the learning process that must be undergone to answer the selected challenge. In CBL, there is no clear definition of teacher and student because everybody is both a teacher and a student at any given time as everyone will have something to share and teach others and also an equal opportunity to learn from the knowledge of others (Nichols et al., 2016).

The framework recognizes that everyone comes equipped with their own set of skills, knowledge, and interests (Nichols et al., 2016). This opens the possibility for collaboration and teamwork across various knowledge and skill backgrounds. Therefore, everyone within the CBL framework are called learners, although the more experienced learners are called senior learners which are parallel to our traditional education's mentors or teachers and new learners are called Junior learners, which are similar to students or mentees.

The Academy X is one of the most prominent if not the only institution that builds its curriculum and activity on the foundation of challenge-based learning. The academy being studied is in Surabaya and has 100 participants or junior learners every year to undergo a 10-month long program. Within this program, the learners will be given the opportunity to learn and get deeper knowledge within the scope of technology, design, and project management. The main output from this program by the learners would be a solution for a problem faced by their communities or communities around them in the form of iOS applications.

The goal of the Academy is thus threefold. First, to equip its students with the skill sets and knowledge to be competitive in the workforce as a world-class developer specializing in the Apple iOS ecosystem and the general app development process. Second, is to contribute to the national economy by enriching the future workforce with digital know-how and work experience, which will in turn encourage the growth of Indonesia's digital industry and economy. Third, to become an academic environment based on the values of Challenge-based Learning that was developed from the principles of Apple's Classrooms of Tomorrow - Today (ACOT2) to enable students explore further possibilities and enrich themselves in technological development knowledge and skill sets (Apple Inc., 2010; Nichols et al., 2016; Nichols & Cator, 2008).

The goal of this project is to see how the CBL process in the Academy is encouraging students to grow and become more successful even after the Academy, and especially in facing their careers. To keep improving the implementation of CBL in the Academy, this consultative project is designed (1) to see which aspect out of the three aspects of CBL framework (engage, investigate, act) is seen as more impactful by the students and to build upon this perspective to improve the learning process for future cohorts. It is also important (2) to see which phase is effective in triggering the development of growth mindset, so future CBL iterations may improve upon or build around this impactful phase (3) to help students focus on their strengths and improve upon their weaknesses.

It is also the researcher's intention to be able to produce an overview of interactions between senior learners and Junior learners with the goal of illustrating the ideal interaction between them as was expected by the junior learners. In short, the researcher hopes that this project may help the Academy become better in learning, more intimate in the relationships built within, and more successful in the workforce outside of the Academy.

On the other hand, the researcher also hopes that this project may become a good foundation to introduce challenge-based learning as a learning framework that can be easily utilized by other institutions. The researcher believes that challenge-based learning is a very useful and versatile tool in education. Therefore, allowing other institutions focusing on other fields or catering to the needs of other communities to see what CBL can do to our learners will be indispensable in the investment of our future graduates' knowledge, skills, and employability.

On the theoretical side, there are not much research done on the implementation of CBL in learning institutions. Additionally, research done regarding how the learners perceive the framework's effect is even rarer. This project may prove to be one of its kind that performs qualitative study over the perceptions of the students regarding the impact of the CBL framework on themselves, specifically relating to work-related skills as well as other relevant life-skills. This project may provide an insight into the thinking process of the learners and to identify significant parts of the learning journey properly encourages students to grow and be ready for their future careers.

The following sections will elaborate more on past references cited in this article, as well as the research methods used herein. Results of the study will follow, stipulating significant points of interest that defines the

study's findings. Lastly, the researcher will discuss the overall findings of the study as well as managerial implications and limitations of this study.

2. Literature review

2.1. Teaching and Learning Framework

Kotnour (1999) emphasizes that the learning process is necessary to successfully accomplish a project and to continuously do so, as well as improve the capabilities of the individuals of the organization in regards of their abilities to perform their tasks well in the projects. It is also natural that the organization provides support for best practices and shares these best practices to push the entire project (and future projects) forward.

Claxton and Carr (2004) declared that education must be focused on developing young people's ability to be skillful and confident, even when faced with difficult situations. This is in line with what Fahim and Eslamdoost (2014) stated: educators must foster students' thinking ability, so they do not become inactive students who are only capable of absorbing information handed to them. They further elaborated that educators must guide the students through thinking and learning skills to cognitive skills such as "knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis and evaluation, followed by logical reasoning and decision making."

From the perspective of the views above, to encourage students to grow, an educational institution needs not only an environment that supports learning, but also provides a robust teaching structure. Thus, an institution such as the Academy must use a framework that facilitates students to develop ideas and learn new knowledge, but also at the same time becoming a guide to the entire process and making sure that the students absorb the most out of the entire experience.

2.2. Challenge-Based Learning

The CBL framework itself is divided into its three phases, which are "engage", "investigate", and "act" (Apple Inc., 2010; Nichols et al., 2016). However, how the learners progress within these phases will inherently be different between challenges and between students. Therefore, this study is aimed to explore how the learners effectively engaged, investigated, and acted upon the challenge at hand as well as throughout the program.

