

TPJ

by Bramasta Putra Redyantanu

Submission date: 13-Jul-2024 09:43AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2415961067

File name: TPJ.pdf (270.61K)

Word count: 7182

Character count: 44532

Multi-space from Temporal Spatial Practice Alteration

This study explores actual-virtual multi-space through AI text-to-image virtual space projection, considering society's spatial alterations based on its system of objects. Multi-space could serve as a foundation for managing objects in specific spatial operations.

The mirror functions as a heterotopia in this respect: it makes this place that I occupy at the moment when I look at myself in the glass at once absolutely real, connected with all the space that surrounds it, and absolutely unreal, since in order to be perceived it has to pass through this virtual point which is over there.¹

Parallel spaces, accessible through human thinking and perception, can be constructed as real virtual experiences. According to Kalaga² access to virtuality occurs through physical objects; the most actual of actualities. These virtual spaces extend beyond the digital realm, emerging from our perception of physical space³. While abstract, they exist within alteration-based spatial practices. Alun-alun exemplifies this concept with its dynamic temporary alterations in city public spaces. An AI-driven text-to-image generative platform could serve as a medium to illustrate how actual objects act as portals to spatial virtuality. This parallel multi-space of actual-virtual can potentially enrich spatial practice readings and design methods.

In this context, architecture transcends mere physical space; it represents a collaboration between abstract (space) and concrete (place) dimensions that are relational and potentially coexist. The theoretical discourse on space and place explores architecture's capacity to convey not only material space (matter-substance) but also the experiential dimension (mind-meaning)⁴. A tension exists between the spatiality of abstract material (space) and the lived experience of space, where individuals imbue daily encounters with meaning (place). Anthropologically, place assumes significance, connecting personal mediation to familiar, meaningful, and locally specific realities⁵. This potent relationship goes beyond mere geographical location, profoundly shaping lived spaces and experiences⁶. Arguments surrounding non-place and placelessness further underscore the dynamic interplay between space and place⁷. Spatial discourse positions objects, subjects, and their relations in a perpetual state of transformation and renegotiation. The concept of relating abstract physical spatiality (actual space) to thought-based spatiality (virtual place) serves as the foundation for exploring the reproduction of intermediate spaces.

Parallel spatial realities are explored from various perspectives, including social, cultural, and personal dimensions. Concepts like *first-second-third space*⁸, *lived-conceived-perceived space*⁹, and *space-spatial-spatiality-spatialities*¹⁰ contribute to this discourse. Abstract spatiality yields multiple representations and concrete reflections. As proposed by Tschumi¹¹, architectural disjunction separates space from function, creating dynamic opportunities for temporality, movement, and spatial experience. Another idea is to position architecture and space within a complex, contradictory, yet distinctive dynamic. Additionally, Baudrillard's hyperreality¹² and Novak's trans-architecture¹³ suggest a fusion of simulation and reality. Plural realities need not involve multiple physical dimensions; a single space can carry diverse experiences, memories, and imaginations¹⁴.

Alun-alun, the central open space in most cities in Java, Indonesia, is a model for everyday societal spatial practices. Its flexibility allows for various activity functions. Beyond being multi-functional, Alun-alun embodies multiple dimensions: political, social, economic, and cultural within the community¹⁵. Originally a private government space, it has transformed into a public area with spatial alterations, including temporary game-based zones¹⁶. These alterations come alive at night, turning an empty field into a dynamic space through game-object-related activities.

Society's spatial alterations represent organic and contextual adaptation practices. In urban contexts, partial or whole spaces are collective components closely tied to their inhabitant's people¹⁷. Dynamic changes in public spaces forge new connections between users and their environment¹⁸, reflecting responses to evolving times. These everyday architectural adaptations reveal contextualized and specific spatial practices within neighborhoods. Studying such distinct practices, divergent from typical stakeholder planning, offers insights into the presence of multi-space.

Spatial alteration involves expanding function, form, and construction from single to multi-space. Emerging digital tools, such as artificial intelligence (AI), enable spatial expansion across mediums. Text-to-image operations, a widely used AI platform, generate visual illustrations based on creative imagination¹⁹. These tools inspire spatial design possibilities. AI's role in architecture, including CAD and parametric design, reflects progress in design methods²⁰. As AI integrates with collective data, it becomes an integral part of human creation. In the context and discussion above, AI has the potential to project a wide range of possible multi-space alterations as an extension of design methods. The foundation of object operations reveals the potential for reconfiguration and modification in constructing game-based spatial operations.

The game space of Alun-alun involves temporary spatial alterations through actual-virtual interactions. Urban game spaces combine physical environments with virtual game experiences²¹. Interaction and experience within the game are central to spatial practice. Adaptive spaces allow diverse forms of interaction and sensory experiences²². Experiential and sensory-based interactions expand the possibility of dimensions beyond physical space²³. These variations constantly navigate between actual and virtual realms. Game-based interactions alter the rules of original spaces, following the specific rules of each game. The dynamic actual-virtual relationship in-game space alteration is a basis for observing other possible spaces. AI can project these spaces based on object operations. Figure 01 illustrates the operation of temporary space alteration in Alun-alun, representing an actual-virtual multi-space model in everyday society.

[FIG. 01 here]

Society's alteration of game space constitutes a specific spatial practice, bridging actual objects and spaces with virtual interactions and experiences. Each gaming object, shaped and operated by society, holds significance due to its system of interrelated objects, creating distinct spatial rules. Despite limited research on this relationship, positioning game space within the context of multi-space expansion is crucial. Generative AI, specifically text-to-image processing, can project cross-medium spaces based on actual-virtual connections. AI generates illustrations that showcase potential spatial alterations by representing game objects as textual data. These societal ideas and notions contribute to specific spatial knowledge, offering an alternative foundation for design methods. Game space practice presents an opportunity to expand architecture, encompassing both virtual and digital realms.

