


# Journal of International Students


a peer-reviewed flagship publication on international education



**Vol. 14 No. 5 (2024)**

Print ISSN 2162-3104 | Online ISSN 2166-3750  
[jistudents.org](http://jistudents.org)

## Vol. 14 No. 5 (2024): Journal of International Students



This edition of the *Journal of International Students* showcases a diverse array of authors and topics, representing voices from 12 countries and addressing critical issues facing international students. The articles cover themes such as virtual learning fatigue, cultural adaptation, career access, and pandemic-related challenges, offering nuanced perspectives from both faculty and student researchers. Through collaborative scholarship, this volume provides comprehensive insights and strategies aimed at enhancing support, inclusivity, and resilience for international students across global educational landscapes.

Download [ebook](#) (complimentary) or order print copies on [Amazon](#) and Barnes & Noble | Related [books](#).

This edition was published in collaboration with



**Published:** 2024-12-02

### Editorial

#### [Navigating challenges and enriching perspectives](#)

Insights into the experiences and success of international students

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.32674/0tsfbb51>

Osman Gultekin

i-ii

[PDF](#)

### Research Articles (English)

#### [Exploring Zoom fatigue among international students in the U.S. virtual classes](#)

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.32674/xdwnc294>

Esther Son, Kristen Cvancara

1-20

[PDF](#)

#### [Acculturation of international graduate students in U.S. higher education institutions](#)

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.32674/ap9r7926>

Bing Gao, Pamela M. Wesely

21-40

[PDF](#)

#### [Using appreciative inquiry to empower international students to flourish in research](#)

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.32674/grzhy846>

Lillian Hung, Stephen Cheong Yu Chan, Lily Haopu Ren, Hiro Ito, Bubli Chakraborty

41-66

[PDF](#)

#### [Calling for equitable access to the Canadian labor market: Exploring the challenges of international graduate students in Canada](#)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/p4m7k123>

Trung Tu Nguyen, Manu Sharma  
67-84

 PDF

#### Latin American university exchange students' experiences of intercultural sensitivity development

The role of emotions

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/pm1f2v34>

Sarah Carrica-Ochoa, Eleanor Joanne Brown  
85-107

 PDF

#### Navigating the unknown

College transitions of third culture individuals

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/n5c92v21>

Justin Weller  
109-123

 PDF

#### Internationalization in architecture higher education

A strategy of studio immersion from the students' Perspective

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/vyzrp147>

Rully Damayanti, Elvina Wijaya, Bram Michael Wayne, Apriradee Kasemsook, Kuowei Eleazar-Godfrey Chiu  
125-140

 PDF

#### Gender differences in acculturative stress of international students

A meta-analysis

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/bsy65r04>

Rawan Alzukari, Tianlan Wei  
141-158

 PDF

#### Motivations of international students from Indonesia, Thailand, and the Philippines in selecting teacher education programs

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/w8nmpa45>

Fihris Fihris, Nasikhin Nasikhin, Naifah, Sofa Muthohar  
159-176

 PDF

#### The impact of COVID-19 pandemics, perceived stress, and self-regulation of Chinese international students

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32674/v2bve338>

Siu-Man Raymond Ting, Zhiqi Angel Liu  
177-196

 PDF

[Make a Submission](#)

[Subject Area and Publication Timeline](#)

## Editorial Team

**Executive Editor:** Dr. Krishna Bista, Professor, Department of Advanced Studies, Leadership and Policy, Morgan State University, USA

**Managing Director:** Dr. Patricia Timmons, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, USA

**Research Associate:** Dr. Erjona Gashi, Assistant Professor, Towson University, USA

### Regional Editors (Senior Editors)

- Dr. Michael Gerges, Associate Dean – Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Wolverhampton, United Kingdom
- Dr. Kumer Pial Das, Assistant Vice President for Research and Innovation, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, USA
- Dr. Leonardo Veliz, Associate Professor, Head of Department - Curriculum, University of England, Australia
- Dr. Elena de Prada Creo, Vice Dean for International Affairs, University of Vigo, Spain
- Dr. Naziema Jappie, Deputy Dean for Center Higher Education Development, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- Dr. Alean Al-Krenawi, Dean and Professor of the Faculties of Humanities, Social Sciences, and Cross-Cultural Studies, Algoma University, Ontario, Canada
- Dr. Meerbek Kudaibergenov, Assistant Professor, Institute for Language Education & Research Seoul National University of Science & Technology, South Korea
- Dr. Samih Al-Karasneh, Professor, Department of Education, University of Sharjah, UAE
- Dr. Arlyne Marasigan, Professor, Philippine Normal University-Manila, Philippines
- President, Wondwosen Tamrat, St. Mary's University, Ethiopia

### Guest Editors/Special Issue Editors

- [Dr. Gardiana Bandeira Melo](#), Department of Education, University of Oxford, UK
- Dr. Tian Qin, Trinity University, Texas, USA
- Dr. Patrice Campbell-Palmer, University of Louisville, Kentucky, USA
- Dr. Sairagul Matikeeva-Kinney, University of North Georgia, USA
- Dr. Osman Gültekin, Assistant Director, Academic International Relations, Istanbul Aydin University, Turkey
- Dr. Mei Tian, Vice Dean & Professor, School of International Education, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China
- Dr. Najeh Rajeh Alsalhi, Assistant Professor, Department of Education, University of Sharjah, UAE
- Dr. Muhammad Younas, Research Fellow, Faculty of Education, Beijing Normal University, China
- Dr. Muhammad Imran, Research Fellow, College of Humanities and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Saudi Arabia
- Dr. Hosam Alden Riyadh, Assistant Professor, Telkom university, Indonesia
- Prof Marina Falasca, I.E.S. en Lenguas Vivas J.R.F., Buenos Aires
- Prof Marina Falasca, I.E.S. en Lenguas Vivas J.R.F., Buenos Aires
- Dr. Luis Ricardo Fernández Carril, Tecnológico de Monterrey, México
- Dr. Mike Perkins, Head of the Center for Research and Innovation, British University, Vietnam, Hung Yen, Vietnam
- Dr. Jasper Roe, Head of the Department of Language School, James Cook University, Singapore

### Associate Editors

- Asia Neupane, Yale University (US)
- Ateeb Ahmad Parray, Johns Hopkins University (US)
- Kun Dai, Chinese University of Hong Kong (HK)
- Ashish Agrawal, National Institute of Technical Teachers Training & Research (IN)
- Jayme Scally, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa (US)
- Nora Isacoff, Columbia University (US)
- Shiva Mainaly, North Dakota State University (US)
- Siqi Tu, NYU Shanghai (CN)
- Soubin Sisavath, National University of Laos (LA)
- Tiberio Garza, University of Nevada – Las Vegas (US)
- Yun Yu, East China Normal University (CN)
- Yuning Sun, University of Limerick (UK)
- Venesser Fernandes, Monash University (AU)
- Anas Almassri, Durham University (UK)

### Assistant Editors

- Dr Mariam Mostafa, University of Rhode Island (USA)
- Dr Quyet Thi Nguyen, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education (Vietnam)
- Dr Demelash Areda, Ottawa University (USA)
- Dr Robert Opoku, Professor, Red Deer Polytechnic (Canada)
- Dr Tian (Victoria) Qin, Trinity University (USA)
- Dr Shu Wan, University at Buffalo (USA)
- Dr Metrice Harris-Weedman, Four Corners Consulting (USA)
- Dr Wade Naylor, Australian Catholic University (Australia)
- Dr Samantha Marangell, The University of Melbourne (Australia)

- Dr Dale Kirby, Memorial University of Newfoundland (Canada)
- Dr Xinxin Wang, Assistant Professor, Fudan University (China)
- Mr Alexander M. Erickson, Ukrainian Youth Action Network (US)
- Dr Fatemeh (Melina) Bakhshalizadeh, Iowa State University (US)
- Dr Ji (Yumjyi) Ying, Education University of Hong Kong
- Qiang Xie, University of Wisconsin-Madison (US)

#### Review Board

Peer Review Board includes doctoral students, professors, scholars, and researchers worldwide. New members are eligible to join the team who complete this [Peer Review Training](#). This list is updated on an annual basis.