CBL is a multidisciplinary approach of learning and teaching that encourages students to leverage technology they use daily to solve real-world problems faced every day. It is noticeably different from other methods due to its collaborative and hands-on nature, as well as its nature of encouraging students to ask good questions, dig deeper into subject area knowledge, accept and solve challenges, take action, and share their experience (Nichols et al., 2008).

Challenge-Based Learning allows the students to have more say in what goes into the class work. The students will contribute in shaping the coursework in accordance to what they perceive as significantly directed to solving the world's problem or, at least, the problem they are determined in solving at that moment. The availability of access to technology and information, accompanied by the freedom to collaborate and self-management of time creates a very engaging learning environment with teachers positioned in a very important role as supporters of the students' cause (Johnson et al., 2009).

Challenge-Based Learning consists of three interconnected and interflowing main activities, "Engage", "Investigate", and "Act", as shown in Figure 2.1. The idea is that each student must first be engaged with the challenge at hand and to explore all aspects surrounding the challenge itself to be able to conduct proper research and collect as many useful information before finally executing their solution plan. These are the main differentiator between CBL and other learning methodologies, in which every idea is naturally explored based on each learner's response to the challenge itself (Nichols, n.d.).



Figure 1. Challenge-Based Learning

1. “Engage” is the phase in which learners generate an actionable challenge from the initial big idea presented to (and adopted by) them. The CBL framework thus give freedom to the students in focusing their attention and investing their time based on their curiosity and desire to find out more on a certain topic (Johnson et al., 2009; Johnson, 2011).

2. “Investigate” is where learners build upon the challenge formulated in the previous phase. Learners will then create guiding questions and join guiding activities or access guiding resources in order to home into the real action they can take to refine the initial challenge. At the end of this phase, learners should be able to synthesize a summative solution to a refined challenge, one that they will indeed act upon (Nichols, n.d.)

3. The “Act” phase completes one cycle of Challenge-Based Learning and this is where learners develop evidence-based solutions and implement said solutions to an audience to receive constructive feedback. Reviews and feedback are crucial in this phase, as it is the ideal way of ensuring that the solution is indeed impactful and effective (Nichols et al., 2016).

As the three phases are a cycle, it is also important to note that completing the act phase does not necessarily mean the end of the process. Learners often reiterate their cycle, refocusing on different aspects of the same challenge, one that may not have been addressed previously or even one they stumbled upon during latter phases. It is also possible for learners to follow a spin-off CBL cycle, in which each cycle would represent a distinct challenge that the students are going to address (Nichols, n.d.).

It is important to note that the essential experience of implementing CBL lies in the students being a part of a team throughout the project, accompanied by a mentor figure. The freedom in self-expression and opinion-sharing, as well as disagreements and celebration of various ideas on differing levels of complexity become the core motivator for the students (Yang et al., 2018). The CBL framework approach itself also encouraged students to be more adaptive, engaged, and familiar with risks (Yang et al., 2018) in their lives and in their future careers.

2.3. Growth Mindset

Considering that the Challenge-Based Learning framework used in the Academy is in line with the idea of ideal teaching and learning mentioned by Fahim et al. (2014) and Claxton et al. (2004), then it is expected that Academy students and graduates are thus able to achieve optimal potential, especially regarding their learning ability, thinking ability, and their resourcefulness in adversity. This ability to constantly grow and develop further despite challenges or problems, is a growth mindset.

Dweck (2016) declared growth mindset as how an individual sees himself as having the potential to change who they are, specifically intellectually, with the connotation of such change to be in the form of growth. She also stated that this same concept is applicable to other aspects in one’s life, such as artistic talent, sports ability, or business skill. She even went further by saying that doing things differently, and arguably better, will improve the quality and performance of an individual, but the individual itself remains the same. In her book, she also mentioned that Growth Mindset itself can be beneficial for individuals dealing with personal development, improvement, or challenges, as well as those undergoing education and fighting against stereotypes.

This study is interested in finding out how the students grow throughout the academy regardless of its manifestation as this is the core of growth mindset and this is also what has been reported to have manifested in Academy students in the past: the ability to constantly embrace the opportunity and potential of growth, both in life and at work.

2.4. Relationship between Challenge-Based Learning and Growth Mindset

Yeager & Dweck (2012) stated that the fixed and growth mindsets also influence students' resilience, both inside and outside the classroom. This includes how students' mindset play a huge role in their ability to remain on top in many life situations, as well as being able to react positively, resolve conflicts peacefully, and exerting greater effort in the face of adversity (Masten, 2001; Yeager & Dweck, 2012).

The selfsame growth mindset also plays a crucial role in the workplace as it is fundamental for an individual's ability to manipulate and conceptualize strategies, especially those related to leadership (Dweck, 2016; Sullivan & Page, 2020). Sullivan et al. (2020) also emphasized that the ability to self-reflect as well as to choose appropriate strategies to achieve desirable goals are crucial in developing a growth mindset. This is in line with what is stated by Dennis (2016) in his paper "Cultivating a Growth Mindset for Effective Adaptation in Today's Dynamic Workplace", in which growth mindset is crucial for the development of risk-taking and problem-solving skills for modern-day workplace.