Actual-Virtual Relation as Multi-space Framework

The actual-virtual connection serves as the foundation for cross-medium multi-space alterations. They view objects as portals that open up virtual spatial possibilities, with the actual representing the physical aspect and the virtual representing the non-direct-physical aspect. Braga ²⁴ describes the complementary nature of the actual-virtual relationship. Perception, experience, and interaction contribute to constructing this relationship. Deleuze ²⁵ emphasizes that the actual can describe the virtual as a new entity or as an inherent aspect of the actual itself a coexistence. Grosz ²⁶ highlights the need for the actual to trigger the relative and differential nature of the virtual. Kalaga ²⁷ further underscores that actual-virtual existence is relative, extending beyond a simple dichotomy. This relationship reveals various non-physical entities coexisting with physical ones, forming a multi-space with expansive possibilities.

These arguments support the concept of actual objects as portals, allowing access to various virtual entities, including experiences and interactions within a space. Discussing the virtual involves exploring the boundaries between the visible and invisible ²⁸. According to Arya ²⁹, objects are not limited to a single function; they can undergo alterations based on specific experiences. The actual object coexists with its relational virtual entity. The notion of multi-space exemplifies the actual-virtual connection ³⁰. Multi-space practice involves designing diverse universes through repetition and multiplication, fostering variation and differentiation ³¹. Objects and their interactions hold the potential to reveal additional dimensions, forming the basis for multi-space exploration.

Virtuality encompasses non-physical attributes, including spatial interactions and experiences. It arises from imagination rooted in actual encounters. This imaginative dimension extends beyond personal experience to shape our perception of space ³². Actual-virtual spaces facilitate multi-modal, multi-sensory interactions through gestures, body movements, and tactile and auditory experiences ³³. Game spaces exemplify diverse interactions between the actual and virtual realms.

The actual-virtual relation involves physical and non-physical entities, potentially leading to multi-space creation. Grosz ³⁴ suggests that appropriating and arranging temporary objects from actual elements connects the actual-virtual context to spatial transmutation. Virtuality spans possibility, potentiality, and interpretive spatial relations. The overlap of actual-virtual realms gives rise to various digital instruments where coexistence and collision occur ³⁵. Positioning the virtual as a generative spatial practice acknowledges its transformative nature, influencing and being influenced by reality ³⁶. Generative practices extend beyond physical space to other mediums.

Virtual space transcends physical boundaries, existing in the realm of imaginative experiences and interactions. It breaks free from the constraints of materiality while still functioning as a mediated space. Built upon familiar elements, virtual space offers imaginative extensions of the present with speculative future visions. The virtual promises unlimited potential and articulates the future, distinct from the actual ³⁷. This distinction positions virtual space as a non-physical transformation within different mediums, fostering exploration and diversity of spatial readings.

The actual-virtual relationship is not merely a two-way connection; it also carries implications for future possibilities and potentials. As a portal, the actual object projects various attributes both actual and virtual related to its own existence, experiences, and spatial interactions. These projective connections form the foundation for cross-medium spaces, particularly within the digital realm. The question arises: How can we imaginatively visualize the projection of multi-space possibilities based on actual relations to shape future digital virtual spatial practices? Beyond digital contexts, the concept of virtual multi-space presence also offers opportunities for re-articulating and modifying object-based actual spatial practices.

Object-Prompt unlocks Single Space into Multi-space

The actual-virtual relationship, dominated by interaction and experience, necessitates a medium for projecting its spatial possibilities. Text-to-image AI operations offer an alternative approach to architectural design. Initially used to explore architectural possibilities, AI has evolved beyond mere representation to become an integral part of the design process, expanding spatial potential³⁸. Chaillou³⁹ emphasizes AI's role as an integrative unit, combining representation, learning, and process. The iterative design process mirrors the prompt construction process in AI. Prompts are text data constructs, the main commands in operating AI digital tools. The structure of the text in the prompt is crucial because it has a particular meaning that AI processes later. Designers now grapple with formulating prompts and positioning designers and AI as collaborators in collective creativity. Creativity in design involves explicitly seeking multi-space practices across various mediums, aligning with AI's prompt-driven mechanisms.

A prompt is no longer a mere command; it has evolved into a systematic language of creativity. Text-based prompts transcend their original role as instructions and now contribute to a personal, collective imaginative process⁴⁰. These prompts serve as descriptive operations, yielding specific outcomes. The process of generating and testing prompts involves design, programming, and predictive simulation simulation. Constructing prompts follows a systematic sequence, positioning it as an extension of spatial readings. By extracting mechanisms, attributes, and entities from both physical and virtual contexts, prompts lead to imaginative operations.

AI-based generative operations project imaginative spatial and architectural possibilities. Text-to-image AI not only produces visualizations but also sparks imaginative potential. Beyond mere images, it explores alternative space alterations⁴¹. Imagination involves creatively combining familiar elements (Boden & Edmonds, 2009). Through constructing and deconstructing design elements, AI dreams up abstract possibilities that are close to reality⁴². In architectural design, AI-generated prompts illustrate diverse spatial options, from constructing and deconstructing design elements to programming a space⁴³. Complexity and pattern-based prompts enrich the design process.

Illustrative AI output expands spatial design beyond abstract illustrations. The AI text-to-image output, a product of machine creativity, holds transformative potential. These artistic and architectural AI creations serve as a form of technical exploration⁴⁴. While AI text-to-image remains complex, it aligns with the creative process of designing spaces, including inspiration, guidelines, design thinking, and visualization⁴⁵. Integrating AI into spatial design methods is a promising design method exploration and development.

In general, this study demonstrates the presence of multi-space as a diversity of readings of space through AI text-to-image projections to illustrate the intensive actual-virtual relationship in spatial discourse.