Adam Agostinelli, Boston College (US)  
 Andrea Lee, Austin Peay State University (US)  
 Ann Margaret Themistocleous, Anderson University (US)  
 Anna Riana Suryanti Tambunan, Universitas Negeri Medan (ID)  
 Armineh Soorenian, University of Leeds (UK)  
 Ashenafi Aboye, University of British Columbia (CA)  
 Ashish Agrawal, Virginia Tech (IN) ★  
 Asma Bashir, Beaconhouse National University (PK) ★  
 Azan Zahir Virji, F-1 Doctors (US)  
 Baoyan Cheng, Center for Chinese Studies, University of Hawaii at Manoa (US)  
 Barry Fass-Holmes, San Diego State University (US)  
 Benjamin Nam, SHISU (CN)  
 Benu Prasad Dahal, Royal Government of Bhutan (BT)  
 Bernardo Sfredo Miorando, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde (BR) ★  
 Bettina Teegen, University of Surrey (UK) ★  
 Bich Tran, Dartmouth College (US)  
 Blair Matthews, University of St Andrews (UK)  
 Bo Chang, Ball State University (US)  
 Bowen Zhang, Manchester University (UK)  
 Bruna Navarone Santos, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation/Oswaldo Cruz Institute (BR)  
 Caroline Wekullo, Texas A&M University (US)  
 Catherine Hartman, University of South Carolina (US)  
 Charles R Harris, Arkansas State University (US)  
 Chenyang Lin, University of California, Los Angeles (CN)  
 Chetanath Gautam, Delaware State University (US)  
 Chih-Hsin Hsu, university of arkansas tech (US)  
 Christine Cress, Portland State University (US)  
 Christine Fiorite, University of Chicago (US)  
 Congcong Xing, Queensland University of Technology (AU)  
 Conor Nolan, National College of Ireland (IE) ★  
 Cosmin Ionut Nada, CII-FPCEUP (PT)  
 Dae Seok Chai, Colorado State University (US)  
 Dan Dickman, Ivy Tech Community College of Indiana (US)  
 Dana Van De Walker, Sam Houston State University (US)  
 Daniel Adrian Doss Doss, Jackson State University (US)  
 Danielle Geary, Georgia Tech (US)  
 David Austin Willis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville (US) ◇  
 David Vargas, Masarykova Univerzita (CZ)  
 Deborah Okin, Fielding Graduate University (US)  
 Dong Chen, University of Arizona (US)  
 Dorothy Mayne, University of Illinois (US)  
 Elena K. Taborda, University of Massachusetts Boston (US) ◇  
 Eman Elturki, Washington State University (US)  
 Emma Sabzalieva, UNESCO IESALC (CA)  
 Eric Terzuolo, Stanford University (US)  
 Erika Saito, National University, College of Education (US)  
 Fan Fang, Shantou University (CN)  
 Fikri Yanda, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (ID) ★  
 Galicia Blackman, University of Calgary (CA) ★  
 Garth Stahl, University of Queensland (AU)  
 Gene Vasilopoulos, University of Ottawa (CA)  
 Genevieve Hildebrand, San Juan College (US)  
 Giorgio Di Pietro, University of Westminster (UK)  
 Gloria Wong, Hong Kong University (HK)  
 Gul Rind, Miami University/ Sukkur IBA University, Pakistan (PK)  
 Guoqin Gong, University of Hong Kong (HK)  
 Hany Zaky, Union County College, UCC, NJ, USA (US)  
 Hélène Syed Zwick, ESLSCA University Egypt (EG) ★  
 Hyejin Yoon, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (US)

Ibrahim Bicak, University of Chicago (US)  
Icy Anabo, University of Deusto (ES)  
Intan Pradita, UII Yogyakarta (ID)  
Ireena Nasiha Ibnu, Universiti Teknologi MARA (MY)  
James Burford, La Trobe University (AU)  
James Elwood, Meiji University (JP)  
Jami Leibowitz, East Carolina University (US)  
Jana Jaffa, Penn State (US)  
Jason Li, Wichita State University (US)  
Jasvir Kaur, La Trobe University (AU) ★  
Jayme Scally, West Virginia University (US)  
Jeanne-Marie Viljoen, University of South Aastralia (AU)  
Jennifer Majorana, Central Michigan University (US)  
Jeongwoon Jeong, The University of New Mexico (US)  
Jia Li, Yunnan University (CN)  
Jingran Yu, Southern University of Science and Technology (CN)  
Jinqi Xu, University of Sydney (AU)  
Jon Woodend, University of Victoria (CA) ◇  
Judith Borràs, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (ES)  
Juhee Kim, Eastern Kentucky University (US)  
Jungyeol Park, University of Minnesota (US)  
Kalypso Filippou, University of Turku (FI) ★  
Kandy K. Turner, Widener University (US)  
Karlo Avenido, Simon Fraser University (CA)  
Kat Stephens, University of Massachusetts Amherst (US)  
Kate Maloney Williams, University of Maryland, College Park (US)  
Kati Bell, University of California, Irvine (US)  
Keenan Daniel Manning, University of Hong Kong (HK) ★  
Kelly A. Pengelly, American University (US)  
Kenichi Doi, Peking University (CN)  
Keri Freeman, Griffith University (AU)  
Kim Bullington, Old Dominion University (US)  
Kimberley Daly, George Mason University (US)  
Kyunghye Ma, University of South Carolina (KR) ★  
Laura Engel, The George Washington University (US)  
Laura Soledad Norton, Sapienza University of Rome (IT) ★  
Laura Vaughn, Florida State University (US)  
Lilik Istiqomah, Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Mas Said Surakarta (ID)  
Lin Ma, University of Bristol (UK)  
Lisa Unangst, SUNY Empire State College (US)  
Malin Glimäng, Malmö University (SE)  
Manca Sustarsic, University of Hawaii at Manoa (US) ◇  
Mardene Carr, Grand Canyon University (US) ◇  
María Cruz Cuevas Álvarez, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco (MX) ★  
Marthy Watson, University Of Southern Queensland (AU)  
Mary Ann Bodine Al-Sharif, University of Alabama at Birmingham (US) ◇  
Mary Jacinta Nekesa, Makerere University (UG)  
Max Crumley-Effinger, Loyola University Chicago (US)  
Melissa Baralt, Florida International University (US)  
Melissa Yeung, University of Houston (US) ◇  
Mengwei Su, Ohio University (US)  
Mengwei Tu, East China University of Science and Technology (CN)  
Michael Salmon, University of Bath (UK)  
Michał Wilczewski, University of Economics & Human Sciences (PL) ★  
Milad Mohebbi, University of Iowa (US)  
Ming Xie, West Texas A&M University (US)  
Minghui Hou, Old Dominion University (US)  
Mohd Muzhafar Idrus, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (MY) ★  
Mudithani Maheshika Hettiarachchi, University of Houston (US) ◇  
Namrata Rao, Liverpool Hope University (UK) ★  
Nara M. Martirosyan, Sam Houston State University (US)  
Nina Marijanovic, University of Kentucky (US)  
Ning Guo, Saint Louis University (US)  
Nizamuddin Sadiq, Universitas Islam Indonesia (ID)  
Nora Isacoff, Columbia University (US)  
Norihito Taniguchi, Nagoya University (JP)  
Oluwasegun Oladipo, Peking University (CN)  
Omolabake Fakunle, University of Edinburgh (UK)  
Oumaima Elghazali, Mohammed V University in Rabat (MA)  
Paul Garton, University of South Carolina (US)  
Peggy Gesing, Eastern Virginia Medical School (US)