Since Challenge-Based Learning is a framework that allows students to explore and develop their abilities in relation to the life situation they are facing (a challenge) through the guidance of a mentor who helps students maintain their positive attitude regarding learning and growth, it can be stated that CBL develops Growth Mindset in Academy students. Considering that CBL gives students enough space to be creative and self-directed (Nichols & Cator, 2008), then each CBL phase should contribute to the development of students' Growth Mindset. Therefore, this study is directed to see how the students of Academy X perceive the CBL framework implemented in the Academy in developing their growth mindset and prepares them to be resourceful and capable in their future careers.

3. Methods

The purpose of this project is to understand how students of Academy X perceive their learning journey using the CBL approach and how they perceive the CBL in helping them develop precious life skills as well as career skills. To obtain relevant data from the informants, the following are the research questions of this study, accompanied by the interview questions in corresponding columns. The questions are intended to help the researcher understand how the students experience Challenge-Based Learning during and after the Academy. This line of questioning is modeled after the study by Fox (2015) on the effect of centering prayer on monks living in a convent. The interview revolves around several central research questions, in this case relating to aspects and perceived effects of CBL on Academy students, which are then expanded to more specific interview questions designed to direct the flow of communication towards coaxing the interviewees into appropriate perspectives regarding their CBL learning journey.

Table 1. Research Questions

Research Question	Interview Question	Purpose
How does the "Engage" Phase of CBL help students in Academy X to determine what direction should their project go, in relevance to the challenge faced?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> How did the Big Idea help you decide what project to undertake? How did you find out about the options you have regarding your project? What are your basis in defining your Challenge? 	To help the interviewee identify their thought processes at the beginning of a project, including refining their initial idea and determining the course of action.
How does the "Investigate" Phase of CBL help students in Academy X to enrich their skills and knowledge in anticipation of meeting the challenges of their selected project?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is your thought process in creating guiding questions? How has the Guiding Activities or Guiding Resources help you in refining your challenge? How do you use all the data gathered in setting up the foundation of the solution for the challenge? 	To help students recognize their idea development process, including goal-setting, enrichment of ideas, and confirmation on selected actions in order to meet the Challenge set in the previous phase.
How does the "Act" Phase of CBL help students in Academy X to determine the resulting impact of	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> What do you do to plan for your solution's creation process? 	To aid the students in describing their thought processes regarding their product. Specifically, in

Research Question	Interview Question	Purpose
their project, in relevance to the challenge faced?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. How do you approach the solution iterations? How do you refine your solutions? 3. How do you assess your solution? How do you decide what action to take next? 	deciding whether or not the product is answering their initial problems and how they will remain so.
How does CBL impact students of Academy X to grow throughout their involvement in the academy program?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How different are you before the beginning of the Academy and now as you are completing the Academy? 2. What has been the most notable change for you? 3. What is the most important or impactful takeaway from the Academy for you? 	To help students recognize their personal growth, both professionally and in life, to appreciate the improvements made and in identifying points for future growth.
How do Academy students perceive CBL?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the most important part for you in developing solutions for your challenge? 2. What is the best way to figure out how to meet challenges? 3. Is there a specific thing that you believe is crucial for the challenge solution processes in the Academy? 	To help students identify which stage within the CBL implementation is significant to them, which will be useful in adapting CBL in life and at work.

3.1. Informants and Demographics

The population of the study is the Academy's 2022 batch, 101 students with the following demographic: 71 participants are male while 30 are female. They are grouped into three specializations: technology, design, and domain experts, with the following proportion: 70, 20, and 11 participants, respectively. To elaborate further, there are 9 females in technology specialization, 13 in design specialization, and 8 in domain expert specialization.

The researcher used purposive sampling to determine the number of informants for this study. The idea of purposive sampling is to select individuals from the population who meets certain criteria required in the study (Etikan, 2016). It is also stated by Etikan (2016) that the purposive sampling method is commonly used in qualitative studies, such as this study. Cresswell (2018) mentioned that for a phenomenology qualitative PhD study, the sample size is recommended to be between five to twenty-five respondents or informants. Morse (1994), however, suggested at least six respondents for such research.

However, Mason (2010) declared that qualitative researchers tend to use the number of informant suggestions as guidelines while they should also consider the saturation of insights gathered in the process. Saturation is identifiable by the diminishing returns of the amount of data gathered. In other words, the researcher might achieve saturation after interviewing a smaller number of respondents. Hence, the number of informants below is determined as the minimum number to be interviewed and more may be added if the processed has not yet reached a point of data saturation.

3.2. Data Collection

Data used in this project are primary data collected directly from the research subjects using a semi-structured interview approach. Data collected were then be analyzed using Thematic Analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The researcher recognizes the fact that asking specific questions regarding Growth Mindset or implying that there may have been Growth Mindset resulting from or related to the Challenge-Based Learning activity at the Academy might create a bias during the interview. Thus, the researcher shall conduct this interview process without explicitly mentioning Growth Mindset, both on scripted questions and any follow-up question that may surface.

3.3. Qualitative Data Analysis and Thematic Analysis

Miles et al. (2014) defined Qualitative Data Analysis process in their book "Qualitative Data Analysis" as an analytical process of linguistic text based on how we as researchers interpret them according to our own experience in relation to the theme at hand. In their book, the analysis itself relies on 3 activity flows, which are data condensation, data display, and conclusion drawing, as depicted in Figure 3.2 below.