Multi-space exists in the intersection of the physical and digital and in the blurring of their previously clear dividing lines. Multi-space is not a single space, but a hybrid space where we are, in effect, occupying multiple spaces simultaneously. It arises where the physical and digital intersect and collide. Multi-space is the messy space in between, constantly in flux, its boundaries perpetually shifting.⁴⁶

Multi-space reading informs re-articulation, modification, and adaptation of objects within temporary spatial operations. The actual-virtual relationship extends beyond visible and invisible attributes, representing a projective possibility. Our demonstration case study focuses on game spaces—a blend of virtual experiences and the complexity of physical objects. We explore constructing additional game spaces within the virtual digital medium, where architecture's influence shapes the future.

Paralleling Society's Game Spatial Practice

This qualitative study interprets AI projections as game-based imaginative space, focusing on a specific case in Solo City's Alun-alun, a central public space in Indonesia. The study employs a linear procedural format and explores the relationship between AI and spatial alteration. Based on the field observations, Solo City's Alun-alun was chosen as the base of this demonstration of space alteration. The reason is that the variety and diversity of temporary games in Alun-alun Solo exceeds that of Alun-alun in other similar cities.

This qualitative study explores existing game spaces and their actual gaming objects. Observations focus on user interactions and object mechanisms within the game space. We gain deeper insights through complementary photos, videos, and parallel drawings⁴⁷. Specific local case studies yield potential findings. Our parallel isometric visual catalogue captures mechanics, actual objects, and virtual entities, emphasizing spatial experiences⁴⁸. The parallel catalog is a documentation action that can become a complete map of an object of observation and a substantial aspect of specific spatial design pattern readings. This documentation supports the concept that object systems serve as gateways or portals to diverse parallel multi-spaces.

Data analysis involved extracting game catalogs into actual-virtual data entities. The study focused on constructing prompts as a systematic language. By translating actual objects into various entities, we explored spatial visualization. Experimentation with text-to-image AIs led us to use the Dall-E model via Bing Image Creator⁴⁹. Iterative projections refined the output, considering the quality. This study conducted three projection experiments. The first replicated the actual game based on the description. Second, we added the prefix 'nighttime gameplay' for imaginative context. The third incorporated 'style' (fantasy next-generation games) for a digital game feel. Reflective and iterative practice informed the design process. The final AI spatial projection suggests cross-medium space alteration opportunities for future research. Spatial analysis of AI outputs informs object articulation in spatial games.

Object as Multidimension Portal to Multi-space

Alun-alun Solo is one of several public squares observed. From the initial observation, Alun-alun Solo has the most complex game variants (around 16 game variants) and is a form of temporary urban space alteration. Other observed squares include Semarang Square, Yogyakarta Square, Kendal Square, Ungaran Square, Salatiga Square, and Sleman Square. Alun-alun Solo generally extends along a North-South axis, with the intensity of temporary game space alteration on the north side. The central side is used for movement-based games (movement space), and the southern side is dominated by culinary activities from food vendors on the outer perimeter of Alun-alun. Figure 02 shows the mapping of the various games in Solo's Alun-alun.

[FIG. 02 here]

Figure 03 shows the parallel game catalogue. The game is grouped based on the object as the trigger for reading its main spatial operation. The grouping was done to facilitate a more explicit exploration of the research. The first category is games with static objects. The second category is games with semi-moving objects. And the third category is games with moving objects. The grouping based on movement shows the role of objects in generating spatial operations and mechanisms in each game.

[FIG. 03 here]

The Actual: The Space & Its Object System (Catalogue)

Based on 16 types of games in Alun-alun Solo, we observed space operations and actual objects. These included temporary space creation, play space lighting, methods of organizing game objects, game types, arena size, playing action movement, and playing patterns. The detailed observations informed prompts for text-to-image AI projection. Figure 04 illustrates the entire game as a catalog of spaces and objects.

[FIG. 04 here]

The first category consists of games in which static objects shape the space's operation and mechanics. For arena-based games, spaces are defined by colored mat surfaces and the objects themselves. Portable general lighting and dynamic LEDs highlight stationary objects. These individualized games involve approximately ten players per medium-to-large-sized arena, with intensive interaction focused on objects.

The second category involves games with semi-moving objects that define the space's operation. These objects follow specific trajectories, rotations, or movement mediums. Portable general lighting and dynamic LEDs highlight on-the-spot movement. Individualized gameplay occurs in medium-sized arenas with approximately ten players, emphasizing object interaction.

The third category involves games with entirely moving objects that define the space's operation. Movement spaces lack strict boundaries and are defined by yellow tape or the square's perimeter. Vehicles—motorcycles, cars, and pedicabs—provide illumination. Players drive single vehicles, and the lighted pedicab is manually pedaled.

Objects fall into three categories: small objects for play, medium objects that move with players, and large objects defining the game arena. Space is defined using additional mats for small objects and direct boundaries for large objects. All temporary objects can be assembled or disassembled quickly (approximately 1-2 hours before night, depending on complexity).

The Virtual: Interaction & Experiences (Operation)

Besides physical objects and visible spaces, virtual game elements require careful observation. These relate to movement experiences, object interactions, and game rules, each unique to different games. Identifying these aspects involves discussing movement, object operations, and rule mechanisms. These virtual attributes complement the actual properties of game objects.

Mapping 16 game types reveals three ways to define temporary space boundaries. First, a grass-surfaced landscape requires no additional instruments. Second, light-colored mats or canvas delineate the space. Third, the pavement around the square serves as a boundary. Lighting falls into two categories: arena (static and dynamic) and object (static and dynamic). Literal objects (balls, water) and symbolic objects (fish, cartoon characters) define the games. Player numbers range from single to multiplayer, accommodating various arena sizes.

