

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI
ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL

Judul artikel : Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions

Jurnal : International Journal of Social Service and Research, Vol. 4, No. 3, Maret 2024

Penulis : Ancilla Mathilda, Gabriella Theodora, **Ruth Srininta Tarigan**

No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit	23 Februari 2024
2	Bukti konfirmasi review, dan hasil review pertama	2 Maret 2024
3	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi dan artikel yang diresubmit	9 Maret 2024
4	Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted	9 Maret 2024
5	Bukti konfirmasi proses penerbitan artikel	10 Maret 2024

1. Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel and artikel yang disubmit



[IJSSR] Submission Acknowledgement

1 message

International Journal of Social Service and Research

23 February 2024 at

<ijssrofficial@gmail.com>

06:20

To: Ruth Srininta Tarigan <ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id>

Ruth Srininta Tarigan:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions" to International Journal of Social Service and Research. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://ijssr.ridwaninstitute.co.id/index.php/ijssr/authorDashboard/submission/740>

Username: ruthtarigan

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

International Journal of Social Service and Research

Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions

Ancilla Mathilda¹, Gabriella Theodora², Ruth Srininta Tarigan^{3*}

Petra Christian University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia^{1,2,3}

Email: ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id^{3*}

Keywords

Facilitating Condition, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence.

ABSTRACT

Extant solar energy research generally investigates the macroeconomic factors behind Indonesia's slow adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems. Meanwhile, this paper focuses on individual consumers' intention to adopt the technology. It investigates whether price value, green consumption value, social influence, and facilitating condition have any impact towards behavioural intention. Data from a final sample of 210 valid respondents were collected using simple random sampling and analysed using PLS-SEM. Price value, green consumption value, and facilitating condition significantly and positively affect intention to adopt solar panels, while social influence has an insignificant role in the decision-making process. These findings provide insights that can aid policy initiatives and marketing strategies in promoting renewable energy solutions in Indonesia.

INTRODUCTION

Following the increasing awareness of global warming, countries all around the world are transitioning from fossil fuels to clean, renewable energy (RE), one of them being solar energy. Indeed, the adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) technologies has observed remarkable progress. For instance, solar capacity in the US has increased by 94.3% since the last decade (Tabassum et al., 2021), while European countries have installed 41.4GW of solar power capacity or equivalent to electricity for 12.4 million houses in 2022 (SolarPower Europe, 2022).

As a tropical country with high solar radiation, Indonesia presents a large potential for solar panel adoption (Tarigan, 2020). Unfortunately, the industry itself is still in its infancy, having only grown by 6.4% in the last decade (Abdullah et al., 2023). Even when compared to neighboring countries of similar economic and social conditions, such as India and Sri Lanka, RE adoption in Indonesia is considered unprogressive (Burke et al., 2019; Sovacool, 2018). This reflected the lack of research as well- while numerous studies on green technology have been done in the context of developed nations (Dharshing, 2017; Feldman et al., 2021; Fernández, Payán, & Santos, 2021) research on green purchase intention in Indonesia is still at a nascent stage (IESR, 2022).

Extant solar PV studies in Indonesia generally focus on technical and socio-regulatory factors (Abdullah et al., 2023; Sumarsono, Wahyuni, & Sudhartio, 2022; Xu et al., 2023) or institutions and powerful stakeholders impeding the country's transition from coal to renewable energy (Burke et al., 2019; Setyawati, 2020). This research highlighted macroeconomic factors slowing down the adoption rate of solar PV, yet there is a gap in research using a microeconomic approach (i.e. examining intention to adopt solar PV among households). Solar panel usage needs to expand to individual household levels if Indonesia wishes to grow its meager solar PV adoption rate. By studying factors influencing

consumers' intention to adopt solar PV, this paper makes an original contribution to green marketing in Indonesia and addresses the aforementioned research gap.

Ajzen (2005) suggested that three elements shape behavioral intention: personal factors (including values and attitudes that influence the individual's assessment of the behavior), societal influences (arising from expectations within social groups), and control aspects (comprising self-efficacy and external elements that affect the simplicity of executing the behavior). To investigate factors affecting behavioral intention towards solar panels, four factors are chosen: (1) price value and (2) green consumption value (GCV), to reflect the individual attitude and evaluation of solar panels; (3) social influence, to reflect normative beliefs on solar panel adoption; and (4) facilitating conditions, to reflect the consumer's perceived control over adoption of solar panels.

In the field of consumer behavior studies, price is an essential component, often seen as a significant aspect of a consumer's perceived value (Parasuraman & Grewal, 2000). On the other hand, studies focusing on eco-friendly products and services typically include environmental concern or consciousness as a precursor to the intention to purchase green products (Risitano, Romano, La Ragione, & Quintano, 2023; Sun, Wang, Huang, & Ho, 2018). Indeed, there is a complex interrelationship between price and environmental values, which shapes an individual's disposition towards green products. For instance, a socio-cultural investigation into frugality and ecological consciousness conducted by Chen, Ren, Gu, and Zhang (2019) discovered that environmental awareness influences an individual's assessment of whether a product's monetary cost is justified. Consequently, while previous research has evaluated these elements individually, our work aims to explore their combined impact specifically within the Indonesian context.

This study will also leverage aspects of normative and control belief, specifically focusing on social influence and facilitating conditions. The theoretical framework for these two elements was developed by Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, and Davis (2003), who drew upon prior technology acceptance theories by eminent researchers such as Ajzen (1991), Thompson, Higgins, and Howell (1991), and Moore and Benbasat (1991). These two consolidated variables are chosen due to their enhanced predictive capabilities compared to previous models (Rondan-Cataluña, Arenas-Gaitán, & Ramírez-Correa, 2015). Furthermore, Venkatesh, Thong, and Xu (2012) have enriched their paper by introducing a series of measurement items that can assist in forming the research design.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. Academically, this research contributes to the extant literature on green behavioral intention, especially in the context of a developing country. Practically, the research aims to highlight the most influential antecedents of green behavioral intention. It will guide both businesses and policymakers in crafting the most appropriate strategies to encourage the adoption of solar PV among Indonesian consumers. In the following sections, this paper will lay out the theoretical background and research hypotheses, research methodology, result and its implications, as well as research limitations and recommendations.

METHODS

A cross-sectional research design with a quantitative approach was utilized. Data from the intended participants was gathered through online questionnaires made in Google Forms and shared through various social media platforms. This study targeted people who have the following criteria: (1) above 20 years old; (2) living in a house; (3) having graduated middle school; and (4) earning above Rp10M monthly. The criteria are set to target people who possess purchasing power for solar PV systems and have a roof onto which installing solar panels is possible. Participants were provided with essential information to assist them in completing the questions, such as average solar panel pricing before answering price value questions. Participants were selected through a simple random sampling method. This study requires respondents to answer a 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) Likert scale, with items seen in Table 1. Note that PV 4 & PV 5 are reversed questions.

Table 1. Operational Definition of the Variables

Behavioral Intention (Alam et al., 2021)

1. I am willing to purchase solar PV technology
2. I plan to purchase solar PV technology in the future
3. I would highly recommend PV Solar technology for other people to use

Green Consumption Value (Risitano et al., 2023)

1. It is important to me that the products I use do not harm the environment
2. I consider the potential environmental impact of my actions when making many of my decisions
3. My purchase habits are affected by my concern for our environment.
4. I am concerned about wasting the resources of our planet
5. I would describe myself as environmentally responsible
6. I am willing to be inconvenienced in order to take actions that are more environmentally friendly

Price Value (Lau et al., 2020)

1. Solar PV system is reasonably priced
2. Solar PV system has good value for money
3. Solar PV system is a good investment for future generations
4. Cost of investment in solar PV is higher than the benefits it can generate
5. Investment in solar PV system is not worth it
6. Solar PV system is less expensive compared to conventional electricity consumption
7. Solar PV system installation should be made cheaper to encourage usage
8. Maintenance of solar PV system is affordable

Social Influence (Aggarwal et al., 2019)

1. I always ask a friend about his/her experience with a new product before I buy it
2. If people around me has a positive experience with solar PV, I will be inclined to buy it as well
3. People who matter to me (friends, families, neighbors) think that I should install solar PV
4. I value the opinions of people who matter to me

Facilitating Condition (Lau et al., 2020)

1. I have the resources (money, time, effort) necessary to use the solar PV system
2. I have the knowledge necessary to support the use of solar PV system
3. I have the knowledge and expertise necessary to maintain the solar PV system
4. I would heavily rely on after-sales service to maintain my solar PV system
5. I think that using solar PV system fits into my living environment
6. I know where to seek assistance if I were to use solar PV system
7. I am given timely assistance If I were to use solar PV system
8. I can get assistance from others when I have difficulties using the solar PV system

This research utilizes a self-administered questionnaire segmented into two primary sections: The first section collects the respondents' profiles, while the second evaluates each construct using the designated items. The first section was divided into two parts: filtering questions (age, housing tenure, last education, and monthly income) and introductory (gender and monthly electricity bill). The questionnaire items were primarily taken from validated empirical studies.

The questionnaire was first shared with 10 respondents for piloting, as it is necessary to improve the quality of the main study (In, 2017). After incorporating feedback from pilot respondents, the real questionnaire was spread. Partial least squares (PLS) structural equation modeling was applied through Smart PLS Version 4 to explore the research hypotheses and the research model. Each construct underwent convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability testing. It was further assessed by processing the data through three important steps: model specification, outer model evaluation, and inner model evaluation (Hair, Hult, and Ringle, 2017). In the structural model, green consumption value, price value, social influence, and facilitating conditions serve as exogenous constructs, while behavioral intention is an endogenous construct.