Figure 2. Qualitative Data Analysis
Source: (Miles et al. (2014))

In this study, the data condensation technique used is thematic analysis. Vaismoradi et al. (2013) stated that thematic analysis is most useful in studying phenomena relating to user concerns or reasons for utilizing or not utilizing a certain procedure. Performed over textual data obtained from an interview or a set of interviews, thematic analysis may show commonalities observable throughout the interview transcripts.

Usually, themes defined in the analysis may be abstract. However, as long as said themes encapsulate a point of importance in relation to the research question, then they can be considered as significant findings of the research (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Ritchie & Lewis, 2003). Ultimately, thematic analysis must be done multiple times, to review the data objectively and repetitively to truly see the relationship between the data to the research questions.

4. Results

The analysis resulted in 124 code nodes, 29 categories, and 5 themes, as described in Table 3.2. below. Each of the themes will be elaborated in their respective sub-sections.

4.1. Engage

This theme is regarding how students decide on the initial direction of their project. This relates to what steps the students take to first understand the impetus of the project, choose from multiple ideas they come up with as possible directions, and to finally decide on an idea to carry as a driving challenge of the project. They also mention how they are working together, developing ideas and plans together, as well as problem-solving together. Informants declare that they find a way to really connect and relate to the issue at hand during the Engage phase.

because in the Engage phase we each had our own thoughts. At first, we empathized with the problem that we had, each of us trying to empathize with it. In the Engage phase, we were also helped by mentors together to align our minds. (5M, 1)

It is also important to make sure the team chooses ideas that fits them, time-wise and skill-wise. Once a team decides on an idea or ideas to work on, then they need to familiarize with the idea, both for the purpose of further exploring what the idea might hold for the project and to filter out ideas based on feasibility.

So the team's ability, oh, it turns out this person still has no experience, oh, this is his experience, oh, this is his ability, and so on, oh, his connection with other people is like this. So, identifying your team is also important because it determines how much we would want to iterate on the problem. (9M, 2)

Table 2. Categories and Themes

No	Category (n= 29)	Theme (n=5)
1	COLLABORATIVE CULTURE <i>(The importance of working together, synchronizing team-members ' goals and processes from the beginning)</i>	ENGAGE
2	ENGAGE ON ISSUE <i>(Connecting and truly connecting with the issue at hand during the Engage phase, to be invested with the team)</i>	
3	IDEA SELECTION <i>(Agreement on an idea to be taken to further developmental phases)</i>	
4	INDIVIDUAL ENGAGEMENT <i>(Student's commitment and engagement on the project, team, and idea)</i>	
5	INITIAL RESEARCH <i>(Familiarization with selected ideas, exploring the potential, direction, and development of the idea)</i>	
6	TEAM-FORMING <i>(Selecting team-members based on abilities and compatibility)</i>	
7	ANALYTICAL SKILLS <i>(Skills to assess the relevance and impact of the idea or project)</i>	INVESTIGATE
8	CONFIRMATORY RESEARCH <i>(Reviewing progress to ensure adherence to initial intention or direction)</i>	
9	MARKET RESEARCH <i>(gauging the market, confirming relevance, and assessing continuity of the project)</i>	
10	NEW INFORMATION <i>(adoption and absorption of new findings or idea to enrich the project)</i>	
11	SOLUTION INVESTIGATION <i>(Viability research of proposed solutions in relevance to initial idea)</i>	
12	SOLUTION RESEARCH <i>(Designing selected solutions according to the resources at hand)</i>	
13	USER INVESTIGATION <i>(Confirming the solution fit to the issue at hand, through the perspective of users)</i>	ACT
14	SOLUTION CREATION <i>(Setting up a creation phase that is realistic and timely)</i>	
15	SOLUTION DESIGN <i>(Selecting solutions based on market competition and idea at hand)</i>	
16	SOLUTION REVIEW <i>(Processing feedback and refining existing solution)</i>	
17	SOLUTION VALIDATION <i>(Project sustainability and relevance constant monitoring)</i>	

No	Category (n= 29)	Theme (n=5)
18	COLLABORATION <i>(The ability and tendency to work as a team to achieve a common target)</i>	GROWTH MINDSET (PROFESSIONAL GROWTH)
19	JOB EFFICACY <i>(Professional working experience using Challenge-Based Learning, implementable in real-life jobs)</i>	
20	JOB ENRICHING SKILLS <i>(Experience to prepare students for the professional work: impactful, empathetic, and understanding)</i>	
21	LIFE IMPACT <i>(Life shifts due to student's experience at the Academy and in experiencing CBL)</i>	
22	PROJECT MANAGEMENT <i>(Managing projects, as well as keeping track of as responsibility and accountability)</i>	
23	ACCEPTING FEEDBACKS <i>(The ability to accept feedbacks and learn from them)</i>	GROWTH MINDSET (PERSONAL GROWTH)
24	CAREER OPPORTUNITY <i>(Nurturing curiosity, flexibility, and adaptability to develop career adaptability and knowledge absorption)</i>	
25	CBL IMPLEMENTATION <i>(Habit-forming of thinking through the Engage- Investigate-Act framework)</i>	
26	LEARNING FROM MISTAKES <i>(The ability to embrace and learn from past mistakes)</i>	
27	LEARNING OPPORTUNITY <i>(Making the most of the exposure to resources and individuals that is otherwise inaccessible)</i>	
28	LIFE SKILLS <i>(Interpersonal interactions, self-accountability, and organizational awareness)</i>	
29	SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING MINDSET <i>(The drive to constantly learn and grow, in relevance to responsibility held)</i>	