We encounter various categories related to movement, object operations, and game rules. Movement can be classified into four types: games without any movement, games with moving objects and still players, games with moving and still objects, and games with moving objects and players. Object operations fall into three categories: playing with objects (like painting on canvas), playing on objects (such as driving cars and motorcycles), and playing inside objects (think balloon castles or trampolines). Additionally, game mechanics can be grouped into four types: point-based systems (collecting points), leaderboard-based systems (highest scoring player), challenge-based systems (completing challenges), and interaction-based systems (experiencing the game with others).

The above spatial object-based system is constructed temporarily and virtually observable invisible systems. Each game has its own specific system, with boundaries separating it from other games. These boundaries, though subtle and unclear, influence interaction and experience. The operating system of objects within the game enriches the construction of prompts for AI, especially in cross-medium space alteration projections. Figure 05 and Table 01 categorize the mapping of actual and virtual objects in the game.

[FIG. 05 here]

[TAB. 01 here]

The Projection: Parallel and Multiple Variation of Space (Prompt)

From the previous extraction of various entities and properties of actual-virtual attributes, a prompt can be constructed to show various alterations of virtual digital space with the help of AI. The general construction of the prompt language system is Adjective + Noun + Verb + Style (Dall-E via Bing Image

Creator). From the previous table, a list of data is obtained to project space alteration, namely data related to the Player, Mechanic, Object, Surface, Operation, Arena, Lighting, Form, Movement, and Object. From these data, a prompt is constructed according to the following format.

- Adjective : óNight time gameplay of ó(context of Alun Alun Night Games)
- Noun : Player (character of imaginative player)
- Verb : Articulation of Combined Element (Mechanic + Object + Surface + Operation)
- Space : Articulation of Combined Element (Arena + Light + Form + Movement + Object)
- Style: ówith the style of Fantasy Next Generation Game ó(Imaginative Exaggeration)

The initial projection iteration involves only nouns, verbs, and spaces. However, this approach yields spatial illustrations that are duplicative. The second iteration introduces adjectives to create more imaginative and contextually rich spatial illustrations. In the third iteration, styles are added to bring visuals closer to the spatial character of the game. The modification of the prompt text is guided by visual references and the prompt provided by the Bing Image Creator platform. The study's results showcase the third iteration, where virtual spatial illustrations are more imaginative than actual spatial representations. Figure 06 illustrates the prompt construction.

[FIG. 06 here]

Table 02 shows how each attribute contains the source data for constructing the prompt. It also shows the prompt generated by constructing the words in the previous table. The prefix of the prompt uses the term 'isometric image of' to get similar style of the initial game catalogue.

[TAB. 02 here]

DALL-E generates four illustration variants in each iteration. Pressing the refresh button produces four additional variations without any operation limit. Exploratory operations were performed on all 16 game varieties, but overall illustrations are displayed for only three categories for discussion purposes, with one game represented in each category.

[FIG. 07 here]

Figure 07 illustrates the multi-space of static object games. The game is based on static objects, showing a deepening of the spatial character that emerges from the objects. There are some similarities in the multi-space projection, including the night conditions, the character of the objects that become the arena, and the characters illustrated based on existing objects. The spatial explorations show more imaginative characters, greater expansion of scale, more dramatic changes in spatial character and environment, more thematic lighting, and detailing of some of the spatial components.

[FIG. 08 here]

Figure 08 illustrates the multi-space of semi-moving object games. The game is based on semi-moving objects, showing a deepening of the spatial character that emerges from the movement of objects in place. There are some similarities in the multi-space projection, including the night condition, the character of the objects based on the on-site movement, and the illustrated character based on the existing objects. The spatial explorations show a more imaginative character, larger scale expansion, more dramatic changes in spatial character and environment, more massive movement, more thematic lighting, and detailing of some of the spatial components.

[FIG. 09 here]

Figure 09 illustrates the multi-space of moving object games. The game is based on moving objects, showing a deepening of the spatial character that emerges from the objects. There are some similarities in the multi-space projections, including night conditions, the character of the object that becomes the main vehicle, and the spatial character illustrated based on existing objects. The spatial explorations show more imaginative and tangible characters, modification of various specific vehicle forms, more dramatic and complete changes in spatial character and environment, more thematic lighting, and detailing of some spatial components.

The alternative spatial projections AI generates demonstrate diverse spatial variants in each iteration. These outputs have the potential to exemplify specific spatial concepts. The play experience in the mind (place) can be represented by the abstract space (space) in each object projection. Objects serve not only as game mechanics and play tools but also as portals to multi-space presence. Multi-space, representing diverse spatial presence, could be a foundation for re-articulation, re-modification, and re-adaptation in object-based temporary spaces. Additionally, the illustrated digital space holds promise as a basis for broader architectural practices in the future.

Prompt as Actual-Virtual Multi-space Projection

The above observation-based exploratory qualitative study makes it possible to discuss at least three critical points in projective AI of demonstrating multi-space presence in actual-virtual.

Extraction: from Object to Properties

According to the previous exploration process, the argument of the object as a portal becomes the starting point for finding other possible spaces built from it. Deleuze states that the actual can advance the virtual as a new entity or the actual entity itself. Actual objects always coexist closely with their virtual entities, between objects and the relationships within them ⁵⁰, and eliminate the boundaries between physical and non-physical, between the visible and invisible ⁵¹. The object's materiality expands, presenting various potential possibilities ⁵². Understanding the portal becomes a new window, a new perspective on the spatial alteration possibilities by observing objects that work in a particular spatial system.

Actual objects exhibit a wide range of visible and invisible attributes. While visible attributes can be directly documented, virtual attributes require firsthand experience or third-person observation. User interactions with objects yield diverse experiences across different mediums. Integrating text-to-image AI into the design process involves an initial stage of recording various instrument extractions for prompt construction in subsequent stages. Objects serve as portals, revealing both visible and invisible dimensions.