Pengfei Pan, Queensland University of Technology (AU)

Per A. Nilsson, Umeå University (SE) ★

Peter G. Ghazarian, Ashland University (US)

Prabin Shrestha, Tri Chandra Multiple Campus (NP)

Pratik Ambani, Consulting & Implementation Services (AU) ◇

Qianqian Zhang-Wu, Northeastern University (US)

Reyhan Aslan, Middle East Technical University (TR)

Rong Wang, Xi'an Jiaotong Liverpool University (CN)

Rosalind Latiner Raby, California State University Northridge (US)

Ruijin Yang, Queensland University of Technology (AU)

Ryan Allen, Chapman University (US)

Ryan Deuel, St. Lawrence University (US) ◇

Sami B Mejri, Northcentral University (US)

Sara Bano, North Dakota State University (US)

Sarah Asada, Kyoritsu Women's University (JP)

Sehyun Yun, Ball State University (US)

Shanshan Jiang, University of Wisconsin-Madison (US)

Shanton Chang, University of Melbourne, Australia (AU)

Shasha Cui, University of Rochester (US)

Shihua Brazill, Montana State University (US) ◇

Shingo Hanada, Toyo University (JP) ★

Siqi Tu, NYU Shanghai (CN) ★

Siti Masrifatul Fitriyah, Jember University (ID)

Sophia Glenyse Rahming, Florida State University (US)

Steven Jones, The University of Alabama (US)

Tamara M Chung Constant, University of Massachusetts Amherst (US) ◇

Tang Tang Heng, National Institute of Education--Singapore (SG)

Tara Nicola, Harvard University (US)

Taryn Devereux, University of Maryland (US)

Terra Gargano, American University (US)

Thi Kim Thu Le, University of Windsor (CA)

Tiberio Garza, Florida International University (US)

Tiffany Viggiano, Oregon Health and Science University (US) ◇

Tran Le Huu Nghia, Australian National University (AU) ◇

Vander Tavares, York University (CA)

Wan Chi Leung, University of Canterbury (NZ) ★

Wauseca Briscoe, Morgan State University (US) ◇

Wei Liu, University of Alberta (CA)

Wonsun Ryu, University of Texas at Austin (US) ◇

Xiao Hu, Beijing Normal University (CN)

Xiaoqiao Zhang, Massachusetts General Hospital (US)

Xinxin Wang, UNC at Chapel Hill (US)

Xuwei He, The George Washington University (US)

Yan Gao, University of Victoria (CA)

Yi'En Cheng, National University of Singapore (SG)

Yifei Liang, University of Queensland (AU)

Yingling Lou, University of Calgary (CA) ◇

Yingxin Liu, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen (CN)

Yingyi Ma, Syracuse University (US)

Yiyang Xiong, Johns Hopkins University (US)

Yolanda Palmer-Clarke, University of Saskatchewan (CA)

Yun Yu, East China Normal University (CN)

Zhenjie Weng, Ohio State University (US)

Helen Liu, York University (CN)

Dadhi Ram Panthi, Pashupati Campus (NP)

Elizabeth Margarita Hernández López, University of Guadalajara, (MX) ◇

Zoey Roosevelt, University of San Diego (US)

Thai Vu, Curtin University (AU)

Seyma Inan, Mercyhurst University (US)

Quyet Thi Nguyen, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education (VN)

Suhao Peng, University of Eastern Finland (Finland)

Sahizer Samuk, Wilfried Laurier University (Canada)

Chet Narayan Acharya, Pokhara University (Nepal)

Abdullah Al Mahmud, University of Liberal Arts Bangladesh (Bangladesh)

Yang Li, Saint Louis University (USA)

Hadi Jalabi, Student, Üsküdar University (Turkey)

Tina Renier, Global Sustainable Futures Network (International)

Maikel Pons-Giralt, University of Santa Cruz do Sul (Brazil)

Praveen Kumar Rudra, Immigreat Lancaster (UK)

Aanchal Arora, Chandigarh University (India)

Kalpesh Kamble, Sindhudurg Shikshan Prasarak Mandal (India)  
 Nilo Castulo, Beijing Normal University (China)  
 Mehrdad Falavarjani, University of Saskatchewan (Canada)  
 Alex Erickson, George Washington University (US)  
 Ishwar Koirala, University of New England (Australia)  
 Xuechen Yuan, University of Windsor (Canada)  
 Nguyen B. Ngoc, Yuan Ze University (Taiwan)  
 Faruq Ubaidillah, Universitas Islam Malang (Indonesia)  
 Rakshya Baral, Morgan State University (US)  
 Sarah Carrica-Ochoa, University of Navarra

Frida Jaime Franco, Durham University  
 Hissah Alzahrani, University of North Carolina Wilmington  
 Hissah Alzahrani, UNCW  
 Bruna Navarone, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation  
 Candice Seale, University of the Western Cape  
 Justin Weller, Michigan State University  
 Lili/ Eika Jiang, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Germany  
 Jennifer Park, University of North Carolina Wilmington  
 Siu-Man Ting, North Carolina State University  
 María Cruz Cuevas Álvarez, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco  
 Rully Damayanti, Petra Christian University, INDONESIA  
 Jihene Ftouh, Istanbul Aydin University  
 Lucy Lee Allen, Lancaster University  
 Maikel Pons-Giralt, University of Santa Cruz do Sul, Brazil  
 Oksana Beregovaya, Siberian Institute of Management  
 Praveen Kumar Rudra, Capella University  
 Petrit Duraj, University of Gjakova  
 Hyangeun Ji, Temple University  
 Farah Deeba, Bahauddin Zakariya University  
 Ran Jiao, Ritsumeikan University  
 Muzaffar Hussain, University of Essex, UK  
 Hui-Yu Yao, Monash University, Australia  
 Karina Jolly, Georgia College & State University, USA  
 Zhiwen Song, University of Cincinnati, USA  
 Omid Alizadeh, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran  
 Labaran Isiaku, Cyprus International University, Cyprus  
 Ireena Nasiha Ibnu, Faculty of Communication and Media Studies, UiTM, Malaysia  
 Eun Jung Paik, Pennsylvania State University, USA

#### Editorial Advisory Board

Zeyad Mahdi Al Salameen, Dean, Mohamed Bin Zayed University for Humanities, UAE  
 Arlyne Marasigan, Professor, Philippine Normal University-Manila  
 Michael Gerges, Associate Dean University of Wolverhampton, UK  
 Darla K. Deardorff, Research Associate, Duke University, UK  
 Margaret Kettle, Professor, Queensland University of Technology  
 David L. Di Maria, Associate Vice Provost, University of Maryland Baltimore County