RESULTS

Out of the 247 responses collected, 210 fulfilled the aforementioned criteria and became the final sample size. Table 2 shows the 20 retained items of the final model, which passed the 0.707 cutoff value (Hair et al., 2017) and met the minimum threshold value of 0.500 for the average variance extracted (AVE) (Barclay, Thompson, & Higgins, 1995). Five items were excluded from the final model due to insufficient factor loadings: one from social influence (SI 4), three from price value (PV 3, PV 4, PV 5), and one from facilitating conditions (FC 4). Furthermore, the composite reliability of each construct exceeded the recommended 0.700 cutoff value, affirming the model's convergent validity.

Table 2. Convergent Validity (n = 210)

Construct	Items	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's Alpha	AVE	rho A	rho C	VIF
Behavioral Intention	BI1	0.868	0.805	0.719	0.808	0.885	1.851
	BI2	0.855					1.872
	BI3	0.820					1.587
Green Consumption Value	GCV1	0.756	0.867	0.600	0.879	0.900	1.974
	GCV2	0.814					2.306
	GCV3	0.840					2.375
	GCV4	0.757					1.907
	GCV5	0.745					1.751
	GCV6	0.727					1.514
Price Value	PV1	0.806	0.771	0.518	0.803	0.841	2.176
	PV2	0.828					2.265
	PV6	0.682					1.424
	PV7	0.606					1.403
	PV8	0.651					1.480
Social Influence	SI1	0.523	0.626	0.560	0.770	0.785	1.146
	SI2	0.786					1.392
	SI3	0.887					1.314
Facilitating Condition	FC1	0.661	0.898	0.623	0.905	0.920	1.565
	FC2	0.744					2.341
	FC3	0.768					2.482
	FC5	0.786					1.987
	FC6	0.879					3.927
	FC7	0.829					2.755
	FC8	0.838					2.887

Table 3. HTMT Criterion Result

Construct	FC	GCV	BI	PV	SI
FC					
GCV	0.569				
BI	0.890	0.646			
PV	0.732	0.519	0.862		
SI	0.546	0.530	0.621	0.545	

Discriminant validity is measured using cross-loadings, Fornell and Larcker criterion, and the Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) criterion. Each item's cross-loadings value was at least 0.100 smaller than its factor loading value. Moreover, this study aligns with Fornell and Larcker (1981) recommendation where the square root of the AVE for each construct should be greater than the correlation values. Table 3 shows that the HTMT for each construct was below the 0.85 (Clark & Watson, 1995; Kline, 2011), confirming discriminant validity.

Based on the findings' structural model, three variables were found to influence Behavioral Intention positively and significantly, namely: Price Value (beta = 0.321, $p < .01$), Green Concern Value (beta = 0.155, $p < .01$), and Facilitating Condition (beta = 0.436, $p < .01$). However, the direct effects of Social Belief (Beta = 0.77, $p = 0.296$) on Behavioral Intention was not significant. Meanwhile, ANOVA results reveal R^2 of 0.683 and R^2 adjusted of 0.677.

Discussion

Aligned with our first hypothesis, price value positively impacts consumers' intention to adopt solar PV technology. This finding confirms Lau et al. (2020) and Kilani et al. (2023) findings. A report by McKinsey (2022) states that Indonesian consumers are highly price sensitive. This is despite the country's increasing average income levels. Indonesians are known to hunt for bargains and are often influenced by promotional offers. Practically, this also suggests that implementing the right pricing strategies is very important. Promotional pricing or attractive installment schemes could be utilized to increase the perceived affordability of solar PV technology.

Next, GCV positively impacts solar PV adoption which supports H2. This finding is aligned with Risitano et al. (2023) and Chatterjee, Sreen, Sadarangani, and Gogoi (2021), which means that consumers with more concern for the environment are showing more willingness to adopt solar PV. In this case, raising consumers' awareness about the scarcity of non-renewable energy and educating people about the negative impact of using coal to generate electricity will positively influence the solar PV adoption rate in Indonesia.

H3 is unsupported as social influence does not significantly affect the intention to adopt solar PV technology among Indonesian consumers. This unexpected finding that social influence displays a weak effect in a collectivist country like Indonesia provides intriguing theoretical implications. One plausible explanation is the limited peer adoption in the status quo- Indonesia's solar PV adoption rate has decreased by 78% since 2020 (IESR, 2022). Hence, social influence may be undermined by the uncertainty or skepticism around the technology due to the lack of success stories of household solar PV. Future research could further investigate why social influence did not significantly impact intention by studying people who have adopted solar PV as their sample.

Lastly, results show that facilitating conditions positively impact the intention to adopt solar PV, supporting H4. This confirms the results of Akroush et al. (2019), Lau et al. (2020), and Tanveer et al.

(2021). The result suggests that facilitating conditions like high accessibility to solar PV sellers or getting the support needed when having problems with the system will encourage people to try out solar PV. Solar PV system sellers should emphasize highlighting their after-sales services and guarantees. Future research could identify what kind of facilitating condition impacts customers' willingness to adopt solar PV the most.

CONCLUSION

This research is conducted to analyze consumer intention to use solar PV. Four variables, namely green consumption value, price value, social influence, and facilitating conditions, are used as variables impacting the intention to purchase solar PV. A total of 210 valid responses are analyzed using PLS-SEM. The finding shows that price value, green consumption, and facilitating conditions positively and significantly affect intention to purchase. Social influence, however, does not significantly impact the intention to adopt solar PV among Indonesian consumers.

These findings have several managerial implications that can help boost the national adoption rate of solar technology. Offering discounted prices or special offers can make solar technology more affordable and attractive to potential customers. Working in collaboration with government agencies to provide financial incentives, such as tax credits or subsidies, can further reduce the cost of adopting solar technology. Introducing flexible payment options, such as installment plans or subscription models, can make it easier for individuals and businesses to afford and transition to solar technology. In addition to these strategies, raising awareness among the general public is crucial. This can be achieved through effective awareness campaigns and school programs that educate people about the environmental benefits of solar PV and how it works. By increasing public knowledge and familiarity with solar technology, more individuals will be inclined to consider adopting it.

While this study has shed valuable insights, the authors acknowledge its limitations. First, reliance on self-reported data potentially leads to response bias, especially in the case of GCV. Next, the present study has a limited geographic scope focusing on one sole province (i.e. East Java), which limits the generalizability of the findings. Future researchers should increase the sample size and replicate the study in other regions of Indonesia, to improve study robustness. Finally, to enrich the literature, comparative studies can be done among different demographic groups, using factors such as age, education, and income as moderating variables.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, I., Warviyan, D., Safrina, R., Utama, N. A., Tirta, A., Veza, I., & Irianto, I. (2023). Green fiscal stimulus in Indonesia and Vietnam: A reality check of two emerging economies. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 2174. doi:10.3390/su15032174
- Abu-Taieh, E. M., AlHadid, I., Abu-Tayeh, S., Masa'deh, R., Alkhaldeh, R. S., Khwaldeh, S., & Alrowwad, A. (2022). Continued intention to Use of M-banking in Jordan by Integrating UTAUT, TPB, TAM and Service Quality with ML. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *8*(3), 120. doi:10.3390/joitmc8030120
- Aggarwal, A. K., Syed, A. A., & Garg, S. (2019). Factors driving Indian consumer's purchase intention of Roof top solar. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, *13*(3), 539–555. doi:10.1108/ijesm-07-2018-0012
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *50*(2), 179–211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-t
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, Personality and Behavior* (2nd ed.), Berkshire, UK: Open University Press-McGraw Hill Education.

- Akroush, M. N., Zuriekat, M. I., Al Jabali, H. I., & Asfour, N. A. (2019). Determinants of purchasing intentions of energy-efficient products. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(1), 128–148. doi:10.1108/ijesm-05-2018-0009
- Alam, S. S., Ahmad, M., Othman, A. S., Shaari, Z. B., & Masukujjaman, M. (2021). Factors affecting photovoltaic solar technology usage intention among households in Malaysia: Model Integration and empirical validation. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1773. doi:10.3390/su13041773
- Anderson, E. W. (1998). Customer Satisfaction and Word of Mouth. *Journal of Service Research*, 1(1), 5–17. doi:10.1177/109467059800100102
- Barclay, D., Thompson, R., & Higgins, C. (1995). The Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach to Causal Modeling: Personal Computer Adoption and Use an Illustration. *Technology Studies*, 2(2), 285–309.
- Barua, Z., & Barua, A. (2023). Modeling the predictors of mobile health adoption by Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh: An extension of UTAUT2 using combined SEM-neural network approach. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 8, 100201. doi:10.1016/j.jmh.2023.100201
- Burke, P. J., Widnyana, J., Anjum, Z., Aisbett, E., Resosudarmo, B., & Baldwin, K. G. H. (2019). Overcoming barriers to solar and wind energy adoption in two Asian giants: India and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 132, 1216–1228. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.055
- Castillo, E. H., Cabanillas, F. L., & Ramos, Á. V. (2023). Intention to use e-commerce vs physical shopping. difference between consumers in the post-COVID era. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113622. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113622
- Chatterjee, S., Sreen, N., Sadarangani, P. H., & Gogoi, B. J. (2021). Impact of Green Consumption Value, and Context-Specific Reasons on Green Purchase Intentions: A Behavioral Reasoning Theory Perspective. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 35(4), 285–305. doi:10.1080/08911762.2021.1996670
- Chen, K., Ren, C., Gu, R., & Zhang, P. (2019). Exploring purchase intentions of New Energy Vehicles: From the perspective of frugality and the concept of “Mianzi.” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 230, 700–708. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.135
- Chen, M., & Zhang, W.-H. (2021). Purchase intention for hydrogen automobile among Chinese citizens: The influence of environmental concern and perceived social value. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 46(34), 18000–18010. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.11.099
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 309–319. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.7.3.309
- Dharshing, S. (2017). Household Dynamics of Technology Adoption: A spatial econometric analysis of residential solar photovoltaic (PV) systems in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 23, 113–124. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2016.10.012
- Dodds, W. B., Monroe, K. B., & Grewal, D. (1991). Effects of price, Brand, and store information on buyers' product evaluations. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 28(3), 307. doi:10.2307/3172866
- Feldman, D., Ramasamy, V., Fu, R., Ramdas, A., Desai, J., & Margolis, R. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/77324.pdf>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 382. doi:10.2307/3150980
- Gansser, O. A., & Reich, C. S. (2021). A new acceptance model for artificial intelligence with extensions to UTAUT2: An empirical study in three segments of application. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101535. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101535
- Goodstats.id. (2023). Retrieved from <https://data.goodstats.id/statistic/adelandilaa/rata-rata-pendapatan-gaji-di-indonesia-2023-68Yxg>