Codification: from Properties to Prompt

Programming in architecture is a complex process that organizes things, making them synergize and become the basis of design output. Generative AI, another potential way of managing the material properties of objects beforehand, becomes the basis of programming itself ⁵³. Prompts, a language system, are not just an arrangement of text; their construction plays an important role that can be supported by creativity ⁵⁴. In this context, various object entities are deconstructed, and reconstructed in a new way to glimpse the possibilities of spatial alteration across mediums. Constructing the prompt itself can indicate accomplishing a programming process in design.

Programming a prompt involves composing imaginative and creative-based commands. Consistency in the prompt leads to consistent visual output or illustrations. Each AI tool follows specific procedures. Balancing procedural guidelines with creativity in defining prompt language is crucial. The generated code, organized as a prompt, translates criteria and characters into programming commands. Specific prompts yield more precise AI outputs. The codification process is central in architecture programming, where creativity and consistency hold equal importance.

Projection: from Prompt to Alteration

Multi-space, in the context of this discussion, is the possibility of architectural design in a non-physical medium as an extension of design methods, as well as the basis for expanding the role of objects for their actual spatial modification. A digital space as a form of mediated space, including games, shows variations of how objects work that undergo various changes ⁵⁵. Generative AI is beyond illustrative and can alter multiple variations in different mediums. Systematically constructed imaginative possibilities position AI as part of the creative process of design ⁵⁶. Infinite abstract iterations make generative AI not just design techniques, programs, and simulations ⁵⁷, but systematic constructions of an alternative process that works consistently.

Operating a prompt in an AI tool involves expecting a visual illustration based on the inputted code. The output, in the form of images and illustrations, is obtained by processing prompts generated in the previous stage. Designer creativity is essential to produce an output with broad interpretability. Keywords can enhance resulting illustrations, making them more spatial and three-dimensional. The precise definition of the command language is crucial. AI-generated alterations go beyond simple variations. The complexity and infinite iterations in the process open up numerous spatial possibilities. By integrating AI, we demonstrate how virtuality expands our creative horizons. Figure 10 illustrates the virtual space procedurally generated through spatial alterations. Objects act as portals, enabling access to multiple multi-spaces based on alterations and spatial variants.

[FIG. 10 here]

Through this research process, it becomes evident that AI can illustrate multi-space presence by collecting object data and understanding their operating systems. Detailed prompts lead to more precise spatial illustrations from AI. This design process involves cataloging objects and their operational systems in spatial practice. The concept of objects as portals opens up possibilities for cross-medium space variations, potentially expanding architectural spatial practice.

The discourse on space and place extends to the concept of multi-space. Abstract space, subjectively experienced and articulated into place, has the potential to exist in plural forms. While this phenomenon has been discussed in spatial knowledge, current technology allows us to project virtual spaces—clear illustrations of imaginative or mind-based realms. The greatest potential lies in recognizing space as a non-single entity, fostering diverse interpretations. Objects, acting as portals, go beyond temporary space construction. Changes to objects within spatial mechanisms encourage subjective and contextual experiential spaces. Objects, their interplay, and configurations define and construct spatial experiences. This perspective also opens up architectural practice to non-physical mediums, such as the digital realm, in the future.

Future Design Practice of Multi-space

Architecture extends beyond physical materiality, encompassing spatial creation, operation, and construction. Imagination and creativity play a crucial role in three-dimensional digital virtual spaces, including the potential for digital gaming. The study highlights the interconnectedness between actual, visible entities and virtual, invisible ones. With its focus on imaginative spatial representation, AI effectively demonstrates the connection between the physical and virtual realms.

Complex data iterations and variations hold the potential for integration into future design processes. Beyond mere inspiration, altering space aligns with the evolving digital and virtual mediums. Multi-space expands spatial design practice, operating within a realm demanding imagination and experience. AI's diverse role necessitates redefining design procedures. Reflecting on this study enriches architectural methods, emphasizing the interplay between actual and virtual realms in cross-medium programming.

Understanding virtual space involves recognizing it as an invisible entity accessible through object portals and a multi-space within the digital medium. While digital experiences, including gaming, offer alternative architectural practices, they differ significantly from traditional architecture. The infinite scale of game spaces contrasts with the physical spatiality of architectural design. Adjustments are necessary for design operations. The study highlights the actual-virtual connection as a basis for redefining spatial practice. AI, while not replacing human creativity, can enhance the design process. Its countless iterations and variations open up limitless possibilities beyond human imagination. Further research is needed to integrate AI effectively into spatial design methods and architectural programming.