Rahul Choudaha, CEO, DrEducation  
 Catherine Gomes, Professor, RMIT University, Australia  
 Rajika Bhandari, CEO, Rajika Bhandari Advisors  
 Helen Forbes-Mewett, Associate Professor, Monash University, Australia  
 Nigel Harwood, Professor, University of Sheffield, UK  
 Anthony C. Ogden, CEO, Gateway International  
 Bojana Petric, Professor, Birkbeck University of London, UK  
 Megan M. Siczek, Director & Associate Professor, The George Washington University, USA  
 Lily Lei Ye, Professor, Beijing Institute of Fashion Technology, China  
 Lydia Andrade, Professor & Chair, University of the Incarnate Word, USA  
 Stuart Tannock, Associate Professor, University College London, UK  
 Janet Ilieva, Founder & Director, Education Insight, UK  
 Shyam Sharma, Professor, State University of New York at Stony Brook, USA

☆ = 2022 Distinguished Service Award

★ = 2022 Editor's Choice Award

◇ = 2022 Excellence in Peer Review Award

[Make a Submission](#)

[Subject Area and Publication Timeline](#)

### Metrics

Impact Factor: 1.5

Scopus CiteScore: 3.1

h5-index: 41

h5-median: 61

Clarivate JCI: 0.83

2.9 <sup>2022</sup>  
CiteScore

67th percentile

Powered by **Scopus**



Abstracting and Indexing: [Scopus](#), [SSCI](#), [SJR](#), [ProQuest](#), [ERIC](#) & more

APC Rates: [Grant](#), [discount](#), and [waivers](#) available

### Open Positions

Join our team. We are accepting new applications.

Supported by

# Algoma UNIVERSITY

# Universidade de Vigo



**UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN**  
IYUNIVESITHI YASEKAPA • UNIVERSITEIT VAN KAAPSTAD



# ISTANBUL AYDIN UNIVERSITY



**Policies**

[Statement on Ethics](#)

[Journal Policies](#)


[Peer Review Process](#)

[Reviewer Guidelines](#)

[Open Access & Publication Fee](#)

[Benefits of joining the Editorial Team](#)

**Books in Collaboration**




*Routledge Studies in Global Student Mobility*

# INTERNATIONALISATION OF THE CURRICULUM

**A COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE ACROSS AUSTRALIAN  
AND VIETNAMESE UNIVERSITIES**

Huong Le Thanh Phan, Ly Thi Tran  
and Jill Blackmore





Subscribe

Subscribe to receive email alerts when new issues of the journal are published, including digital copies, calls for papers, and other updates.

[Subscribe](#)

**Publish With Us**

High ranking  
World-wide representation  
'Online First' publishing  
Global exposure

**Special Collections »**

[Top Articles by Region](#)  
[Top Articles by Topic](#)  
[Most Downloaded](#)  
[Most Cited](#)

**Supporters**



**STAR**SCHOLARS  
P R E S S

# Emerson

C O L L E G E

**ACE**® American  
Council on  
Education®

**Resources**

[Editorial Team](#) | [Open Positions](#)

[Publication Fee & Waiver](#) | [Peer Review Process](#) | [Publication Timeline](#)

[Special Issues](#) | [Peer-Review Training](#) | [APA Guidelines](#)

Managing Director: Dr. Patricia Timmons, Harvard University, USA  
Research Associate: Dr. Erjona Gashi, Towson University, USA

For questions, reach out to us at [contact@jistudents.org](mailto:contact@jistudents.org)

© 2025 Journal of International Students



SJR Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Home Journal Rankings Journal Value Country Rankings Viz Tools Help About Us

Submit Paper for Publication

IJFMR - Academic Journal Open

### Journal of International Students

<b>COUNTRY</b> United States Universities and research institutions in United States Media Ranking in United States	<b>SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY</b> Social Sciences Education	<b>PUBLISHER</b> School of Education, University of Louisiana at Monroe	<b>SJR 2024</b> <b>0.673 Q2</b> <b>H-INDEX</b> <b>37</b>
<b>PUBLICATION TYPE</b> Journals	<b>ISSN</b> 21623104, 21663750	<b>COVERAGE</b> 2015-2025	<b>INFORMATION</b> <a href="#">Homepage</a> <a href="#">How to publish in this journal</a> <a href="mailto:crglass@odu.edu">crglass@odu.edu</a>

Submit Paper for Publication

Research journal |  
 impact factor 9.24 |  
 publication charge |

### Submit Paper for Publication

**SCOPE**

The journal publishes scholarly peer-reviewed articles on international students in tertiary education, secondary education, and other educational settings that make significant contributions to research, policy, and practice in the internationalization of education worldwide. We encourage the submission of manuscripts from researchers and practitioners around the world from a myriad of academic fields and theoretical perspectives, including international education, comparative education, human geography, global studies, linguistics, psychology, sociology, communication, international business, economics, social work, cultural studies, and other related disciplines. We are especially interested in submissions which mark a new and demonstratively significant advancement in research on international students on topics such as: Cross-cultural studies of acculturation, intergroup relations, and intercultural communication Career preparation, employability, and career outcomes of short- and long-term mobility Development of international student social networks Emerging trends related to the mobility of international students and scholars English-mediated instruction (EMI) and second language acquisition (L2) Experiences of globally mobile LGBTQ+ students and other student populations Geopolitical perspectives and policies related to international students and other immigrants seeking education Global learning involving diverse people collaboratively analyzing and addressing complex problems that transcend borders International faculty, teaching assistants, and postdoctoral researchers Multicultural, intercultural, and cross-cultural engagement New educational contexts that involve the use of emerging technologies and online learning International student experiences in transnational higher education providers and programs.

Join the conversation about this journal

Wireless network security solutions

Quartiles

Ad Scoot Ayo Scootin


Ads by clicktp

LEARN MORE

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

options

<p>1 <b>Journal of Studies in International Education</b> USA</p> <p><b>37%</b> similarity</p>	<p>2 <b>European Journal of Higher Education</b> GBR</p> <p><b>25%</b> similarity</p>	<p>3 <b>Higher Education</b> NLD</p> <p><b>24%</b> similarity</p>	<p>4 <b>International Journal of Intercultural Relations</b> GBR</p> <p><b>22%</b> similarity</p>	<p>5 <b>International Journal of Chinese Education</b> GBR</p> <p><b>22%</b> similarity</p>
--	---	---	---	---



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of March 2025

**Zou Yuxue** 5 months ago

I would like to know the publication fee of each article, thank you

reply

**Melanie Ortiz** 5 months ago SCImago Team

Dear Zou,  
Thank you for contacting us.  
We suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff, so they could inform you more deeply.  
Best Regards, SCImago Team

**Damayanti** 6 months ago

Dear committee,  
In the year 2024, what ranking for this Journal? On the journal website, it is written as Q1, but on this page (which is until 2023), it is Q2

reply

Close



# Source details

## Journal of International Students

Open Access ⓘ

Years currently covered by Scopus: from 2015 to 2025

Publisher: School of Education, University of Louisiana at Monroe

ISSN: 2162-3104 E-ISSN: 2166-3750

Subject area: Social Sciences: Education

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

CiteScore 2023

**2.9** ⓘ

SJR 2023

**0.535** ⓘ

SNIP 2023

**0.917** ⓘ

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

CiteScore 2023 ▾

$$2.9 = \frac{895 \text{ Citations } 2020 - 2023}{309 \text{ Documents } 2020 - 2023}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2024

CiteScoreTracker 2024 ⓘ

$$3.1 = \frac{966 \text{ Citations to date}}{313 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 April, 2025 • Updated monthly

### CiteScore rank 2023 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Social Sciences		
Education	#573/1543	62nd

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site ↗](#)

*Journal of International Students*  
Volume 14, Issue 5 (2024), pp. 125-140  
ISSN: 2162-3104 (Print), 2166-3750 (Online)  
jistudents.org



## **Internationalization in Architecture Higher Education: A Strategy of Studio Immersion from the Student Perspective**