- Hasheem, M. J., Wang, S., Ye, N., Farooq, M. Z., & Shahid, H. M. (2022). Factors influencing purchase intention of solar photovoltaic technology: An extended perspective of technology readiness index and theory of planned behaviour. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, 7, 100079. doi:10.1016/j.clrc.2022.100079
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Haws, K. L., Winterich, K. P., & Naylor, R. W. (2013). Seeing the world through green-tinted glasses: Green consumption values and responses to environmentally friendly products. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 24(3), 336–354. doi:10.1016/j.jcps.2013.11.002
- IESR (2022). *Indonesia Solar Energy Outlook 2023*. Jakarta: Institute for Essential Services Reform (IESR).
- In, J. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 70(6), 601. doi:10.4097/kjae.2017.70.6.601
- Jayaraman, K., Paramasivan, L., & Kiumarsi, S. (2017). Reasons for low penetration on the purchase of photovoltaic (PV) panel system among Malaysian landed property owners. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 80, 562–571. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.213
- Kilani, A. A.-H. Z., Kakeesh, D. F., Al-Weshah, G. A., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2023). Consumer post-adoption of e-wallet: An extended UTAUT2 perspective with trust. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(3), 100113. doi:10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100113
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. New York, New York: Guilford Press.
- Lau, L. S., Choong, Y. O., Wei, C. Y., Seow, A. N., Choong, C. K., Senadjki, A., & Ching, S. L. (2020). Investigating nonusers' behavioural intention towards Solar Photovoltaic Technology in Malaysia: The role of knowledge transmission and price value. *Energy Policy*, 144, 111651. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111651
- Li, X. W., Tse, Y. K., Zhang, M. H., & Phi, H. D. (2023). Impact of relocation strategy on brand trustworthiness and word-of-mouth: Experimental vignette research on the US fashion industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 257, 108775. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.108775
- McKinsey. (2022). Survey: Indonesian consumer sentiment during the coronavirus crisis. McKinsey&Company
- Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 192–222. doi:10.1287/isre.2.3.192
- Nguyen, T. T., & Phan, H. T. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 anxiety on functional foods consuming intention: Role of electronic word of mouth. *Heliyon*, 8(11). doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11344
- Opiyo, N. N. (2019). Impacts of neighbourhood influence on social acceptance of small Solar Home Systems in rural Western Kenya. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 52, 91–98. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2019.01.013
- Pandey, M., & Yadav, P. S. (2023). Understanding the role of individual concerns, attitude, and perceived value in green apparel purchase intention; the mediating effect of consumer involvement and moderating role of Generation Z&Y. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, 9, 100120. doi:10.1016/j.clrc.2023.100120
- Parasuraman, A., & Grewal, D. (2000). The impact of technology on the quality-value-loyalty chain: A research agenda. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(1), 168–174. doi:10.1177/0092070300281015
- Risitano, M., Romano, R., La Ragione, G., & Quintano, M. (2023). Analysing the impact of green consumption values on brand responses and behavioural intention. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 32(3), 1096–1112. doi:10.1111/beer.12543

- Fernández, J. M., Payán, M., & Santos, J. M. (2021). Profitability of household photovoltaic self-consumption in Spain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 279, 123439. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123439
- Rondan-Cataluña, F. J., Arenas-Gaitán, J., & Ramírez-Correa, P. E. (2015). A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models. *Kybernetes*, 44(5), 788–805. doi:10.1108/k-09-2014-0184
- Schmitz, A., Díaz-Martín, A. M., & Yagüe Guillén, M. J. (2022). Modifying UTAUT2 for a cross-country comparison of telemedicine adoption. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 130, 107183. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2022.107183
- Schulte, E., Scheller, F., Sloot, D., & Bruckner, T. (2022). A meta-analysis of residential PV adoption: The important role of perceived benefits, intentions and antecedents in Solar energy acceptance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 84, 102339. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2021.102339
- Sebastián, M. G. de B., Antonovica, A., & Guede, J. R. S. (2023). What are the leading factors for using Spanish peer-to-peer mobile payment platform Bizum? the applied analysis of the UTAUT2 model. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 187, 122235. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122235
- Setyawati, D. (2020). Analysis of perceptions towards the rooftop photovoltaic Solar System Policy in Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 144, 111569. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111569
- SolarPower Europe. (2022). *European Market Outlook for Solar Power 2022-2026*.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2018). Success and failure in the political economy of solar electrification: Lessons from World Bank Solar Home System (SHS) projects in Sri Lanka and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 123, 482–493. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.09.024
- Sumarsono, N., Wahyuni, S., & Sudhartio, L. (2022). A paradigm shift of energy sources: Critical Review on competitive dynamics of solar PV industry in Indonesia. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 41, 236–245. doi:10.1016/j.ref.2021.12.001
- Sun, P. C., Wang, H. M., Huang, H. L., & Ho, C. W. (2018). Consumer attitude and purchase intention toward rooftop photovoltaic installation: The roles of personal trait, psychological benefit, and government incentives. *Energy & Environment*, 31(1), 21–39. doi:10.1177/0958305x17754278
- Sun, Y., & Wang, S. (2019). Understanding consumers' intentions to purchase green products in the social media marketing context. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 32(4), 860–878. doi:10.1108/apjml-03-2019-0178
- Tabassum, S., Rahman, T., Islam, A. U., Rahman, S., Dipta, D. R., Roy, S., ... Hossain, E. (2021). Solar Energy in the United States: Development, challenges and future prospects. *Energies*, 14(23), 8142. doi:10.3390/en14238142
- Tanveer, A., Zeng, S., Irfan, M., & Peng, R. (2021). Do perceived risk, perception of self-efficacy, and openness to technology matter for solar PV adoption? an application of the extended theory of planned behavior. *Energies*, 14(16), 5008. doi:10.3390/en14165008
- Tarigan, E. (2020). Rooftop PV system policy and implementation study for a household in Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 10(5), 110–115. doi:10.32479/ijeep.9539
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *MIS Quarterly*, 15(1), 125. doi:10.2307/249443
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425. doi:10.2307/30036540
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 157. doi:10.2307/41410412

Xu, A., Awalin, L. J., Al-Khaykan, A., Fard, H. F., Alhamrouni, I., & Salem, M. (2023). Techno-economic and environmental study of optimum hybrid renewable systems, including PV/Wind/gen/Battery, with various components to find the best renewable combination for Ponorogo Regency, East Java, Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1802. doi:10.3390/su15031802

Zhang, L., Li, D., Cao, C., & Huang, S. (2018). The influence of greenwashing perception on green purchasing intentions: The mediating role of green word-of-mouth and moderating role of Green Concern. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *187*, 740–750. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.201

Copyright holder:

Ancilla Mathilda, Gabriella Theodora, Ruth Srininta Tarigan (2024)

First publication rights:

International Journal of Social Service and Research (IJSSR)

This article is licensed under:



2. Bukti konfirmasi review, dan hasil review pertama



[IJSSR] New notification from International Journal of Social Service and Research

1 message

Abdurokhim Abdurokhim <ijssrofficial@gmail.com>

2 March 2024 at 15:28

Reply-To: International Journal of Social Service and Research <ijssr@ridwaninstitute.co.id>

To: Ruth Srininta Tarigan <ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id>

You have a new notification from International Journal of Social Service and Research:

You have been added to a discussion titled "REVIEW RESULTS" regarding the submission "Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions".

Link: <https://ijssr.ridwaninstitute.co.id/index.php/ijssr/authorDashboard/submission/740>

International Journal of Social Service and Research



Article Assessment Sheet				
Reviewer's Comments (Compatibility Points)				
	Less	Enough	Good	Excellent
Research Title			v	
Abstract		v		
Introduction			v	
Background		v		
Specific Issues			v	
The Urgency of Research			v	
Previous Research			v	
Novelty Research		v		
Objectives, benefits and implications of research	v			
Research Method		v		
Research Results (Results)		v		
Discussion		v		
Conclusion	v			
Bibliography Minimum 20 (References)				
Graphic Design and Presentation		v		
Research Credibility and Ethics			v	
Editing and Layouting			v	
Reviewer's Recommendation				
<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted without change				
<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted with minor revisions				
<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted with major revision / revision and resubmit again				
<input type="checkbox"/> Rejected: incomplete manuscript and no novelty				
Advice for Authors				
Suggestions can be seen in <i>the right sidebar</i> of the article.				
Publish Schedule				
Your article will be published in issue (Vol. 4, No. 03, 2024) after revision.				

Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions

Keywords

Facilitating Condition, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence.

ABSTRACT

Extant solar energy research generally investigates the macroeconomic factors behind Indonesia's slow adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems. Meanwhile, this paper focuses on individual consumers' intention to adopt the technology. It investigates whether price value, green consumption value, social influence, and facilitating condition have any impact towards behavioural intention. Data from a final sample of 210 valid respondents were collected using simple random sampling and analysed using PLS-SEM. Price value, green consumption value, and facilitating condition significantly and positively affect intention to adopt solar panels, while social influence has an insignificant role in the decision-making process. These findings provide insights that can aid policy initiatives and marketing strategies in promoting renewable energy solutions in Indonesia.