- ¹ Michel Foucault and Jay Miskowicz, "Of Other Spaces," *Diacritics* 16, no. 1 (1986): 24.
- ² "The Trouble with the Virtual," *Symplokē* 11, no. 1/2 (2003): 96–103, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40536937>.
- ³ Elizabeth Grosz, *Architecture from the Outside: Essays on Virtual and Real Space* (MIT press, 2001).
- ⁴ Tim Ingold, "Culture and the Perception of the Environment," in *Bush Base, Forest Farm* (Routledge, 2002), 38–56.
- ⁵ Juhani Pallasmaa, "Space, Place, Memory, and Imagination: The Temporal Dimension of Existential Space," in *Spatial Recall* (Routledge, 2013), 16–41.
- ⁶ Certeau, *The Practice of Everyday Life* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984).
- ⁷ Marc Augé, *Non-Places: An Introduction to Supermodernity* (Verso Books, 2020).
- ⁸ Edward W. Soja, "Thirdspace: Journeys to Los Angeles and Other Real-and-Imagined Places," *Capital & Class*, 1998, doi:10.1177/030981689806400112; Homi K Bhabha, *The Location of Culture* (routledge, 2012).
- ⁹ H. Lefebvre, "The Production of Space," *The Production of Space*, 1991, doi:10.2307/490789.
- ¹⁰ Tim Ingold, "Up, across and Along," *Place and Location: Studies in Environmental Aesthetics and Semiotics* 5 (2006): 21–36.
- ¹¹ *Architecture and Disjunction* (MIT press, 1996).
- ¹² *Simulacra and Simulation* (University of Michigan press, 1994).
- ¹³ "Transmitting Architecture: The Transphysical City," *CTheory* 76 (1996): 11–29.
- ¹⁴ Bramasta Putra Redyantanu, Yandi Andri Yatmo, and Paramita Atmodiwirjo, "Mapping The Actual-Virtual in Architecture Exhibition," *디자인학연구* 36, no. 2 (2023): 45–61, <https://doi.org/10.15187/adr.2023.05.36.2.45>.
- ¹⁵ Rully Damayanti, "Kawasan" Pusat Kota" Dalam Perkembangan Sejarah Perkotaan Di Jawa," *DIMENSI (Journal of Architecture and Built Environment)* 33, no. 1 (2005).
- ¹⁶ Defry Agatha Ardianta, Yandi Andri Yatmo, and Paramita Atmodiwirjo, "Body's Movement as Drawing: Notation and Annotation in The Construction of Space at Alun-Alun Solo," *Jurnal Kejuruteraan* 6, no. 1 (2023): 69–77, doi:10.17576/jkukm-2023-si6(1)-07.
- ¹⁷ Henri Lefebvre and Christine Levich, "The Everyday and Everydayness," *Yale French Studies*, no. 73 (1987): 7, doi:10.2307/2930193.
- ¹⁸ John Carr and Maria Rita Dionisio, "Flexible Spaces as a 'Third Way' Forward for Planning Urban Shared Spaces," *Cities* 70, no. November 2016 (2017): 73–82, doi:10.1016/j.cities.2017.06.009.
- ¹⁹ Andrew Kudless, "Hierarchies of Bias in Artificial Intelligence Architecture: Collective, Computational, and Cognitive," *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, 2023, doi:10.1177/14780771231170272; Stanislas Chaillou, *Artificial Intelligence and Architecture: From Research to Practice* (Birkhäuser, 2022); Enjellina, Eleonora Vilgia Putri Beyan, and Anastasya Gisela Cinintya Rossy, "Review of AI Image Generator: Influences, Challenges, and Future Prospects for Architectural Field," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Architecture* 2, no. 1 (2023): 53–65, doi:10.24002/jarina.v2i1.6662.
- ²⁰ Muhammad Hegazy and Ahmed Mohamed Saleh, "Evolution of AI Role in Architectural Design: Between Parametric Exploration and Machine Hallucination," 2023.
- ²¹ Andrzej Zarzycki, "Urban Games: Inhabiting Real and Virtual Cities," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe* 1 (2012): 755–64, doi:10.52842/conf.eaaade.2012.1.755.
- ²² M Sanei, S Khodadad, and M Khodadad, "Flexible Urban Public Spaces and Their Designing Principles," *Scienceline Publication Journal of Civil Engineering and Urbanism* 8, no. 4 (2018): 39–43, www.ojceu.ir.
- ²³ Anastasia Globa, Rui Wang, and Beau B Beza, "Sensory Urbanism and Placemaking-Exploring Virtual Reality and the Creation of Place," 2019.
- ²⁴ "Imagination and Virtuality. On Susanne Langer's Theory of Artistic Forms," *Conceiving Virtuality: From Art To Technology*, 2019, 81–93.
- ²⁵ "The Actual and The Virtual." Translated by Eliot Ross Albert, *Dialogues II*, 2002.
- ²⁶ *Architecture from the Outside: Essays on Virtual and Real Space*.
- ²⁷ "The Trouble with the Virtual."
- ²⁸ Marta Jecu, *Architecture and the Virtual, First Monday*, 2015.
- ²⁹ "Virtual Space," 2019, 137–56, doi:10.1002/9781119112297.ch7.