Rully Damayanti  
*Petra Christian University, Indonesia*

Elvina Wijaya  
*Petra Christian University, Indonesia*

Bram Michael Wayne  
*Petra Christian University, Indonesia*

Apiradee Kasemsook  
*Silpakorn University, Thailand*

Kuowei Eleazar-Godfrey Chiu  
*Tunghai University, Taiwan*

---

### **ABSTRACT**

*Internationalization has become a requirement of higher education to adequately train future practitioners, including architects, in the global world. Studio immersion is an experimental type of curriculum and teaching where the studio is prepared, operated, and evaluated by educators and students from joined universities. In this paper, we investigate the perspective of students who have performed studio immersion, which consists of three Asian universities in batches 2022 and 2023, with a total of 147 students from Indonesia, Thailand, and Taiwan. We used mixed methods research to identify real obstacles and challenges and to determine to what extent this study benefits students. The results show that the students experience a progressive understanding of personal development, a broader meaning of architecture beyond technical and aesthetic systems but social and cultural, and an understanding of architecture as a multifaceted profession. The students reported their dissatisfaction with the pursuit of the university's reputation, studio dynamics, and uncertainty in the assignment's limitations. English interaction among students is not affected by*

*their early exposure to English for their generation. This type of studio immersion could create a new studio culture that is unique to a global learning experience.*

**Keywords:** Internationalization, higher education, school of architecture, studio immersion, studio culture

---

## INTRODUCTION

### Internationalization of Higher Education Institutions

The term internationalization in higher education (HE) is currently complicated, whether ad hoc or fragmented since many purposes and educational players are involved. HE institutions see internationalization as a large time and resource commitment, but it is necessary to prepare future practitioners for a globalized society (Dupre, 2022; Ostwald & Williams, 2008; Yeravdekar & Tiwari, 2014). Almost all universities mention this term as their strategy not only to attract international students but also to show that they are part of global education (Wit, 2020). It has also evolved into a commercial term to inform the public that the quality of their learning is global or world-class (Bamberger & Morris, 2023). The strategy goes beyond student mobility, as its early intention of internationalization, but includes international accreditation, international programs, international exposure, or international recognition (Zolfaghari et al., 2009). HE institutions have developed strategies that involve diverse educational activities that involve educators and students from different countries, which are becoming more international, intercultural and global (Knight, 2008; Knight & de Wit, 2018). It is also intended to achieve the HE vision or mission of becoming a global university. There is currently an increasing number of internationalization activities since the use of technology for distance learning (Dupre, 2022; Hou & Kang, 2006; Knight & de Wit, 2018).

Given the various internationalization activities carried out by HE, the question arises whether this provides direct benefits to students. On the basis of earlier studies related to students' perspectives, several benefits were found. The benefits include increased awareness and comprehension of various national and international issues, networking opportunities, the development of social and emotional intelligence, and the creation of income for the future (Hayle, 2008; Trinh & Conner, 2019). In brief, the students believe that internationalization can help them become more globally competent in fostering inclusivity and diversity. Furthermore, the primary barrier to internationalization implementation, particularly in Asia, is the low proficiency of instructors and students in English (Sutrisno, 2019; Tek et al., 2023; Trinh & Conner, 2019). It is believed that internationalization can reinforce Western-centric education by ignoring the differences and cultural diversity of each nation's educational system (Ng, 2012).

The success of internationalization in HE is determined from two directions: one that is strategic from the government and university authorities and the second from educators (Dupre, 2022). The authority factor includes regulation, financial,

administrative, and infrastructure support from the university. Educators require leadership in terms of their ability and willingness to carry out internationalization (Dupre, 2022). Furthermore, the skills of educators and students are important for starting internationalization (Salama, 1999). A Cambodia-based study indicates that institutional leadership, policies, human resources, and institution type affect university internationalization achievement. Competency, networking opportunities, a sense of belonging, the nature of the profession, and demographic characteristics all influence educators' success levels (Tek et al., 2023). Data from Cambodia indicate that issues at the educator level and English ability were the cause of the internationalization handicaps.

Since the 1980s, internationalization in HE has become one of the strategies used to improve the awareness of students toward international exposure and strengthen the research and product knowledge of institutions in Asia Pacific. For example, in Indonesia, internationalization is accommodated by government regulations stated in the Constitution for Indonesia Higher Education Article 5 no. 12/2012 as a legal basis. The principles of internationalization include equality, respectfulness, the promotion of technological science, and human values, which refer to the interaction and integration of international dimensions in academic activity without losing their characteristic Indonesian values. The main strategy is to increase mobility in people, programs, and institutions (Mali, 2020). Although research on internationalization in Indonesian HEs has not been conducted much (Ota, 2023), it has practically been shown that educators' characteristics play a significant role in the successful implementation of internationalization in HE.

### ***Internationalization in Architecture Education***

Architecture education is a highly regulated sector internationally and nationally. To address professional, social, and cultural considerations, architecture education must have specific curriculum objectives, as mandated by the International Union of Architects/Union Internationale des Architectes (UIAs). The primary goal of the UNESCO-UIA Charter for Architectural Education 2023 is to establish a global network of architectural education to address the challenges of the contemporary world ("UNESCO-UIA Charter for Architectural Education (Updated July 2023)," n.d.). At the national level, for example, the Indonesian Institute of Architects (IAI), a member of the UIA, must abide by UIA regulations, particularly those for educational goals. The goal is to equip young architects with nationally, regionally, and globally recognized competencies (*Berita IAI*, n.d.).

The core of the architecture curriculum is architectural design studio courses, which offer students continuously and progressively more advanced skills and integrated knowledge in architecture design during their studies. The design studio courses are key components where students practically apply design principles, spatial/form theory and techniques in defining architectural solutions. A studio is traditionally known as a place for students to apprentice to professional architects so that philosophical thoughts and ways to design can be shared with the apprentice (Hacihanoglu, 2021; Webster, 2008). Currently, professional

architects play their role as tutors, reviewers or jurors with the same function, which is to conduct the way of thinking of students.

This shift in professional architects' role is to respond to the contemporary approach of learning, which involves placing students at the center of learning, while teachers play the role of facilitators (Crowther, 2013). This situation makes students independent learners when the world is widely open in front of them (Yeravdekar & Tiwari, 2014). In addition, to respond to the behavior and characteristics of students, which are changing drastically, especially in the globalization era and information technology advancement (Crowther, 2013), the learning process in the design studio needs to be more flexible and dynamic. This approach occurs when students actively engage with the learning process while teachers provide support, resources and guidance, which contrasts with the traditional operation of the architecture design studio (Ostwald & Williams, 2008).

The internationalization strategy in design studios often creates a dilemma for the schools of architecture. On the one hand, the school has a rigid curriculum in terms of a list of competencies to respond to certain requirements of international UIA and national standards, for example, IAI in Indonesia or the Architects Council of Thailand Regulation. The school has the responsibility to teach and train the students to become professional architects through the learning process that dominantly occurs in the design studio, which has international/national competencies and is implemented in the design studio activities.

On the other hand, while internationalization is something that must be undertaken in the studio, it could bring a dynamic architectural pedagogy with shifting methods and approaches. This internationalization studio is somewhat difficult to apply, especially because it involves international educators or students with different backgrounds (Munasinghe, 2008), since students are still learning the ability to design step by step, depending on the level of their design studio courses and the curriculum of the home institutions. The dynamic design of the studio could gradually omit the uniqueness of the studio in terms of the studio culture and method of design (Dupre, 2022). This uniqueness is the strength of a specific studio or the master architects, as in the traditional definition of a studio (Gray, 2013). Therefore, internationalization tends to generalize to studio culture.