INTRODUCTION

Following the increasing awareness of global warming, countries all around the world are transitioning from fossil fuels to clean, renewable energy (RE), one of them being solar energy. Indeed, the adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) technologies has observed remarkable progress. For instance, solar capacity in the US has increased by 94.3% since the last decade (Tabassum et al., 2021), while European countries have installed 41.4GW of solar power capacity or equivalent to electricity for 12.4 million houses in 2022 (SolarPower Europe, 2022).

As a tropical country with high solar radiation, Indonesia presents a large potential for solar panel adoption (Tarigan, 2020). Unfortunately, the industry itself is still in its infancy, having only grown by 6.4% in the last decade (Abdullah et al., 2023). Even when compared to neighboring countries of similar economic and social conditions, such as India and Sri Lanka, RE adoption in Indonesia is considered unprogressive (Burke et al., 2019; Sovacool, 2018). This reflected the lack of research as well- while numerous studies on green technology have been done in the context of developed nations (Dharshing, 2017; Feldman et al., 2021; Fernández, Payán, & Santos, 2021) research on green purchase intention in Indonesia is still at a nascent stage (IESR, 2022).

Extant solar PV studies in Indonesia generally focus on technical and socio-regulatory factors (Abdullah et al., 2023; Sumarsono, Wahyuni, & Sudhartio, 2022; Xu et al., 2023) or institutions and powerful stakeholders impeding the country's transition from coal to renewable energy (Burke et al., 2019; Setyawati, 2020). This research highlighted macroeconomic factors slowing down the adoption rate of solar PV, yet there is a gap in research using a microeconomic approach (i.e. examining intention to adopt solar PV among households). Solar panel usage needs to expand to individual household levels if Indonesia wishes to grow its meager solar PV adoption rate. By studying factors influencing consumers' intention to adopt solar PV, this paper makes an original contribution to green marketing in Indonesia and addresses the aforementioned research gap.

Ajzen (2005) suggested that three elements shape behavioral intention: personal factors (including values and attitudes that influence the individual's assessment of the behavior), societal influences (arising from expectations within social groups), and control aspects (comprising self-efficacy and external elements that affect the simplicity of executing the behavior). To investigate factors affecting behavioral intention towards solar panels, four factors are chosen: (1) price value and (2)

Commented [IS1]: Focus more on the research objectives and hypotheses to be tested

Commented [IS2]: 1. Explain the research gap you want to close more specifically
2. The theoretical readings underlying the selection of research variables need to be clarified
3. The reasons for choosing the Indonesian context need to be emphasized

green consumption value (GCV), to reflect the individual attitude and evaluation of solar panels; (3) social influence, to reflect normative beliefs on solar panel adoption; and (4) facilitating conditions, to reflect the consumer's perceived control over adoption of solar panels.

In the field of consumer behavior studies, price is an essential component, often seen as a significant aspect of a consumer's perceived value (Parasuraman & Grewal, 2000). On the other hand, studies focusing on eco-friendly products and services typically include environmental concern or consciousness as a precursor to the intention to purchase green products (Risitano, Romano, La Ragione, & Quintano, 2023; Sun, Wang, Huang, & Ho, 2018). Indeed, there is a complex interrelationship between price and environmental values, which shapes an individual's disposition towards green products. For instance, a socio-cultural investigation into frugality and ecological consciousness conducted by Chen, Ren, Gu, and Zhang (2019) discovered that environmental awareness influences an individual's assessment of whether a product's monetary cost is justified. Consequently, while previous research has evaluated these elements individually, our work aims to explore their combined impact specifically within the Indonesian context.

This study will also leverage aspects of normative and control belief, specifically focusing on social influence and facilitating conditions. The theoretical framework for these two elements was developed by Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, and Davis (2003), who drew upon prior technology acceptance theories by eminent researchers such as Ajzen (1991), Thompson, Higgins, and Howell (1991), and Moore and Benbasat (1991). These two consolidated variables are chosen due to their enhanced predictive capabilities compared to previous models (Rondan-Cataluña, Arenas-Gaitán, & Ramírez-Correa, 2015). Furthermore, Venkatesh, Thong, and Xu (2012) have enriched their paper by introducing a series of measurement items that can assist in forming the research design.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. Academically, this research contributes to the extant literature on green behavioral intention, especially in the context of a developing country. Practically, the research aims to highlight the most influential antecedents of green behavioral intention. It will guide both businesses and policymakers in crafting the most appropriate strategies to encourage the adoption of solar PV among Indonesian consumers. In the following sections, this paper will lay out the theoretical background and research hypotheses, research methodology, result and its implications, as well as research limitations and recommendations.

METHODS

A cross-sectional research design with a quantitative approach was utilized. Data from the intended participants was gathered through online questionnaires made in Google Forms and shared through various social media platforms. This study targeted people who have the following criteria: (1) above 20 years old; (2) living in a house; (3) having graduated middle school; and (4) earning above Rp10M monthly. The criteria are set to target people who possess purchasing power for solar PV systems and have a roof onto which installing solar panels is possible. Participants were provided with essential information to assist them in completing the questions, such as average solar panel pricing before answering price value questions. Participants were selected through a simple random sampling method. This study requires respondents to answer a 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) Likert scale, with items seen in Table 1. Note that PV 4 & PV 5 are reversed questions.

Commented [IS3]: 1. Explain the sampling procedure in more detail
2. Describe the measurement scale for each variable
3. Explain the stages of data analysis more systematically

Table 1. Operational Definition of the Variables

Behavioral Intention (Alam et al., 2021) 1. I am willing to purchase solar PV technology 2. I plan to purchase solar PV technology in the future 3. I would highly recommend PV Solar technology for other people to use
--

Green Consumption Value (Risitano et al., 2023)

1. It is important to me that the products I use do not harm the environment
2. I consider the potential environmental impact of my actions when making many of my decisions
3. My purchase habits are affected by my concern for our environment.
4. I am concerned about wasting the resources of our planet
5. I would describe myself as environmentally responsible
6. I am willing to be inconvenienced in order to take actions that are more environmentally friendly

Price Value (Lau et al., 2020)

1. Solar PV system is reasonably priced
2. Solar PV system has good value for money
3. Solar PV system is a good investment for future generations
4. Cost of investment in solar PV is higher than the benefits it can generate
5. Investment in solar PV system is not worth it
6. Solar PV system is less expensive compared to conventional electricity consumption
7. Solar PV system installation should be made cheaper to encourage usage
8. Maintenance of solar PV system is affordable

Social Influence (Aggarwal et al., 2019)

1. I always ask a friend about his/her experience with a new product before I buy it
2. If people around me has a positive experience with solar PV, I will be inclined to buy it as well
3. People who matter to me (friends, families, neighbors) think that I should install solar PV
4. I value the opinions of people who matter to me

Facilitating Condition (Lau et al., 2020)

1. I have the resources (money, time, effort) necessary to use the solar PV system
2. I have the knowledge necessary to support the use of solar PV system
3. I have the knowledge and expertise necessary to maintain the solar PV system
4. I would heavily rely on after-sales service to maintain my solar PV system
5. I think that using solar PV system fits into my living environment
6. I know where to seek assistance if I were to use solar PV system
7. I am given timely assistance If I were to use solar PV system
8. I can get assistance from others when I have difficulties using the solar PV system

This research utilizes a self-administered questionnaire segmented into two primary sections: The first section collects the respondents' profiles, while the second evaluates each construct using the designated items. The first section was divided into two parts: filtering questions (age, housing tenure, last education, and monthly income) and introductory (gender and monthly electricity bill). The questionnaire items were primarily taken from validated empirical studies.

The questionnaire was first shared with 10 respondents for piloting, as it is necessary to improve the quality of the main study (In, 2017). After incorporating feedback from pilot respondents, the real questionnaire was spread. Partial least squares (PLS) structural equation modeling was applied through Smart PLS Version 4 to explore the research hypotheses and the research model. Each construct underwent convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability testing. It was further assessed by processing the data through three important steps: model specification, outer model evaluation, and inner model evaluation (Hair, Hult, and Ringle, 2017). In the structural model, green consumption value, price value, social influence, and facilitating conditions serve as exogenous constructs, while behavioral intention is an endogenous construct.

RESULTS

Out of the 247 responses collected, 210 fulfilled the aforementioned criteria and became the final sample size. Table 2 shows the 20 retained items of the final model, which passed the 0.707 cutoff value (Hair et al., 2017) and met the minimum threshold value of 0.500 for the average variance extracted (AVE) (Barclay, Thompson, & Higgins, 1995). Five items were excluded from the final model due to insufficient factor loadings: one from social influence (SI 4), three from price value (PV 3, PV 4,

Commented [IS4]: 1. Provide tables and figures to support the explanation of the analysis results
2. Describe the findings quantitatively for each hypothesis
3. Explain the meaning of the statistics used

PV 5), and one from facilitating conditions (FC 4). Furthermore, the composite reliability of each construct exceeded the recommended 0.700 cutoff value, affirming the model's convergent validity.