-
- ³⁰ Owen Hopkins, "Architects in Multispace," *Architectural Design* 93, no. 6 (2023): 61–13, doi:10.1002/ad.2988.
- ³¹ Gilles Deleuze, *Difference and Repetition* (Columbia University Press, 1994).
- ³² Wolfgang Iser, Gerhard Neumann, and Andreas Kablitz, "Mimesis Und Simulation," *V. Andreas Kablitz Und Gerhard Neumann. Rombach. Freiburg Im Breisgau. S.*, 1998, 669–84.
- ³³ Kavita Gonsalves et al., "Radical Placemaking: An Immersive, Experiential and Activist Approach for Marginalised Communities," *Connections: Exploring Heritage, Architecture, Cities, Art, Media. Vol. 20.1.*, 2021, 143–64, <http://architecturemp.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Amps-Proceedings-Series-20.1.pdf>.
- ³⁴ *Architecture from the Outside: Essays on Virtual and Real Space.*
- ³⁵ Jecu, *Architecture and the Virtual.*
- ³⁶ Adam Nash, Kate Geck, and Andy Miller, "Virtual Interiorities," *Interiority* 4, no. 2 (2021): 207–22, doi:10.7454/in.v4i2.153.
- ³⁷ Deleuze, "The Actual and The Virtual." Translated by Eliot Ross Albert.
- ³⁸ Neil Leach, *Architecture in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: An Introduction to Ai for Architects* (Bloomsbury Publishing, 2022).
- ³⁹ *Artificial Intelligence and Architecture: From Research to Practice.*
- ⁴⁰ Kudless, "Hierarchies of Bias in Artificial Intelligence Architecture: Collective, Computational, and Cognitive."
- ⁴¹ Vivian Liu and Lydia B Chilton, "Design Guidelines for Prompt Engineering Text-to-Image Generative Models," in *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2022, 1–23.
- ⁴² Yan Shen and Fang Yu, "The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Art Design in the Digital Age," *Scientific Programming* 2021 (2021): 1–10.
- ⁴³ Ibid.
- ⁴⁴ Stanislas Chaillou, "Archigan: Artificial Intelligence x Architecture," in *Architectural Intelligence: Selected Papers from the 1st International Conference on Computational Design and Robotic Fabrication (CDRF 2019)*, 2020, 117–27.
- ⁴⁵ Anna Jaruga-Rozdolska, "Artificial Intelligence as Part of Future Practices in the Architect's Work: MidJourney Generative Tool as Part of a Process of Creating an Architectural Form," *Architectus*, no. 3 (71) (2022).
- ⁴⁶ Hopkins, "Architects in Multispace," 7.
- ⁴⁷ Jennifer Shields, *Collage and Architecture* (Routledge, 2014); Raymond Lucas, *Research Methods for Architecture* (Hachette UK, 2016).
- ⁴⁸ Matias Del Campo and Neil Leach, "Can Machines Hallucinate Architecture? AI as Design Method," *Architectural Design* (Wiley Online Library, 2022).
- ⁴⁹ Kai-Qing Zhou and Hatem Nabus, "The Ethical Implications of DALL-E: Opportunities and Challenges," *Mesopotamian Journal of Computer Science* 2023 (2023): 17–23.
- ⁵⁰ Kalaga, "The Trouble with the Virtual," Arya, "Virtual Space," Grosz, *Architecture from the Outside: Essays on Virtual and Real Space.*
- ⁵¹ Jecu, *Architecture and the Virtual.*
- ⁵² Antoine Picon, "Beyond Digital Avant-Gardes: The Materiality of Architecture and Its Impact," *Architectural Design* 90, no. 5 (2020): 118–25, doi:10.1002/ad.2618.
- ⁵³ Hegazy and Mohamed Saleh, "Evolution of AI Role in Architectural Design: Between Parametric Exploration and Machine Hallucination."
- ⁵⁴ Margaret A Boden and Ernest A Edmonds, "What Is Generative Art?," *Digital Creativity* 20, no. 1–2 (2009): 21–46.
- ⁵⁵ Ferro Yulistira and Ratu Baina, "Mediating Space: Framing Strategy and Sense of Presence across the Real-Virtual Environments," *ARSNET* 2, no. 2 (October 2022), doi:10.7454/arsnet.v2i2.60.
- ⁵⁶ Hegazy and Mohamed Saleh, "Evolution of AI Role in Architectural Design: Between Parametric Exploration and Machine Hallucination," Liu and Chilton, "Design Guidelines for Prompt Engineering Text-to-Image Generative Models."
- ⁵⁷ Ningyu Zhang et al., "Differentiable Prompt Makes Pre-Trained Language Models Better Few-Shot Learners," *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2108.13161*, 2021; Chaillou, *Artificial Intelligence and Architecture: From Research to Practice.*

References

- Ardianta, Defry Agatha, Yandi Andri Yatmo, and Paramita Atmodiwirjo. "Body& Movement as Drawing: Notation and Annotation in The Construction of Space at Alun-Alun Solo." *Jurnal Kejuruteraan* 6, no. 1 (2023): 69-77. doi:10.17576/jkukm-2023-si6(1)-07.
- Arya, Rina. "Virtual Space." *Virtual Space*, 137-56, 2019. doi:10.1002/9781119112297.ch7.
- Augé, Marc. *Non-Places: An Introduction to Supermodernity*. Verso Books, 2020.
- Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. University of Michigan press, 1994.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. routledge, 2012.
- Boden, Margaret A, and Ernest A Edmonds. "What Is Generative Art?" *Digital Creativity* 20, no. 1-2 (2009): 21-46.
- Braga, Joaquim. "Imagination and Virtuality. On Susanne Langer's Theory of Artistic Forms." *Conceiving Virtuality: From Art To Technology*, 2019, 81-93.
- Campo, Matias Del, and Neil Leach. "Can Machines Hallucinate Architecture? AI as Design Method." *Architectural Design*. Wiley Online Library, 2022.
- Carr, John, and Maria Rita Dionisio. "Flexible Spaces as a 'Third Way' Forward for Planning Urban Shared Spaces." *Cities* 70, no. November 2016 (2017): 73-82. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2017.06.009.
- Certeau. *The Practice of Everyday Life*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1984.
- Chaillou, Stanislas. "Archigan: Artificial Intelligence x Architecture." In *Architectural Intelligence: Selected Papers from the 1st International Conference on Computational Design and Robotic Fabrication (CDRF 2019)*, 117-27, 2020.
- Chen, Y. "Artificial Intelligence and Architecture: From Research to Practice." Birkhäuser, 2022.
- Damayanti, Rully. "Kawasan "Pusat Kota" Dalam Perkembangan Sejarah Perkotaan Di Jawa." *DIMENSI (Journal of Architecture and Built Environment)* 33, no. 1 (2005).
- Deleuze, Gilles. *Difference and Repetition*. Columbia University Press, 1994.
- Derrida, Jacques. "The Actual and The Virtual." Translated by Eliot Ross Albert. *Dialogues II*, 2002.
- Enjellina, Eleonora Vilgia Putri Beyan, and Anastasya Gisela Cinintya Rossy. "Review of AI Image Generator: Influences, Challenges, and Future Prospects for Architectural Field." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Architecture* 2, no. 1 (2023): 53-65. doi:10.24002/jarina.v2i1.6662.
- Foucault, Michel, and Jay Miskowiec. "Of Other Spaces." *Diacritics* 16, no. 1 (1986): 22-27.
- Globa, Anastasia, Rui Wang, and Beau B Beza. "Sensory Urbanism and Placemaking-Exploring Virtual Reality and the Creation of Place." 2019.
- Gonsalves, Kavita, Marcus Foth, Glenda Caldwell, and Waldemar Jenek. "Radical Placemaking: An Immersive, Experiential and Activist Approach for Marginalised Communities." *Connections: Exploring Heritage, Architecture, Cities, Art, Media. Vol. 20.1.*, 2021, 143-64. <http://architecturemps.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Amps-Proceedings-Series-20.1.pdf>.
- Grosz, Elizabeth. *Architecture from the Outside: Essays on Virtual and Real Space*. MIT press, 2001.
- Hegazy, Muhammad, and Ahmed Mohamed Saleh. "Evolution of AI Role in Architectural Design: Between Parametric Exploration and Machine Hallucination." 2023.
- Hopkins, Owen. "Architects in Multispace." *Architectural Design* 93, no. 6 (2023): 6-13. doi:10.1002/ad.2988.
- Ingold, Tim. "Culture and the Perception of the Environment." In *Bush Base, Forest Farm*, 38-56. Routledge, 2002.
- Ingold, Tim. "Up, across and Along." *Place and Location: Studies in Environmental Aesthetics and*