4.2. Investigate

The main process in this phase is to understand the strengths and weaknesses of the selected idea compared to others, and how it can be manifested in the form of an app, used to address the challenge in hand. In this phase, the students to analyze their challenge from various points of view to truly assess the relevance and impact of their solution, as well as being certain of the choices and direction made in relevance to the challenge and its respective solution.

strengthen the background of its goals and benefits. Like why do we need to bring up this topic? It's more well-thought-of, what we brought with us. Because, right, based on, what, based on the research too, right? (6F, 5)

Since the Investigate phase is deeply rooted in research activities, naturally students will obtain new information from various sources. Students must be aware of the world revolving outside the Academy and their own projects.

So, from the ideas that have been generated together, even though it is headed by the Project Manager, I do a research on it: if it were to be implemented, will it be supported by existing technology such as a library or a module, and with our capabilities... is this possible? (2F, 2)

Lastly, students must also conduct user interviews. The goal of these interviews are often of a confirmatory nature, which is to compare the results of literature research with what is faced by real users in real life.

Sometimes it turns out that what is written on the internet and what the user feels is different. So, it's like because we can't compare from just one side, we need to look for more users, other users, for validation. It turns out that if the users are dominantly like this, the internet is the opposite, like that. (8F, 5)

4.3. Act

Upon reaching a degree of confirmation regarding their solution concept, students then focus on a specific approach to their challenge, named the challenge statement. In this Act phase, students flesh out their solution concepts into a working application. Their minimum viable product will then be demonstrated to potential users to receive feedback and to be revised, if needed.

The process in designing such solution concept is done by consulting experts and seniors, as well as observing existing solution options in the market. The idea, of course, is to maximise impact to user and ensure that the app works in their favor.

So we look for solutions that really don't exist yet, and that really help our targets. (8F, 6)

It is also very important for the students to make sure the production step is realistic, both in technicalities and regarding the timeframe. However, the team might realize upon completion of the app that their app has deviated from the intended challenge statement. This is why validation becomes crucial, to confirm that the resulting app is still useful and impactful to its users.

Which one is realistic for coding, that is my opinion. (2F, 6)

Testing, to... to... those who have problems. Iterated to see if it was correct. It should be validated whether, eh, it's true, yes, that he really needs that. (4M, 7)

From the ideas that you really want to answer from the previous application, from the previous features, what do you think is actually not answered yet? If you could dream of any feature that you can have? (11F, 7)

4.4. Growth Mindset – Professional Growth

Growth Mindset is one's ability to see the potential of learning and growth in the various events and occurrences in life, both good and bad, and to grow oneself from what is learned in that experience. The professional aspect discussed here shows how students learn to be a professional and how to be a contributing part of the workforce. This includes the various skills they may have picked up over their experience at the Academy implementing Challenge-Based Learning, or something that they experienced and learned from over their time in the Academy program.

Students are always encouraged to work together as a team in every project, including how they can synchronize and support each other with the purpose of a better execution and completion of the project.

In terms of progress, usually in our group at the Academy, every morning there is a daily set up, so it's like asking one by one, yesterday, what were the results like? The tech one, what about the design, what are the results? Usually, the PM is the one leading, so I'll see, oh, apparently the tech has finished so the only one who moved it in Kanban was our PM. Us the tech or design people don't move it in Kanban so the person in charge of project management could control it more easily (5M, 7).

The students experienced four cycles of Challenge-Based Learning at the Academy and are becoming more adept in utilizing the framework towards the end of the Academy program. The idea behind this is to build professional working experience, and how they can provide outcomes that come from their experience in the academy as well as being aware of what skills are needed to help their careers in the future.

So I feel more empathetic. Then eh, more..., I think..., I think more critically (4M, 9).

But if you enter the corporate world, then there are already separate sections. Nevertheless, I feel that we still need to investigate, engage, and act (2F, 16).

4.5. Growth Mindset – Personal Growth

The personal aspect of Growth Mindset discussed here shows how students learn to be grow as an individual in the various facets of life and how to continuously grow in the future. This includes the various skills they may have picked up over their experience at the Academy implementing Challenge-Based Learning, or something that they experienced and learned from over their time in the Academy program.

In the Academy, students are encouraged to try developing their hidden potentials, to follow their curiosity, and to always be willing to change for the better. Naturally, this builds flexibility and adaptability in the students, which then translates into their ability to work in various career fields and to absorb new knowledge quickly.

So, maybe after leaving here, eh..., look for other opportunities, to learn too, and implement what I've got. (6F, 13)

After ten months, students are accustomed to using Engage-Investigate-Act phases in their projects and careers to be confident and certain of their actions to finish the project well. On the other hand, the students make various mistakes regularly, both from old habits or from the lack of knowledge. Therefore, what sets Academy students apart is their ability to own their mistakes and learn not only to not repeat the same mistakes but to become a better individual.