Table 2. Convergent Validity (n = 210)

Construct	Items	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's Alpha	AVE	rho A	rho C	VIF
Behavioral Intention	BI1	0.868	0.805	0.719	0.808	0.885	1.851
	BI2	0.855					1.872
	BI3	0.820					1.587
Green Consumption Value	GCV1	0.756	0.867	0.600	0.879	0.900	1.974
	GCV2	0.814					2.306
	GCV3	0.840					2.375
	GCV4	0.757					1.907
	GCV5	0.745					1.751
	GCV6	0.727					1.514
Price Value	PV1	0.806	0.771	0.518	0.803	0.841	2.176
	PV2	0.828					2.265
	PV6	0.682					1.424
	PV7	0.606					1.403
	PV8	0.651					1.480
Social Influence	SI1	0.523	0.626	0.560	0.770	0.785	1.146
	SI2	0.786					1.392
	SI3	0.887					1.314
Facilitating Condition	FC1	0.661	0.898	0.623	0.905	0.920	1.565
	FC2	0.744					2.341
	FC3	0.768					2.482
	FC5	0.786					1.987
	FC6	0.879					3.927
	FC7	0.829					2.755
	FC8	0.838					2.887

Table 3. HTMT Criterion Result

Construct	FC	GCV	BI	PV	SI
FC					

GCV	0.569			
BI	0.890	0.646		
PV	0.732	0.519	0.862	
SI	0.546	0.530	0.621	0.545

Discriminant validity is measured using cross-loadings, Fornell and Larcker criterion, and the Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) criterion. Each item's cross-loadings value was at least 0.100 smaller than its factor loading value. Moreover, this study aligns with Fornell and Larcker (1981) recommendation where the square root of the AVE for each construct should be greater than the correlation values. Table 3 shows that the HTMT for each construct was below the 0.85 (Clark & Watson, 1995; Kline, 2011), confirming discriminant validity.

Based on the findings' structural model, three variables were found to influence Behavioral Intention positively and significantly, namely: Price Value (beta = 0.321, $p < .01$), Green Concern Value (beta = 0.155, $p < .01$), and Facilitating Condition (beta = 0.436, $p < .01$). However, the direct effects of Social Belief (Beta = 0.77, $p = 0.296$) on Behavioral Intention was not significant. Meanwhile, ANOVA results reveal R^2 of 0.683 and R^2 adjusted of 0.677.

Discussion

Aligned with our first hypothesis, price value positively impacts consumers' intention to adopt solar PV technology. This finding confirms Lau et al. (2020) and Kilani et al. (2023) findings. A report by McKinsey (2022) states that Indonesian consumers are highly price sensitive. This is despite the country's increasing average income levels. Indonesians are known to hunt for bargains and are often influenced by promotional offers. Practically, this also suggests that implementing the right pricing strategies is very important. Promotional pricing or attractive installment schemes could be utilized to increase the perceived affordability of solar PV technology.

Next, GCV positively impacts solar PV adoption which supports H2. This finding is aligned with Risitano et al. (2023) and Chatterjee, Sreen, Sadarangani, and Gogoi (2021), which means that consumers with more concern for the environment are showing more willingness to adopt solar PV. In this case, raising consumers' awareness about the scarcity of non-renewable energy and educating people about the negative impact of using coal to generate electricity will positively influence the solar PV adoption rate in Indonesia.

H3 is unsupported as social influence does not significantly affect the intention to adopt solar PV technology among Indonesian consumers. This unexpected finding that social influence displays a weak effect in a collectivist country like Indonesia provides intriguing theoretical implications. One plausible explanation is the limited peer adoption in the status quo- Indonesia's solar PV adoption rate has decreased by 78% since 2020 (IESR, 2022). Hence, social influence may be undermined by the uncertainty or skepticism around the technology due to the lack of success stories of household solar PV. Future research could further investigate why social influence did not significantly impact intention by studying people who have adopted solar PV as their sample.

Lastly, results show that facilitating conditions positively impact the intention to adopt solar PV, supporting H4. This confirms the results of Akroush et al. (2019), Lau et al. (2020), and Tanveer et al. (2021). The result suggests that facilitating conditions like high accessibility to solar PV sellers or getting the support needed when having problems with the system will encourage people to try out solar PV. Solar PV system sellers should emphasize highlighting their after-sales services and guarantees. Future research could identify what kind of facilitating condition impacts customers' willingness to adopt solar PV the most.

CONCLUSION

This research is conducted to analyze consumer intention to use solar PV. Four variables, namely green consumption value, price value, social influence, and facilitating conditions, are used as variables impacting the intention to purchase solar PV. A total of 210 valid responses are analyzed using PLS-SEM. The finding shows that price value, green consumption, and facilitating conditions positively and significantly affect intention to purchase. Social influence, however, does not significantly impact the intention to adopt solar PV among Indonesian consumers.

These findings have several managerial implications that can help boost the national adoption rate of solar technology. Offering discounted prices or special offers can make solar technology more affordable and attractive to potential customers. Working in collaboration with government agencies to provide financial incentives, such as tax credits or subsidies, can further reduce the cost of adopting solar technology. Introducing flexible payment options, such as installment plans or subscription models, can make it easier for individuals and businesses to afford and transition to solar technology. In addition to these strategies, raising awareness among the general public is crucial. This can be achieved through effective awareness campaigns and school programs that educate people about the environmental benefits of solar PV and how it works. By increasing public knowledge and familiarity with solar technology, more individuals will be inclined to consider adopting it.

While this study has shed valuable insights, the authors acknowledge its limitations. First, reliance on self-reported data potentially leads to response bias, especially in the case of GCV. Next, the present study has a limited geographic scope focusing on one sole province (i.e. East Java), which limits the generalizability of the findings. Future researchers should increase the sample size and replicate the study in other regions of Indonesia, to improve study robustness. Finally, to enrich the literature, comparative studies can be done among different demographic groups, using factors such as age, education, and income as moderating variables.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, I., Warviyan, D., Safrina, R., Utama, N. A., Tirta, A., Veza, I., & Irianto, I. (2023). Green fiscal stimulus in Indonesia and Vietnam: A reality check of two emerging economies. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2174. doi:10.3390/su15032174
- Abu-Taieh, E. M., AlHadid, I., Abu-Tayeh, S., Masa'deh, R., Alkhalwaldeh, R. S., Khwaldeh, S., & Alrowwad, A. (2022). Continued intention to Use of M-banking in Jordan by Integrating UTAUT, TPB, TAM and Service Quality with ML. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(3), 120. doi:10.3390/joitmc8030120
- Aggarwal, A. K., Syed, A. A., & Garg, S. (2019). Factors driving Indian consumer's purchase intention of Roof top solar. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(3), 539-555. doi:10.1108/ijesm-07-2018-0012
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-t
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, Personality and Behavior* (2nd ed.), Berkshire, UK: Open University Press-McGraw Hill Education.
- Akroush, M. N., Zuriekat, M. I., Al Jabali, H. I., & Asfour, N. A. (2019). Determinants of purchasing intentions of energy-efficient products. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(1), 128-148. doi:10.1108/ijesm-05-2018-0009

Commented [IS5]: 1. Synchronize with research objectives and initial hypothesis
2. State the limitations of the research and suggestions for further development
3. Highlight the theoretical and practical implications of the research findings

- Alam, S. S., Ahmad, M., Othman, A. S., Shaari, Z. B., & Masukujjaman, M. (2021). Factors affecting photovoltaic solar technology usage intention among households in Malaysia: Model Integration and empirical validation. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1773. doi:10.3390/su13041773
- Anderson, E. W. (1998). Customer Satisfaction and Word of Mouth. *Journal of Service Research*, 1(1), 5–17. doi:10.1177/109467059800100102
- Barclay, D., Thompson, R., & Higgins, C. (1995). The Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach to Causal Modeling: Personal Computer Adoption and Use an Illustration. *Technology Studies*, 2(2), 285–309.
- Barua, Z., & Barua, A. (2023). Modeling the predictors of mobile health adoption by Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh: An extension of UTAUT2 using combined SEM-neural network approach. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 8, 100201. doi:10.1016/j.jmh.2023.100201
- Burke, P. J., Widnyana, J., Anjum, Z., Aisbett, E., Resosudarmo, B., & Baldwin, K. G. H. (2019). Overcoming barriers to solar and wind energy adoption in two Asian giants: India and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 132, 1216–1228. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.055
- Castillo, E. H., Cabanillas, F. L., & Ramos, Á. V. (2023). Intention to use e-commerce vs physical shopping. difference between consumers in the post-COVID era. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113622. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113622
- Chatterjee, S., Sreen, N., Sadarangani, P. H., & Gogoi, B. J. (2021). Impact of Green Consumption Value, and Context-Specific Reasons on Green Purchase Intentions: A Behavioral Reasoning Theory Perspective. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 35(4), 285–305. doi:10.1080/08911762.2021.1996670
- Chen, K., Ren, C., Gu, R., & Zhang, P. (2019). Exploring purchase intentions of New Energy Vehicles: From the perspective of frugality and the concept of “Mianzi.” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 230, 700–708. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.135
- Chen, M., & Zhang, W.-H. (2021). Purchase intention for hydrogen automobile among Chinese citizens: The influence of environmental concern and perceived social value. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 46(34), 18000–18010. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.11.099
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 309–319. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.7.3.309
- Dharshing, S. (2017). Household Dynamics of Technology Adoption: A spatial econometric analysis of residential solar photovoltaic (PV) systems in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 23, 113–124. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2016.10.012
- Dodds, W. B., Monroe, K. B., & Grewal, D. (1991). Effects of price, Brand, and store information on buyers' product evaluations. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 28(3), 307. doi:10.2307/3172866
- Feldman, D., Ramasamy, V., Fu, R., Ramdas, A., Desai, J., & Margolis, R. (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/77324.pdf>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 382. doi:10.2307/3150980
- Gansser, O. A., & Reich, C. S. (2021). A new acceptance model for artificial intelligence with extensions to UTAUT2: An empirical study in three segments of application. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101535. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101535
- Goodstats.id. (2023). Retrieved from <https://data.goodstats.id/statistic/adelandilaa/rata-rata-pendapatan-gaji-di-indonesia-2023-68Yxg>
- Hasheem, M. J., Wang, S., Ye, N., Farooq, M. Z., & Shahid, H. M. (2022). Factors influencing purchase intention of solar photovoltaic technology: An extended perspective of technology readiness index and theory of planned behaviour. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, 7, 100079. doi:10.1016/j.clrc.2022.100079

- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Haws, K. L., Winterich, K. P., & Naylor, R. W. (2013). Seeing the world through green-tinted glasses: Green consumption values and responses to environmentally friendly products. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 24*(3), 336–354. doi:10.1016/j.jcps.2013.11.002
- IESR (2022). *Indonesia Solar Energy Outlook 2023*. Jakarta: Institute for Essential Services Reform (IESR).
- In, J. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 70*(6), 601. doi:10.4097/kjae.2017.70.6.601
- Jayaraman, K., Paramasivan, L., & Kiumarsi, S. (2017). Reasons for low penetration on the purchase of photovoltaic (PV) panel system among Malaysian landed property owners. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 80*, 562–571. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.213
- Kilani, A. A.-H. Z., Kakeesh, D. F., Al-Weshah, G. A., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2023). Consumer post-adoption of e-wallet: An extended UTAUT2 perspective with trust. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 9*(3), 100113. doi:10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100113
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. New York, New York: Guilford Press.
- Lau, L. S., Choong, Y. O., Wei, C. Y., Seow, A. N., Choong, C. K., Senadjki, A., & Ching, S. L. (2020). Investigating nonusers' behavioural intention towards Solar Photovoltaic Technology in Malaysia: The role of knowledge transmission and price value. *Energy Policy, 144*, 111651. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111651
- Li, X. W., Tse, Y. K., Zhang, M. H., & Phi, H. D. (2023). Impact of relocation strategy on brand trustworthiness and word-of-mouth: Experimental vignette research on the US fashion industry. *International Journal of Production Economics, 257*, 108775. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.108775
- McKinsey. (2022). Survey: Indonesian consumer sentiment during the coronavirus crisis. McKinsey&Company
- Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information Systems Research, 2*(3), 192–222. doi:10.1287/isre.2.3.192
- Nguyen, T. T., & Phan, H. T. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 anxiety on functional foods consuming intention: Role of electronic word of mouth. *Heliyon, 8*(11). doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11344
- Opiyo, N. N. (2019). Impacts of neighbourhood influence on social acceptance of small Solar Home Systems in rural Western Kenya. *Energy Research & Social Science, 52*, 91–98. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2019.01.013
- Pandey, M., & Yadav, P. S. (2023). Understanding the role of individual concerns, attitude, and perceived value in green apparel purchase intention; the mediating effect of consumer involvement and moderating role of Generation Z&Y. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption, 9*, 100120. doi:10.1016/j.clrc.2023.100120
- Parasuraman, A., & Grewal, D. (2000). The impact of technology on the quality-value-loyalty chain: A research agenda. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 28*(1), 168–174. doi:10.1177/0092070300281015
- Risitano, M., Romano, R., La Ragione, G., & Quintano, M. (2023). Analysing the impact of green consumption values on brand responses and behavioural intention. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility, 32*(3), 1096–1112. doi:10.1111/beer.12543
- Fernández, J. M., Payán, M., & Santos, J. M. (2021). Profitability of household photovoltaic self-consumption in Spain. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 279*, 123439. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123439

- Rondan-Cataluña, F. J., Arenas-Gaitán, J., & Ramírez-Correa, P. E. (2015). A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models. *Kybernetes*, *44*(5), 788–805. doi:10.1108/k-09-2014-0184
- Schmitz, A., Díaz-Martín, A. M., & Yagüe Guillén, M. J. (2022). Modifying UTAUT2 for a cross-country comparison of telemedicine adoption. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *130*, 107183. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2022.107183
- Schulte, E., Scheller, F., Sloot, D., & Bruckner, T. (2022). A meta-analysis of residential PV adoption: The important role of perceived benefits, intentions and antecedents in Solar energy acceptance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *84*, 102339. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2021.102339
- Sebastián, M. G. de B., Antonovica, A., & Guede, J. R. S. (2023). What are the leading factors for using Spanish peer-to-peer mobile payment platform Bizum? the applied analysis of the UTAUT2 model. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *187*, 122235. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122235
- Setyawati, D. (2020). Analysis of perceptions towards the rooftop photovoltaic Solar System Policy in Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, *144*, 111569. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111569
- SolarPower Europe. (2022). *European Market Outlook for Solar Power 2022-2026*.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2018). Success and failure in the political economy of solar electrification: Lessons from World Bank Solar Home System (SHS) projects in Sri Lanka and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, *123*, 482–493. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2018.09.024
- Sumarsono, N., Wahyuni, S., & Sudhartio, L. (2022). A paradigm shift of energy sources: Critical Review on competitive dynamics of solar PV industry in Indonesia. *Renewable Energy Focus*, *41*, 236–245. doi:10.1016/j.ref.2021.12.001
- Sun, P. C., Wang, H. M., Huang, H. L., & Ho, C. W. (2018). Consumer attitude and purchase intention toward rooftop photovoltaic installation: The roles of personal trait, psychological benefit, and government incentives. *Energy & Environment*, *31*(1), 21–39. doi:10.1177/09583305x17754278
- Sun, Y., & Wang, S. (2019). Understanding consumers' intentions to purchase green products in the social media marketing context. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, *32*(4), 860–878. doi:10.1108/apjml-03-2019-0178
- Tabassum, S., Rahman, T., Islam, A. U., Rahman, S., Dipta, D. R., Roy, S., ... Hossain, E. (2021). Solar Energy in the United States: Development, challenges and future prospects. *Energies*, *14*(23), 8142. doi:10.3390/en14238142
- Tanveer, A., Zeng, S., Irfan, M., & Peng, R. (2021). Do perceived risk, perception of self-efficacy, and openness to technology matter for solar PV adoption? an application of the extended theory of planned behavior. *Energies*, *14*(16), 5008. doi:10.3390/en14165008
- Tarigan, E. (2020). Rooftop PV system policy and implementation study for a household in Indonesia. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *10*(5), 110–115. doi:10.32479/ijeep.9539
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *MIS Quarterly*, *15*(1), 125. doi:10.2307/249443
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, *27*(3), 425. doi:10.2307/30036540
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, *36*(1), 157. doi:10.2307/41410412
- Xu, A., Awal, L. J., Al-Khaykan, A., Fard, H. F., Alhamrouni, I., & Salem, M. (2023). Techno-economic and environmental study of optimum hybrid renewable systems, including PV/Wind/gen/Battery, with various components to find the best renewable combination for Ponorogo Regency, East Java, Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1802. doi:10.3390/su15031802

Zhang, L., Li, D., Cao, C., & Huang, S. (2018). The influence of greenwashing perception on green purchasing intentions: The mediating role of green word-of-mouth and moderating role of Green Concern. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 187, 740–750. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.201

Copyright holder:

(2024)

First publication rights:

International Journal of Social Service and Research (IJSSR)

This article is licensed under:



3. Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi dan artikel yang di-resubmit

REVISION RESULTS



Participants [Edit](#)

Abdurokhim Abdurokhim (abdurokhim)

Ruth Srininta Tarigan (ruthtarigan)

Messages

Note

From

Dear sir

ruthtarigan

We have revised the manuscript as requested. Could you please proceed with the final review and move towards publication? Thank you for your attention to this matter.

2024-03-09 02:25

AM

 [ruthtarigan, 740 - Ruth Srininta Tarigan - Revision.docx](#)

Add Message

Edit

Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions

Ancilla Mathilda¹, Gabriella Theodora², Ruth Srininta Tarigan^{3*}

Petra Christian University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia^{1,2,3}

Email: ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id^{3*}

Keywords

Facilitating Condition, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence.

ABSTRACT

Existing research on solar energy in Indonesia often explores the macroeconomic factors influencing the slow adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems. In contrast, this study places a specific emphasis on the individual consumers' inclination to embrace the technology. The primary research objectives involve examining the impact of price value, green consumption value, social influence, and facilitating conditions on behavioral intention. Data were gathered from a final sample of 210 valid respondents through simple random sampling and subsequently analyzed using PLS-SEM. The results indicate that price value, green consumption value, and facilitating conditions significantly and positively contribute to the intention to adopt solar panels. Conversely, social influence plays an insignificant role in the decision-making process. These findings offer valuable insights that can inform policy initiatives and enhance marketing strategies for promoting renewable energy solutions in Indonesia.

INTRODUCTION

Following the increasing awareness of global warming, countries worldwide are transitioning from fossil fuels to clean, renewable energy (RE), one of them being solar energy. Indeed, the adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) technologies has observed remarkable progress. For instance, solar capacity in the US has increased by 94.3% since the last decade (Tabassum et al., 2021), while European countries have installed 41.4GW of solar power capacity or equivalent to electricity for 12.4 million houses in 2022 (SolarPower Europe, 2022).

Considering the growing global concern over climate change and the subsequent shift towards cleaner, renewable energy sources, such as solar energy, it is imperative to understand the factors influencing the adoption of solar photovoltaic (PV) technologies. While significant progress has been observed in countries like the US and Europe, Indonesia lags in solar panel adoption despite its abundant solar radiation as a tropical country (Tarigan, 2020). The solar industry in Indonesia has grown by only 6.4% in the last decade, a comparatively slow pace compared to neighbouring countries like India and Sri Lanka (Abdullah et al., 2023; Burke et al., 2019; Sovacool, 2018). This reflected the lack of research as well- while numerous studies on green technology have been done in the context of developed nations (Dharshing, 2017; Roldán Fernández et al., 2021), research on green purchase intention in Indonesia is still at a nascent stage (Kurniawan et al., 2022).

Extant solar PV studies in Indonesia generally focus on technical and socio-regulatory factors (Abdullah et al., 2023; Sumarsono et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2023). Institutions and powerful stakeholders impeding the country's transition from coal to renewable energy (Burke et al., 2019; Setyawati, 2020). This research highlighted macroeconomic factors slowing down the adoption rate of solar PV. However,

there is a gap in research using a microeconomic approach (i.e. examining the intention to adopt solar PV among households). Solar panel usage needs to expand to individual household levels if Indonesia wishes to grow its meagre solar PV adoption rate. By studying factors influencing consumers' intention to adopt solar PV, this paper contributes to green marketing in Indonesia and addresses the research gap.