### ***Architecture Studio Immersion***

Studio immersion is one experimental type of internationalization used in architecture learning to overcome the main challenge of the curriculum when it initially needed to match the studio syllabus among joined universities. Studio immersion is prepared, operated, and evaluated by educators from joined universities. The studio assignment and schedule were prepared together, and the studio group consisted of a mix of students and teachers, as well as project sites located in the city where the joined universities are located. In the case of this research, the students and teachers had a chance to visit the sites and do studio

work together and then continue the discussion and the studio critics online. Educators who are friends find it simpler to establish trust to build a new studio culture, and they are prepared to take on the roles of both teachers and organizers.

Starting in 2022, the architecture programs at Petra Christian University, Indonesia (PCU), and Silpakorn University, Thailand (SU), decided to implement an internationalization strategy through a type of studio immersion, and in 2023, Tunghai University (TU) started to join. Since 2024, the study of studio immersion has continued. The study involved is the first semester of the final year of each curriculum. SU and TU engage the studio in year five, whereas PCU does so in year four. In the studio, there are two assignments: one is group-based master planning design, and the other is individual work on architecture building design. Students work in groups of two or three mentors who serve as studio facilitators for the course of two assignments. The methods, approaches, management, operations and schedules of previous studies have changed as a result of this immersion study at PCUs and SUs becoming the first international study.

As shown in Figure 1, the studio immersion activities are related not only to the assignments but also to site visits, architectural excursions and social gatherings with colleagues and friends. Students and educators/tutors are mixed into 4 and 5 groups, with 5:5:1 numbers of PCU, SU and TU tutors in 2023, and the ratio of PCU, SU and TU students is 61:78:8.



**Figure 1: Documentation of the studio immersion 2023**

The purpose of this study is to identify students' perceptions in terms of their learning skills and architectural experiences, which include three universities from three Asian countries: Indonesia, Thailand, and Taiwan. The article aims to answer the research question of whether internationalization activity adds knowledge about architecture and provides skills for future careers. The research identifies the benefits, shortcomings, and challenges from the student's point of view. In the future, teachers and schools of architecture could respond better to the challenges that students face during the process of internationalization. This research will help Asian HE in identifying the best type of international involvement, especially for the school of architecture.

## **METHOD**


This research was conducted with mixed quantitative and qualitative methods to explore the opinions of PCU, SU and TU students who are involved in this immersion study. The mixed method used in this study is an explanatory sequential design that consists of two phases (Creswell, 2014). First, the research starts with the quantitative methods that are followed second by the qualitative assessment to purposely choose respondents to confirm the trends found in the prior quantitative assessment. This mixed method has been proven to be effective in behavioral studies (Lopez-Fernandez & Molina-Azorin, 2011).

The main research question is whether internationalization activity adds knowledge about architecture and provides skills for future careers. Data were obtained through a Google form questionnaire distributed to all the students who participated in the immersion study in 2022 and 2023, with a total of 147 students. After the questionnaire was distributed, it was followed by interviews with specific students and educators. Descriptive analysis is used to analyze the questionnaire data, which are then divided into two categories: the Studio Operational category, which evaluates the students' agreed-upon implementation of studio management and development of soft skills during the studio, and the Comprehensive Understanding category, which evaluates the students' perceptions of their knowledge of architecture and their comprehension of architects as professionals after they finish the study.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### ***Studio Operational***


The primary barrier to conducting studio immersion found in this research is in contrast to the previous findings determined to be language as a medium of teaching? between the students and the educators (Ostwald & Williams, 2008; Tek et al., 2023). According to this research, language limitations do not prevent students from interacting and communicating (Figure 2).



**Figure 2: Language barriers**

Not all students' involvement in studio immersion occurs through English language proficiency selection; only the PCU requires the equivalent of TOEFL 450. This is because PCU students participate in larger batches than SU and TU students do, necessitating selection to determine the student ratio. The graph demonstrates that student interaction and communication are unaffected even when students' English language proficiency is not comparable (as measured by language certificate scores).

In the tutorial activities between the students and tutors, almost half of the students perceive that their tutors place them as passive listeners due to their limited language skills (Figure 2). This result shows the lower power of the students to discuss and argue in English during the design jury (*The Analytics of Power: Re-Representing the Design Jury on JSTOR*, n.d.). This contrasts with the previous table, where students are confident in their English to communicate and interact with other students. According to the interviews, this is because the tutors give out spoon-fed answers during the tutorial to limit the time of discussion, and then, the students feel that the tutors view them as passive listeners.



**Figure 3: Student's perspective on Studio immersion**

Compared with their previous studios, more than 70% of the students believe that studio immersion creates a unique studio culture, as shown in Figure 3. Studio culture is formed by beliefs, experiences, and places that are accommodated through architectural pedagogy (Gray, 2013). It is the process between students and teachers, when the educators are the providers while the students are the recipients (Crowther, 2013; Salama, 1999; Srinivasan, 2011). According to the questionnaire results, the uniqueness of studio immersion is clearly shown by the structured and unstructured activities (on and off campus), the variety of applied teaching methods, and the studio assignments. These could provide opportunities for students to explore the knowledge freely and provide their experience to the new type of studio (see Figure 3). More than 70% of the students believed that both structured and unstructured interactions with other students in their group significantly contributed to the success of the studio tasks (see Figure 3). Structured activities consist of scheduled studio discussions and site visits, specifically to Bangkok and Surabaya, by studio assignments. Unstructured activities are student-led activities that take place offline and online. In addition to the schedule of site visits, the students gather by themselves, as well as during online activities. Students also strongly agree with several studio-related activities that help them become more tolerant, cooperative, and adaptive (see Figure 3).

As the two cities selected as the locations for the studio's projects, Bangkok and Surabaya were visited by the students from the three universities. Together with the educators, the students completed the site survey, which focused on architecture as a dynamic to social and cultural change in contemporary society (Salama, 2021; *Un-Working*, n.d.). The students also engage in additional social activities unrelated to their studio tasks, such as going to movies, shopping and karaokeing (see Figure 3). After the visit, the students engage in online social activities such as group gaming sessions or lighthearted conversations on Discord. This is what fosters student cooperation to facilitate engagement and communication (Webster, 2008).

With respect to the learning quality during the studio immersion, the students identified the four most positive things overall during the studio, namely:

- The experience of an international learning atmosphere with a global understanding
- The active learning process among students to understand architecture and urban conditions
- Educators have applied an intercultural learning approach in practice to make it more realistic and comprehensive.
- The design project in the studio assignments has high novelty value

Over half of the students believed that the three universities' studio management practices were different from one another. Since each studio has contributed to its unique studio management and culture over the years, named uniqueness or studio habitus (Gray, 2013), it requires adjustment and alteration when three studios join to create one studio immersion. The students felt unequal in their studio immersion management among the three universities. Since two universities conducted the first studio immersion in 2022 and the third university was added the following year, not all students experienced a strong sense of

equality among the studios from the three universities. The first two universities have the most influence over studio management and tasks, but all three universities have equal access to lectures and discussions.

Sixty percent of the students believed that teamwork with different backgrounds was more challenging than studio assignments were. Previous research has shown that the greatest problem faced by internationalization in studios is not cultural differences but the structure of the studio, communication and resources (Dupre, 2022). The students agreed that their efforts in maintaining good networks and communication within the group outweighed the difficulty of the studio assignments, particularly the first assignment, which was to propose a master plan. This is because the master plan is the first group assignment for the half-semester, meaning it is the first time working together on an assignment when you do not know your group members in advance. Nearly every student experienced this difficulty, but by the end of the study, they believed that their ability to interact and communicate with others had enabled them to overcome this difficulty (Figure 2).