But it turns out, we do research over and over, and I feel like in the middle of our research this isn't something we can help with, because actually some..., what I mean is some things are too personal, in my opinion. Like for example, eh, it is personal in a way that, we can't possibly change people's hearts through digital means (11F, 19).

At that time, because we were already in the middle of the development and we realized we were too in love with the concept and apparently it wasn't valid. Like it or not, we end up pivoting, even though the time is tight, we realized that it's useless if we continue, as it won't work, right? It's better to pivot and in the end the pivot is better than our initial concept. (5M, 9).

Students are exposed to various guiding resources in the Academy, both domestic and international experts of various fields. Therefore, they are naturally exposed to learning opportunities not available to others outside of the Academy. They are also encouraged to interact in a meaningful way with their peers, and as such they learn how to be more positively impactful in life. Finally, each Academy student is responsible for their activities, targets, and learning journey. The Academy encourages students to be independent in assessing their needs and in taking the necessary steps to improving themselves. This **Self-Directed Learning** mindset is one of the **core** beliefs of the Academy, where one must know what one must learn and do, to complete one's responsibilities.

If I'm honest, I learned a lot and the initial expectation was just to develop an app, no, I didn't expect something like uh, to have to jump in, like, in marketing and that too, what do you call it, <tongue clicking> uh, the final product, the final application, that has to be uploaded to the App Store. (6F, 2)

Erm, I think a system where we can sort of organize ourselves, manage our own groups, by carrying out all the processes is like... eeh, giving us a sense of responsibility for what we do ourselves, really. (11F, 22)

5. Discussion

The prevailing opinion is that how Challenge-Based Learning prepares the students of the Academy for the future, in terms of personal growth. This is in line with Challenge-Based Learning (CBL), which is encouraging students to be engaged in their work, taking on relevant issues in need of solving with a scientific approach at their own pace to build a solution of their own identified (and validated) problems. (L. F. Johnson et al., 2009)

The challenge is to confirm that students of the Academy are impacted by the practice of Engage, Investigate, and Act which are the main process of CBL framework (Apple Inc., 2010; Nichols et al., 2016). It is also interesting to see whether Reflect, Document, and Share, the supporting activities in CBL, impact the students significantly in the process. In the current setting, the learning journey consists of a series of activities in which the students are directed to engage with the idea at hand, investigate relevant solution alternatives, and act upon a synthesized solution as their final product. Through the process, the students are going through CBL activities

that exposes them to new mindsets, new standards, and ultimately result in new personal developments (Dweck, 2016).

The discussion of the findings in this study will continue in three parts. The first part will discuss the major findings from the interview and the following analytical process. The following part will discuss the emerging themes that were identified during the interview and analysis process. The last part will be addressing the limitations of this study and any feedback it can provide to improve future practices in the Academy.

5.1. Impact of Engage to Growth Mindset

Engage is one of the integral parts of the whole Academy experience contributing to the growth and development of Academy participants (Nichols et al., 2016). Specifically, how students can start developing awareness of the issue at hand. Initially, students will be provided with a Big Idea that serves as a prompt for their thinking process, followed up by team dynamics as the students will have to be in a team before embarking on further development of the initial idea. Ultimately, the team will create a Challenge Statement, signifying what they will do as a team that will impact the users of their app.

In summary, the Engage phase contributed to the development of Growth mindset through accountability of own ideas and actions as well as being a part of a team and being accountable for the team's ideas and actions. The Engage phase also encouraged students to be creative and elaborate in their idea creation and development while still being open to other possibilities and perspectives. The ability of having different views within a team to a point of differing opinions are commonplace in the Engage phase as well as in life, and consequently, finding a workable middle ground in terms of ideas become very crucial in ensuring the success of a project (Masten, 2001; Yeager & Dweck, 2012). Hence, this experience truly enhances the students' readiness to collaborate in the workplace after graduating from the Academy.

5.2. Impact of Investigate to Growth Mindset

Investigate is the phase following Engage in the CBL framework. The Investigate phase allows the students to refine their challenge, validate their proposed solution, and synthesize a solution to be acted on (Nichols et al., 2016). This is a very research-intensive phase in which each student may propose a different approach as a solution to their Challenge Statement.

In summary, the entire Investigate phase contributed to the development of growth mindset through the habitualization of investigating the validity and accuracy of ideas or concepts as well as receiving feedback and acting upon them. The main premise of investigation is to keep an open mind to possibilities, especially those that is outside of the common range of knowledge of the students (Dennis, 2016). Therefore, it is crucial for the students to constantly research various sources to discover different perspectives on the matter at hand. This is usually applied to the challenge statement and solution concept, but the analytical and investigative skills involved are usable in other situations as well, such as the workplace and life in general.

5.3. Impact of Act to Growth Mindset

The Act phase is where students act on their synthesized solution based on their challenge statement (Apple Inc., 2010; Nichols et al., 2016). Regarding the Academy, this phase is where all the coding and testing takes place, as well as how users will finally be able to test out the solution first-hand. The main activities in this phase are the actual production process and refining of the end-product as the production goes on.