Ajzen (2005) suggested that three elements shape behavioral intention: personal factors (including values and attitudes that influence the individual's assessment of the behavior), societal influences (arising from expectations within social groups), and control aspects (comprising self-efficacy and external elements that affect the simplicity of executing the behavior). To investigate factors affecting behavioral intention towards solar panels, four factors are chosen: (1) price value and (2) green consumption value (GCV), to reflect the individual attitude and evaluation of solar panels; (3) social influence, to reflect normative beliefs on solar panel adoption; and (4) facilitating conditions, to reflect the consumer's perceived control over adoption of solar panels.

In consumer behavior studies, price is an essential component, often seen as a significant aspect of a consumer's perceived value (Parasuraman & Grewal, 2000). On the other hand, studies focusing on eco-friendly products and services typically include environmental concern or consciousness as a precursor to the intention to purchase green products (Risitano et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2020). Indeed, a complex interrelationship between price and environmental values shapes an individual's disposition towards green products. For instance, a sociocultural investigation into frugality and ecological consciousness conducted by Chen et al. (2019) discovered that environmental awareness influences an individual's assessment of whether a product's monetary cost is justified. Consequently, while previous research has evaluated these elements individually, our work aims to explore their combined impact specifically within the Indonesian context.

This study will also leverage normative and control belief aspects, specifically focusing on social influence and facilitating conditions. The theoretical framework for these two elements was developed by Venkatesh et al. (2003), who drew upon prior technology acceptance theories by eminent researchers such as Ajzen (1991), Thompson et al. (1991), and Moore et al. (1991). These two consolidated variables are chosen due to their enhanced predictive capabilities compared to previous models (Rondan-Cataluña et al., 2015). Furthermore, Venkatesh et al. (2012) have enriched their paper by introducing a series of measurement items that can assist in forming the research design.

The twofold aim of our study is to contribute to the literature on green behavioral intention, particularly in the context of a developing country, and to provide practical insights for businesses and policymakers in promoting solar PV adoption among Indonesian consumers. The subsequent sections of this paper will delve into the theoretical background, research hypotheses, research methodology, results, implications, limitations, and recommendations.

METHODS

A cross-sectional research design with a quantitative approach was utilized. Data from the intended participants was gathered through online questionnaires made in Google Forms and shared through various social media platforms. This study employed a cross-sectional research design with a quantitative approach. Data collection involved using online questionnaires created in Google Forms and distributed across various social media platforms. The targeted participants were selected based on specific criteria, including being above 20 years old, residing in a house, graduating from middle school, and earning a monthly income exceeding Rp10M. The criteria were strategically set to identify individuals with the purchasing power for solar PV systems and the physical capacity to install solar panels on their roofs. The participants were chosen through a simple random sampling method, ensuring a fair representation of the broader population meeting the defined criteria.

The study employed a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) to measure respondents' opinions on various constructs. The Likert scale was applied to assess variables such as Behavioral Intention, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Condition. Table 1 provides the operational definitions of these variables, and it is noteworthy that items PV 4 & PV 5 in the Price Value section are reversed questions.

Table 1. Operational Definition of the Variables

Behavioral Intention (Alam et al., 2021) 1. I am willing to purchase solar PV technology 2. I plan to purchase solar PV technology in the future 3. I would highly recommend PV Solar technology for other people to use
Green Consumption Value (Risitano et al., 2023) 1. It is important to me that the products I use do not harm the environment 2. I consider the potential environmental impact of my actions when making many of my decisions 3. My purchase habits are affected by my concern for our environment. 4. I am concerned about wasting the resources of our planet 5. I would describe myself as environmentally responsible 6. I am willing to be inconvenienced in order to take actions that are more environmentally friendly
Price Value (Lau et al., 2020) 1. Solar PV system is reasonably priced 2. Solar PV system has good value for money 3. Solar PV system is a good investment for future generations 4. Cost of investment in solar PV is higher than the benefits it can generate 5. Investment in solar PV system is not worth it 6. Solar PV system is less expensive compared to conventional electricity consumption 7. Solar PV system installation should be made cheaper to encourage usage 8. Maintenance of solar PV system is affordable
Social Influence (Aggarwal et al., 2019) 1. I always ask a friend about his/her experience with a new product before I buy it 2. If people around me has a positive experience with solar PV, I will be inclined to buy it as well 3. People who matter to me (friends, families, neighbors) think that I should install solar PV 4. I value the opinions of people who matter to me
Facilitating Condition (Lau et al., 2020) 1. I have the resources (money, time, effort) necessary to use the solar PV system 2. I have the knowledge necessary to support the use of solar PV system 3. I have the knowledge and expertise necessary to maintain the solar PV system 4. I would heavily rely on after-sales service to maintain my solar PV system 5. I think that using solar PV system fits into my living environment 6. I know where to seek assistance if I were to use solar PV system 7. I am given timely assistance If I were to use solar PV system 8. I can get assistance from others when I have difficulties using the solar PV system

The data analysis process comprised several systematic stages. The self-administered questionnaire was structured into two main sections: gathering respondents' profiles and evaluating each construct through designated items. The initial section included filtering questions (age, housing tenure, last education, and monthly income) and introductory questions (gender and monthly electricity bill).

Subsequently, the questionnaire underwent a pilot phase involving 10 respondents to enhance its quality based on their feedback. After incorporating the pilot respondents' suggestions, the finalized questionnaire was disseminated to the broader sample. The data collected were subjected to Partial Least Squares (PLS) structural equation modelling using Smart PLS Version 4. The analysis involved

convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability testing for each construct. The overall process included three critical steps: model specification, outer model evaluation, and inner model evaluation, as per the methodology outlined by Hair, Hult, and Ringle (2017). In the structural model, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions served as exogenous constructs, while Behavioral Intention was considered an endogenous construct.

RESULTS

Out of the 247 responses collected, 210 fulfilled the criteria above and became the final sample size. Table 2 shows the 20 retained items of the final model, which passed the 0.707 cutoff value Sarstedt (2022) and met the minimum threshold value of 0.500 for the average variance extracted (AVE) (Barclay et al., 1995). Five items were excluded from the final model due to insufficient factor loadings: one from social influence (SI 4), three from price value (PV 3, PV 4, PV 5), and one from facilitating conditions (FC 4). Furthermore, the composite reliability of each construct exceeded the recommended 0.700 cutoff value, affirming the model's convergent validity.

Table 2. Convergent Validity (n = 210)

Construct	Items	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's Alpha	AVE	rho A	rho C	VIF
Behavioral Intention	BI1	0.868	0.805	0.719	0.808	0.885	1.851
	BI2	0.855					1.872
	BI3	0.820					1.587
Green Consumption Value	GCV1	0.756	0.867	0.600	0.879	0.900	1.974
	GCV2	0.814					2.306
	GCV3	0.840					2.375
	GCV4	0.757					1.907
	GCV5	0.745					1.751
	GCV6	0.727					1.514
Price Value	PV1	0.806	0.771	0.518	0.803	0.841	2.176
	PV2	0.828					2.265
	PV6	0.682					1.424
	PV7	0.606					1.403
	PV8	0.651					1.480
Social Influence	SI1	0.523	0.626	0.560	0.770	0.785	1.146
	SI2	0.786					1.392
	SI3	0.887					1.314
Facilitating Condition	FC1	0.661	0.898	0.623	0.905	0.920	1.565
	FC2	0.744					2.341
	FC3	0.768					2.482

FC5	0.786	1.987
FC6	0.879	3.927
FC7	0.829	2.755
FC8	0.838	2.887

Table 3. HTMT Criterion Result

Construct	FC	GCV	BI	PV	SI
FC					
GCV	0.569				
BI	0.890	0.646			
PV	0.732	0.519	0.862		
SI	0.546	0.530	0.621	0.545	

Table 2 presents the quantitative findings for the convergent validity of the hypotheses, involving the constructs Behavioral Intention, Green Consumption Value, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Condition. The table includes items, outer loadings, Cronbach's Alpha, Average Variance Extracted (AVE), rho A, rho C, and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF).

Table 3 displays the Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) criterion results, indicating that the HTMT for each construct is below 0.85, confirming discriminant validity. The statistical analyses utilized include outer loadings (an indicator of convergent validity), Cronbach's Alpha (a measure of internal consistency), AVE (average variance extracted), rho A and rho C (construct reliability measures), and VIF (an indicator of multicollinearity).

Discriminant validity is measured using cross-loadings, Fornell and Larcker criterion, and the Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) criterion. Each item's cross-loading value was at least 0.100, smaller than its factor-loading value. Moreover, this study aligns with Fornell et al. (1981) recommendation that the square root of the AVE for each construct should be greater than the correlation values. Table 3 shows that the HTMT for each construct was below 0.85 confirming discriminant validity (Clark & Watson, 1995; Kline, 2023).

Based on the findings' structural model, three variables were found to influence Behavioral Intention positively and significantly, namely: Price Value (beta = 0.321, $p < .01$), Green Concern Value (beta = 0.155, $p < .01$), and Facilitating Condition (beta = 0.436, $p < .01$). However, the direct effects of Social Belief (Beta = 0.77, $p = 0.296$) on Behavioral Intention was not significant. Meanwhile, ANOVA results reveal R^2 of 0.683 and R^2 adjusted of 0.677.

Discussion

Aligned with our first hypothesis, price value positively impacts consumers' intention to adopt solar PV technology. This finding confirms Lau et al. (2020) and Kilani et al. (2023) findings. A report by Arora (2022) states that Indonesian consumers are highly price sensitive. This is despite the country's increasing average income levels. Indonesians are known to hunt for bargains and are often influenced by promotional offers. Practically, this also suggests that implementing the right pricing strategies is very important. Promotional pricing or attractive instalment schemes could be utilized to increase the perceived affordability of solar PV technology.