The table 2 shows two types of opinions from the students: one focuses on satisfaction, whereas the other focuses on dissatisfaction (Figure 4). Students expressed satisfaction in terms of enhanced self-confidence, the ability to interact, and a sense of pride. The students also highlighted their dissatisfaction with the pursuit of the university's reputation, studio dynamics, and uncertainty in the assignment's limitations. Instead of fostering the students' architectural skills, the students believe that the university is using the studio immersion program to improve its standing among other universities internationally. It is parallel with the opinion that internationalization only follows the market lead, with less reaching the full potential of the students (Dupre, 2022).




Figure 4: Studio Dynamics

Nearly half of the students agreed that the studio dynamics bring feelings of uncertainty/ambiguity that are particularly related to the project descriptions and limitations (Figure 4). Some researchers believe that internationalization studies make the curriculum overcome the disintegration of the planned curriculum (Dupre, 2022; Mostafa & Mostafa, 2010; Ostwald & Williams, 2008). The students feel that the studio is too dynamic, so it is not integrated with the school curriculum. This dynamism is due to the changes that occur in the studio, for example, changes in details related to assignment products and meeting schedules with the tutors. Students felt that these changes moved the studio further away from the original curriculum design.

According to the interviews, the three universities' worth as studio management educators admitted that this was a result of the limited time available to complete preliminary coordination for studio planning. As shown in previous research, internationalization should be carefully and thoroughly planned to achieve more coordination regarding the applied pedagogy (Munasinghe, 2008). Preliminary online talks are held to address the project's primary issues and find comparable sites (in terms of potential, challenges, and area) and then have less time to discuss in more detail, such as the brief narrative of the project, which includes the limitations, the drawing products and the per-group discussion time table. At the beginning of the study, students had difficulty adjusting to the level of dynamics of the studio immersion; however, in the end, they were able to understand that managing the immersion studio required special management regarding budgets, academic schedules and site visits from the three universities that sometimes could not fit all the students' expectations.

### ***Comprehensive Understanding***

Following the completion of the studio immersion, more than 90% of the students expressed pride in their participation and the quality of their work. Although it is obvious that there is a cultural difference between them (Killick, 2018), students now feel more confident in themselves because they have successfully communicated across cultures. Internationalization in the studio has faced many challenges in terms of cultural differences that could lead to tensions as well as focus (Gray, 2013; Salama, 2021). During the master plan design assignment, which is completed in groups, students are aware of cultural differences, but in reality, they can find their own way or methods to interact and even negotiate the design during the assignment of the master plan. In addition, almost all of the students feel very prepared to face the global world in their future careers.

Students are aware that setting up the studio immersion is more work than setting up a standard academic studio. The internationalization studio is exposed to a highly diverse range of architectural paradigms, real-world environments, and architectural theories (Crowther, 2013; Dupre, 2022; Salama, 2021; Srinivasan, 2011). Over 90% of the students thought that the success of this international study can be attributed to positive relationships between the three universities, particularly between educators who are involved in the studio and support from

various entities, such as the international office and university/department leaders, concerning internal administration, scheduling, finances, career development of the educators and the fulfillment of the strategic plan of the institutions.

After the studio immersion, the students have a deeper comprehension of what architecture means, which are the three most important thoughts from the students:

- Architecture is viewed as the result of social and cultural integration rather than just the aesthetics of buildings
- The sociocultural context of modern society, in which buildings are designed, can be used to understand architecture
- The studio provides assignments in a real societal context so that it helps interpret sociocultural conditions as an architectural background.

In addition, 90% of the students reported that they are becoming more aware that the architect profession is a multifaceted career that involves aspects from the technical and sociocultural domains as well as aesthetics. Students believe that they have a deeper understanding of critical thinking, which they may use for future self-improvement rather than only finishing the assignment in the studio. In previous research, the internationalization of design studies has revealed various architectural theories, in which educators need to apply the constructivist approach to communicate across cultures, whereas the learning experience urgently needs intercultural skills to understand contemporary society (Crowther, 2013; Salama, 2021; Srinivasan, 2011; *Un-Working*, n.d.).

### ***Summary***

This research aims to investigate the perceptions of students who finished their studies in 2022 and 2023. The goal is to determine whether internationalization activity adds knowledge about architecture and provides skills for future careers. According to the research findings, studio immersion broadens students' understanding of architecture to include the sociocultural context of contemporary society in addition to technical and aesthetic systems. Therefore, the profession of an architect is understood as a multifaceted profession. With respect to their future careers in an increasingly globalized world, students are confident in their capacity to overcome cultural differences. Their struggle to adjust to the new friends, teachers, and studio culture was demonstrated, and it was overcome by social interaction and communication, both formal and informal, which can foster networking and trust. The students believe that studio immersion has helped them become more confident, global and intercultural learners.

According to the findings, in regard to communication in the studio, students initially struggle with their English but eventually gain the confidence to engage with their peers. Their confidence is growing, especially through online informal activities, such as online gaming. Owing to their early exposure to English, the students are conversant in both English and worldwide communication via the internet and online gaming. Even though their English proficiency is not comparable, the interaction among students is not affected.

## CONCLUSIONS

The question of identity and uniqueness of education becomes the greatest challenge for internationalization in HE as well as in schools of architecture. Some scholars have argued that internationalization could reinforce Western-centric education by ignoring the differences and cultural diversity of each nation's educational system (Jones, 2022; McAllester, 2024). However, HE acknowledges that despite the significant time and resource commitments needed, internationalization is a modern necessity to train future practitioners in a globalized society.

There is an opportunity for the school of architecture to implement internationalization in the learning process, especially in the studios. This is because the school is regulated internationally under UIA requirements for architecture curriculum goals, which means that all schools of architecture match in terms of the learning skills provided. The internationalization of studios is becoming more feasible for application in the modern world, where students encounter no boundaries in learning and engagement and more student-centered learning. Nonetheless, it is challenging to alter the studio culture that has grown over the years at each institution, as it has become unique in that it adds value to the entire educational process. The master architect, who primarily directs the design approach and style of the studio assignment, is credited with having expertise in the architecture project. As the spirit of collaboration is the foundation of the studio, the new studio culture that will be built over time will fulfill the main purpose, which is to be more relevant and connected in the increasingly independent world.

This type of studio offers a new strategy for architecture schools to apply internationalization, which is typically regarded as unachievable. Research immersion creates a unique characteristic in terms of its challenges, handicaps, benefits and shortcomings, whereas it also needs various types of support. The university's internationalization-supporting policies and strategies, as well as the instructors' openness to fostering a new studio culture, are crucial to the studio immersion program's success. The chance to study various national contexts and cultures that produce architecture is provided to the students to help them with their assignment.

The definition of internationalization in HE includes the meaning of process as well as strategy; it is not a target but rather how to pursue a common purpose together by undertaking specific actions/strategies that differ from one institution to another (Knight, 2008). It is possible that certain institutions are better suited for the type of studio immersion than others strongly depend on resources are; all have a common purpose of improving HE quality and tolerance in a world where people are more independent and connected (Knight, 2008; Knight & de Wit, 2018).

This research is limited to the perspective of the students only, but in the future, it could be expanded to the perspective of the leaders since this strategy of studio immersion initially to answer the global need to be equal and relevant. Additionally, the difference in internationalization in Asian compared with non-

Asian HE could be highlighted to allow for a more thorough analysis and implementation of the best possible strategy.