In summary, the entire Act phase contributed to the development of growth mindset through the practice of open-mindedness as well as the ability to constantly review and question progress to adapt better and correct issues in time. For individual students, the Act phase is a good exercise to receive feedbacks, for individual and team effort, as well as from fellow team-members or external potential users (Dennis, 2016). However, the most significant benefit for the students is how they can be very critical of their own process and results, and thus making decisions and taking actions to rectify said deviations and to stay true to the initial purpose of the project.

5.4. Growth Mindset of Academy Students

Dweck (2016) stated that Growth Mindset is how an individual receives feedback and mistakes to adjust to challenges while learning enjoyably and ultimately becoming more productive. Students are always able to learn from the challenges they face, be it positive or negative (Yang et al., 2018). Therefore, Academy students always find a way to improve themselves throughout the learning journey and as such, exhibit growth mindset during their time at the Academy and bring it into their lives and career. The purpose of this study is to recognize the impact of the CBL framework implementation towards the students' adoption or development of the growth mindset. However, the researcher has found from analyzing the interview transcripts how the growth mindset manifests in two major ways in the Academy students, professionally and personally.

In regards of Growth Mindset, the interviews show how students, consciously or otherwise, develop growth mindset in response to the CBL implementation in the Academy. The Engage phase drives them to interact with other students, to explore and elaborate ideas, and to empathize with others. The Investigate phase teaches

them to be critical, to be inquisitive, and to never take anything at face value. The Act phase demonstrates how no action is over when it is done, as everything can and must be reviewed, refined, and, perhaps, remade to remain relevant and impactful (Nichols, n.d.). Ultimately, the researcher believes that the 10-month program at the Academy prepares the students with the necessary skills and experience for what lies ahead in life and in career.

5.5. Managerial Implications

Firstly, the Academy team must set a plan to be clearly a different educational environment compared to traditional educational institutions and as such requires a different, albeit similar, process of learning. If the students accept the fact that the method of learning in the Academy is inherently different from the learning they have had so far, then they may be more open-minded to different activities, lessons, and methods applicable in the Academy currently and in the workplace of the future.

Secondly, the Academy team must implement methods of observing, collecting, and analyzing students' feedback regarding Academy activities, both shared willingly to the Academy team or shown visibly in their behavior. This would serve as a pre-emptive action that can be quickly translated into an activity adaptation in the next Academy phase, learning from the responses the students give in the past activities.

Thirdly, this process must be implemented regularly and reviewed constantly with the cohort's dynamics in mind. Although these suggestions are made with the results of this study in mind, they must still be taken with a grain of salt since future cohorts may differ from the 2022 Cohort this study is based on.

In summary, to have a clear line of sight of the actual issue at hand, it is imperative to start having interview sessions, ideally, or a Focus Group Discussion among the Academy students at both the beginning and the end of Academy program. The intention is to gauge expectations and perspectives of the students regarding the Academy's image compared to other, more traditional, educational institutions. This will be invaluable in measuring the gap in students' expectation and the existing reality, including determining what can be done to periodically collect students' feedback on their Academy experience.

Surveys should be the main avenue of data collection, accompanied by random interviews to gauge the validity of survey outcomes. Ideally, this process is done between projects, or every 3 months. Lastly, reviews should be done at a higher management level, among managers and directors, to determine the current impact of CBL to the students and to measure the efficacy of current learning practices. Similarly, the review should be done after each survey is concluded and one major review must be held at the end of the program in preparation of the coming cohort.

5.6. Limitations

The limitations recognized in this study are: the limited pool of subjects, the nature of the study and its timeframe, and the feasibility of impacting future Academy activities. However, the main limitation of the study is regarding the time frame. Future studies should take this into consideration to have more impact to the Academy and to have a smoother process of interviews and analysis of the results.

6. Conclusions

This study was designed around the effort of understanding ⁷ the impact of Challenge-Based Learning on Academy students in Surabaya. Generally, based on experience and discussions with past employers of Academy graduates, Growth Mindset is the main outcome from the academy that impacts the students the most, especially in their success in the workforce. ¹ Initially, the researcher begins by describing the basic model of how the learning journey in the Academy is. It consists of the three CBL phases: Engage, Investigate, and Act, each of which contributes to different manifestations of Growth Mindset.

Therefore, this study confirms how the Challenge-Based Learning in the form of its phases indeed equally drives the development of Growth Mindset of Academy Students. This study also shows that Growth Mindset that is developed from the Academy learning journey and the exposure to Challenge-Based Learning processes can be grouped into Growth Mindset in terms of Professional capacity and Personal Development. Thus, Academy students should be able to engage better in Academy activities and grow better into a productive member of the working society, especially within the digital ecosystem of app-developers.