Next, GCV positively impacts solar PV adoption which supports H2. This finding is aligned with Risitano et al. (2023) and Chatterjee et al. (2022), meaning consumers with more concern for the environment are more willing to adopt solar PV. In this case, raising consumers' awareness about the scarcity of non-renewable energy and educating people about the negative impact of using coal to generate electricity will positively influence Indonesia's solar PV adoption rate.

H3 is unsupported as social influence does not significantly affect Indonesian consumers' intention to adopt solar PV technology. This unexpected finding that social influence displays a weak effect in a collectivist country like Indonesia provides intriguing theoretical implications. One plausible explanation is the limited peer adoption in the status quo- Indonesia's solar PV adoption rate has decreased by 78% since 2020 (Kurniawan et al., 2022). Hence, social influence may be undermined by the uncertainty or scepticism around the technology due to household solar PV's lack of success stories. Future research could further investigate why social influence did not significantly impact intention by studying people who have adopted solar PV as their sample.

Lastly, results show that facilitating conditions positively impact the intention to adopt solar PV, supporting H4. This confirms the results of Akroush et al. (2019), Lau et al. (2020), and Tanveer et al. (2021). The result suggests that facilitating conditions like high accessibility to solar PV sellers or getting the support needed when having problems with the system will encourage people to try out solar PV. Solar PV system sellers should emphasize highlighting their after-sales services and guarantees. Future research could identify what kind of facilitating condition impacts customers' willingness to adopt solar PV the most.

CONCLUSION

This research aims to investigate consumer intentions towards adopting solar PV, examining the impact of four variables: green consumption value, price value, social influence, and facilitating conditions. Through the analysis of 210 valid responses using PLS-SEM, the study reveals that price value, green consumption, and facilitating conditions significantly and positively influence the intention to purchase solar PV. However, social influence does not have a significant impact on Indonesian consumers. These findings offer managerial implications, suggesting that discounted or special offers can enhance affordability and attractiveness. Collaboration with government agencies for financial incentives, flexible payment options, and increased awareness through campaigns and educational programs are recommended strategies to boost national solar technology adoption. Despite these valuable insights, the research acknowledges limitations, such as potential response bias due to self-reported data, particularly in green consumption value. The study's restricted geographic focus on East Java limits generalizability, prompting future researchers to enhance robustness by expanding the sample size and replicating the study across diverse Indonesian regions. Additionally, comparative studies among different demographic groups with moderating variables such as age, education, and income would enrich the existing literature.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, I., Warviyan, D., Safrina, R., Utama, N. A., Tirta, A., Veza, I., & Irianto, I. (2023). Green Fiscal Stimulus in Indonesia and Vietnam: A Reality Check of Two Emerging Economies. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2174. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032174>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, personality and behaviour*. McGraw-hill education (UK).
- Akroush, M. N., Zuriekat, M. I., Al Jabali, H. I., & Asfour, N. A. (2019). Determinants of purchasing intentions of energy-efficient products. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(1), 128–148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJESM-05-2018-0009>

- Arora, T., Jusi, I., Kartawidjaja, A., & Potia, A. (2022). Survey: Indonesian consumer sentiment during the coronavirus crisis. *McKinsey & Company: Retail Practice*. Retrieved December, 29, 2022.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C., & Thompson, R. (1995). *The partial least squares (PLS) approach to casual modeling: personal computer adoption ans use as an Illustration*.
- Burke, P. J., Widnyana, J., Anjum, Z., Aisbett, E., Resosudarmo, B., & Baldwin, K. G. H. (2019). Overcoming barriers to solar and wind energy adoption in two Asian giants: India and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, 132, 1216–1228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.055>
- Chatterjee, S., Sreen, N., Sadarangani, P. H., & Gogoi, B. J. (2022). Impact of Green Consumption Value, and Context-Specific Reasons on Green Purchase Intentions: A Behavioral Reasoning Theory Perspective. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 35(4), 285–305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08911762.2021.1996670>
- Chen, K., Ren, C., Gu, R., & Zhang, P. (2019). Exploring purchase intentions of new energy vehicles: From the perspective of frugality and the concept of “mianzi.” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 230, 700–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.135>
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 309–319. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.7.3.309>
- Dharshing, S. (2017). Household dynamics of technology adoption: A spatial econometric analysis of residential solar photovoltaic (PV) systems in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 23, 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.10.012>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error: Algebra and Statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 382. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3150980>
- Kline, R. B. (2023). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. Guilford publications.
- Kurniawan, I., Ichwani, R., Fionasari, R., Batubara, A., & Huda, A. (2022). Indonesia’s Renewable Energy Outlook: What to Expect in The Future Renewable Energy of Indonesia. A Brief Review. *Elkawnie*, 8(2), 298. <https://doi.org/10.22373/ekw.v8i2.18738>
- Lau, L.-S., Choong, Y.-O., Wei, C.-Y., Seow, A.-N., Choong, C.-K., Senadjki, A., & Ching, S.-L. (2020). Investigating nonusers’ behavioural intention towards solar photovoltaic technology in Malaysia: The role of knowledge transmission and price value. *Energy Policy*, 144, 111651. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111651>
- Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an Instrument to Measure the Perceptions of Adopting an Information Technology Innovation. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 192–222. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2.3.192>
- Parasuraman, A., & Grewal, D. (2000). The Impact of Technology on the Quality-Value-Loyalty Chain: A Research Agenda. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(1), 168–174. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300281015>
- Risitano, M., Romano, R., La Ragione, G., & Quintano, M. (2023). Analysing the impact of green consumption values on brand responses and behavioural intention. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 32(3), 1096–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12543>
- Roldán Fernández, J. M., Burgos Payán, M., & Riquelme Santos, J. M. (2021). Profitability of household photovoltaic self-consumption in Spain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 279, 123439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123439>
- Rondan-Cataluña, F. J., Arenas-Gaitán, J., & Ramírez-Correa, P. E. (2015). A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models. *Kybernetes*, 44(5), 788–805. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-09-2014-0184>

- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2022). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In *Handbook of Market Research* (pp. 587–632). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57413-4_15
- Setyawati, D. (2020). Analysis of perceptions towards the rooftop photovoltaic solar system policy in Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, *144*, 111569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111569>
- Sovacool, B. K. (2018). Success and failure in the political economy of solar electrification: Lessons from World Bank Solar Home System (SHS) projects in Sri Lanka and Indonesia. *Energy Policy*, *123*, 482–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.09.024>
- Sumarsono, N., Wahyuni, S., & Sudhartio, L. (2022). A paradigm shift of energy sources: Critical review on competitive dynamics of solar PV industry in Indonesia. *Renewable Energy Focus*, *41*, 236–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2021.12.001>
- Sun, P.-C., Wang, H.-M., Huang, H.-L., & Ho, C.-W. (2020). Consumer attitude and purchase intention toward rooftop photovoltaic installation: The roles of personal trait, psychological benefit, and government incentives. *Energy & Environment*, *31*(1), 21–39. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305X17754278>
- Tabassum, S., Rahman, T., Islam, A. U., Rahman, S., Dipta, D. R., Roy, S., Mohammad, N., Nawar, N., & Hossain, E. (2021). Solar Energy in the United States: Development, Challenges and Future Prospects. *Energies*, *14*(23), 8142. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14238142>
- Tanveer, A., Zeng, S., Irfan, M., & Peng, R. (2021). Do Perceived Risk, Perception of Self-Efficacy, and Openness to Technology Matter for Solar PV Adoption? An Application of the Extended Theory of Planned Behavior. *Energies*, *14*(16), 5008. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14165008>
- Tarigan, E. (2020). ROOFTOP PV SYSTEM POLICY AND IMPLEMENTATION STUDY FOR A HOUSEHOLD IN INDONESIA. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *10*(5), 110–115. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.9539>
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal Computing: Toward a Conceptual Model of Utilization. *MIS Quarterly*, *15*(1), 125. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249443>
- Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, & Davis. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, *27*(3), 425. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Venkatesh, Thong, & Xu. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, *36*(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- Xu, A., Awal, L. J., Al-Khaykan, A., Fard, H. F., Alhamrouni, I., & Salem, M. (2023). Techno-Economic and Environmental Study of Optimum Hybrid Renewable Systems, including PV/Wind/Gen/Battery, with Various Components to Find the Best Renewable Combination for Ponorogo Regency, East Java, Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1802. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15031802>
- Zaid Kilani, A. A.-H., Kakeesh, D. F., Al-Weshah, G. A., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2023). Consumer post-adoption of e-wallet: An extended UTAUT2 perspective with trust. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *9*(3), 100113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100113>

Copyright holder:

Ancilla Mathilda, Gabriella Theodora, Ruth Srininta Tarigan (2024)

First publication rights:

International Journal of Social Service and Research (IJSSR)

This article is licensed under:



4. Bukti konfirmasi penerimaan artikel (Accepted)



[IJSSR] Editor Decision

1 message

Abdurokhim Abdurokhim <ijssrofficial@gmail.com>
To: Ruth Srininta Tarigan <ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id>

9 March 2024 at 09:57

Ruth Srininta Tarigan:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Social Service and Research, "Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

[IJSSR](#)



B-740 - Ruth Srininta Tarigan - Revision.docx
154K

5. Bukti konfirmasi proses penerbitan artikel



[IJSSR] Editor Decision

1 message

Abdurokhim Abdurokhim <ijssrofficial@gmail.com>
To: Ruth Srininta Tarigan <ruth.tarigan@petra.ac.id>

10 March 2024 at 17:18

Ruth Srininta Tarigan:

The editing of your submission, "Examining Indonesian Consumer Willingness to Adopt Solar PV: The Effect of Green Consumption, Price Value, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: <https://ijssr.ridwaninstitute.co.id/index.php/ijssr/authorDashboard/submission/740>