## REFERENCES

- Bamberger, A., & Morris, P. (2023). Critical perspectives on internationalization in higher education: Commercialization, global citizenship, or postcolonial imperialism? *Critical Studies in Education*, 0(0), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2023.2233572>
- Berita IAI. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://iai.or.id/berita/menyetarakan-pendidikan-arsitektur-indonesia-dengan-standar-internasional-ikatan-arsitek-indonesia-mendorong-terbentuknya-program-pendidikan-profesi-arsitek-ppar>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. SAGE.
- Crowther, P. (2013). Understanding the signature pedagogy of the design studio and the opportunities for its technological enhancement. *Journal of Learning Design*, 6(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.5204/jld.v6i3.155>
- Dupre, K. (2022). International experiments in the Australian architecture curriculum: An educator's perspective. In *The Routledge Companion to Architectural Pedagogies of the Global South*. Routledge.
- Gray, C. (2013). *Informal peer critique and the negotiation of habitus in a design studio*. 12. [https://doi.org/10.1386/adch.12.2.195\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1386/adch.12.2.195_1)
- Hacihanoglu, O. (2021). *Terms and Concepts on Design Studio in the Research Articles of 2010's* (SSRN Scholarly Paper 3838218). <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3838218>
- Hayle, E. M. (2008). *Educational Benefits of Internationalizing Higher Education: The Students' Perspectives*. <https://library-archives.canada.ca/eng/services/services-libraries/theses/Pages/item.aspx?idNumber=1032974527>  
Last Modified: 2022-09-01
- Hou, J., & Kang, M.-J. (2006). Differences and Dialogic Learning in a Collaborative Virtual Design Studio. *Open House International*, 31(3), 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/OHI-03-2006-B0011>
- Jones, E. (2022). Problematizing the Idea of Curriculum 'Internationalization.' *Journal of International Students*, 12(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v12i1.4592>
- Killick, D. (2018). Critical Intercultural Practice: Learning in and for a Multicultural Globalizing World. *Journal of International Students*, 8(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v8i3.64>
- Knight, J. (2008). *Higher Education in Turmoil: The Changing World of Internationalization*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789087905224>
- Knight, J., & de Wit, H. (2018). Internationalization of Higher Education: Past and Future. *International Higher Education*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2018.95.10715>

- Lopez-Fernandez, O., & Molina-Azorin, J. F. (2011). The use of mixed methods research in the field of behavioural sciences. *Quality & Quantity*, 45(6), 1459–1472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-011-9543-9>
- Mali, M. G. (2020). Internasionalisasi kampus sebagai strategi perguruan tinggi dalam menghadapi era revolusi industri 4.0. *Jurnal Manajemen Publik Dan Kebijakan Publik (JMPKP)*, 2(1). <http://jurnal.umb.ac.id/index.php/JMPKP/article/view/4518>
- McAllester, K. (2024). Transposed identity negotiation: A new conceptual framework. *Journal of International Students*, 14(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v14i3.6132>
- Mostafa, M., & Mostafa, H. (2010). How Do Architects Think? Learning Styles and Architectural Education. *Archnet-IJAR*, 4, 310–317. <https://doi.org/10.26687/archnet-ijar.v4i2/3.139>
- Munasinghe, H. (2008). Architectural education and design studio tradition. 7.
- Ng, S. W. (2012). Rethinking the mission of internationalization of higher education in the Asia-Pacific region. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 42(3), 439–459. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2011.652815>
- Ostwald, M., & Williams, A. (2008). *Understanding Architectural Education In Australasia. Volume 1: An Analysis of Architecture Schools, Programs, Academics and Students*.
- Ota, L. T. T., Tracy X. P. Zou, Hiroshi (Ed.). (2023). *East and Southeast Asian Perspectives on the Internationalisation of Higher Education: Policies, Practices and Prospects*. Routledge.
- Salama, A. (1999). *Incorporating Knowledge about Cultural Diversity into Architectural Pedagogy* (pp. 135–144).
- Salama, A. (2021). *Transformative Pedagogy in Architecture and Urbanism*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003140047>
- Srinivasan, B. (2011). Three holy myths of architectural education in India. *Archnet-IJAR*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.26687/archnet-ijar.v5i1.227>
- Sutrisno, A. (2019). Internationalization of Indonesian Higher Education: Recent Initiatives and their Problems. *International Higher Education*, 97, Article 97. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2019.97.10941>
- Tek, M., Nok, S., & Chea, P. (2023). What makes academics (in)active in higher education internationalisation: Evidence from Cambodia. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 0(0), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2023.2288731>
- The Analytics of Power: Re-presenting the Design Jury on JSTOR*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 30, 2023, from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40480704>
- Trinh, A. N., & Conner, L. (2019). Student Engagement in Internationalization of the Curriculum: Vietnamese Domestic Students' Perspectives. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 23(1), 154–170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315318814065>
- UNESCO-UIA Charter for Architectural Education (n.d.). *International Union of Architects*. <https://www.uia-architectes.org/en/resource/unesco-uia-charter-for-architectural-education-revised-july-2023/>

- Un-Working: New Tactics for Architectural Pedagogy: Journal of Architectural Education* (n.d). <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10464883.2019.1633208>
- Webster, H. (2008). Architectural Education after Schön: Cracks, Blurs, Boundaries and Beyond. *Journal for Education in the Built Environment*, 3(2), 63–74. <https://doi.org/10.11120/jebe.2008.03020063>
- Wit, H. de. (2020). Internationalization of Higher Education: The Need for a More Ethical and Qualitative Approach. *Journal of International Students*, 10(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v10i1.1893>
- Yeravdekar, V. R., & Tiwari, G. (2014). Internationalization of Higher Education and its Impact on Enhancing Corporate Competitiveness and Comparative Skill Formation. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 157, 203–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.023>
- Zolfaghari, A., Sabran, M., & Zolfaghari, A. (2009). Internationalization of Higher Education: Challenges, Strategies, Policies and Programs. *Online Submission*.

*Author bios*

---

**RULLY DAMAYANTI**, PhD (Corresponding author), Assistant Professor in the Architecture Department at Petra Christian University, Indonesia. The major research interest is in architecture and urban spatiality, spatial temporality and urban design history and theory. Email [rully@petra.ac.id](mailto:rully@petra.ac.id)  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8409-1760>

**ELVINA WIJAYA**, M.T., is a Lecturer in the Department of Architecture at Petra Christian University, Indonesia. The major research interest in the area of building technology, focusing on building and occupant interaction to enhance health, comfort and well-being. Email: [elvinawijaya@petra.ac.id](mailto:elvinawijaya@petra.ac.id)  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5851-7985>

**BRAM WAYNE**, M.Ars., is a Lecturer in the Department of Architecture, Petra Christian University. He currently studies on Biophilic Factor and walkability in the city. Email: [bram.wayne@petra.ac.id](mailto:bram.wayne@petra.ac.id)

**APIRADEE KASEMSOOK**, Ph.D., is an Associate Professor in the Department of Architecture, Silpakorn University, Thailand. Her major research interests lie in the area of spatial morphology of buildings and settlements, transnational spatial culture, and environmental philosophy.  
Email: [KASEMSOOK\\_A@su.ac.th](mailto:KASEMSOOK_A@su.ac.th)

**KUO-WEI CHIU**, Ph.D., Assistant Professor and Director of Regenerative Earth and Anthropocene Design Lab, Department of Architecture at the Tunghai University. His major research interests lie in the areas of sustainable urbanism,

urban design, urban morphology, ancient urbanism, biomimicry, extreme environment, and interplanetary space architecture. Email: kc@thu.edu.tw

---