References

- Apple Inc. (2010). *Challenge based learning: A classroom guide*.
https://www.apple.com/br/education/docs/CBL_Classroom_Guide_Jan_2011.pdf
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Claxton, G., & Carr, M. (2004). A framework for teaching learning: The dynamics of disposition. *Early Years*, 24(1), 87–97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09575140320001790898>
- Cresswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (Fourth). SAGE.
- Dennis, K. S. (2016). *Cultivating a Growth Mindset for Effective Adaptation in Today's Dynamic Workplace*.
- Dweck, C. S. (2016). *Mindset: The New Psychology of Success*.
- Etikan, I. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11>
- Fahim, M., & Eslamdoost, S. (2014). Critical thinking: Frameworks and models for teaching. *English Language Teaching*, 7(7), 141–151. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v7n7p141>
- Fox, J., Gutierrez, D., Haas, J., Braganza, D., & Berger, C. (2015). A Phenomenological Investigation of Centering Prayer Using Conventional Content Analysis. *Pastoral Psychology*, 64(6), 803–825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11089-015-0657-1>
- Johnson, L. F., Smith, R. S., Smythe, J. T., & Varon, R. K. (2009). *Challenge-Based Learning: An Approach for Our Time*.
- Johnson, Larry. (2011). *Challenge Based Learning: the Report from the Implementation Project*. NMC.
- Kotnour, T. (1999). A Learning Framework for Project Management. *Project Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/875697289903000206>
- Mason, M. (2010). Sample Size and Saturation in PhD Studies Using Qualitative Interviews. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung*, 11(3). <https://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/1428/3027>
- Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227–238. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227>
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, M. A., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Morse, J. M. (1994). *Designing Funded Qualitative Research*.
- Nichols, M. (n.d.). *Framework - Challenge Based Learning - CBL Framework*. Retrieved November 23, 2022, from <https://www.challengebasedlearning.org/framework/>
- Nichols, M., & Cator, K. (2008). *Challenge Based Learning White Paper*. Apple, Inc. https://www.challengebasedlearning.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/CBL_Paper_2008.pdf
- Nichols, M., Cator, K., & Torres, M. (2016). *Challenge Based Learning Guide*. Digital Promise.
- Ritchie, Jane., & Lewis, J. (2003). *Qualitative research practice: a guide for social science students and researchers*. Sage Publications.
- Sullivan, T., & Page, N. (2020). A Competency Based Approach to Leadership Development: Growth Mindset in the Workplace. In *New Leadership in Strategy and Communication* (pp. 179–189). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19681-3_13
- Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2013). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. In *Nursing and Health Sciences* (Vol. 15, Issue 3, pp. 398–405). <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12048>
- Yang, Z., Zhou, Y., Chung, J. W. Y., Tang, Q., Jiang, L., & Wong, T. K. S. (2018). Challenge Based Learning nurtures creative thinking: An evaluative study. *Nurse Education Today*, 71, 40–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.09.004>
- Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Mindsets That Promote Resilience: When Students Believe That Personal Characteristics Can Be Developed. *Educational Psychologist*, 47(4), 302–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.722805>

A THEMATIC ANALYSIS EXPLORATION OF CHALLENGE-BASED LEARNING FRAMEWORK ON STUDENTS OF ACADEMY X

ORIGINALITY REPORT

4%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

2%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Marwa Mourad Saleh. "A Challenge Based Learning Program to Develop General Diploma Students' Research Skills and their Satisfaction", 2020, مجلة كلية التربية بالمنصورة, Publication <1 %
- 2 vbn.aau.dk Internet Source <1 %
- 3 Ebba Ossiannilsson, Nicolas Ioannides. "chapter 14 Toward a Framework and Learning Methodology for Innovative Mobile Learning", IGI Global, 2018 Publication <1 %
- 4 www.tandfonline.com Internet Source <1 %
- 5 anyflip.com Internet Source <1 %
- 6 core.ac.uk Internet Source <1 %
- 7 libweb.kpfu.ru

Internet Source

<1 %

8

publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu

Internet Source

<1 %

9

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

<1 %

10

odr.chalmers.se

Internet Source

<1 %

11

flex.flinders.edu.au

Internet Source

<1 %

12

vtechworks.lib.vt.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

13

Mariana Detoni, Afonso Sales, Rafael Chanin,
Luis Humberto Villwock, Alan R. Santos.

"Using Challenge Based Learning to Create an
Engaging Classroom Environment to Teach
Software Startups", Proceedings of the XXXIII
Brazilian Symposium on Software Engineering
- SBES 2019, 2019

Publication

<1 %

14

Monique Landberg, Melanie V. Partsch.

"Perceptions on and attitudes towards
lifelong learning in the educational system",
Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 2023

Publication

<1 %

link.springer.com

15	Internet Source	<1 %
16	ali.apple.com Internet Source	<1 %
17	dspace.nwu.ac.za Internet Source	<1 %
18	files.eric.ed.gov Internet Source	<1 %
19	journals.unisba.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
20	Clark, Tom, Foster, Liam, Sloan, Luke. "Bryman's Social Research Methods 6E XE", Bryman's Social Research Methods 6E XE, 2022 Publication	<1 %
21	Daina Gudonienė, Agnė Paulauskaitė- Tarasevičienė, Asta Daunorienė, Vilma Sukackė. "A Case Study on Emerging Learning Pathways in SDG-Focused Engineering Studies through Applying CBL", Sustainability, 2021 Publication	<1 %
22	Marie Leijon, Petri Gudmundsson, Patricia Staaf, Cecilia Christersson. "Challenge based learning in higher education– A systematic	<1 %

literature review", Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 2021

Publication

23

hdl.handle.net

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 5 words

Exclude bibliography On