Semiotics 5 (2006): 21–36.

Iser, Wolfgang, Gerhard Neumann, and Andreas Kablitz. "Mimesis Und Simulation." V. *Andreas Kablitz Und Gerhard Neumann. Rombach. Freiburg Im Breisgau. S.*, 1998, 66–84.

Jaruga-Rozdolska, Anna. "Artificial Intelligence as Part of Future Practices in the Architect's Work: MidJourney Generative Tool as Part of a Process of Creating an Architectural Form." *Architectus*, no. 3 (71) (2022).

Jecu, Marta. *Architecture and the Virtual. First Monday*, 2015.

Kalaga, Wojciech. "The Trouble with the Virtual." *Symplokē* 11, no. 1/2 (2003): 96–103. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40536937>.

Kudless, Andrew. "Hierarchies of Bias in Artificial Intelligence Architecture: Collective, Computational, and Cognitive." *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, 2023. doi:10.1177/14780771231170272.

Leach, Neil. *Architecture in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: An Introduction to Ai for Architects*. Bloomsbury Publishing, 2022.

Lefebvre, H. "The Production of Space." *The Production of Space*, 1991. doi:10.2307/490789.

Lefebvre, Henri, and Christine Levich. "The Everyday and Everydayness." *Yale French Studies*, no. 73 (1987): 7. doi:10.2307/2930193.

Liu, Vivian, and Lydia B Chilton. "Design Guidelines for Prompt Engineering Text-to-Image Generative Models." In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–23, 2022.

Lucas, Raymond. *Research Methods for Architecture*. Hachette UK, 2016.

Nash, Adam, Kate Geck, and Andy Miller. "Virtual Interiorities." *Interiority* 4, no. 2 (2021): 207–22. doi:10.7454/in.v4i2.153.

Novak, Marcos. "Transmitting Architecture: The Transphysical City." *CTheory* 76 (1996): 11–29.

Pallasmaa, Juhani. "Space, Place, Memory, and Imagination: The Temporal Dimension of Existential Space." In *Spatial Recall*, 16–41. Routledge, 2013.

Picon, Antoine. "Beyond Digital Avant-Gardes: The Materiality of Architecture and Its Impact." *Architectural Design* 90, no. 5 (2020): 118–25. doi:10.1002/ad.2618.

Redyantanu, Bramasta Putra, Yandi Andri Yatmo, and Paramita Atmodiwirjo. "Mapping The Actual-Virtual in Architecture Exhibition." *디자인학연구* 36, no. 2 (2023): 45–61. <https://doi.org/10.15187/adr.2023.05.36.2.45>.

Sanei, M, S Khodadad, and M Khodadad. "Flexible Urban Public Spaces and Their Designing Principles." *Scienceline Publication Journal of Civil Engineering and Urbanism* 8, no. 4 (2018): 39–43. www.ojceu.ir.

Shen, Yan, and Fang Yu. "The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Art Design in the Digital Age." *Scientific Programming* 2021 (2021): 1–10.

Shields, Jennifer. *Collage and Architecture*. Routledge, 2014.

Soja, Edward W. "Thirdspace: Journeys to Los Angeles and Other Real-and-Imagined Places." *Capital & Class*, 1998. doi:10.1177/030981689806400112.

Tschumi, Bernard. *Architecture and Disjunction*. MIT press, 1996.

Yudistira, Ferro, and Ratu Baina. "Mediating Space: Framing Strategy and Sense of Presence across the Real-Virtual Environments." *ARSNET* 2, no. 2 (October 2022). doi:10.7454/arsnet.v2i2.60.

Zarzycki, Andrzej. "Urban Games: Inhabiting Real and Virtual Cities." *Proceedings of the International Conference on Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe* 1

(2012): 755i 64. doi:10.52842/conf.ecaade.2012.1.755.

Zhang, Ningyu, Luoqiu Li, Xiang Chen, Shumin Deng, Zhen Bi, Chuanqi Tan, Fei Huang, and Huajun Chen. rDifferentiable Prompt Makes Pre-Trained Language Models Better Few-Shot Learners.ò *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2108.13161*, 2021.

Zhou, Kai-Qing, and Hatem Nabus. nThe Ethical Implications of DALL-E: Opportunities and Challenges.ò *Mesopotamian Journal of Computer Science* 2023 (2023): 17i 23.

Acknowledgements

¹ This work was funded by Hibah Publikasi Terindeks Internasional (PUTI) Pascasarjana

Tahun Anggaran 2023ò 2024 under contract no: NKB-288/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2023

Credits

Figures 1-10: Images É Author

Table 1-2: Table Illustration É Author

ORIGINALITY REPORT

0%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Christopher Widjaja, Heriyanti, Kritsana Namhaed, Misri Gozan. "Effects of Ethanol: Water Ratio and Reaction Time on Ethyl Levulinate Synthesis from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (OPEFB)", E3S Web of Conferences, 2024

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 10 words